Assessing Lawyers’ Ethics

A Practitioners’ Guide

Many legal practitioners operate in an environment of seemingly endless ethical challenges, and against a backdrop of diminishing public opinion about their morality. Based on extensive research, Assessing Lawyers’ Ethics argues that lawyers’ individual ethics can be assessed and measured in realistic frameworks. When this assessment takes place, legal practitioners are more likely to demonstrate better ethical behaviour as a result of their increased awareness of their own choices.

Assessing Lawyers’ Ethics advocates a variety of peer-administered testing mechanisms that have the potential to reverse damaging behaviours within the legal profession. It provides prototype techniques, questions and assessments that can be modified to suit different legal cultures. These will help the profession regain the initiative in ethical business practice, halt the decline in firms’ reputations and reduce the risk of state-sponsored regulatory intervention.
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Preface

Effective encouragement of lawyers’ ethical behaviour is important, not just to the basic functionality of the legal system, but also to public confidence in its operation. The legal profession acknowledges and to some degree compensates the community for lawyers’ mistakes – that is, negligence – but our moral failures as lawyers are imperfectly anticipated and far more damaging. In Australia, recent examples of flawed behaviour include notorious cases of excessive adversarialism, particularly in the abuse of legal process, in efforts to evade payment of compensation to injured persons via document destruction and to hide corporate bribes paid to Saddam Hussein’s former Iraqi regime.

Internationally, confidence in lawyers’ probity is now so eroded that courts are increasingly wary of automatic reliance on their integrity. But this scrutiny cannot and must not lead us to deny our vulnerability or, at the other extreme, lose our self-confidence. More than ever, ethical legal practitioners are essential to public confidence in the complex governance of modern societies. And the prospects for ‘inoculating’ lawyers to improve behaviour are promising. While external regulators’ scrutiny cannot often identify our dishonest colleagues in advance of their misdeeds, the far larger problem of ethics apathy or oversimplification can be tackled before damage becomes irreversible. Our law societies and bar associations can approach colleagues’ confusion and even ignorance of ethics by pre-emptively assessing and periodically reassessing their ethical sophistication in the interests of the community, the economy and especially, ourselves.

This book seeks to guide practitioners through the insights of several disciplines in relation to assessment, and explains and reports on new empirical research as to what practising lawyers might be willing to accept by way of preventative ethical initiatives, in the interests of improving collective behaviour. The prize may be a reinvigorated self-confidence in the utility of the legal profession in capitalist societies not just as a service industry sector, but more importantly as a vital control on the exercise of social and economic power and the wealth it controls.

***

I am very grateful to Professors Graeme Hodge (Director of the Centre for Regulatory Studies, Faculty of Law, Monash University) and Stephen Parker (Vice-Chancellor, the University of Canberra) who have carefully read and commented on previous incarnations of various parts of this book. Professor Hodge has in particular expended much time and thought in advising on all stages of this exercise.
and his patience, dedication and energy for that task, especially in commenting on draft chapters, have been invaluable.

I also wish to thank Associate Professor Mary Anne Noone of the Department of Law and Legal Studies of La Trobe University, Judith Dickson, Director, Continuing Professional Development, Leo Cussen Institute, Melbourne and Susan Campbell AM, formerly Professorial Fellow of the Faculty of Law, Monash University, for their readiness to comment promptly on the suitability of a series of propositions about legal professionalism. Mr Michael Brett-Young, Chief Executive of the Law Institute of Victoria, has been very encouraging of the whole enterprise while Dr Barry Rogers and Ray Elliott, both practising psychologists, and Associate Professor Helen Forgasz, Associate Dean of Education (Clayton Campus) at Monash University, have been unstinting in their willingness to advise and comment on technical aspects of psychological testing processes.

Professor Stephen Barkoczy, of the Faculty of Law at Monash University, Jenny Cerins, a friend and former colleague at Springvale Legal Service and my partner, Maria Bohan, have also provided much valued commentary on the text as a whole and for that I am most grateful. Finally, it goes without saying that Maria is the person to whom I dedicate this work. Many years of nights and weekends have not been spent together, as we both would have wished, because of the need to attend to this research and bring it to fruition. None of this would have been possible without Maria's active support, love and forbearance.

Adrian Evans
Melbourne, May 2010.
List of figures

1.1 Willingness to overcharge  page 14
2.1 Proportion of ‘yes’ responses in five chosen scenarios  39
2.2 Percentage of male and female responses to scenarios  in years 1–3  42
2.3 Percentage responses by ethics/non-ethics groups to scenarios  in years 1–3  44
3.1 The virtue arc  71
3.2 Interrelating legal ethical types  74
3.3 Example of competing ethical priorities  86
5.1 Respondents’ views as to the relative importance of elements  of professionalism  129
5.2 Tree display of concerns for professionalism  132
5.3 Tree display of psychological testing for honesty and integrity  155
6.1 Tree display of relevance of disciplinary history  168
6.2 Tree display of client rating of interpersonal skills and accountability  178
6.3 Tree display of client file audits  181
7.1 Tree display of awareness of values and ethics  205
Acknowledgements