Flooding and Management of Large Fluvial Lowlands

Large fluvial lowlands are among Earth's most unique and productive environments but are being rapidly degraded by human activities. Pressure on large rivers and deltas has increased tremendously over past decades because of flood control, urbanization, and increased dependence for agriculture and food production. This book examines human impacts on lowland rivers and discusses how these changes affect different types of riverine environments and flood processes, and how these "lessons" can be used to more sustainably manage large rivers and deltas. Surveying a global range of large rivers, including the Mekong, Nile, Sacramento, Danube, Huanghe, among others, the book especially examines management of the Rhine River in the Netherlands and the lower Mississippi in Louisiana. A particular focus of the book is on sedimentology and hydraulic engineering, which is described in a straightforward writing style accessible to a broad audience of researchers, practitioners, and advanced students in physical geography, fluvial geomorphology and sedimentology, and flood and river management.

PAUL F. HUDSON is Associate Professor of Physical Geography and Sustainability at Leiden University in the Netherlands. Hudson relocated to the Netherlands after serving twelve years on the faculty at the University of Texas at Austin, where he is appointed as a Faculty Affiliate in Geography and the Environment. His main scholarly interests involve the study of environmental change of large coastal plain rivers through the lens of physical geography, and in particular, geomorphology and hydrology. Hudson's research investigates flooding, river adjustment, sediment transport, and management of floodplain environments. He has provided expert advice concerning environmental water resources across a range of governmental scales: community, state, and national, including the Dutch parliament.

Flooding and Management of Large Fluvial Lowlands

A Global Environmental Perspective

Paul F. Hudson

Leiden University

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-76860-3 — Flooding and Management of Large Fluvial Lowlands Paul F. Hudson Frontmatter [More Information](www.cambridge.org/9780521768603)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge. It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521768603 DOI: 10.1017/9781139015738

© Paul F. Hudson 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ Books Limited, Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-0-521-76860-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

> To Mom and Dad, to my family, *voor* Marie-Louise.

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-76860-3 — Flooding and Management of Large Fluvial Lowlands Paul F. Hudson Frontmatter [More Information](www.cambridge.org/9780521768603)

Contents

viii CONTENTS

Figures

- 1.1 Flood protection in a densely populated region. Waal River (Dutch Rhine) high-water in 1993 *page* 2
- 1.2 Failure of 17th Street Canal and catastrophic flooding of New Orleans, Louisiana associated with Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005 2
- 1.3 Exogenic and endogenic influences on fluvial–deltaic vulnerability, emphasizing anthropogenic drivers 4
- 1.4 Delta size in relation to sediment needed to protect against sea level rise 4
- 1.5 Swamp forest in the Atchafalaya basin, vital to lower Mississippi flood management 5
- 1.6 Rice farming and aquaculture (shrimp) in the Mekong River delta, Vietnam 5
- 1.7 Global map of rivers, including many herein examined or referenced 7
- 2.1 Model of downstream (spatial) changes in fluvial characteristics of a large drainage basin displaying fluvial hinge-line 10
- 2.2 Land degradation by soil erosion and gullying in the Chinese Loess Plateau 11
- 2.3 Downstream translation and attenuation of a flood wave 12
- 2.4 Subsiding Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta in California 13
- 2.5 Global area of cropland and grazing since 100 AD 13
- 2.6 Influence of varying land surface conditions on surface hydrologic processes 14
- 2.7 Post-settlement alluvium in Driftless region of Wisconsin 15
- 2.8 Reduction in annual sediment inputs (billions of tons) to middle Huanghe River due to soil conservation 16
- 2.9 Landscape and channel adjustment in response to land cover change 16
- 2.10 Fluvial response for three different settings caused by intensive agriculture 17
- 2.11 Hydraulic gold mining in Sierra Nevada foothills, California, in the 1870s 18
- 2.12 Changes in low flow levels (height) for three stations in the Sacramento basin 18
- 2.13 Projections to global agricultural land cover until 2050 19
- 2.14 Channel pattern distinguished by slope– discharge relation 20
- 2.15 Characterization of single-channel and multichannel (anabranching) river patterns 21
- 2.16 Meandering river channel morphologic indices 22
- 2.17 Relation between pool-to-pool spacing and channel width for a range of river sizes 22
- 2.18 Empirical relations between indices of planform and cross-sectional channel profiles 23
- 2.19 Pointbar stratigraphy and overbank flood deposits for a meandering river 24
- 2.20 Geomorphic and hydraulic features of midchannel bars 25
- 2.21 Satellite image of dynamic anabranching middle Rio Paraná, northern Argentina 26
- 2.22 Relation between stream power and sediment relative to erosion and deposition 27
- 2.23 Adjustment of channel sinuosity and slope, from natural to engineered river 28
- 2.24 Knickpoint incision caused by channelization for flood control 28
- 2.25 Range of channel morphologic response to changes in streamflow and sediment 29
- 3.1 Ganges–Brahmaputra delta, largest tidal-dominated subaerial delta 32
- 3.2 Rural settlement along channel bank and natural levee of lower Amazon 32
- 3.3 Hydroclimatic flood mechanisms across a range of temporal and spatial scales 33
- 3.4 Earth's general atmospheric circulation showing major winds and pressure systems 33
- 3.5 Zonal and meridional flow over the northern hemisphere with changes in jet stream 33
- 3.6 Annual flood pulses for a range of large rivers that vary in size and climatic controls 34
- 3.7 Mean annual runoff for large rivers in relation to annual peak discharge variability 35

