

1

Representations and modules

1.1 Basic concepts

In this section we introduce the basic concepts of representation theory, fix most of the notation used in this book and give a large number of concrete examples for representations.

Definition 1.1.1 A **representation** of a group G over a field K is a homomorphism $\delta: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of G into the group of invertible K -endomorphisms of a finite dimensional vector space V over K . The dimension of this vector space is called the **degree** of δ . A **matrix representation** of G of degree n is a homomorphism $\delta: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ of G into the full linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ over K of some degree n . If δ or δ is injective, it is called **faithful**.

If V is a K -vector space of dimension n and $B := (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is a K -basis of V , then by assigning to each endomorphism the matrix representing it with respect to the basis B one obtains a group isomorphism

$$\mathrm{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K), \quad \varphi \mapsto [\varphi]_B,$$

where the matrix $[\varphi]_B = [a_{ij}] \in K^{n \times n}$ is defined by

$$\varphi(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} v_i \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Thus for any representation $\delta: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and any choice of a basis B of V one obtains a matrix representation

$$\delta_B: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K), \quad g \mapsto [\delta(g)]_B.$$

Observe that the multiplication in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ and also in the ring $\mathrm{End}_K V$ of all K -endomorphisms of V is defined by $\varphi \circ \psi(v) = \varphi(\psi(v))$ for $v \in V$. We will usually omit the symbol “ \circ .”

Definition 1.1.2 Two representations $\delta: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and $\delta': G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$ are called **equivalent** if there is a K -vector space isomorphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$\delta'(g) = \varphi \delta(g) \varphi^{-1} \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

Similarly two matrix representations $\delta: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ and $\delta': G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ are called **equivalent** if there is a matrix $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that

$$\delta'(g) = T \delta(g) T^{-1} \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

So obviously different matrix representations corresponding to the same representation are equivalent.

It is convenient to use the language of modules over rings or better yet of modules over algebras over a commutative ring K . In this book “ring” means *associative ring* having a unit element, which we denote by 1, or 1_A if the ring is called A . For convenience we recall some of the relevant definitions.

Definition 1.1.3 If K is a commutative ring, a K -**algebra** is a ring A together with a ring homomorphism $\lambda_A: K \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(A)$ satisfying $\lambda_A(1_K) = 1_A$. Here $\mathbf{Z}(A)$ is the **center** of A , defined by

$$\mathbf{Z}(A) := \{z \in A \mid az = za \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

If (A, λ_A) , $(A', \lambda_{A'})$ are K -algebras, then a ring homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ is a K -algebra homomorphism if $\lambda_{A'} = \varphi \circ \lambda_A$.

Observe that any ring can be considered as a \mathbb{Z} -algebra in a unique way.

Definition 1.1.4 If A is a ring, an A -**module**, or, more precisely, a left A -module, is an abelian group V together with a map $A \times V \rightarrow V$, $(a, v) \mapsto a \cdot v$, satisfying

$$(a+b) \cdot v = a \cdot v + b \cdot v, \quad a \cdot (v+w) = a \cdot v + a \cdot w, \quad 1_A \cdot v = v \quad \text{for } a, b \in A, v, w \in V.$$

A right A -module is defined similarly with a map $V \times A \rightarrow V$, $(v, a) \mapsto v \cdot a$. It is equivalent to an A^{op} -module, where A^{op} stands for the **opposite ring** of A with multiplication changed to $a * a' := a' \cdot a$.

Remark 1.1.5 A together with the ring multiplication $A \times A \rightarrow A$ is an A -module, called the **left regular A -module**, often written as ${}_A A$. If (A, λ_A) is a K -algebra over a commutative ring K , then any A -module V becomes also a K -module by defining $\alpha \cdot v := \lambda_A(\alpha) \cdot v$ for $\alpha \in K$ and $v \in V$ with

$$\alpha \cdot (a \cdot v) = a \cdot (\alpha \cdot v) = (\lambda_A(\alpha) a) \cdot v \quad \text{for } \alpha \in K, a \in A, v \in V.$$

In particular, for $V = {}_A A$ and $a = v = 1_A$ we get $\lambda_A(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot 1_A$. This is the reason why the notation λ_A will hardly ever be used and we will usually talk about an algebra A over K instead of (A, λ_A) , regarding A as a K -module with $\alpha \cdot 1_A \in \mathbf{Z}(A)$ for all $\alpha \in K$. Occasionally we take the liberty to abbreviate $av := a \cdot v$ and $\alpha v := \alpha \cdot v$.

