Preposition Placement in English

Preposition placement, the competition between preposition-stranding (*What is he talking about?*) and pied-piping (*About what is he talking?*), is one of the most interesting areas of syntactic variation in English. This is the first book to investigate preposition placement across all types of clauses that license it, such as questions, exclamations and *wh*-clauses, and those which exhibit categorical stranding, such as non-*wh* relative clauses, comparatives and passives. Drawing on over 100 authentic examples from both first-language (British) and second-language (Kenyan) data, it combines experimental and corpus-based approaches to provide a full grammatical account of preposition placement in both varieties of English. Although written within the usage-based Construction Grammar framework, the results are presented in theory-neutral terminology, making them accessible to researchers from all syntactic schools. This pioneering volume will be of interest not only to syntacticians, but also to second-language researchers and those working on variation in English.

THOMAS HOFFMANN is Assistant Professor of English Linguistics at the University of Osnabrück, Germany. His main research interests are Construction Grammar and the syntactic and phonetic variation in World Englishes. He has co-edited the volume *World Englishes: Problems, Properties and Prospects* (2009; with Lucia Siebers) and together with Graeme Trousdale is currently co-editing the Oxford Handbook of Construction Grammar.

STUDIES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE

General editor Merja Kytö (Uppsala University)

Editorial Board Bas Aarts (University College London), John Algeo (University of Georgia), Susan Fitzmaurice (University of Sheffield), Christian Mair (University of Freiburg), Charles F. Meyer (University of Massachusetts)

The aim of this series is to provide a framework for original studies of English, both present-day and past. All books are based securely on empirical research, and represent theoretical and descriptive contributions to our knowledge of national and international varieties of English, both written and spoken. The series covers a broad range of topics and approaches, including syntax, phonology, grammar, vocabulary, discourse, pragmatics and sociolinguistics, and is aimed at an international readership.

Already published in this series:

Charles F. Meyer: Apposition in Contemporary English Jan Firbas: Functional Sentence Perspective in Written and Spoken Communication Izchak M. Schlesinger: Cognitive Space and Linguistic Case Katie Wales: Personal Pronouns in Present-Day English Laura Wright: The Development of Standard English, 1300–1800: Theories, Descriptions, Conflicts Charles F. Meyer: English Corpus Linguistics: Theory and Practice Stephen J. Nagle and Sara L. Sanders (eds.): English in the Southern United States Anne Curzan: Gender Shifts in the History of English Kingsley Bolton: Chinese Englishes Irma Taavitsainen and Päivi Pahta (eds.): Medical and Scientific Writing in Late Medieval English Elizabeth Gordon, Lyle Campbell, Jennifer Hay, Margaret Maclagan, Andrea Sudbury and Peter Trudgill: New Zealand English: Its Origins and Evolution Raymond Hickey (ed.): Legacies of Colonial English Merja Kytö, Mats Rydén and Erik Smitterberg (eds.): Nineteenth-Century English: Stability and Change John Algeo: British or American English? A Handbook of Word and Grammar Patterns Christian Mair: Twentieth-Century English: History, Variation and Standardization

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A	Usage-based Approach
Thomas Hoffmann	
Frontmatter	
More information	

Evelien Keizer: The English Noun Phrase: The Nature of Linguistic Categorization Raymond Hickey: Irish English: History and Present-Day Forms Günter Rohdenburg and Julia Schlüter (eds.): One Language, Two Grammars?: Differences between British and American English Laurel J. Brinton: The Comment Clause in English Lieselotte Anderwald: The Morphology of English Dialects: Verb Formation in Non-standard English Geoffrey Leech, Marianne Hundt, Christian Mair and Nicholas Smith: Change in Contemporary English: A Grammatical Study Jonathan Culpeper and Merja Kytö: Early Modern English Dialogues: Spoken Interaction as Writing Daniel Schreier, Peter Trudgill, Edgar Schneider and Jeffrey Williams: The Lesser-Known Varieties of English: An Introduction Hilde Hasselgård: Adjunct Adverbials in English Raymond Hickey: Eighteenth-Century English: Ideology and Change Charles Boberg: The English Language in Canada: Status, History and **Comparative** Analysis

Preposition Placement in English

A Usage-based Approach

THOMAS HOFFMANN

University of Osnabrück



© in this web service Cambridge University Press

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press	
78-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-based Approac	ch
'homas Hoffmann	
'rontmatter	
Iore information	