x LIST OF FIGURES

- - 3.8 Discharge regime for the Mississippi basin and major tributaries 35
- 3.9 Discharge regime for the Rhine River and major tributaries 36
- 3.10 Hunger stone exposed along the Elbe River bed during the severe 2018 European drought 36
- 3.11 Historic hydroclimatology of the upper Mississippi River and changes to zonal flow 37
- 3.12 Average monthly discharge for the La Plata basin with different ENSO phases 41
- 3.13 Nilometer on the Isle of Rhoda, Cairo, Egypt, which dates to 861 AD 41
- 3.14 Influence of ENSO and PDO phases on the Columbia River streamflow 42
- 3.15 Murray–Darling streamflow in relation to ENSO and the millennium drought 42
- 3.16 River bank erosion on heavily mined section of the Mekong River in Cambodia 43
- 3.17 Channel bank erosion mechanisms along large alluvial rivers 45
- 3.18 Bank caving by arcuate slumping along the lower Amazon River, Brazil 46
- 3.19 Channel planform adjustment to cohesive floodplain deposits 46
- 3.20 Influence of cohesive sedimentology on Mississippi River meander bend migration 47
- 3.21 Hydrologic pathways for a lowland floodplain with multiple meander belts 49
- 3.22 Channel bank elevation profile in relation to varying flow levels, lower Mississippi 50
- 3.23 Local scale floodplain water bodies along the lower Mississippi 50
- 3.24 Valley profile across the lower Brazos River floodplain, near apex of delta 51
- 3.25 Spillage sedimentation model for large river floodplains 53
- 3.26 Sand sheet deposition from the record 2018–2019 flood along the lower Mississippi 54
- 3.27 Relation between lateral migration rates and natural levee development 54
- 3.28 Downstream pattern in natural levee width, lower Rio Pánuco, Mexico 54
- 3.29 Downstream profile in natural levee dimensions, Mississippi delta 55
- 3.30 Evolution of oxbow lake environments and sedimentary infilling 57
- 3.31 Model of clay plug development and natural levee burial in meander neck 58
- 3.32 Perirheic zone floodplain hydrology and inundation mechanisms 59
- 3.33 Bioturbation of sedimentary structure for natural levee and crevasse deposits 61
- 3.34 Soil development atop two meander belts, lower Mississippi 62
- 3.35 Adjustment to channel sinuosity to changes in valley slope by neotectonic faulting 63
- 3.36 Fluvial adjustment to uplift domes and faulting 63
- 3.37 Changes to channel and floodplain water bodies in response to neotectonic warping 64
- 3.38 Profile of active Mississippi channel belt displaying neotectonic influences 64
- 3.39 Lower Mississippi alluvial valley and delta plain 65
- 3.40 Rhine–Meuse drainage basin, including geomorphic sections and locations 66
- 3.41 Quaternary geomorphic units and tectonic structure of the Rhine delta region 67
- 3.42 Influence of sea level rise on Holocene backfilling of the Rhine delta 67
- 3.43 Delta area in relation to drainage area 69
- 3.44 Delta classification according to fluvial, wave, or tidal influence 71
- 3.45 Continuum of wave to fluvial deltas in relation to sediment and wave energy 73
- 3.46 Model of fluvial–deltaic sedimentation in marine receiving basin 73
- 3.47 Model of fluvial-dominated prograding deltaic deposits 74
- 3.48 The delta cycle, initiation to abandonment, fluvial– deltaic environments 75
- 3.49 Mississippi delta plain and chronology of individual delta lobes 75
- 3.50 Fluvial–deltaic environments associated with a subsiding delta lobe 76
- 3.51 Annual composite Mississippi delta fluid production and wetland loss 78
- 4.1 Red Bluff Diversion Dam on the Sacramento River in California 81
- 4.2 Global water withdrawals by sector since 1900, steep increase after the 1950s 81
- 4.3 Global tally of large dams 84
- 4.4 Global growth of reservoir capacity between 1900 and about 2010 85
- 4.5 Roman era Cornalvo dam in Extremadura, Spain; adjacent aqueduct 85
- 4.6 Height of large dams in Spain 86
- 4.7 Large dams in Australia organized by height 86
- 4.8 Characteristics of US dams in National Inventory of Dams 87
- 4.9 Global acceleration of hydropower dam construction since 1900 88