Important examples of algebras are group algebras. For simplicity we restrict ourselves to finite groups.

Definition 1.1.6 Let K be a commutative ring and (G, \cdot) be a finite group. Put $KG := K^G$, the set of all maps from G to K , and define for $a, b \in KG$, $\alpha \in K$ and $g \in G$

$$(a+b)(g) := a(g) + b(g), \quad (ab)(g) := \sum_{h \in G} a(h)b(h^{-1} \cdot g), \quad (\alpha a)(g) := \alpha a(g).$$

For $g \in G$ let $g^\circ \in KG$ be defined by

$$g^\circ(h) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } h \in G \setminus \{g\}, \\ 1_K & \text{for } h = g \end{cases}$$

Then it is readily verified that KG is a K -algebra with unit 1° , where 1 is the unit in G . It is called the **group algebra** of G over K .

Remark 1.1.7 If $a \in KG$ then clearly $a = \sum_{g \in G} a(g)g^\circ$. Thus KG is a free K -module with basis $(g^\circ)_{g \in G}$, that is, every element $a \in KG$ can be uniquely written in the form $a = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g^\circ$ with $\alpha_g \in K$. Also $g^\circ h^\circ = (gh)^\circ$, so that $g \mapsto g^\circ$ gives an embedding (= injective group homomorphism) from G to the group of units $(KG)^\times$ (= multiplicative group of invertible elements) of KG . It is common practice to identify $g \in G$ with $g^\circ \in KG$. Then

$$KG = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \mid \alpha_g \in K \right\}.$$

Of course, KG is commutative if and only if G is abelian.

Example 1.1.8 We consider the symmetric group $S_n = (S_n, \circ)$ of degree n , the set of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with multiplication \circ defined by $(\sigma \circ \tau)(i) := \sigma(\tau(i))$ for $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We will use the familiar cycle notation for elements of S_n . So, for instance, $\sigma = (2, 3)(5, 7, 8) \in S_9$ is the permutation with $\sigma(2) = 3$, $\sigma(3) = 2$, $\sigma(5) = 7$, $\sigma(7) = 8$, $\sigma(8) = 5$ and $\sigma(i) = i$ for $i \in \{1, 4, 6, 9\}$. If K is a commutative ring then

$$K S_3 = \{ \alpha_1() + \alpha_2(1, 2, 3) + \alpha_3(1, 3, 2) + \alpha_4(1, 2) + \alpha_5(2, 3) + \alpha_6(1, 3) \mid \alpha_i \in K \},$$

and in $K S_3$ we can compute, for instance with $a := (()) + (1, 2, 3) + (1, 3, 2)$,

$$a \cdot (1, 2) = (1, 2) + (1, 3) + (2, 3), \quad a \cdot (()) - (1, 3, 2) = 0, \quad a^2 = 3a.$$

Assumption: For the rest of this section we will assume that G is a finite group and K a commutative ring. If we require that K is a field we will say so, unless it is clear from the context, e.g. if we are talking about K -vector spaces.

Notation: If M is a subset of G we put

$$M^+ := \sum_{g \in M} g \in KG. \tag{1.1}$$

As usual we write $g^h := h^{-1}gh$ for $g, h \in G$. Furthermore $g^G := \{g^h \mid h \in G\}$ and $\text{cl}(G) := \{g^G \mid g \in G\}$, the set of conjugacy classes of G .

The center of a group algebra is easily described as follows.

Lemma 1.1.9 $(C^+)_{C \in \text{cl}(G)}$ is a K -basis of the center $\mathbf{Z}(KG)$ of KG .