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521760478

© Thomas Hoffmann 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Hoffmann, Thomas, 1976–
Preposition Placement in English : A Usage-based Approach / Thomas Hoffmann.
p. cm. – (Studies in English Language)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-0-521-76047-8
I. English language–Prepositions. 2. English language–Syntax. 3. English language–Grammar. I. Title. II. Series.
PE1335.H63 2011
428.2–dc22
2010045708

ISBN 978-0-521-76047-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

	List	of Figur	res	page x
	List	of Table	'S	xiv
	List	of Abbre	eviations	xvii
	Ack	nowledge	ements	xix
I	Intr	oduction	a	I
	1.1	Prepos	sition placement: The need for corroborating	
		eviden	ce	I
	1.2	World	Englishes, usage-based linguistics and	
			sition placement	3
	1.3	Outlin	e	7
2	Cor	roborati	ng evidence: Data and methodology	9
	2 .I		counts as evidence in Linguistics'	9
	2.2	Exhibi	it A: Corpus data	14
		2.2.I	The International Corpus of English (ICE)	
			corpora	15
			2.2.1.1 ICE-GB	15
			2.2.1.2 ICE-EA	17
		2.2.2	, , 5 5	
			and HCFA	18
	2.3		it B: Introspection data	26
		2.3.I	Experiment design	26
		2.3.2	Methodology: Magnitude Estimation	28
		2.3.3	Forensic tools II: SPSS	32
3	Case	e notes:	Independent factors	35
	3.1	Constr	ruction-specific constraints	35
		3.1.1	Relative clauses	36
		3.1.2	Type of displaced element	40
			3.1.2.1 Beyond bound relative clauses	40
			3.1.2.2 Bound relative clauses:	
			Secondary effects	47
		3.1.3	• •	51
		3.1.4	Diachronic and typological evidence	56
				vii

viii Contents

	3.2	Type of	of PP		65
		3.2.1	PP com	plements vs PP adjuncts	65
		3.2.2	Types of	f PPs: The complement–adjunct	
			cline		66
		3.2.3	Idiosyno	cratic lexical effects	72
			3.2.3.1	Obligatorily pied-piped prepositions	72
			3.2.3.2	Obligatorily stranded prepositions	74
			3.2.3.3	Antecedents which induce obligatory	
				pied-piping	75
	3.3	Level	of formal	ity	76
	3.4	PPs er	nbedded	in NPs and AdjPs	84
	3.5	Comp	lexity		93
		3.5.1	Gries's	multivariate corpus study (2002)	94
		3.5.2	Comple	xity-related and -specific factors	96
	3.6	Secon	d-languag	ge effects: Kenyan English	98
		3.6.1	L2 lang	uage learning	99
		3.6.2	General	properties of L2 preposition	
			placeme	nt	101
		3.6.3	English	in Kenya: Focus on preposition	
			placeme	nt	104
4	Evid		Corpus re		113
	4.I		g decisior		113
	4.2	Catego	orical clau	ise contexts	120
		4.2.I	ICE-GE		120
		4.2.2	ICE-EA		125
		4.2.3		ICE-GB vs ICE-EA	129
	4.3	Variab		contexts: ICE-GB vs ICE-EA	133
		4.3.I		displaying no variation	133
			4.3.1.1	Non-standard ICE-EA tokens	133
			4.3.1.2		134
			4.3.1.3	Idiosyncratic effects: Obligatorily	
				stranded prepositions and the effect	
				of to be	137
			4.3.1.4	Categorical PP types: Accidental	
				and systematic gaps II	141
			4.3.1.5	Obligatorily pied-piping PP types	146
		4.3.2		regression analysis	147
	4.4	Relativ	ve clauses		157
		4.4.I		ite relative clauses	158
		4.4.2		ically pied-piping PPs	160
		4.4.3	•	regression analysis	165
		4.4.4	HCFA:	Stranded finite relative clauses	170
	4.5	Summ	nary		172

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-based Approach Thomas Hoffmann Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

