

THE MULTILATERALIZATION OF INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT LAW

Attempts at developing a theory of international investment law are complicated by the fact that this field of international law is based on numerous, largely bilateral treaties and is implemented by arbitral panels established on a case-by-case basis. This suggests a fragmented and chaotic state of the law, with different levels of protection depending on the sources and targets of foreign investment flows. This book, however, forwards the thesis that international investment law develops, despite its bilateral form, into a multilateral system of law that backs up the functioning of a global market economy based on converging principles of investment protection. In discussing the function of most-favored-nation clauses, the possibilities of treaty-shopping and the impact of investor-State arbitration with its intensive reliance on precedent and other genuinely multilateral approaches to treaty interpretation, it offers a conceptual framework for understanding the nature and functioning of international investment law as a system.

STEPHAN W. SCHILL is a senior research at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law in Heidelberg. Formerly, he assisted the Honourable Charles N. Brower of 20 Essex Street Chambers, London in international commercial and investor-State arbitrations under various arbitral rules and clerked at the International Court of Justice. He is admitted to the bars in Germany and New York.



THE MULTILATERALIZATION OF INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT LAW

STEPHAN W. SCHILL





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521762366

© Stephan Schill 2009

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2009

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data
Schill, Stephan (Stephan W.)
The multilateralization of international investment law / Stephan W. Schill.

p. cm.

ISBN 978-0-521-76236-6 (hardback) 1. Investments, Foreign–Law and legislation. 2. Investments, Foreign (International law) 3. Arbitration and award, International. I. Title.

K3830.S34 2009 346.07-dc22 2009020685

ISBN 978-0-521-76236-6 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Meinen Eltern



CONTENTS

	Pr	eface xiii
	Lis	t of figures xvii
	Та	ble of treaties, draft instruments, and related documents xviii
		ble of cases xxv
I		troduction: globalization and international
	inv	vestment law 1
	A	International investment law as a building block of the global economy 3
	В	International investment law, economic ideology and hegemony 6
	C	The choice between bilateralism and multilateralism 8
	D	Investment treaties – instruments of bilateralism or elements of a multilateral system? 11
	E	The multilateralization of international investment law on the basis of bilateral treaties 15
	F	The course of the argument 19
II	Th	e dynamics of multilateralism and bilateralism in
	int	rernational investment relations 23
	A	The state of international investment law until 1945 25 1 Customary international law 25 2 Treaty rules 28
		 (a) Treaties of friendship, commerce, and navigation 29 (b) Treaties establishing equality of opportunity in certain territories 30
	В	The failures of multilateralism I: 1945–1974 31 1 The Havana Charter – 1948 32 2 OECD Draft Convention on the Protection of Foreign Property – 1967 35
	C	The rise of bilateral and regional investment treaties 40
	D	Limited success of multilateralism: ICSID and MIGA 44 1 The International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) 45
		vii



viii		CONTENTS
	E	 The Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA) The failures of multilateralism II: 1990–2004 Earlier attempts to introduce investment issues into the GATT/WTO The OECD Multilateral Agreement on Investment (MAI) – 1998 Multilateral investment rules in the WTO: Doha – Cancun – and beyond 58
	F	Doha – Cancun – and beyond 58 Conclusion 60
III		eaty negotiation and multilateralization of ternational investment law 65
	A	The standard content of bilateral investment treaties 70 1 The scope of application of BITs 71 2 Substantive investor rights conferred under BITs 74 (a) Non-discrimination, national treatment and MFN treatment 76 (b) Fair and equitable treatment and full protection and security 78
		(c) Protection against direct and indirect expropriation 81 (d) Umbrella clauses 84 (e) Capital transfer provisions 86 3 Dispute settlement mechanisms under BITs 87
	В	The dynamics of treaty negotiation: the creation of homogeneous treaty texts 88 1 The entrenchment of bilateralism in multilateral settings 89 (a) The use of model treaties 90 (b) Multilateral draft conventions as guidance for model BITs 91
		 (c) Multilateral treaties as frameworks for BITs 92 Uniformity of investment rules and transaction costs 93 Uniformity of investment rules and North-South hegemony 98
	С	Multilateralism and the specific interest in uniform investment rules 106 1 Investment cooperation, comparative advantage and competition in a global market 108 2 Multilateral investment rules and negative externalities 112 3 Multilateral investment rules and international relations 115
IV		Conclusion 117 ultilateralization through most-favored-nation eatment 121
	A	Historical and doctrinal background of MFN clauses 126 1 The structure of MFN clauses 126 2 The historical development of MFN clauses 129



		CONTENTS	ix
	3	Codification on MFN clauses by the International Law Commission 134	
В	1 2	Importing more favorable investment protection 139 Importing more favorable investor rights 140 Limits to the operation of MFN clauses 142 (a) Explicit restrictions of the scope of application of the MFN clause 142 (b) Restrictions to MFN clauses based on the scope of application of the basic treaty 144	
_	3	Circumventing restrictions of MFN treatment 146	
С	M:	ultilateralizing procedural investment protection Circumventing admissibility-related access restrictions to investor-State dispute settlement (a) Shortening waiting periods: Maffezini v. Spain (b) Multilateralizing benefits without extending disadvantages: cherry-picking in Siemens v. Argentina (c) School was the dispute settlement 100	156
	2	 (c) Subsequent arbitral jurisprudence 160 Struggling to base jurisdiction on MFN clauses 163 (a) Salini v. Jordan 165 (b) Plama v. Bulgaria 166 (c) Subsequent jurisprudence 168 (d) Acceptance of basing jurisdiction on MFN clauses: RosInvest Co v. Russia 172 	
D	1 2 3 4 5 6	ultilateralizing arbitral jurisdiction 173 MFN clauses and treaty interpretation 174 International jurisprudence supporting a broad application of MFN clauses 177 The object and purpose of investment treaties 180 Equal competition and investor-State dispute settlement 18 Jurisdiction and compliance with treaty obligations 182 Must the State's consent to arbitrate be "clear and unambiguous"? 184 MFN clauses and treaty-shopping 187 MFN treatment and public policy restrictions 188	0
E		onclusion: MFN treatment – securing the future	
	of	multilateralism 193	
Μι	ılti	lateralization and corporate structuring 197	
A		Companies incorporated in the host State 201 Minority shareholder protection 202 Indirect investments in multilevel corporate structures 204 The scope of protection of shareholders 209 Multilateralization of investment protection through shareholder protection 217	Į
В		Hiding behind the corporate veil": corporate structuring and orporate nationality 221	

V



X	CONTENTS
	1 Defining corporate nationality 221 2 Assuming third-country nationality 224 3 Dual nationals and corporate structuring 228 4 Protecting host State reinvestments 230 5 Corporate structuring and treaty-shopping 234 C Conclusion 236
VI	Multilateral enforcement of international
	investment law 241
	A Investment treaty arbitration as a compliance mechanism 1 Bilateralism in traditional international law compliance structures 244 (a) The mediation of foreign investors through an inter-State prism 245 (b) Structural insufficiencies of diplomatic protection 247
	 (c) Distinction between State and investor interests 248 2 The empowerment of investment tribunals 249 (a) The investor's right to seek damages 250 (b) The limited influence of States on the arbitral process 252
	 (c) Limited review of arbitral awards 253 (d) Recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards 255 3 Multilateralizing investment protection through investor-State arbitration 256
	B Investment treaty arbitration as a mechanism for resolving uncertainty in international investment relations 261 1 The vagueness of investor rights 263 2 The dissolution of rule making and rule application 266 3 NAFTA digression: the effectiveness of notes of interpretation 268 (a) The impending threat of institutional conflict: Pope & Talbot v. Canada 269 (b) Post-Pope & Talbot: dynamic adjustments of customary international law 273
	C Conclusion 275
VII	Multilateralization through interpretation: producing and reproducing coherence in investment jurisprudence 278
	A The potential for inconsistencies in investment treaty arbitration 281
	Incoherence and fragmentation in international dispute resolution 282 Fragmentation in international investment law: multiplicity of sources, multiplicity of proceedings 285
	3 Arbitration: an embryonic institutional design 287
	4 The non-existence of <i>stare decisis</i> in international investment law 288
	5 Conclusion 293