Proof. If $z = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in KG$, then $z \in \mathbf{Z}(KG)$ if and only if $h^{-1}zh = z$ for all $h \in G$, so if and only if

$$\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g h^{-1}gh = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{hgh^{-1}}g = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g;$$

hence if and only if $\alpha_g = \alpha_{hgh^{-1}}$ for all $h, g \in G$. This condition is equivalent to saying that α_g must be constant on conjugacy classes, or in other words that $z = \sum_{C \in \text{cl}(G)} \alpha_C C^+$, where $\alpha_C = \alpha_g$ if $g \in C$. \square

Any representation $\delta: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ of a finite group G over a field K (and likewise any matrix representation $\delta: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$) extends by K -linearity naturally to a K -algebra homomorphism $\delta: KG \rightarrow \text{End}_K V$ (resp. $\delta: KG \rightarrow K^{n \times n}$), which will be denoted by the same symbol and which is called a representation (resp. matrix representation) of the group algebra. Also V becomes a (left) KG -module via $a \cdot v := \delta(a)(v)$ for $a \in KG$ and $v \in V$. Conversely, if V is any KG -module, which has finite dimension as a K -vector space, then one obtains a representation $\delta: KG \rightarrow \text{End}_K V$ by defining $\delta(a)(v) := a \cdot v$ and one obtains a representation of G by restricting δ to G . The KG -module V is often called the **representation module** of the representation $\delta: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ and δ is called the representation “afforded by V .” Obviously, equivalent representations have representation modules that are isomorphic as KG -modules and vice versa.

Although we are usually considering representations (and algebras) over a field, there are occasions where representations (and algebras) over a commutative ring K come up. In this case we will make provisions that the K -modules considered have a finite K -basis.

Definition 1.1.10 Let K be a commutative ring and A a K -algebra. A **matrix representation** of A over K is a K -algebra homomorphism $\delta: A \rightarrow K^{n \times n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If V is a free K -module with a finite K -basis and $\text{End}_K V$ is the K -algebra of all K -linear maps from V to V , a K -algebra homomorphism $\delta: A \rightarrow \text{End}_K V$ is called a **representation** of A .

Remark 1.1.11 If $\delta: A \rightarrow K^{n \times n}$ is a matrix representation of the K -algebra A then the free K -module $K^n := K^{n \times 1}$ becomes an A -module by

$$a \cdot v := \delta(a)v \quad \text{for} \quad a \in A, v \in K^n.$$

Conversely, if V is an A -module which is free as a K -module with K -basis $B := (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, then we obtain a matrix representation $\delta_B: A \rightarrow K^{n \times n}$ by defining

$$\delta_B(a) := [\alpha_{ij}] \in K^{n \times n} \quad \text{if} \quad a \cdot v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} v_i \quad (a \in A, 1 \leq j \leq n).$$

However, if V is an A -module which does not have a finite K -basis, V does not give rise to a matrix representation.

Notation: Let V and W be A -modules for a K -algebra A . Furthermore let $A' \subseteq A$, $a \in A$ and $U \subseteq V$.

- (1) $U \leq_A V$ means “ U is an A -submodule of V ,” that is, U is a subgroup of the additive group of V and $A \cdot U := \{a \cdot u \mid a \in A, u \in U\} \subseteq U$.
- (2) $\ker_V A' := \{v \in V \mid A' \cdot v = 0\} \subseteq V$ and $\ker_V(a) := \ker_V\{a\}$.
- (3) $\text{ann}_A U := \{a \in A \mid a \cdot U = 0\} \leq_A A$, a left ideal in A .
- (4)

$$\text{Hom}_A(V, W) := \{ \varphi: V \rightarrow W \mid \varphi(a \cdot v_1 + v_2) = a \cdot \varphi(v_1) + \varphi(v_2), \text{ for all } a \in A \text{ and } v_1, v_2 \in V \}$$

is the K -module of all A -homomorphisms of V to W .