LIST OF FIGURES Xi

- 4.10 Global trend and trajectory (to 2030) of river fragmentation and regulation 88
- 4.11 Conceptual model of annual flow regime for natural and impounded rivers 90
- 4.12 Flow regime for two large European rivers, before and after impoundment 91
- 4.13 Satellite image of the Nile River, extending ~1,000 km from Aswan High Dam to delta 92
- 4.14 Satellite image of Aswan High Dam, Lake Nasser, and Nile River in arid Egypt 92
- 4.15 Changes to Nile River streamflow below Aswan High Dam, between 1950 and 1985 92
- 4.16 Changes to Nile River suspended sediment loads after dam closure in 1964 96
- 4.17 Changes to Yangtze River streamflow and sediment after closure of Three Gorges Dam 96
- 4.18 Stair-step profile of a ~1,000 km segment of upper Mekong (Lancang) River 97
- 4.19 Large dams in the Mekong River basin 98
- 4.20 Longitudinal stair-step profile of the Rhine River, including geomorphic provinces 99
- 4.21 Gavins Point Dam and Lewis and Clarke Lake reservoir, Missouri River 100
- 4.22 Changes to suspended sediment along the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers 101
- 4.23 Ratio of pre-dam and post-dam suspended sediment loads along the Missouri River 102
- 4.24 Change to suspended sediment of lower Mississippi River at Tarbert Landing 102
- 4.25 Downstream channel bed incision for several US rivers after dam closure 103
- 4.26 Channel bed incision for Europe's two largest rivers 104
- 4.27 Changes to Rio Grande/Bravo at Presidio, Texas/ Ojinaga, Chihuahua 105
- 4.28 Changes to channel width of the Platte River, NE, between 1880 and 1995 106
- 4.29 Changes to channel width and streamflow for the Platte River after dam closure 107
- 4.30 Photos documenting historic changes to riparian environments of the Platte River 107
- 4.31 Three different downstream responses of riverine fisheries to dam: Yangtze, Volga, and Nile Rivers 109
- 4.32 Chemical and physical characteristics of reservoir water quality relevant to aquatic ecology 110
- 4.33 Reservoir stratification (temperature, dissolved oxygen) in the Mekong basin 111
- 4.34 Comparison of pre-dam and post-dam streamflow temperature, lower Mekong basin 113
- 4.35 Tennessee River basin, showing TVA dams and drainage network 114
- 4.36 Photo of Glines Canyon Dam removal on Elwha River 116
- 4.37 Dam removal projects in the United States between 1900 and 2019 118
- 4.38 Sequence of steps for removal of reservoir sedimentary deposits 121
- 4.39 Arsenic, copper, and suspended sediment, Clark Fork River, Montana, 1996–2015 123
- 4.40 Reservoir sediment compaction for different sedimentary deposits 123
- 4.41 Photo of the Elwha River channel and bed material before and after dam removal 127
- 4.42 Channel changes to Elwha River after dam removal 127
- 4.43 Conceptual model of fluvial response to dam emplacement and removal 128
- 4.44 Conceptual model of fluvial response to dam removal, abiotic and biotic trajectories 129
- 4.45 Global distribution of reservoir storage lost to sedimentation 130
- 4.46 Model of reservoir storage loss due to sedimentation 130
- 4.47 Classification of sediment management strategies for reservoirs 133
- 4.48 Profile of dam and reservoir operation during sediment sluicing event 133
- 4.49 Seasonal pool operation at Three Gorges Dam, Yangtze River, China 133
- 4.50 Profile of dam and reservoir operation during a sediment flushing event 135
- 4.51 Reservoir sediment infilling and erosion of Sanmenxia Reservoir, Huanghe River 136
- 4.52 Photo of sediment flushing event, Sanmenxia Dam, Huanghe River 136
- 4.53 Models of dam and reservoir design related to sediment management 137
- 5.1 Intensively engineered Danube River in Romania, groynes and straightening 140
- 5.2 Cutterhead teeth of dredge, Ohio River 140
- 5.3 Lidar DEM of dredge spoil landforms, Apalachicola River floodplain, Florida 140
- 5.4 Dredge spoil and new Mississippi delta wetlands near Head of Passes, Louisiana 141
- 5.5 Sand mining on the Mekong River, Vietnam 141
- 5.6 Degradation of Arno River, Italy, over ~150 years due to engineering and land use 142
- 5.7 Photo of buried Holocene backswamp along the channel bank of the lower Mississippi 144
- 5.8 Channel cut-off types: chute, meander neck, mobile bar, river course shift 144
- 5.9 Planview perspective of meander bends, natural baseline, and artificial cut-offs 145