		CONTENTS	xi
В	Int 1	erpretation methods and the unity of the system's sources Bilateralism and multilateralism in treaty interpretation (a) Bilateralism in treaty interpretation (b) Multilateralism in treaty interpretation (298)	
	2 3 4 5	Multilateralization through cross-treaty interpretation in investment arbitration 305	05
С	The	e system's operative unity: the emergence of a system	
		the facto precedent in investment treaty arbitration 321 The functions of precedent in concurring awards 324 (a) Analogizing with earlier decisions 324 (b) Precedent as a means of clarification of BIT provisions (c) Abbreviation of reasoning 328 (d) The creation of de facto stare decisis: precedent and standard setting 330 (e) Transfer of the law-making function from States to tribunals 332 (f) Conclusion 338 Unity of investment law and conflicting decisions 339 (a) Cases of open dissent 341	326
		 (b) Distinction of facts as an instrument to uphold unity (c) Reconciling conflicts through conflict rules 350 (d) Unity in investment jurisprudence by concealing dissent 352 	F7
	3	Conclusion 355	
D		nclusion: the emergence of a system of international estment law through interpretation 357	
		usion: multilateralization – universalization – tutionalization 362	
A	Su	nmary: the multilateralization of international estment law 364	
В	To	ward a universal regime of investment protection 369	
С		e constitutional function of international estment law 372	
Bib	liog	graphy 379	

Index

410

VIII



PREFACE

International investment law is one of the fastest-growing and most vibrant fields of international law and dispute settlement today. It is both shaped by, and is shaping, the economic and social processes associated with globalization. In fact, it grows at a rate that makes authoring and publishing a book on international investment law an endeavor that evokes Achilles' footrace against the tortoise: an infinite struggle of catching up to a place and point in time that will be past present. Since the initial manuscript of this book was finalized in August 2008 the developments in arbitral jurisprudence, investment treaty making and scholarship have not paused. Instead, they have continued their exponential growth to now over 2,600 bilateral, regional and sectoral investment treaties and over 300 known investment treaty arbitrations that cover increasingly complex procedural and substantive issues and are accompanied by proliferating scholarship on various facets of international investment law. Although the most relevant subsequent developments in arbitral jurisprudence up to March 2009 have been worked into the book, in particular developments concerning the interpretation of most-favored-nation clauses, it can offer no more than a snapshot of where arbitral jurisprudence, investment treaty making and scholarship on international investment law currently stand, or will stand once this book courts for the attention of counsels and arbitrators in investment treaty disputes, scholars and students of international law and international relations, as well as officials in international organizations, domestic governments and non-governmental organizations active in the field.

While one of its core claims deals with the importance of arbitral jurisprudence for the interpretation and development of international investment law, the present book goes beyond a static perspective of investment jurisprudence and rather attempts to make a contribution towards developing a theory of international investment law that conceptualizes the dynamics of arbitral jurisprudence and investment treaty making. It concentrates on resolving one of the primary obstacles



xiv PREFACE

to developing a theory of international investment law, namely the apparently fragmented, disintegrated and chaotic state of the law that is embedded in numerous, largely bilateral treaties and implemented by arbitral tribunals which, established on a case-by-case basis, generate increasing jurisprudential inconsistencies. In analyzing investment treaty making and investment arbitration in a framework that focuses on bilateralism and multilateralism as institutional forms of international cooperation, the book argues that one can observe, despite the existing potential for fragmentation, convergence rather than divergence in this field of international law. In consequence, one can perceive of international investment law as a proper subsystem of international law and dispute resolution that provides a systematic legal framework for structuring, promoting and protecting investment activities in a global economic system that is based on largely uniform principles of investment protection and applies rather independently of the sources and targets of foreign investment flows. Elements of this thesis are the inclusion of most-favored-nation clauses, the possibilities of treaty-shopping through corporate structuring, and the contribution of investor-State dispute settlement through the intensive use of precedent and other genuinely multilateral approaches to treaty interpretation. The book therefore argues that investment treaties in their entirety function largely similar to a genuinely multilateral system and serve a constitutional function for the global economy by establishing institutions that enable economic actors to unfold their activities and to structure economic exchange in the field of foreign investment.

Similar to solving Zeno's problems, the thesis of the multilateralization of international investment law is intended to shift the thinking about ordering paradigms in international investment law away from bilateral – and necessarily limited – rationales towards a more principled theory of international investment law and thereby attempts to explain the paradoxical tension between the fragmentation of sources and dispute settlement institutions, on the one hand, and the creation of convergence, on the other. This thesis, it is hoped, provides a conceptual framework for understanding the nature and functioning of international investment law as a genuine system of law and dispute resolution and offers solutions to numerous practical and theoretical problems regarding, *inter alia*, questions of treaty interpretation, of the use of sources in international investment law and regarding the relationship between arbitral tribunals and States. It may also form the basis for developing a *Rechtsdogmatik* of



PREFACE XV

the principles of substantive international investment law and arbitration which can guide the decision-making of arbitral tribunals and investment treaty makers in a more principled way than solely relying on arbitral precedent, as largely is the case in the current investment jurisprudence and scholarship. Moreover, understanding international investment law as a multilateral order is not only a descriptive claim; it also expresses the normative claim that multilateralism is a sensible and desirable perspective that investment treaty makers and arbitral tribunals should adopt.

The present book is the product of my PhD research that I conducted since the summer of 2004. It was accepted, in April 2008, as an inaugural dissertation at Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main. The initial idea for the topic formed during a placement in a law firm in Buenos Aires from February to April 2002 which confronted me, then a legal trainee at the Court of Appeals of Munich, with researching, in the midst of the Argentine financial crisis, for a memorandum on the conformity of some of Argentina's emergency measures with the German-Argentine bilateral investment treaty. Then, unlike today, the material on investor rights, such as fair and equitable treatment, full protection and security or the prohibition of indirect expropriations without compensation, was rather scarce: there was virtually no investment treaty jurisprudence around and the soon-to-come explosion of investment treaty arbitrations was hardly predictable. Then, international investment law seemed like exotic, but quiet waters to explore. It is thus all the more exciting to see the development this area of international has embarked on over the past years.

My PhD research has benefitted from manifold professional, academic and personal experiences and thanks are due to the many friends, colleagues and mentors that have accompanied, supported and inspired me during these years. They are too numerous to mention and have helped, each in their own special way, to help the project go through. I owe special gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Armin von Bogdandy of the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law in Heidelberg for his support and constructive criticism, and his reiterated demands that a doctoral thesis needed to explain the functioning of law not only in terms of lawfulness and unlawfulness, but also in terms of its contribution to and interaction with the underlying social, economic and ideological reality. This often daunting challenge and his encouragement throughout the entire period have helped immensely to focus my research, thinking and analysis. Likewise, thanks are due to the other



xvi PREFACE

members of my examination committee, in particular Professor Dr. Dr. Rainer Hofmann for his enthusiastic report, and Professor Dr. Peter von Wilmowsky for chairing the committee.

Critical for my research was a two-year stay at New York University where I first pursued the LL.M. program in International Legal Studies and later finalized the original manuscript. NYU's academic community and unique program in international law, as well as working as a research assistant with Professor Benedict Kingsbury, were particularly forming. The stay was made possible by a Hauser Global Scholarship, by a scholarship of the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes under the European Recovery Program and by the Lovells Scholarship. Of invaluable support and intellectual stimulus was further the mentorship of the late Professor Dr. Thomas Wälde who personally, and through the many participants of the OGEMID listsery, has strengthened my interest in and understanding of international investment law. Finally, the last revisions and updates of the book have benefitted from a clerkship at the International Court of Justice with Judge Abdul G. Koroma and a further clerkship with the Honorable Charles N. Brower of 20 Essex Street Chambers, London, during which I gained unparalleled insights into the real world of investor-State arbitration.