- (5) $\text{End}_A V := \text{Hom}_A(V, V)$ is the A -endomorphism ring of V with identity id_V , the identity map of V , and multiplication $\varphi \psi := \varphi \circ \psi$ for $\varphi, \psi \in \text{End}_A V$, the composition of φ and ψ .
- (6) $\delta_V: A \rightarrow \text{End}_K V$ is defined by $\delta_V(a)(v) := a \cdot v$ for $a \in A$, $v \in V$. This is obviously a K -algebra homomorphism with kernel $\text{ann}_A V$. If V has a finite K -basis, δ_V is called **the representation of A afforded by V** .

Observe that by Remark 1.1.5 A -modules V, W are also K -modules and it is clear that $\text{Hom}_A(V, W) \subseteq \text{Hom}_K(V, W)$. Remark 1.1.5 also shows that $E := \text{End}_A V$ is a K -algebra with $\lambda_E(\alpha): v \mapsto \alpha v$. The following is an obvious consequence from the definitions.

Lemma 1.1.12 *Let A be a K -algebra and V an A -module with $E := \text{End}_A V$. Then V can be considered as an E -module via $\varphi \cdot v := \varphi(v)$ for $\varphi \in E$, $v \in V$, and*

- (a) $\ker_V A' \leq_E V$ for $A' \subseteq A$,
- (b) if $U \leq_A V$, then $\text{ann}_A U$ is a two-sided ideal in A , in symbols: $\text{ann}_A U \trianglelefteq A$.

Definition 1.1.13 Let A be a K -algebra and V an A -module with submodules V_1, \dots, V_n . Then V is called the **direct sum** of V_1, \dots, V_n , written

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n \tag{1.2}$$

if every $v \in V$ can be written uniquely in the form $v = v_1 + \dots + v_n$ with $v_i \in V_i$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ define $\pi_i: V \rightarrow V_i, v \mapsto v_i$ and $\iota_i: V_i \rightarrow V, v_i \mapsto v_i$. Then $\pi_i \in \text{Hom}_A(V, V_i)$, $\iota_i \in \text{Hom}_A(V_i, V)$ are called the projections and injections corresponding to the decomposition (1.2). They satisfy

$$\text{id}_V = \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_i \circ \pi_i \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_i \circ \iota_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ \text{id}_{V_i} & \text{for } i = j. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Remark 1.1.14 V in (1.2) is sometimes called the “internal direct sum” of the submodules V_1, \dots, V_n in contrast to the “external direct sum,” which can be defined for arbitrary (not necessarily distinct) A -modules V_1, \dots, V_n as follows. Put $\hat{V} := V_1 \hat{\oplus} \dots \hat{\oplus} V_n := \{(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mid v_i \in V_i\} = \{(v_i)_{i=1}^n \mid v_i \in V_i\}$ and

$$(v_i)_{i=1}^n + (v'_i)_{i=1}^n := (v_i + v'_i)_{i=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad a \cdot (v_i)_{i=1}^n := (a \cdot v_i)_{i=1}^n.$$

Then \hat{V} is an A -module and we have the obvious embeddings $\hat{\iota}_i \in \text{Hom}_A(V_i, \hat{V})$ so that $V_1 \hat{\oplus} \dots \hat{\oplus} V_n = \hat{\iota}_1(V_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{\iota}_n(V_n)$. We will identify V_i with $\hat{\iota}_i(V_i)$ and use only \oplus , leaving it to the reader to decide from the context whether the internal or external direct sum is meant.

Theorem 1.1.15 *Let A be a K -algebra and let $V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$, $W := \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$ be A -modules. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_A(V, W) \cong_K \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \text{Hom}_A(V_i, W_j),$$

where “ \cong_K ” means being isomorphic as K -modules.

Proof. Let $(\pi_i, \iota_i)_{i=1}^m$ and $(\pi'_j, \iota'_j)_{j=1}^n$ be the families of projections and injections corresponding to $V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$ and $W := \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$, respectively. Using (1.3) it is easily checked that

$$\text{Hom}_A(V, W) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \text{Hom}_A(V_i, W_j), \quad \varphi \mapsto (\pi'_j \circ \varphi \circ \iota_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$$

gives the desired K -isomorphism. □

Definition 1.1.16 Let V be a K -module with a finite K -basis. A representation $\delta: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ of a group G over K or a representation $\delta_V: A \rightarrow \text{End}_K V$ of a K -algebra A is called **irreducible** if the corresponding KG -module or A -module V is **simple**, i.e. has exactly two submodules, namely $\{0\}$ and V , and **reducible** otherwise. (So the zero module $\{0\}$ is by definition not simple.) Often simple modules are also called irreducible.

