

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-76522-0 - Shakespeare's Errant Texts: Textual Form and Linguistic Style in  
Shakespearean 'Bad' Quartos and Co-authored Plays

Lene B. Petersen

Table of Contents

[More information](#)*Contents*

<i>List of illustrations and figures</i>	<i>page</i> ix
<i>Preface</i>	xi
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xv
<i>Prologue</i>	xvi
PART I ORAL-MEMORIAL TRANSMISSION AND THE FORMATION OF SHAKESPEARE'S TEXTS	I
1 The Elizabethan dramatic industry and industrious Shakespeare	3
2 Decomposing the text: oral transmission and the theory of the <i>Zielform</i>	35
Conceptual analogues and theoretical underpinnings	38
External conditions: interaction between literary and oral tradition	43
Further external comparisons: career-in-tradition and recordings in print	45
Internal conditions: lessons to be learnt about predictable textual reformation and selective structural mechanisms?	54
3 The popular play and the popular ballad: evidence of 'quarto mechanics' in the multiple texts of <i>Hamlet</i> and <i>Romeo and Juliet</i>	63
The realisation of narrative potential: local <i>Zielforms</i> in Q <sub>1</sub> <i>Hamlet</i> and <i>Der Bestrafte Brudermord</i> ; Q <sub>1</sub> <i>Romeo and Juliet</i> and <i>Romio und Julietta</i>	67
Verbal flux: economy, structure and logic in the self-correcting play	72
Conclusion to Part I	139

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-76522-0 - Shakespeare's Errant Texts: Textual Form and Linguistic Style in Shakespearean 'Bad' Quartos and Co-authored Plays

Lene B. Petersen

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

viii

*Contents*

PART II RECOMPOSING THE AUTHOR: SOME TOOLS FOR POSITIONING THE ROLE OF THE PLAYWRIGHT IN DRAMATIC TRANSMISSION	145
4 Introduction to quantitative textual analysis: computational stylistics, cognition and the missing author	147
Which words to count? A neuro-linguistic perspective	148
Merging applied and theoretical linguistics: some further explanations	156
Being critical of methodology	161
Setting up the experiment	163
The tests: recapitulation and documentation	169
Tagged playtexts, frequent functional elements; using principal components and discriminant analysis as a method for whole text attribution	175
5 Stylometry and textual multiplicity I: contextual stylistics and the case of <i>Titus Andronicus</i>	193
Contextual stylistics: the case of <i>Titus Andronicus</i>	199
Is textual 'suspectness' a stylistically significant factor?	209
6 Stylometry and textual multiplicity II: testing the grading between authorship and 'orality' in the scenes of <i>Romeo and Juliet</i> and <i>Hamlet</i>	218
Conclusion to Part II: evaluating the experiment	236
Epilogue	238
<i>Appendix I: Scenic units in Q1 Hamlet/Der Bestrafte Brudermord and Romeo and Juliet/Romio und Julietta</i>	243
<i>Appendix II: 'Meet it is I set it downe': verbal evidence of quarto mechanics in the short versions of Hamlet and Romeo and Juliet</i>	246
<i>Appendix III: Table of results for discriminant analysis on 257 plays, using 50 principal components</i>	264
<i>Appendix IV: Examples of principal components scree plots for three-text Hamlet and three-text Romeo and Juliet by scenes</i>	275
<i>Bibliography</i>	277
<i>Index</i>	306

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-76522-0 - Shakespeare's Errant Texts: Textual Form and Linguistic Style in  
Shakespearean 'Bad' Quartos and Co-authored Plays

Lene B. Petersen

Table of Contents

[More information](#)*Illustrations and figures*

1	The cadaver tomb of Bishop Henry Chichele, at Canterbury Cathedral, Kent	page 41
2	The resurrection tomb of Thomas Spryng, 1486, at Lavenham, Suffolk	42
3	The De Witt/Van Buchell drawing of the Swan Theatre	51
4	Illustration of travelling comedians' performing conditions	53
5	Parsed text sample from <i>The Spanish Tragedy</i> , attributed to Thomas Kyd	170
6	The applied function words	171
7	The applied grammatical tests	171
8	Graph showing squared distance between means relative to Shakespeare Folio for 24 author 257-text group comparison using DA on the 87-test 'raw' data	181
9	PC1 <i>vs.</i> authors: 257 plays	191
10	Principal component score plot of inPRP-yetKC showing proximities between 'Shakespeare' plays, Apocrypha, and plays by the University Wits	215

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-76522-0 - Shakespeare's Errant Texts: Textual Form and Linguistic Style in Shakespearean 'Bad' Quartos and Co-authored Plays

Lene B. Petersen

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

x	<i>List of illustrations and figures</i>	
11	Principal component score plot of inPRP-yetKC showing proximities between <i>Hamlet</i> scenes distributed on BadQ, GoodQ and Folio	221
12	Principal component boxplot of <i>Hamlet</i> scenes distributed on groups BadQ, GoodQ and ShFo	223
13	Principal component score plot showing proximities between <i>Romeo</i> scenes distributed on BadQ, GoodQ and Folio based on PC1 and PC2 data	224
14	Principal component boxplot showing the PC1 data for each <i>Romeo and Juliet</i> scene in each group: BadQ, GoodQ and Folio	226
15	Three-text <i>Hamlet</i> . Squared distance of group mean scores using discriminant analysis for groups BadQ <i>vs.</i> ShFo and GoodQ	227
16	Three-text <i>Romeo</i> . Squared distance of group mean scores using discriminant analysis between groups of BadQ scenes; GoodQ scenes and Folio scenes	227
17	Three-text <i>Hamlet</i> . Squared distance of group mean scores using discriminant analysis for ShFo <i>vs.</i> BadQ and GoodQ	228
18	Three-text <i>Romeo</i> . Squared distances of group mean scores using discriminant analysis between groups of <i>Romeo</i> scenes BadQ, GoodQ and Folio	228
19	Three-text <i>Hamlet</i> . Squared distances of group mean scores using discriminant analysis between <i>Hamlet</i> scenes distributed on groups BadQ, GoodQ and ShFo	229
20	Three-text <i>Romeo</i> . Squared distances of group mean scores using discriminant analysis between <i>Romeo</i> scenes for groups BadQ, GoodQ and Folio	229