All of the above have contributed to the fact that the thesis was awarded the Baker & McKenzie Prize for the best doctoral dissertation with an economic law background at Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität as well as an Otto Hahn Medal for outstanding scientific achievements. I am honored and humbled by these awards and am grateful to the sponsors, the Frankfurt office of Baker & McKenzie and the Max Planck Society. I hope that this book will prove to be stimulating and contribute to a deeper understanding and the further development of international investment law and arbitration in its pioneering times.



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure IV.1.	General function of MFN clauses 127	
Figure IV.2.	Circumvention of exceptions to MFN treatment	
	by double-derivation 148	
Figure V.1.	Three-level corporate structure 205	
Figure V.2.	BIT protection with intermediary in	
	investor's home State 206	
Figure V.3.	BIT protection with intermediary in the host State	207
Figure V.4.	BIT protection with intermediary in third country	208

xvii



TABLE OF TREATIES, DRAFT INSTRUMENTS, AND RELATED DOCUMENTS

Multilateral treaties

- 1919 June 28 Covenant of the League of Nations, UKTS 4 (1919); Blackstone's *International Law Documents*, 8th edn 1 30, 133
- 1945 June 26 Charter of the United Nations, 1 U.N.T.S. 16; Blackstone's *International Law Documents*. 8th edn 9
- 1945 June 26 Statute of the International Court of Justice, 1 U.N.T.S. 993; Blackstone's *International Law Documents*, 8th edn 30 282 n11, 283 n14, 297, 304, 326
- 1945 Nov. 16 Constitution of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 4 U.N.T.S. 275304
- 1950 Nov. 4 European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and its protocols, 213 U.N.T.S. 222; Blackstone's *International Law Documents*, 8th edn 44 198, 297
- 1957 March 25 Treaty Establishing the European Community, 298 U.N.T.S. 11; Blackstone's *International Law Documents*, 8th edn 30 149, 295–96, 357, 364
- 1958 June 10 United Nations Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards ("New York Convention"), 330 U.N.T.S. 38; UKTS 26 (1967), Cmnd 3655 46 n113, 242 n4, 255–56
- 1965 March 18 Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (ICSID Convention), 575 U.N.T.S. 159; UKTS 25 (1967), Cmnd 3255 44, 45–47, 48, 49, 61, 87, 162, 192, 201 n11, 206, 224 n68, 229, 230–31, 232–34, 241–42, 250 n25, 251 n28, 252 n34, 253, 254–56, 258–59, 266, 276, 287 n30, 288, 291–92, 325, 330, 344–45, 367
- 1969 May 22 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1155 U.N.T.S. 331; Blackstone's *International Law Documents*, 8th edn 128 137, 139, 162, 170, 171, 173, 175, 177, 183 n234, 190 n255, n258, 228, 264, 268, 289 n37, 295 n51, 307, 312, 316–17, 319 n124, 324, 326, 332, 359–60
- 1985 Oct. 11 Convention Establishing the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (entered into force April 12, 1988), 1508 U.N.T.S. 99 44, 47–49, 61
- 1987 Dec. 15 Agreement among the Governments of Brunei Darussalam, the Republic of Indonesia, Malaysia, the Republic of the Philippines, the Republic of Singapore, and the Kingdom of Thailand for the Promotion and Protection of Investments

xviii



TABLE OF TREATIES

xix

- (ASEAN Agreement for the Promotion and Protection of Investments), 27 I.L.M. 612 (1988) 223 n66
- 1989 Dec. 15 Fourth ACP-EEC Convention (Lomé Convention) (entered into force March 1, 1990), 29 I.L.M. 809 (1990) 92–93
- 1992 Sept. 22 Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic, 32 I.L.M. 1069 (1993) 297–98
- 1992 Dec. 17 North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) (entered into force January 1, 1994), 32 I.L.M. 296 and 695 (1993) 6 n21, 14 n42, 43, 53, 103 n133, 104, 140–41, 142, 143, 190, 208–9, 213 n36, n38, 214 n42, 265 n70, 268–75, 288 n35, 289, 309, 310 n97, 311–12, 317 n119, 319 n124, 332–33, 364, 374 n20
- 1994 Apr. 15 General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, 1867 U.N.T.S. 187, 33 I.L.M. 1154 (1994); The Legal Texts: The Results of the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations, p. 17, CUP/WTO (1999) 8, 32 n31, 34, 49–52, 179–80, 331 n156
- 1994 Apr. 15 Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, 1867 U.N.T.S.
 154, 33 I.L.M. 1144 (1994); The Legal Texts: The Results of the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations, p. 4, CUP/WTO (1999) 197 n2, 198
- 1994 Apr. 15 Agreement on Trade-Related Investment Measures (TRIMs), 1868 U.N.T.S. 14; The Legal Texts: The Results of the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations, p. 143, CUP/WTO (1999) 50–52
- 1994 Apr. 15 General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) 1869 U.N.T.S. 183, 33 I.L.M. 1167 (1994); The Legal Texts: The Results of the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations, p. 284, CUP/WTO (1999)
- 1994 Apr. 15 Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes, 1869 U.N.T.S. 401, 33 I.L.M. 1226 (1994); The Legal Texts: The Results of the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations, CUP/WTO (2006) 51–53, 183–84
- 1994 Dec. 17 Energy Charter Treaty (annex I of the Final Act of the European Energy Charter Conference), 34 I.L.M. 373 (1995) 43, 53, 98 n119, 223 n66, 311–12
- 2004 Aug. 5 Central America-Dominican Republic-United States Free Trade Agreement, available at: www.ustr.gov/Trade_Agreements/Bilateral/CAFTA/ CAFTA-DR_Final_Texts/Section_Index.html 104 n141

Bilateral treaties

In addition to any sources indicated below, the texts of bilateral investment treaties can be found at www.unctadxi.org/templates/DocSearch_779.aspx

- 1954 Oct. 29 Treaty of Friendship, Commerce and Navigation between the United States of America and the Federal Republic of Germany (entered into force July 14, 1956) 7 UST 1839; TIAS 3593; 273 U.N.T.S. 3 148–50, 302
- 1959 Nov. 25 Treaty between the Federal Republic of Germany and Pakistan for the Promotion and Protection of Investments (entered into force April 28, 1962) 24 U.N.T.S. 6575 40, 61, 90 n86, 164 n155