Likewise δ or δ_V as above is called **indecomposable** if the corresponding module is indecomposable (i.e. cannot be written as a direct sum of two non-trivial submodules) and is called **decomposable** otherwise.

If K is a field, we see that δ is reducible if and only if a basis $B = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of V can be found such that

$$[\delta(g)]_B = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \delta_1(g) & C(g) \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \delta_2(g) \end{array} \right] \quad \text{for all } g \in G \tag{1.4}$$

with square matrices $\delta_1(g) \in K^{m \times m}$, $\delta_2(g) \in K^{(n-m) \times (n-m)}$ and $C(g) \in K^{m \times (n-m)}$ for some $0 < m < n = \dim_K V$. Here

$$\delta_1: g \mapsto \delta_1(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2: g \mapsto \delta_2(g)$$

are matrix representations of G afforded by the submodule $W = \langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle_K$, the K -span of v_1, \dots, v_n , with respect to the basis (v_1, \dots, v_m) and the factor module V/W with respect to the basis $(v_{m+1}+W, \dots, v_n+W)$. A representation $\delta: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ over a field K is decomposable if and only if a basis $B = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ can be found such that

$$[\delta(g)]_B = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \delta_1(g) & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \delta_2(g) \end{array} \right] \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

In this case W , as defined above, has a complement $W' = \langle v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n \rangle_K$ which is also a KG -module and δ_2 is also a matrix representation of G afforded by W' (with respect to the basis (v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n)).

Example 1.1.17 If V is any K -vector space we get for any group G a “trivial” representation $\delta: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, $g \mapsto \text{id}_V$. This representation is irreducible if and only if $\dim_K V = 1$. ◆

Definition 1.1.18 If V is a KG -module then

$$\text{Inv}_G(V) := \{v \in V \mid g \cdot v = v \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

is called the submodule of G -invariants of V . We also define

$$\text{Inv}^G(V) := \langle (g-1)v \mid g \in G, v \in V \rangle_K.$$

The notations $\mathbf{C}_V(G) := \text{Inv}_G(V)$ and $[G, V] := \text{Inv}^G(V)$ are also in use.

Obviously $\text{Inv}_G(V)$ and $\text{Inv}^G(V)$ are KG -modules, the largest submodule with trivial action of G and the smallest submodule W of V such that G acts trivially on V/W .

Example 1.1.19 The (left) regular KG -module ${}_K KG$ for a finite group G leads to the so-called (left) **regular representation** $\rho_G: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(KG)$ of G . It is easily seen that

$$\text{Inv}_G(KG) = K \cdot \sum_{g \in G} g \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Inv}^G(KG) = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \mid \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g = 0 \right\}.$$

In particular, the regular representation ρ_G is always reducible if G is not the trivial group. ◆

Definition 1.1.20 If A is a ring and $\varphi: A' \rightarrow A$ is a ring homomorphism, then any A -module V can be turned into an A' -module by defining

$$a' \cdot v := \varphi(a')v \quad \text{for } a' \in A', v \in V.$$

This A' -module will be denoted by $\text{Inf}_\varphi V$ and is called the **inflated module**. If $\varphi: A' \rightarrow A$ is a homomorphism of K -algebras and V affords the representation δ of A then $\text{Inf}_\varphi V$ affords the representation $\delta \circ \varphi$ of A' , called the **inflated representation**. The same concept applies to group representations: if $\varphi: G_1 \rightarrow G$ is a group homomorphism and $\delta: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is a representation then $\delta \circ \varphi$ is the inflated group representation.