Contents	ix

5	Evic	lence II: Experimental results	175			
	5.1	Preposition placement in simple relative clauses	175			
		5.1.1 British English	177			
		5.1.2 Kenyan English	183			
	5.2	Preposition placement in relative clauses of varying				
		complexity	188			
		5.2.1 British English	192			
		5.2.2 Kenyan English	203			
	5.3	Preposition placement in interrogative clauses	212			
		5.3.1 British English	214			
		5.3.2 Kenyan English	219			
	5.4	Summary	224			
6	Prep	reposition placement: The case for a Construction				
	Gra	mmar account	226			
	6.1	Construction Grammar: A descriptively and				
		explanatorily adequate linguistic theory	226			
	6.2	Preposition placement: The minimum				
		complete-inheritance construction network	236			
		6.2.1 Variable preposition placement	241			
		6.2.2 English relative clauses and Construction				
		Grammar	247			
		6.2.3 Categorically stranding contexts	257			
	6.3	Preposition placement: The enriched				
		usage-based construction network	264			
7	Con	clusion: The verdict	276			
	Onla	ne appendix	279			
		rences	280			
	Inde	X	295			

Figures

3.1	Preposition Placement across clause types	page 40
4. I	Preposition-stranding in non-relative clauses across TEXT TYPE and VARIETY	153
4.2	Preposition placement in relative/cleft clauses across TEXT TYPE and VARIETY	154
4.3	Pied-piping in relative clauses across COMPLEXITY and PP TYPE	168
5.1	Pied-piping across RELATIVIZERS and PP TYPES (British English speakers)	180
5.2	Stranding across RELATIVIZERS and PP TYPES (British English speakers)	180
5.3	Pied-piping across RELATIVIZERS and PP TYPES compared with fillers (British English speakers)	181
5.4	Stranding means for all RELATIVIZERS across PP TYPES compared with fillers (British English speakers)	182
5.5	Pied-piping across RELATIVIZERS and PP TYPES (Kenyan English speakers)	185
5.6	Stranding across RELATIVIZERS and PP TYPES (Kenyan English speakers)	186
5.7	Pied-piping across RELATIVIZERS and PP TYPES compared with fillers (Kenyan English speakers)	186
5.8	Stranding means for all RELATIVIZERS across PP TYPES compared with fillers (Kenyan English speakers)	187
5.9	Means for fillers with relativized subject position in simple relative clauses across filler sets 1 and 2 (British English dat	a) 196

х

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement	in English: A Usage-based Approach
Thomas Hoffmann	
Frontmatter	
Moreinformation	

List of figures xi

5.10	Means for fillers with relativized subject position in complex relative clauses with a <i>that</i> -complementizer across filler sets 1 and 2 (British English data)	196
5.11	Means for fillers with relativized subject position in complex relative clauses with a Ø-complementizer across filler sets 1 and 2 (British English data)	197
5.12	Means for fillers with relativized subject position across COMPLEXITY for selected fillers (British English data)	198
5.13	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in simple relative clauses (British English data)	199
5.14	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in simple relative clauses plus selected ungrammatical fillers (British English data)	199
5.15	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in complex <i>that</i> -complementizer relative clauses (British English data)	200
5.16	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in complex <i>that</i> -complementizer relative clauses plus selected ungrammatical fillers (British English data)	200
5.17	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in complex Ø-complementizer relative clauses (British English data)	201
5.18	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in complex Ø-complementizer relative clauses plus selected ungrammatical fillers (British English data)	201
5.19	Means for fillers with relativized subject position across COMPLEXITY for selected fillers (Kenyan English data)	206
5.20	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in simple relative clauses (Kenyan English data)	207
5.21	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in simple relative clauses plus selected ungrammatical fillers (Kenyan English data)	207
5.22	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in complex <i>that</i> -complementizer relative clauses (Kenyan English data)	208

Cambridge University Press	
78-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-based Approach	h
'homas Hoffmann	
'rontmatter	
Aore information	