xx

TABLE OF TREATIES

- 1981 May 6 Agreement between the Federal Republic of Germany and the People's Republic of Bangladesh concerning the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force September 14, 1986)121 n2
- 1984 Aug. 3 Treaty between the United States of America and the Republic of Zaire concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and Protection of Investment (entered into force July 28, 1989) 222 n63
- 1985 Apr. 29 Agreement between the People's Republic of China and the Government of the Kingdom of Denmark concerning the Encouragement and the Reciprocal Protection of Investments 66 n3
- 1985 Nov. 21 Agreement between the Government of the People's Republic of China and the Government of the Republic of Singapore on the 1985 Nov. 21 Promotion and Protection of Investments (entered into force February 7, 1986)87 n84
- 1986 March 11 Treaty between the United States of America and the Arab Republic of Egypt concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and Protection of Investments (entered into force June 27, 1992) 76 n32, 120, 229
- 1986 Apr. 12 Treaty between the Federal Republic of Germany and the People's Republic of Bulgaria concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and Protection of Investments (entered into force March 10, 1988)
 222 n62
- 1986 May 15 Agreement between the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Government of the People's Republic of China concerning the Promotion and Protection of Investments (entered into force May 15, 1986), UKTS 33 (1986) 76 n28
- 1987 Sept. 2 Agreement between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Hungarian People's Republic for the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force June 1, 1988)84 n69
- 1987 Nov. 12 Agreement between the Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria and the Government of the Republic of Cyprus on Mutual Encouragement and Protection of Investments (entered into force May 18, 1988) 167 n167, 168, 349 n207
- 1987 March 23 Treaty between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Republic of Bolivia concerning the Promotion and Mutual Protection of Investments (entered into force November 9, 1990)201 n11
- 1988 Aug. 27 Agreement between Japan and the People's Republic of China concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (entered into force May 14, 1989) 77 n34
- 1989 Dec. 6 Treaty between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Co-operative Republic of Guyana concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force March 8, 1994)73 n18
- 1991 Apr. 8 Agreement between the Government of the Kingdom of Norway and the Government of the Republic of Hungary on the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force December 4, 1992)87 n84, 169
- 1991 Oct. 8 Accord entre la Confédération suisse et la République du Ghana concernant la promotion et la protection réciproque des investissements (entered into force June 16, 1993) 75 n26



TABLE OF TREATIES

xxi

- 1991 Apr. 9 Vertrag zwischen der Bundesrepublik Deutschland und der Argentinischen
 Republik über die Förderung und den gegenseitigen Schutz von Kapitalanlagen
 (entered into force November 8, 1993)
 153 n102, 157, 160, 171
- 1991 Nov. 14 Treaty between United States of America and the Argentine Republic concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and Protection of Investment (entered into force October 20, 1994) 76 n31, 143–44, 171, 201 n11, 202, 230 n99, 311, 312–13, 345, 352–54
- 1992 Feb. 6 Acuerdo para la promoción y fomento recíprocos de inversiones entre el Reino de España y la República Popular de China (entered into force May 1, 1993) 83 n64
- 1992 March 10 Agreement on Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Republic of Bolivia (entered into force November 1, 1994) 201 n11, 225–26, 307, 308 n89
- 1992 June 25 Agreement between the Government of the People's Republic of China and the Government of the Hellenic Republic for the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force December 21, 1993) 152 n101
- 1994 March 7 Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Georgia concerning the Encouragement and the Reciprocal Protection of Investment (entered into force August 10, 1999)
 199 n7, 223
- 1994 March 30 Agreement between the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Government of the Republic of Albania for the Promotion and Protection of Investments (entered into force August 30, 1995), 1919 U.N.T.S 16; UKTS 17 (1996) 306 n79
- 1994 March 30 Agreement between the Federal Republic of Germany and the State of Kuwait for the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force November 15, 1997)309 n94
- 1994 Apr. 6 Agreement between the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Government of the Republic of Indonesia on Promotion and Protection of Investment (entered into force July 1, 1995) 222
- 1994 Apr. 19 Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Estonia for the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (entered into force February 16, 1997) 143, 147 n90
- 1995 Oct. 12 Agreement on the Promotion and Protection of Investment between the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam and the Government of the Republic of Cuba (entered into force October 1, 1996)94
- 1995 Nov. 6 Agreement between the Republic of India and the Kingdom of the Netherlands for the Promotion and Protection of Investments (entered into force December 1, 1996) 75 n26, 86 n79
- 1995 Nov. 30 Agreement between the Government of Hong Kong and the Government of the Republic of France for the Reciprocal Promotion and Protection of Investments (entered into force May 30, 1997) 309 n94



xxii

TABLE OF TREATIES

- 1995 Dec. 11 Agreement between the Government of the United Kingdom of Great
 Britain and Northern Ireland and the Government of the Republic of Bulgaria for
 the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force June
 24, 1997), UKTS 52 (1997)
 79 n39
- 1997 Apr. 14 Agreement between the Government of the Arab Republic of Egypt and the Government of Malaysia for the Promotion and Protection of Investments (entered into force February 3, 1999) 88 n85
- 1997 July 2 Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (entered into force June 12, 2003) 79 n40, 165
- 2000 June 2 Agreement between the Republic of Austria and the Republic of Uzbekistan for the Promotion and Protection of Investments (entered into force August 18, 2001)72 n11
- 2001 May 30 Acuerdo entre los Estados Unidos Mexicanos y la Républica de Cuba para la Promoción y Protección de las Inversiones (entered into force March 29, 2002)
 94
- 2002 June 24 Treaty between the Kingdom of Thailand and the Federal Republic of Germany concerning Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force Oct. 20, 2004) 147 n90
- 2002 May 21 Agreement between the Government of the Kingdom of Thailand and the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain for the Promotion and Protection of Investments (entered into force July 17, 2002)
 73 n18
- 2003 Dec. 1 Agreement between the People's Republic of China and the Federal Republic of Germany on the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force November 11, 2005) 66 n2, 75 n26, 77 n34, 83 n64, 84 n67, 196 n270, 200 n8, 205 n21
- 2003 Jan. 15 United States-Singapore Free Trade Agreement (entered into force January 1, 2004) 104 n137
- 2004 Nov. 15 Agreement between the Government of the Republic of Finland and the Government of the People's Republic of China on the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (entered into force November 15, 2006) 81–82
- 2005 Nov. 4 Treaty between the United States of America and the Oriental Republic of Uruguay concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (entered into force November 1, 2006) 105 n142

Draft instruments and other non-binding instruments and related material

1948 Havana Charter for an International Trade Organization, excerpts reprinted in UNCTAD, International Investment Instruments: A Compendium, Volume I – Multilateral Instruments, p. 3 (1996) 31, 32–35, 61, 63



TABLE OF TREATIES

xxiii

- 1959 Draft Convention on Investments Abroad (Abs-Shawcross Convention), reprinted in UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium, Volume V*, p. 395 (2000) 35–36, 40 n76, 92, 313–14
- 1962 Permanent Sovereignty over Natural Resources, UN General Assembly Resolution 1803 (XVII), UN GAOR, 17th Sess., 1194th plen. mtg., UN Doc A/RES/1803(XVII) (December 14, 1962), 2 I.L.M. 223 (1963) 37
- 1967 Draft Convention on the Protection of Foreign Property and Resolution of the Council of the OECD on the Draft Convention, OECD Publication No. 23081 (1967), reproduced in 7 I.L.M. 117 (1968); reprinted also in UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium, Volume II*, p. 113 (1996) 31, 35–40, 61, 89, 92, 118, 313
- 1974 Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order, UN General Assembly Resolution 3201 (S-VI), UN GAOR, 6th Special Sess., 2229th plen. mtg., UN Doc A/RES/3201(S-VI) (May 1, 1974), 13 I.L.M. 715 (1974) 37–38, 49, 50, 62–64, 91, 93–94, 96
- 1974 Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States, UN General Assembly Resolution Res. 3281 (XXIX), UN GAOR, 29th Sess., 2319th plen. mtg., UN Doc A/RES/3281(XXIX) (December 12, 1974), 14 I.L.M. 251 (1975) 37, 38 n64
- 1976 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, adopted April 28, 1976 87, 164 n157, 192, 241 n1, 252 n34, 254, 255 n44, 287 n31
- 1978 Draft Articles on Most-Favoured-Nation Clauses, International Law Commission, 30 ILC Ybk., vol. II, Part Two, p. 16 (1978) 123 n11, 135–39, 150, 160 n136, 290 n39
- 1985 Draft United Nations Code of Conduct on Transnational Corporations, reprinted in UNCTAD, International Investment Instruments: A Compendium, Volume I Multilateral Instruments, p. 161 (1996)
 96
- 1984 Asian-African Legal Consultative Committee, Models for Bilateral Agreements on Promotion and Protection of Investments, 23 I.L.M. 237 (1984) 96–97
- 1992 World Bank Guidelines on the Treatment of Foreign Direct Investment, 7 ICSID Rev. – For. Inv. L. J. 297 (1992) 49 n128, 97–98
- 1995 OECD, A Multilateral Agreement on Investment: Report by the Committee on International Investment and Multinational Enterprises (CIME) and the Committee on Capital Movements and Invisible Transactions (CMIT), DAFFE/CMIT/CIME(95)13/FINAL (May 5, 1995), available at: www1.oecd.org/daf/mai/index. htm 53 n153
- 1996 World Trade Organization, Ministerial Declaration, December 13, 1996, 36 I.L.M. 218 (1997), para. 20 53 n149, 58 n178
- 1998 OECD, The Multilateral Agreement on Investment, Draft Consolidated Text, DAFFE/MAI(98)7/REV1, April 22, 1998 (with commentary), available at: wwwl. oecd.org/daf/mai/index.htm 53–58, 61, 63, 365
- 1998 OECD, Negotiating Group on the Multilateral Agreement on Investment (MAI), The Multilateral Agreement on Investment (Report by the Chairman of the Negotiating Group), DAFFE/MAI(98)17 (May 4, 1998), available at: www1.oecd. org/daf/mai/pdf/ng/ng9817e.pdf 57 n174