5.10 Photo of meander neck cut-off along the lower Mississippi in the 1930s 145

- 5.11 Extensive meander neck cut-off along Ucayali River, Peru, by local people 147
- 5.12 Channel straightening by Tulla cut-off plan (1833), Rhine River, Germany 148
- 5.13 Historic willow revetment construction along the lower Mississippi from the 1920s 149
- 5.14 Concrete revetment casting field, lower Mississippi at St. Francisville, Louisiana 149
- 5.15 Installation of modern articulated concrete revetment, lower Mississippi 150
- 5.16 Articulated concrete revetment emplacement along channel bank, lower Mississippi 150
- 5.17 Channel cross section changes after stabilization, Brahmaputra–Jamuna Rivers 150
- 5.18 Degradation of modern articulated concrete revetment, lower Mississippi 151
- 5.19 Channel change caused by concrete revetment, channel incision, and bank failure 151
- 5.20 Groynes along the Dutch Rhine (Lek-Nederrijn) 151
- 5.21 Patterns of groyne field flow and sediment deposition 152
- 5.22 Groyne field erosion from 1995 to 1998, subsequent sand deposition, Waal River 153
- 5.23 Annual number of tree snags removed from US rivers, late 1800s to early 1900s 154
- 5.24 View of historic Red River Raft from the 1870s 155
- 5.25 Historic map (1764) displaying altered channel of Red River, Louisiana 155
- 5.26 Velocity change at Vicksburg, MS, after meander cutoffs, lower Mississippi 158
- 5.27 Historic changes to river stage for specific discharge magnitudes, lower Mississippi 159
- 5.28 Average stage levels of lower Mississippi, before and after cut-offs 159
- 5.29 Adjustment to lower Mississippi stage levels, upstream and downstream of cut-offs 160
- 5.30 Annual high and low stage levels 1901–2016, lower Mississippi at Natchez, Mississippi 161
- 5.31 Incision of channel thalweg, lower Mississippi, between 1948 and 1999 162
- 5.32 Historic aerial photo (1967) of channel bar and island, lower Mississippi 162
- 5.33 Recent vegetated islands within the lower Mississippi 163
- 5.34 Vegetated island atop channel bar in the lower Mississippi 163
- 5.35 Historic increase in vegetated islands, lower Mississippi, 1965–2015 163
- 5.36 A lake within an island in the lower Mississippi, Bayou Goula towhead 164
- 6.1 Historic painting depicting dike breach flood by ice jam mechanism, Waal River (Rhine delta), 1799 167
- 6.2 Dike breach flooding in 1931, lower Yangtze near Nanjing, China 167
- 6.3 Hydrologic pathways related to flood control and sedimentology 168
- 6.4 Embanked floodplain evolution and subsidence after diking and land drainage 168
- 6.5 Flood basin subsidence in Rhine delta (Rijnland), the Netherlands 170
- 6.6 Upgrading Rhine River dikes as part of Room for the River program 170
- 6.7 Levee (dike) construction in the United States, from 1920 to 2018 171
- 6.8 The five stages in the cycle of dike management 172
- 6.9 The Tower Excavator method of dike (levee) construction, lower Mississippi 174
- 6.10 (A) Failure of peat dike during drought (B) Wetting peat dikes to reduce cracking 175
- 6.11 Organization of flood fighters and sand bag operations, 1995 Waal River flood (Rhine delta) 175
- 6.12 Flood wall failure along the London Avenue Canal, New Orleans, 2005 176
- 6.13 Dike failure after large flood event along the lower Mississippi River, 1983 176
- 6.14 Evolution of main-stem Mississippi River levees (dikes) for New Orleans 177
- 6.15 Changes in New Orleans levee (dike) height by settlement and sea level rise, 2023 and 2073 178
- 6.16 Conceptual comparison of inundated floodplain area with dikes and without dikes in relation to flood recurrence intervals, flood risk and human activities 178
- 6.17 Pattern of dike embankment due to physical, environmental, and human factors 179
- 6.18 Variation in floodplain embankment along several larger US rivers 180
- 6.19 Variation in floodplain embankment, lower Mississippi valley and delta 180
- 6.20 Changes in flood depth for Po River, Italy, due to dikes and channel change 181
- 6.21 Impact of embankments on discharge-stage along Wabash River, Illinois 182
- 6.22 Comparison of natural and artificial oxbow lake infilling, lower Mississippi 184
- 6.23 Borrow pit pond adjacent to main-stem dike (levee), lower Mississippi 185
- 6.24 Tally of borrow pits larger than 1 acre (0.4 ha), lower Mississippi 185
- 6.25 Embanked floodplain evolution along the lower Mississippi River 186
- 6.26 Historic map showing dike breach (wiel), Merwede River (Rhine delta), in 1729 187