If A, A' are K -algebras, one should note that the K -module structures of V and $\text{Inf}_\varphi V$ may be different, unless φ is a homomorphism of K -algebras; see Exercise 1.1.12 for an example.

Remark 1.1.21 Obviously, if $\text{Inf}_\varphi V$ is simple (indecomposable) then V itself must be simple (resp. indecomposable); the converse holds too, provided that φ is surjective. Also, if V_1, V_2 are A -modules, then

$$\text{Hom}_A(V_1, V_2) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{A'}(\text{Inf}_\varphi V_1, \text{Inf}_\varphi V_2)$$

with equality, if φ is surjective.

The special and simple case that φ is an embedding needs special attention, since it is used so frequently. If A' is a subalgebra of A with embedding $\varphi: A' \rightarrow A$ and V is an A -module as before, then we write $V_{A'} := \text{Inf}_\varphi V$. It is just the module obtained by restricting the action. In particular, if H is a subgroup of a group G and V is a KG -module we have an embedding of K -algebras $\varphi: KH \rightarrow KG$, and we denote the KH -module $\text{Inf}_\varphi V$ by V_H .

Remark 1.1.22 If A is a K -algebra and V is an A -module which affords a matrix representation $\delta: A \rightarrow K^{n \times n}$ with respect to some K -basis of V , then

$$V \cong_A \text{Inf}_\delta K^n,$$

where K^n is considered as a $K^{n \times n}$ -module in the natural way.

In the following two examples we show that the representation theory of cyclic groups and, more generally, cyclic algebras over a field K is quite simple. In some important cases we will write down explicitly all irreducible and indecomposable representations up to equivalence.

Example 1.1.23 (Cyclic groups) If $C_m = \langle g \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order m (with generator g), giving a matrix representation δ of C_m of degree n over a field K amounts to giving a matrix $a := \delta(g) \in K^{n \times n}$ with $a^m = \mathbf{I}_n$, where \mathbf{I}_n denotes the identity matrix in degree n , or, to put it otherwise, to giving a matrix $a \in K^{n \times n}$ with minimal polynomial μ_a dividing $X^m - 1$ in the polynomial ring $K[X]$. Similar matrices define equivalent representations and vice versa. It is well known that a matrix a is diagonalizable in $K^{n \times n}$ (that is, similar to a diagonal matrix) if and only if the minimal polynomial μ_a decomposes into linear factors in $K[X]$ without multiple roots (see [153], theorem 8.11, p. 166). The polynomial $X^m - 1$ has no multiple roots if and only if $\text{char } K \nmid m$. Thus it follows that if K is a field of characteristic not dividing m containing a primitive m th root ζ_m of unity, then any matrix representation of C_m is equivalent to one that maps the generator g to a diagonal matrix with m th roots of unity on the diagonal. In particular every irreducible matrix representation of C_m over such a field K (as for instance \mathbb{C}) is of degree one and of the form

$$g \mapsto \zeta_m^i \quad \text{for } i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}.$$

Also, every indecomposable representation is irreducible in this case.

On the other hand, if $\text{char } K = p$, a prime, $m = p^s$ and $\delta: C_m \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$ is a matrix representation, then $\delta(g)$ has a minimal polynomial dividing $(X - 1)^m$, and hence δ is equivalent to the representation given by the Jordan normal form of $\delta(g)$ (see [153], theorem 8.6, p. 159):

$$\delta: g \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \epsilon_1 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_2 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \epsilon_{n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, which is indecomposable if and only if all ϵ_i equal unity. Hence C_m has for $j = 1, \dots, m$ up to equivalence exactly one indecomposable representation of degree j and the trivial representation is the only irreducible one.