xxiv

TABLE OF TREATIES

- 2001 Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, International Law Commission, Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 10 (A/56/10) (2001), 53 ILC Ybk., vol. II, Part II, p. 26 (2001) 183 n235, 220 n57, n59, 242 n5, 250 n22, 251 n30, 284 n17, 354
- 2004 Draft of the Central America–United States Free Trade Agreement, January 28, 2004, available at: www.interaction.org/files.cgi/2605_CAFTAFullDraftText.pdf 104, 139, 152 n100, 161 n138
- 2004 Canadian Model BIT, available at: www.sice.oas.org/investment/NatLeg/Can/2004-FIPA-model-en.pdf 196 n270
- 2004 US Model BIT, reprinted in McLachlan, Shore and Weiniger, *International Investment Arbitration*, p. 393 (2007) 101 n127, 268 n76, 313 n106
- 2005 UK Model BIT, reprinted in McLachlan, Shore and Weiniger, *International Investment Arbitration*, p. 379 (2007) 176 n213
- 2006 Draft Articles on Diplomatic Protection, International Law Commission, Official
 Records of the General Assembly, Sixty-first Session, Supplement No. 10 (A/61/10)
 (2006) 211, 222 n60, 228 n89



TABLE OF CASES

Permanent Court of International Justice

- Article 3, Paragraph 2, of the Treaty of Lausanne (Frontier between Turkey and Iraq), Advisory Opinion, November 21, 1925, P.C.I.J. Series B, No. 12 (1925); 3 AD 364 316 n118, 317 n119
- Case of the S.S. "Wimbledon" (Britain et al. v. Germany), Judgment, August 17, 1923, P.C.I.J. Series A, No. 1 (1923); 2 AD 329 316 n117
- Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia (Germany v. Poland), Merits, Judgment, May 25, 1926, P.C.I.J. Series A, No. 7 (1926) 28 n15
- Free Zones of Upper Savoy and the District of Gex (France v. Switzerland), Judgment, June 7, 1932, P.C.I.J. Series A/B, No. 46 (1932); 5 AD 16 316 n118, 317 n119
- Interpretation of the Convention of 1919 concerning Employment of Women during the Night, Advisory Opinion, November 15, 1932, P.C.I.J. Series A/B, No. 50 (1932);6 AD 410 304
- Legal Status of Eastern Greenland (Denmark v. Norway), Judgment, April 5, 1933, P.C.I.J. Series A/B, No. 53 (1933); 6 AD 95
- *Minority Schools in Albania*, Advisory Opinion, April 6, 1935, P.C.I.J. Series A/B, No. 64 (1935); 8 AD 386 300–1, 317 n119
- Payment of Various Serbian Loans Issued in France (France v. Kingdom of the Serbs, Croats and Slovenes), Judgment, July 12, 1929, P.C.I.J. Series A, No. 20/21 (1929); 5 AD 466 347 n15
- Rights of Minorities in Upper Silesia (Minority Schools) (Germany v. Poland), Judgment, April 26, 1928, P.C.I.J. Series A, No. 15 (1928); 4 AD 496 177 n218, 185 n241
- The Case of the S.S. "Lotus" (France v. Turkey), Judgment, September 7, 1927, P.C.I.J. Series A, No. 10 (1927); 4 AD 153 171 n195, 283 n12, 315–16
- The Factory at Chorzów (Claim for Indemnity) (Germany v. Poland), Jurisdiction, Judgment, July 26, 1927, P.C.I.J. Series A, No. 9 (1927); 4 AD 495, 501 186, 295, 299, 303–4
- The Factory at Chorzów (Claim for Indemnity) (Germany v. Poland), Merits, Judgment, September 13, 1928, P.C.I.J. Series A, No. 17 (1928); 4 AD 258, 268, 273, 499 246 n15, 251
- The Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions (Greece v. Britain), Judgment, August 30, 1924, P.C.I.J. Series A, No. 2 (1924); 2 AD 398 246

XXV



xxvi

TABLE OF CASES

- The Panevezys-Saldutiskis Railway Case (Estonia v. Lithuania), Judgment, February 28, 1939, P.C.I.J. Series A/B, No. 76 (1939); 9 AD 308 247 n15
- Treatment of Polish Nationals and Other Persons of Polish Origin or Speech in the Danzig Territory, Advisory Opinion, February 4, 1932, P.C.I.J. Series A/B, No. 44 (1932); 6 AD 209 28 n15, 303

International Court of Justice

- Ahmadou Sadio Diallo (Republic of Guinea v. Democratic Republic of the Congo), Preliminary Objections, Judgment, May 24, 2007, available at: www.icj-cij.org 211
- Anglo-Iranian Oil Company (United Kingdom v. Iran), Judgment, July 22, 1952, I.C.J. Reports 1952, p. 93; 20 ILR 316 127, 135, 192
- Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company Limited (Belgium v. Spain), Judgment, February 5, 1970, I.C.J. Reports 1970, p. 3; 46 ILR 178 197 n1, 198, 203, 204 n19, 211, 212 n34, 213 n39, 228, 231 n101, 240, 247 n15, n17, 312
- Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras), Judgment, December 20, 1988, I.C.J. Reports 1988, p. 69; 84 ILR 218 177, 186 n242
- Conditions of Admission of a State to Membership in the United Nations (Article 4 of the Charter), Advisory Opinion, May 28, 1948, I.C.J. Reports 1948, p. 57; 15 AD 333
- Elettronica Sicula SpA (ELSI) (United States of America v. Italy), Judgment, July 20, 1989, I.C.J. Reports 1989, p. 15; 84 ILR 311 203, 301–3, 334 n160
- Fisheries Jurisdiction (Spain v. Canada), Judgment, December 4, 1998, I.C.J. Reports 1998, p. 432; 123 ILR 189 177 n219, 186 n244
- Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary/Slovakia), Judgment, September 25, 1997, I.C.J. Reports 1997, p. 7; 116 ILR 17 251 n30
- Interhandel (Switzerland v. United States of America), Judgment, March 21, 1959, I.C.J. Reports 1959, p. 6; 27 ILR 475 165 n158, 191 n260
- Kasikili/Sedudu Island (Botswana/Namibia), Judgment, December 13, 1999, I.C.J.Reports 1999, p. 1045 264 n64
- Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, Advisory Opinion, July 9, 2004, I.C.J. Reports 2004, p. 136; 129 ILR 37 251 n30
- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America), Jurisdiction and Admissibility, Judgment, November 26, 1984, I.C.J. Reports 1984, p. 392; 76 ILR 104 165 n158
- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America), Merits, Judgment, June 27, 1986, I.C.J. Reports 1986, p. 14; 76 ILR 349 283 n12, 296
- Nottebohm (Liechtenstein v. Guatemala), Judgment, April 6, 1955, I.C.J. Reports 1955, p. 4; 22 ILR 349 199 n7, 229 n90, 247 n15
- Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America), Preliminary Objection, Judgment, December 12, 1996, I.C.J. Reports 1996, p. 803; 130 ILR 186 186, 264 n64