LIST OF FIGURES xiii

- 6.27 Dike breach pond (wiel) along Lek River from St. Elizabeth flood of 1421 188
- 6.28 "Patchy" floodplain landscape along the Rhine delta, particle size trend 190
- 6.29 Embanked floodplain aggradation, Huanghe River, China 191
- 6.30 Sediment flux into Raccourci Lake by batture channel (tie-channel), lower Mississippi 191
- 6.31 Influence of embankment on oxbow lake infilling, lower Mississippi 193
- 6.32 Adjustment to two oxbow lakes along the upper Rhine, Germany 193
- 6.33 Influences on floodplain sedimentology and hydrography due to flood control 194
- 6.34 Conceptual diagram showing progression of dike breach event 194
- 6.35 Photo of sand boil along the Rhine dike during the 1995 flood 195
- 6.36 Sand boil sedimentology along the Mississippi River after the 1993 flood 195
- 6.37 Dike breach events within the Dutch Rhine delta between 1700 and 1950 197
- 6.38 Beginning of dike breach at Mounds Landing, Mississippi, 1927 flood 198
- 6.39 Topography and hydrography of Mound Crevasse (1927), lower Mississippi 198
- 6.40 Response of river stage to ice jam events in relation to exceedance probability 198
- 6.41 Satellite image of the Great Flood of 1993, Missouri and Mississippi Rivers 199
- 6.42 Composite floodplain deposits associated with the Great Flood of 1993 201
- 6.43 Continuum of lowland floodplain adjustment to sequence of hydraulic engineering 202
- 7.1 Floodwater diversion by Bonnet Carré Spillway, 2016 flood, lower Mississippi 206
- 7.2 Historic map of Alblasserwaard and Vijfheerenlanden polders, the Netherlands 208
- 7.3 Photo of historic windmills and drainage canal at Kinderdijk, Rhine delta 209
- 7.4 Modern Archimedes screw to drain Alblasserwaard polder into Lek River 209
- 7.5 Intensity of engineering and management within Yazoo basin, lower Mississippi 210
- 7.6 Backwater flooding of Yazoo basin from record 2011 flood, lower Mississippi 212
- 7.7 Repair of channel erosion, Steele Bayou drainage, Yazoo basin, Mississippi 212
- 7.8 (A) Operation of Bonnet Carré Spillway for floodwater diversion, 2011 flood. (B) Sand splay in Bonnet Carré flood diversion spillway from historic 2011 flood 214
- 7.9 History of Bonnet Carré floodwater operation since construction in 1937 215
- 7.10 Discharge and suspended sediment, lower Mississippi, at Baton Rouge, LA, 1973 flood 216
- 7.11 Flooded Yolo Bypass along the lower Sacramento River (February 23, 2017) 216
- 7.12 Schematic of spillway structure sedimentation, Fremont Weir, Sacramento River 217
- 7.13 Peat compaction (%) for two 6 m-thick sequences over several thousand years 221
- 7.14 Flood basin evolution by land subsidence due to agriculture and drainage 222
- 7.15 Photo of petroleum infrastructure in the lower Mississippi valley 223
- 7.16 Land subsidence model for fluid withdrawal associated with oil and gas extraction 223
- 7.17 Wetland degradation and hydrologic alteration for fossil fuel extraction 224
- 7.18 Topographic profile across Lek River (Rhine delta) showing subsidence 224
- 7.19 Subsidence of Shanghai, Yangtze River delta, associated with groundwater withdrawal and urbanization 225
- 7.20 Change in pore space with sediment loading in Shanghai, Yangtze River delta 225
- 7.21 Different avulsion styles, including (A) partial, (B) full, and (C) nodal 226
- 7.22 Recent avulsion history of Huanghe River, China 227
- 7.23 Satellite image of the Huanghe River delta, showing rapid fluvial deltaic evolution 227
- 7.24 Channel thalweg profiles of Huanghe River avulsion, from 1976 to 2015 227
- 7.25 Dike breach caused by St. Elizabeth Day Flood of 1421 in Brabant, the Netherlands 228
- 7.26 Historic map (~1755) showing avulsion development from the 1421 dike breach event 228
- 7.27 Air photo of Biesbosch, NL, showing typical channel bifurcation and polder lands 229
- 7.28 Vicinity of upper Atchafalaya and Old River, including failed channel avulsions 230
- 7.29 Sedimentology of Atchafalaya avulsion, downstream of Red River Landing 231
- 7.30 Atchafalaya River cross section showing natural levee development 231
- 7.31 Head of Passes, Louisiana, bifurcation at the mouth of the lower Mississippi River 233
- 7.32 Avulsion node bifurcation (splitsingspunt) of Rhine River, 5 km downstream of delta apex 233
- 7.33 Historic map (1749) of Pannerdensch Kanaal, the Netherlands 233
- 7.34 Bangkok flooding in 2011 by Chao Phraya River 236