The structure of the group algebra of a cyclic group C_m of order m can also be easily described: keeping the notation as above we have an algebra epimorphism $K[X] \rightarrow K C_m$, $X \mapsto g$, with kernel the principal ideal $(X^m - 1) \trianglelefteq K[X]$. Thus

$$K C_m \cong K[X]/(X^m - 1).$$

By the isomorphism theorem for rings the ideals of $K C_m$ correspond bijectively to those ideals of $K[X]$ which contain $(X^m - 1)$, thus to the monic divisors of $X^m - 1 \in K[X]$. Hence the poset of ideals of $K C_m$ (with inclusions) is anti-isomorphic to the poset of monic divisors of $X^m - 1$ (with divisibility as order relation). If $\text{char } K \nmid m$ then $X^m - 1 = \prod_{i=1}^r f_i$ with pairwise distinct monic irreducible polynomials $f_i \in K[X]$, and

$$K C_m \cong K[X]/(f_1) \oplus \dots \oplus K[X]/(f_r)$$

is a direct sum of fields with the i th projection being given by $g \mapsto X + (f_i)$. In particular, if in addition K contains a primitive m th root of unity ζ_m then we may choose $f_i := X - \zeta_m^i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r = m$), and it follows that

$$K C_m \cong \underbrace{K \oplus \dots \oplus K}_m.$$

The m distinct projections are exactly the m irreducible representations of $K C_m$ over K , the i th one yielding the representation defined by $g \mapsto \zeta_m^i$.

On the other hand, if $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and $m = p^s$, the poset of ideals of $K C_m$ is isomorphic to the poset of monic divisors of $X^m - 1 = (X - 1)^m$ and $K C_m$ has exactly $m + 1$ ideals I_i , all appearing in the unique composition series

$$K C_m = I_m \triangleright I_{m-1} \triangleright \dots \triangleright I_0 = \{0\}$$

with $\dim_K I_i = i$. ◆

Example 1.1.24 (Cyclic algebras) We generalize Example 1.1.23 and let A be a cyclic K -algebra over a field K , i.e. an algebra having one algebra generator, say a . So $A = K[a]$ is a homomorphic image of the polynomial ring $K[X]$ over K in one indeterminate X . Hence $A \cong K[X]/(f)$ for some $f \in K[X]$. If V is an A -module (affording the representation δ_V) then V can also be considered as a $K[X]$ -module (see Remark 1.1.21) with $f \cdot v = 0$ for all $v \in V$ and, if $\dim_K V < \infty$, we can invoke the theorem on finitely generated modules over principal ideal domains (see [110], theorem III.7.5, p. 149) to conclude that

$$V \cong_{K[X]} K[X]/(q_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus K[X]/(q_m),$$

where q_1, \dots, q_m are powers of monic irreducible polynomials. The q_i are uniquely determined up to the ordering and are divisors of f . They are usually called the **elementary divisors** of $\delta_V(a)$ (see [153], p. 135). The modules $V_{q_i} := K[X]/(q_i)$ are annihilated by f and can thus be considered as A -modules, and the generating element a acts on V_{q_i} as X does; this means that we can find a K -basis B of V such that

$$[\delta_V(a)]_B = \begin{bmatrix} M_{q_1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & M_{q_m} \end{bmatrix},$$

where M_q is the companion matrix (see [153], p. 146) of the monic polynomial q ; i.e. if $q = \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i X^i$ with $\alpha_d = 1$ then

$$M_q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\alpha_0 \\ 1 & 0 & & & -\alpha_1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & 0 & . \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 & -\alpha_{d-1} \end{bmatrix} \in K^{d \times d}.$$

Observe that the characteristic polynomial of $\delta_V(a)$ is exactly $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i$. The poset of submodules of V_{q_i} is isomorphic to the poset of divisors of q_i in $K[X]$. In particular V_{q_i} is always an indecomposable A -module and it is simple if and only if q_i is irreducible in $K[X]$. If $p \in K[X]$ irreducible of degree s and $q = p^e$ then by Exercise 1.1.11 M_q is similar to

$$M'_q := \begin{bmatrix} M_p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ E & M_p & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & E & M_p \end{bmatrix}, \text{ with } E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \ddots & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in K^{s \times s}. \quad (1.5)$$

For later use (in Lemma 1.3.9) we note that

$$\dim_K \ker_V(p_i(a)) \geq \deg p \quad (1.6)$$

because M_p has minimal polynomial p . If equality holds in (1.6) then there is exactly one elementary divisor q_i which is a power of p . ♦