TABLE OF CASES

xxvii

- Reparation for Injuries Suffered in the Service of the United Nations, Advisory Opinion, April 11, 1949, I.C.J. Reports 1949, p. 174
- Rights of Nationals of the United States of America in Morocco (France v. United States of America), Judgment, August 27, 1952, I.C.J. Reports 1952, p. 176; 19 ILR 255 122 n5, 124, 128 n19, 158, 178, 299
- Territorial Dispute (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya/Chad), Judgment, February 13, 1994, I.C.J. Reports 1994, p. 6; 100 ILR 1 264 n64

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

The MOX Plant Case (Ireland v. United Kingdom), Order on Provisional Measures, December 3, 2001, 41 I.L.M. 405 (2002); 126 ILR 259 297 n55

GATT/WTO Dispute Settlement Body

- Argentina Safeguard Measures on Imports of Footwear, WT/DS121/R, Panel Report, June 25, 1999 316 n118
- Canada Administration of the Foreign Investment Review Act, L/5504 30S/140, Panel Report, February 7, 1984, GATT B.I.S.D. (30th Supp.), p. 140 (1984) 50
- Canada Certain Measures Affecting the Automotive Industry, WT/DS139/AB/R, WT/DS142/AB/R, Appellate Body Report, May 31, 2000 139 n68
- EC Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones), WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R, Appellate Body Report, January 16, 1998 316 n118
- EC Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas, WT/DS27/AB/R, Appellate Body Report, September 25, 1997 136 n56
- Japan Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages, WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, WT/DS11/AB/R, Appellate Body Report, October 4, 1996
 331 n156
- United States Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, L/6439–36S/345, Panel Report, November 7, 1989 179–80

European Court of Human Rights

Loizidou v. Turkey, Judgment, March 23, 1995, 103 ILR 622 297

European Court of Justice

- Centros, C-212/97, Judgment, March 9, 1999, E.C.R I-1459 (1999) 149 n91, 93 Überseering, C-208/00, Judgment, November 5, 2002, E.C.R I-9919 (2002) 149 n91,
- Inspire Art, C-167/01, Judgment, September 30, 2003, E.C.R I-10155 (2003) 149 n91, n93
- *Hauptzollamt Mainz* v. *C A Kupferberg & Cie. KG*, Case 104/81, Judgment, October 26, 1982, E.C.R 3641 (1982); 93 ILR 75 296 n52



xxviii

TABLE OF CASES

Iran-United States Claims Tribunal

- Amoco International Finance Corporation v. The Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, National Iranian Oil Company, National Petrochemical Company amd Kharg Chemical Company Limited, Award, July 14, 1987, 15 Iran–U.S. C.T.R. 189; 83 ILR 500 72 n13
- *Iran–United States, Case No. A/18*, Decision, April 6, 1984, 5 Iran–U.S. C.T.R. 251; 75 ILR 175 229 n90
- Starrett Housing Corporation, Starret Systems, Inc., Starret Housing International v. The Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, Bank Omran, Bank Mellat, Bank Markazi, Award, December 19, 1983, 4 Iran–U.S. C.T.R. 122; 85 ILR 349 215 n44

Arbitral awards and decisions by claims commissions

- In addition to any source indicated below, most investment treaty awards can be found at: www.investmentclaims.com or http://ita.law.uvic.co
- Access to Information under Article 9 of the OSPAR Convention (Ireland v. United Kingdom), Final Award, July 2, 2003, 42 I.L.M. 1118 (2003) (M. Reisman, G. Griffith, M. Mustill, Arbitrators); 126 ILR 334 298 n56
- ADC Affiliate Limited and ADC & ADMC Management Limited v. The Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/16, Award of the Tribunal, October 2, 2006 (N. Kaplan, C. N. Brower, A. van den Berg, Arbitrators) 224 n69, 226, 227, 228 n89, 231, 232 n104, 292 n45, 322, 323 n130
- ADF Group Inc. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/00/1, Final Award, January 9, 2003 (F. Feliciano, A. de Mestral, C. Lamm, Arbitrators), 18 ICSID Rev. For. Inv. L. J. 195 (2003); 6 ICSID Reports 470
- AES Corporation v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/17, Decision on Jurisdiction, April 26, 2005 (P. Dupuy, K. Böckstiegel, D. Bello Janeiro, Arbitrators) 12 ICSID Reports 312 70, 202 n13, 291, 322 n129, 323, 325, 326
- African Holding Company of America, Inc. et Société Africaine de Construction au Congo S.A.R.L. v. La République Démocratique du Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/21, Sentence sur les Déclinatoires de Compétence et la Recevabilité, July 29, 2008 (F. Orrego Vicuña, O. de Witt Wijnen, D. Grisay, Arbitrators) 202 n13, 209 n26
- Aguas del Tunari, SA v. Republic of Bolivia, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3, Decision on Respondent's Objections to Jurisdiction, October 21, 2005 (D. Caron, J. Alberto-Semerena, H. Alvarez, Arbitrators) 222–23, 224 n69, 225–26, 231, 234, 307, 308
- The Ambatielos Claim (Greece v. United Kingdom), Award of the Commission of Arbitration, March 6, 1956, U.N.R.I.A.A., vol. XII, p. 91; 23 ILR 306 136 n54, 155 n110, 158, 178, 179 n226, 181 n232
- Amco Asia Corporation and Others v. The Republic of Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1, Decision on Jurisdiction, September 25, 1983 (B. Goldman, I. Foighel, E. Rubin, Arbitrators), 1 ICSID Reports 389 (1993); 89 ILR 366 185, 317 n119