xiv LIST OF FIGURES

- 7.35 (A) Canal and drainage system to manage flooding for Bangkok, Chao Phraya River and (B) Topographic cross section of Bangkok in relation to 2011 flood stage 237
- 7.36 Flood control system of New Orleans metropolitan area 239
- 7.37 Geomorphic profile of New Orleans, from the lower Mississippi to Lake Pontchartrain 240
- 7.38 Subsidence in suburban New Orleans due to drainage and urban development 241
- 7.39 Historic map showing flood area from Pierre Sauve´ Crevasse, 1849, New Orleans 242
- 7.40 Influence of 2005 Hurricane Katrina storm surge on Mississippi River stage levels 244
- 7.41 Subsidence in the Mississippi delta showing drowned geodetic benchmark and tree 245
- 7.42 View of 2005 failed floodwalls along the 17th St. canal, New Orleans 245
- 7.43 Strength of sediment relative to safety margins for samples underlying floodwall of the 17th Street Canal in New Orleans 246
- 7.44 Aerial photo of newly constructed pumps and floodgate at London St. Canal, as it flows into Lake Pontchartrain 248
- 7.45 Aerial view of new Inter-Harbor Lake Borgne Surge Barrier 248
- 8.1 Construction phase of Room for the River program, Waal River at Nijmegen, NL 250
- 8.2 Structural measures of Room for the River program 251
- 8.3 Groyne lowering along Waal River as part of the Room for the River program 252
- 8.4 Sediment replenishment along the Rhine River near Freiburg, DE 253
- 8.5 Role of sediment dumping and groynes in sediment budget, upper Rhine 254
- 8.6 Environmental river management: geotextile and vegetation, large woody debris 254
- 8.7 Bank swallow (*Riparia riparia*) habitat within alluvial channel banks of the Sacramento River 256
- 8.8 Sacramento River revetment installation and Bank Swallow (*Riparia riparia*) habitat 256
- 8.9 Channel reconnection of Kissimmee River, Florida, for environmental restoration 256
- 8.10 Comparison of hydrographs before and after flood attenuation measures 258
- 8.11 Side channel construction along the upper Rhine, downstream of Heidelberg, DE 259
- 8.12 Floodwater retention along Danube River, Austria 261
- 8.13 Changes in water surface elevations for ten-year RI events along the lower Missouri due to dike adjustment and channel engineering 263
- 8.14 Change in hydraulic roughness and flood depth for different floodplain surfaces 263
- 8.15 Modeled changes to flood stage in relation to floodplain vegetation, Maas River, the Netherlands 264
- 8.16 Floodplain and riverine landscape along the IJssel River, the Netherlands 264
- 8.17 Aerial view of dike breach, Feather River, California (Sacramento basin) 265
- 8.18 Change in land area of coastal Louisiana between 1932 and 2010 266
- 8.19 Satellite imagery depicting land growth of the Atchafalaya delta complex 267
- 8.20 Subdelta formation along the active Mississippi delta, year of subdelta initiation 268
- 8.21 Evolution of Cubits Gap subdelta, Louisiana 268
- 8.22 Caernarvon Freshwater Diversion structure, Mississippi delta, Breton Sound estuary 269
- 8.23 Modeled land gain 2030–2100 by sediment diversion, varying sea level rise scenarios 271
- 8.24 Location of proposed sediment diversion structures, mid-Baratarria and Breton Sound 271
- 8.25 Hydrograph typology in the context of environmental flows and ecological maintenance to support wetlands and oyster habitat 271
- 8.26 Delta lands retained and gained over fifty years with Louisiana's Coastal Master Plan 272
- 8.27 Sub-cost to implement different approaches of Louisiana's Coastal Master Plan 273
- 8.28 Range of sediment diversion approaches to restore different types of deltas 274
- 8.29 Floodwater retention along the Rhine River, illustrating improvement since the late 1970s 275
- 8.30 River and floodplain restoration measures along the Rhine River, 2005–2012 276
- 8.31 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) counts, 1990–2015, Rhine basin 277
- 8.32 Fish ladder along Nederrijn en Lek River (Rhine delta) in the Netherlands at Hagestein lock 277
- 8.33 Projected 2020 flood stage reductions by hydrogeomorphic province, Rhine River 278
- 8.34 Projected flood hydrograph change after management implemented, upper Rhine 278
- 8.35 Location of different measures for the Room for the River program in the Rhine delta 280
- 8.36 Closure of Maeslantkering flood control structure, mouth of Rhine River, North Sea 282
- 9.1 Vision of the Room for the River program in the Netherlands 285
- 9.2 Integrated approach to flood management within a sinking delta city, Rotterdam, NL 290

Tables

- 1.1 Comparative physical and human indices of lower Mississippi and lower Rhine rivers *page* 6
- 2.1 Drivers and processes associated with drainage basin zones for large rivers and deltas 11
- 2.2 Characteristics of different types of fluvial response to different types of human disturbances 18
- 2.3 Characteristics of channel patterns 21
- 3.1 Rainfall totals for the Great Flood of 1993, Missouri and upper Mississippi basins 38
- 3.2 Characteristics of major atmospheric teleconnections, linkages to streamflow variability 39
- 3.3 Flooded areas along the Amazon River for varying ENSO conditions 41
- 3.4 Atmospheric rivers: characteristics and hydrologic influences 43
- 3.5 Hydrologic influences on bank erosion along alluvial rivers 47
- 3.6 Sedimentary structures and pedogenic indices for Holocene fluvial deposits 52
- 3.7 Classification schema for spillage sedimentation along lowland rivers 56
- 3.8 Soil properties developed atop different channel belts, Mississippi River 60
- 3.9 Drainage area and delta area for a range of larger rivers 68
- 3.10 Factors influencing the morphology and sedimentary characteristics of deltas 70
- 3.11 Characteristics of deltaic depositional systems 70
- 3.12 Characteristics of the delta cycle 74
- 4.1 Irrigation projects in the Mekong basin 82
- 4.2 Dam types 83
- 4.3 Drainage basin distribution and ownership of large dams in Spain 86
- 4.4 Significant impacts of dams and reservoirs on sediment trapping along larger rivers 93
- 4.5 Comparison of global fluvial sediment budget and modification by human activity 93
- 4.6 Comparison of sediment yields and runoff before and after dam impoundment 94
- 4.7 Environmental issues related to dams and reservoir sediment storage 95
- 4.8 Characteristics of main-stem reservoirs along Huanghe River, China 97
- 4.9 Functional fluvial geomorphic surfaces and ecological relevance 106
- 4.10 Global retention of phosphorus by river reservoirs, 1970, 2000, and 2030 112
- 4.11 Ranking of river basins by reservoir storage of phosphorus for 2000, projected for 2030 112
- 4.12 Reservoir flows and dissolved oxygen levels for rivers in the Tennessee Valley Authority 115
- 4.13 Main drivers of dam removal 116
- 4.14 Cost to rehabilitate US dams 117
- 4.15 Comparison of submerged and subaerial weight of reservoir sedimentary deposits 123
- 4.16 Reservoir sediment eroded after dam removal for US case studies 124
- 4.17 Storage capacity and estimated life spans of reservoirs in Missouri River Basin 132
- 4.18 Reservoir management approaches: sediment sluicing and sediment flushing 134
- 4.19 Reservoir management strategies of Sanmenxia Reservoir and sedimentary response, Huanghe River 136
- 5.1 Common structural and nonstructural measures of channel engineering for flood control and navigation with implications for river management 139
- 5.2 Annual volume of sand and gravel mining from the lower Mekong River by nation and grain size 141
- 5.3 Importance of sedimentology in river channel engineering 143
- 5.4 Characteristics of appropriate riprap in the design of alluvial channel bank protection 149
- 5.5 Channel adjustment of the lower Mississippi to meander bend cut-offs in association with Quaternary floodplain deposits 157
- 5.6 Development of Giles Cut-off (1933) along the lower Mississippi including the progressive increase in flow and sediment dredged 158

6.1 Common riparian land-based structural measures associated with flood control 169

- 6.2 Common types of flood control dikes along rivers 169
- 6.3 Summary characteristics of US National Levee Database 171
- 6.4 Important factors to consider in dike design 173
- 6.5 Geophysical indices of floodplain deposits in relation to dike design to prevent problems with dike failure (underseepage, piping, sliding, erosion, river erosion) 174
- 6.6 Deficiencies in dikes caused by construction activities 174
- 6.7 Natural and anthropogenic water bodies within embanked floodplains 183
- 6.8 Comparison of embanked oxbow lake infilling along the Lower Mississippi 192
- 6.9 Crevasse (dike breach) events associated with the 1893 flood along the lower Mississippi in Louisiana 197
- 6.10 Floodplain erosion and sedimentation from the dike breach complex from the Great Flood of 1993 along the middle Mississippi River, Miller City, Illinois 200
- 6.11 Continuum of lowland channel and floodplain adjustment in response to the sequence of flood control engineering 203
- 7.1 Types of flood diversion structures along large lowland rivers 207
- 7.2 Annual subsidence rates along major lowland rivers and deltas impacted by human activities 219
- 7.3 Geophysical properties associated with peat classification 221
- 7.4 Factors to consider in the management of channel avulsions and bifurcations 232
- xvi LIST OF TABLES
	- 7.5 Issues associated with flooding in urban areas within flood basins 235
	- 7.6 Geophysical characteristics of Standard Project Hurricane for the 1965 Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity Project in comparison with Category-I,-II, -III, -IV, and -V hurricane parameters 243
	- 7.7 Causes and consequences of flood management failures associated with the New Orleans Hurricane Katrina flood disaster 247
	- 8.1 Strategies and approaches common to integrated river basin management 250
	- 8.2 Techniques and benefits of utilizing environmentally friendly "soft" bank protection rather than "hard" measures 255
	- 8.3 Management steps for design of channel reconnection projects 257
	- 8.4 Guidelines for design of floodplain side channels 259
	- 8.5 Ecosystem service benefits associated with channel and lake reconnection 262
	- 8.6 Characteristics of four subdeltas within the modern Mississippi delta lobe 267
	- 8.7 Recommendations for operation strategy of large sediment diversion structures 272
	- 8.8 Design of freshwater and sediment diversions to offset deltaic land loss 274
	- 8.9 Primary measures to lower flood stage levels and expected minimum reduction 281
	- 9.1 Overview of "lessons learned" in relation to management options 286

Preface and Acknowledgments

The importance of writing a book about the geomorphic and environmental impacts of hydraulic engineering to lowland rivers has long seemed obvious. I've mainly studied and resided in lowland coastal plain settings, other than an early stint in the Midwest having been born in Wisconsin. This includes north Florida and the lower St. Johns River valley, the Holocene Mississippi floodplain in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, and Austin, Texas at the upper fringe of the Gulf Coastal Plain. And now, atop late-Holocene sand dunes at the terminus of the Rhine delta in the Netherlands.

Since the early 1990s I've conducted research on the theme of human impacts to lowland rivers, particularly topics related to hydraulic engineering and flooding. The concept for the book really began to take shape over a decade ago after my return to Austin from Utrecht in late summer 2008. At Utrecht we focused on an explicit comparison of management approaches between the Mississippi and Rhine, a timely theme given the 2005 flooding of New Orleans and new developments in flood management in the Netherlands. Colleagues in the Department of Geography and the Environment at UT Austin encouraged me to embark on the sinuous journey of writing a monograph. I was keen. Well, life is rich – and complex – and in 2010 it involved relocating to the Netherlands and two universities that resulted in a series of start and stop attempts to write the book. A semester research sabbatical from Leiden University College in autumn 2015 finally provided the watershed moment to hunker down and tackle the book, although I didn't realize it would take another six years.

In some ways this work is a historical treatise in that it draws upon prominent themes and topics of where I've studied, taught, and researched. I was fortunate to complete my doctorate at Louisiana State University (LSU), immersed in the rich tradition of scholarship on fluvial–deltaic processes and engineering geomorphology of the lower Mississippi River prominently developed by R. J. Russell and H. N. Fisk, among others. At LSU my doctoral supervisor, R. H. Kesel, embraced these themes and also emphasized the importance of archival and historic research as complementary and essential to scholarship in geomorphology. This is necessary when working on large

rivers and can be particularly rewarding when working on rivers that have long been utilized for human settlement. And I became acquainted with several themes herein examined during my MSc thesis work at the University of Florida with Joann Mossa, who also completed her doctorate at LSU. Joann introduced me to the lower Mississippi during a "Friends of the Pleistocene" field trip (actually focused on the Red River valley) in Louisiana. With repeated trips between Baton Rouge and Vicksburg along Scenic Highway (U.S. 61), I became fully ensconced in the lore of the lower Mississippi alluvial valley. And many trips to New Orleans, that great sinking delta city, provided a unique perspective on the difficulty of flood management in a complex urban environment undergoing high rates of ground subsidence. At LSU I had courses and attended lectures by esteemed scholars, including H. H. Roberts, J. M. Coleman, G. W. Stone, among others, that oozed of Mississippi delta science.