TABLE OF CASES

xxix

- Amco Asia Corporation and Others v. The Republic of Indonesia, Decision on the Application of Annulment, May 16, 1986 (I. Seidl-Hohenveldern, F. Feliciano, A. Giardina, Members of the Committee), 1 ICSID Reports 509; 89 ILR 514 292 n45
- American Manufacturing & Trading, Inc. v. Republic of Zaire, ICSID Case No. ARB/93/1, Award, February 21, 1997 (S. Sucharitkul, H. Golsong, K. Mbaye, Arbitrators), 36 I.L.M. 1534 (1997); 5 ICSID Reports 14 81 n54, 203 n13, 250 n26
- Antoine Goetz et consorts v. République du Burundi, ICSID Case No. ARB/95/3, Sentence, February 10, 1999 (P. Weil, M. Bedjaoui, J. Bredin, Arbitrators), 15 ICSID Rev. For. Inv. L. J. 457 (2000), 6 ICSID Reports 5 203 n13
- Archer Daniels Midland Company and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Aericas, Inc. v. The United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/05, Award, November 21, 2007 (B. Cremades, A. Rovine, E. Siqueiros, Arbitrators) 220 n58
- Aroa Mines Case, British-Venezuelan Mixed Claims Commission, Decision, 1903, U.N.R.I.A.A., vol. IX, p. 402 290, 291 n40
- Asian Agricultural Products Ltd. v. Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Final Award, June 27, 1990 (A. El Khosheri, B. Goldman, S. Asante, Arbitrators), 6 ICSID Rev. For. Inv. L. J. 526 (1991); 30 I.L.M. 577 (1991); 4 ICSID Reports 246 81 n54, 140, 203 n14, 305
- AWG Group Ltd. v. The Argentine Republic, UNCITRAL, Decision on Jurisdiction, August 3, 2006 (J. Salacuse, G. Kaufmann-Kohler, P. Nikken, Arbitrators) 161 n139, 162, 163 n149–n154, 176 n211, 186 n247, 194 n267
- Robert Azinian, Kenneth Davitian & Ellen Baca v. The United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/97/2, Final Award, November 1, 1999 (J. Paulsson, B. Civiletti, C. von Wobeser, Arbitrators), 14 ICSID Rev. For. Inv. L. J. 538 (1999); 39 I.L.M. 537 (2000); 5 ICSID Reports 272; 121 ILR 1 376 n26
- Azurix Corp. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Decision on Jurisdiction, Dec. 8, 2003 (A. Rigo Sureda, E. Lauterpacht, D. Martins, Arbitrators), 43 I.L.M. 262 (2004); 10 ICSID Reports 416 204 n19, 205 n22, 206 n23, 212 n34, 327 n139
- Azurix Corp. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award, July 14, 2006 (A. Rigo Sureda, M. Lalonde, D. Martins, Arbitrators), 14 ICSID Reports 215 n48, 292 n45, 326, 337 n168
- Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret ve Sanayi A.S. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, November 14, 2005 (G. Kaufmann-Kohler, F. Berman, K. Böckstiegel, Arbitrators), 18(1) World Trade and Arb. Mat. 163 292 n45
- Vladimir Berschader and Moïse Berschader v. The Russian Federation, SCC Case No. 080/2004, Award, April 21, 2006 (B. Sjövall, S. Lebedev, T. Weiler, Arbitrators) 140 n69, 169–70, 171, 172, 174, 175, 176 n215, 184 n237, 205 n22, 350 n209
- BG Group Plc v. The Republic of Argentina, UNCITRAL, Final Award, December 24, 2007 (G. Aguilar Alvarez, A. Garro, A. van den Berg, Arbitrators) 217 n53



xxx

TABLE OF CASES

- Iurii Bogdanov, Agurdino-Invest Ltd. and Agurdino-Chimia JSC v. Republic of Moldova, SCC Arbitration, Arbitral Award, September 22, 2005 (G. Cordero Moss, Arbitrator) 250 n26
- Camuzzi International SA v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/2, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, May 11, 2005 (F. Orrego Vicuña, M. Lalonde, S. Morelli Rico, Arbitrators) 161 n139, 203 n15, 330
- Camuzzi International SA v. Républica Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/7, Decisión del Tribunal de Arbitraje sobre Excepciones a la Jurisdicción, June 10, 2005 (E. Gómez-Pinzón, H. Gros Espiell, H. Alvarez, Arbitrators) 161 n139, 203 n15, 204 n19, 206 n23, 212 n34, 213 n37, 292 n45, 329 n152
- Champion Trading Company Ameritrade International, Inc., James T. Wahba, John B. Wahba and Timothy T. Wahba v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB02/9, Decision on Jurisdiction, October 21, 2003 (R. Briner, Y. Fortier, L. Aynès, Arbitrators), 19 ICSID Rev. For. Inv. L. J. 275; 10 ICSID Reports 400 224 n69, 229, 230
- CME Czech Republic BV v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial Award, September 13, 2001 (W. Kühn, S. Schwebel, J. Hándl, Arbitrators), 9 ICSID Reports 121 202 n13, 214 n41, 286
- CME Czech Republic BV v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Final Award, March 14, 2003 (W. Kühn, S. Schwebel, I. Brownlie, Arbitrators), 9 ICSID Reports 264 284, 286, 341 n174
- CMS Gas Transmission Company v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Decision of the Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction, July 17, 2003 (F. Orrego Vicuña, M. Lalonde, F. Rezek, Arbitrators), 42 I.L.M. 788 (2002); 7 ICSID Reports 494 165 n158, 203–04, 212 n34, 264 n67
- CMS Gas Transmission Company v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Award, May 12, 2005 (F. Orrego Vicuña, M. Lalonde, F. Rezek, Arbitrators), 44
 I.L.M. 1205 (2005) 78 n37, 80 n51, 85 n75, 144, 214 n41, 217 n53, 251 n30, 264 n67, 315 n112, 337 n168, 352, 353-55
- Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA & Compagnie Générale des Eaux v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, Award, November 21, 2000 (F. Rezek, T. Buergenthal, P. Trooboff, Arbitrators) 5 ICSID Reports 299 80 n46
- Compañia de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, Decision on Annulment, July 3, 2002 (Y. Fortier, J. Crawford, J. Fernández Rozas, Members of the Committee), 41 I.L.M. 1135 (2002); 6 ICSID Reports 340; 125 ILR 58 203 n15, 231 n100, 308, 320
- Compañia del Desarrollo de Santa Elena, SA v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, Award, February 17, 2000 (Y. Fortier, E. Lauterpacht, P. Weil, Arbitrators) 5 ICSID Reports 153 215 n44
- Consorzio Groupement L.E.S.I.-DIPENTA v. République algérienne démocratique et populaire, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/8, Sentence, January 10, 2005 (P. Tercier, A. Faurès, E. Gaillard, Arbitrators) 309–10, 320



TABLE OF CASES

xxxi

- Eastern Sugar BV v. The Czech Republic, SCC Case No. 88/2004, Partial Award, March 27, 2007 (P. Karrer, E. Gaillard, R. Volterra, Arbitrators) 80 n47
- El Paso Energy International Company v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/15, Decision on Jurisdiction, April 27, 2006 (L. Caflisch, B. Stern, P. Bernardini, Arbitrators) 85 n75, 292 n45, 312–13, 318–19, 322, 342 n178, 345–46, 350 n209
- Genie Lantman Elton (United States of America) v. United Mexican States, United States–Mexican General Claims Commission, Opinion, May 13, 1929, U.N.R.I.A.A., vol. IV, p. 529 394 n49
- EnCana Corporation v. Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Case No. UN3481, UNCITRAL, Award, February 3, 2006 (J. Crawford, H. Grigera Naón, C. Thomas, Arbitrators), 45 I.L.M. 901 (2006); 12 ICSID Reports 427 292 n45
- Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets LP v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Decision on Jurisdiction, January 14, 2004 (F. Orrego Vicuña, H. Gros Espiell, P. Tschanz, Arbitrators), 11 ICSID Reports 273 165 n158, 203 n15, 206, 207 n24, 292 n45, 310 n98, 312, 320, 328
- Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets LP v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Decision on Jurisdiction (Ancillary Claim), August 2, 2004 (F. Orrego Vicuña, H. Gros Espiell, P. Tschanz, Arbitrators) 11 ICSID Reports 295 206 n23, 292 n45, 330
- Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets, LP v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Award, May 22, 2007 (F. Orrego Vicuña, A. van den Berg, P. Tschanz, Arbitrators) 217 n53, 337 n168, 355 n233
- Ethyl Corporation v. The Government of Canada, Award on Jurisdiction, June 24, 1998 (K. Böckstiegel, C. N. Brower, M. Lalonde, Arbitrators), 38 I.L.M. 708; 7 ICSID Reports 12; 122 ILR 259 104 n136, 185 n240, 317 n119
- Eureko BV v. Republic of Poland, Partial Award, August 19, 2005 (Y. Fortier, S. Schwebel,
 J. Rajski, Arbitrators), 12 ICSID Reports 335 85 n73, 274 n96, 313, 317 n119, 327, 336–37, 342 n179
- Marguerite de Joly de Sabla (United States of America) v. The Republic of Panama, United States-Panama General Claims Commission, Decision of the Commission, June 29, 1933, 28 A.J.I.L. 602 (1934) 28 n15
- Marvin Roy Feldman Karpa v. The United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/1, Award, December 16, 2002 (K. Kerameus, J. Covarrubias Bravo, D. Gantz, Arbitrators), 18 ICSID Rev. For. Inv. L. J. 488 (2003); 7 ICSID Reports 341 214 n42, 292 n45
- GAMI Investments, Inc. v. Mexico, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Final Award, November 15,
 2004 (M. Reisman, J. Lacarte Muró, J. Paulsson, Arbitrators), 44 I.L.M. 545 (2005)
 203 n15, 204 n19, 212 n34, 213 n38, 214 n42, 215 n44
- Gas Natural SDG, SA v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/10, Decision on Jurisdiction, June 17, 2005 (A. Lowenfeld, H. Alvarez, P. Nikken, Arbitrators), 14 ICSID Reports 161 n139, 162, 176 n214, 181 n231, n232, 202 n13, 206 n23, 292 n45, 324, 326, 331 n155