I've been fortunate to have an academic career over the past twenty-five years that enabled me to conduct research and annually teach courses closely aligned with themes contained herein, including at the University of Texas at Austin, and in the Netherlands at the University of Amsterdam and Leiden University. At UT Austin, I had great scholars as colleagues, including Karl Butzer, who was always supportive and instilled a measured perspective on current fluvial disasters that can only be appreciated when working across longer timescales ("we've seen this before..."). Receipt of a US Fulbright Fellowship spent in residence (2007–2008) with Hans Middelkoop's group at the Institute of Physical Geography at Utrecht University was invaluable for shedding light on several themes herein reviewed, and for gaining an appreciation for the high level of scholarship in geomorphology and the precision to which flood management science is practiced in the Netherlands. I've also been fortunate to have great students who enquire about topics we might have thought were worked out but were not, thereby unwittingly helping to elucidate gaps in knowledge and stimulate new research ideas. Teaching and research really are bidirectional.

It's important to acknowledge that this treatise could not have been written without the tremendous body of scholarly materials CAMBRIDGE

from which it draws. This includes materials produced by government agencies in the form of reports and data sets invaluable to academic research. I'm particularly grateful for a range of materials provided by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (esp. Potamology Program) and Rijkswaterstaat. Additionally, digital archives and databases from a wide range of organizations were important for providing historic photographs, imagery, maps, and data. These especially included Actueel Hoogtebestand Nederland (lidar DEM), American Rivers, Bangkok Metropolitan Agency, Bank Swallow Technical Advisory Committee (California), Australian National Committee on Large Dams, DamRemoval. eu, Delfland Water Authority, Delta Regional Authority (Mississippi), German Federal Waterways and Shipping Administration, Google Earth Pro, International Commission on Large Dams, International Commission for the Protection of the Danube, International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine, Louisiana Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority, Louisiana State University, Department of Geography & Anthropology, ATLAS lidar data, Mekong Eye, National Archives of the Netherlands, Murray-Darling Basin Authority, National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration, NASA Earth Observatory, New Orleans Historic Collection, Occidental College Special Collections, Regional Archives Dordrecht, Rijksmuseum Amsterdam, Spanish Ministry of Environment, State Library of Louisiana Historic Collection, Tennessee State Library and Archives, United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization, U.S. Department of Agriculture (NRCS), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. AID (Mekong ARCC Program), U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Library of Congress, and Utrecht University Digital Historic Map Collection. An exhaustive attempt was made to properly secure rights and permissions for all figures and tables herein utilized. I'm grateful to the following individuals who kindly granted permission to use their personal photographs or figures, including Prof. S. Darby (Mekong River erosion), Prof.

xviii PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

L. Fitcher (point bar diagram), L. Lefort (New Orleans area subsidence), N. Olsen (Bayou Goula towhead island, Mississippi River), and J. Rusky (Head of Passes, Mississippi River).

The quality and quantity of new published academic research along the themes herein examined is especially impressive and requires considerable effort to keep pace. The Mississippi and Rhine have long served as hearths of fluvial scholarship, and recent research increasingly has direct environmental, interdisciplinary, and societal relevance. An impressive flow of new large river research concerns long neglected regions, providing exceptions to the general body of knowledge of fluvial geomorphology developed in mid-latitude North America and Western Europe. This especially includes rivers in Asia and South America.

I'm keenly aware of esteemed scholars who have passed over the last decade or so, and from whom I've learned so much and whose ideas permeate this treatise, including Leal Mertes (2005), Luna Leopold (2006), Gilbert White (2006), Henk Berendsen (2007), Gordon Wolman (2010), Stan Schumm (2011), Jim Knox (2012), Jess Walker (2015), Karl Butzer (2016), Wil Graf (2019), and Ken Gregory (2020). I'm grateful to and remain in awe of their extensive contributions and dedication to river science.

I'm very appreciative of Cambridge University Press, specifically editors M. Lloyd, S. Lambert, Z. Pruce, S. Duveau, and F. Mathews Jebaraj for prompt feedback and, especially, the time and space to complete the monograph, which extended long after my relocation from Texas to the Netherlands. Critical reviews and sharp insights provided by E. M. Latrubesse, J. M. Daniels, F. T. Heitmuller, M. K. Steinberg, M. C. LaFevor, among others, and my students, who were unsuspecting critics for several years, allowed me to explore and develop a range of materials. And last – but certainly not least – this work could not have been completed without support and sacrifice from my family, who endured far too many "fragmented" weekends and "cut-off" holidays while I toiled. Thanks for your patience over all these years.