xxxii

TABLE OF CASES

- Alex Genin Eastern Credit Limited, Inc. and A.S. Baltoil v. Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2, Final Award, June 25, 2001 (Y. Fortier, M. Heth, A. van den Berg, Arbitrators), 17 ICSID Rev. For. Inv. L. J. 395 (2002); 6 ICSID Reports 241 202 n13
- Grand River Enterprises Six Nations, Ltd., et al. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, July 20, 2006 (F. Nariman, J. Anaya, J. Crook, Arbitrators) 292 n45
- George W. Hopkins (United States of America) v. United Mexican States, United States-Mexican General Claims Commission, Opinion, March 31, 1926, U.N.R.I.A.A., vol. IV, p. 41 28 n15
- International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation v. The United Mexican States, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Arbitral Award, January 26, 2006 (A. van den Berg, A. Portal Ariosa, T. Wälde, Arbitrators), 18(2) World Trade and Arb. Mat. 59 273 n93, 311–12, 315 n114, 331 n156
- Jan de Nul NV & Dredging International NV v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case
 No. ARB/04/13, Decision on Jurisdiction, June 16, 2006 (G. Kaufmann-Kohler,
 P. Mayer, B. Stern, Arbitrators) 292 n45
- *Ioannis Kardassopoulos* v. *Georgia*, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/18, Decision on Jurisdiction, July 6, 2007 (Y. Fortier, F. Orrego Vicuña, A. Watts, Arbitrators) 202 n13
- Kronprins Gustaf Adolf (Sweden v. United States of America), Arbitral Decision, July 18, 1932 (E. Borel, Arbitrator), U.N.R.I.A.A., vol. II, p. 1239 298–99
- Lanco International Inc. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/6,
 Preliminary Decision: Jurisdiction of the Arbitral Tribunal, December 8, 1998
 (B. Cremades, G. Aguilar Alvarez, L. Olavo Baptista, Arbitrators), 40 I.L.M. 457
 (2001); 5 ICSID Reports 369 203 n14, 328
- Ronald S. Lauder v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Final Award, September 3, 2001 (R. Briner, L. Cutler, B. Klein, Arbitrators), 9 ICSID Reports 66 209 n26, 284, 286–87, 341 n174
- L.E.S.I. SpA et Astaldi SpA v. République algérienne démocratique et populaire, ICSID
 Case No. ARB/05/3, Decision, July 12, 2006 (P. Tercier, A. Faurès, E. Gaillard, Arbitrators) 309-10, 320
- L. F. H. Neer and Pauline E. Neer (United States of America) v. United Mexican States,
 United States–Mexican General Claims Commission, Opinion, October 15, 1926,
 U.N.R.I.A.A., vol. IV, p. 60; 3 ILR 213 28, 140, 141 n75, 269, 272, 274, 335
- Leupold-Praesent v. German Federal Republic, Arbitral Commission on Property, Rights and Interests in Germany, 25 ILR 540 193 n266
- LG&E Energy Corp., LG&E Capital Corp. and LG&E International Inc. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/1, Decision on Jurisdiction, April 30, 2004 (T. de Maekelt, F. Rezek, A. van den Berg, Arbitrators) 11 ICSID Reports 414 203 n15, 204 n19, 212 n34
- LG&E Energy Corp., LG&E Capital Corp., LG&E International Inc. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/1, Decision on Liability, October 3, 2006 (T. de Maekelt, F. Rezek, A. van den Berg, Arbitrators), 46 I.L.M. 40 (2007) 78 n36, 80 n51, 85 n73, 214, 215, 216 n49, 217 n53, 264 n67, 285, 337 n168, 342 n179, 352 n221, 352–55



TABLE OF CASES

xxxiii

- Liberian Eastern Timber Corporation (LETCO) v. The Government of The Republic of Liberia, ICSID Case No. ARB/83/2, Award, March 31, 1986 (B. Cremades, J. Gonçalves Pereira, A. Redfurn, Arbitrators), 2 ICSID Reports 343; 26 I.L.M. 647 (1987); 89 ILR 313 292 n45
- Libyan American Oil Company (LIAMCO) v. The Government of the Libyan Arab Republic, Award of the Arbitral Tribunal, April 12, 1977 (S. Mahmassani, Arbitrator), 20 I.L.M. 1 (1981); 62 ILR 140 72 n13
- The Loewen Group, Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3, Decision on Hearing of Respondent's Objection to Competence and Jurisdiction, January 5, 2001 (A. Mason, Y. Fortier, A. Mikva, Arbitrators), 7 ICSID Reports 425; 128 ILR 339 317 n119
- Loewen Group, Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3, Award, June 26, 2003 (A. Mason, A. Mikva, M. Mustill, Arbitrators), 42 I.L.M. 811 (2003); 7 ICSID Reports 442; 128 ILR 359 80 n46, 259 n53, 273 n93, 274 n97
- Emilio Agustín Maffezini v. The Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, Decision of the Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction, January 25, 2000 (F. Orrego Vicuña, T. Buergenthal, M. Wolf, Arbitrators), 16 ICSID Rev. For. Inv. L. J. 212 (2001); 5 ICSID Reports 396; 40 I.L.M. 1129 (2001); 124 ILR 9 136 n54, 145 n85, 154–57, 158, 161–62, 167, 169, 171, 174, 179 n229, 187 n249, 188–91, 203 n13, 213 n39, 306, 310 n98, 311 n99, 348, 350–52
- Emilio Agustín Maffezini v. The Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, Award,
 November 13, 2000 (F. Orrego Vicuña, T. Buergenthal, M. Wolf, Arbitrators); 5
 ICSID Reports 419, 124 ILR 35 103 n133, 104
- Metalclad Corporation v. The United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1, Award, August 30, 2000 (E. Lauterpacht, B. Civiletti, J. Siqueiros, Arbitrators), 16 ICSID Rev. For. Inv. L. J. 168 (2001); 40 I.L.M. 36 (2001); 5 ICSID Reports 212; 119 ILR 618 80 n45, 83 n62, 104, 216 n52
- Methanex Corporation v. United States of America, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Partial Award, August 7, 2002 (V. Veeder, W. Rowley, W. Christopher, Arbitrators), 44 I.L.M. 1345 (2005); 7 ICSID Reports 239 319 n124
- Methanex Corporation v. United States of America, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Final Award of the Tribunal on Jurisdiction and Merits, August 3, 2005 (V. Veeder, W. Rowley, M. Reisman, Arbitrators) 78 n37, 104 n136, 273 n93, 274 n97
- Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula, S.C. European Food S.A., S.C. Starmill S.R.L. and S.C.
 Multipack S.R.L. v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/20, Decision on Jurisdiction and Admissibility, September 24, 2008 (L. Lévy, S. Alexandrov, C.-D. Ehlermann, Arbitrators)
 199 n7
- Mondev International Ltd. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2, Award, October 11, 2002 (N. Stephen, J. Crawford, S. Schwebel, Arbitrators), 42 I.L.M. 85 (2003); 6 ICSID Reports 192; 125 ILR 110 165 n158, 185, 213 n39, 273–74, 317 n119, 332, 376 n26