xii List of figures

5.23	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in complex <i>that</i> -complementizer relative clauses plus selected ungrammatical fillers (Kenyan English data)	208
5.24	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in complex Ø-complementizer relative clauses (Kenyan English data)	209
5.25	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT across RELATIVIZERS in complex Ø-complementizer relative clauses plus selected ungrammatical fillers (Kenyan English data)	210
5.26	Means for simple stranded relative clauses plus selected ungrammatical fillers (British and Kenyan English data)	211
5.27	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*PP TYPE for interrogative pronoun <i>wh</i> -1 plus ungrammatical fillers (British English data)	216
5.28	Means for PREPOSITION-STRANDING/PIED-PIPING*PP TYPE for interrogative pronoun <i>wh</i> -1 plus all fillers (British English data)	216
5.29	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*PP TYPE for interrogative pronoun <i>wh</i> -2 plus ungrammatical fillers (British English data)	217
5.30	Means for PREPOSITION-STRANDING/PIED-PIPING*PP TYPE for interrogative pronoun <i>wh</i> -2 plus all fillers (British English data)	218
5.31	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*PP TYPE for interrogative pronoun <i>wh</i> -1 plus ungrammatical fillers (Kenyan English data)	221
5.32	Means for preposition-stranding/pied-piping*PP TYPE for interrogative pronoun <i>wh</i> -1 plus all fillers (Kenyan English data)	221
5.33	Means for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*PP TYPE for interrogative pronoun <i>wh-2</i> plus ungrammatical fillers (Kenyan English data)	222
5.34	Means for PREPOSITION-STRANDING/PIED-PIPING*PP TYPE for interrogative pronoun <i>wh</i> -2 plus all fillers (Kenya English data)	223
6.1	Multiple inheritance network of John spills the beans	230
6.2	An HPSG lexicon entry for <i>rely</i> (modelled on Ginzburg and Sag 2000: 18)	237

Cambridge University Press	
78-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-based Approac	h
Fhomas Hoffmann	
Frontmatter	
More information	

	List of figures	xiii
6.3	The VP <i>rely on John</i> in HPSG (partly modelled on Ginzburg and Sag 2000: 30)	239
6.4	The tree-notation of the HPSG VP rely on John	239
6.5	The type hierarchy of selected headed phrases (taken from Ginzburg and Sag 2000: 32)	240
6.6	HEADEDNESS and CLAUSALITY constructions (taken from Ginzburg and Sag 2000: 39)	241
6.7	Pied-piping in HPSG (modelled on van Eynde 2004: 315)	242
6.8	<i>Wh</i> -pied-piping constraint in HPSG (van Eynde 2004: 329)	243
6.9	Minimal HPSG preposition placement construction network (preliminary version based on Ginzburg and Sag 2000; Sag 1997)	245
6.10	HPSG relative clause construction network (adapted from Sag 1997: 464, 473)	248
6.11	A possible entry for the <i>subject-that-finite-relative-clause</i> construction	252
6.12	Revised relative clause construction network for British English	254
6.13	Revised relative clause construction network for Kenyan English	255
6.14	Partial description of <i>it</i> -clefts	256
6.15	'Constructional rule for adjunct prepositional passives' (Tseng 2006: 182)	258
6.16	Constructional rule for complement prepositional passives	259
6.17	Lexical rule for SLASH-cancellation in <i>tough</i> -constructions (adapted from Pollard and Sag 1994: 167)	260
6.18	Complete-inheritance HPSG-based Construction Grammar account of preposition placement in British English	263
6.19	Usage-based enriched construction network for British English	267
6.20	Usage-based enriched construction network for Kenyan English	268
6.21	Usage-based construction network of various PP types (British and Kenyan English)	272

Tables

2. I	Covarying-collexeme analysis (adapted from Stefanowitsch	
	and Gries 2005: 9) <i>pa</i>	age 23
2.2	Fictitious output of a CFA	25
2.3	Token set example laugh at: You wouldn't believe the things	27
2.4	Counterbalanced material set sentence list	28
3.1	P placement in <i>wh</i> -interrogative and <i>wh</i> -relative clauses in the Brown corpus (Trotta 2000: 57, 182)	54
3.2	P placement in <i>wh</i> -interrogative and <i>wh</i> -relative clauses in selected languages (adapted from Hamilton 1994)	56
3.3	P placement in <i>wh</i> -interrogative and <i>wh</i> -relative clauses in selected clause types (adapted from Yáñez-Bouza 2004)	64
3.4	Preposition pied-piping vs stranding in speech (LLC, BIRM, BNC) (taken from Johansson and Geisler 1998: 70)	, 67
3.5	PP types used for stranding and pied-piping with <i>wh</i> -relativizers in studies of written and spoken PdE (adapted from Bergh and Seppänen 2000: 307; supplemented by additional data from Johansson and Geisler 1998: 70)	81
3.6	Preposition pied-piping and stranding in interrogative clauses from the BROWN corpus by text type (adapted from Trotta 2000: 64)	s 84
3.7	Preposition pied-piping and stranding in interrogative clauses in the BNC corpus by text type (adapted from Gries 2002: 232)	94
4.I	Dependent variable and factor groups #1–#4	114
4.2	Factor group #5 PP type (adapted from Hoffmann	
•	2006: 176)	116

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-based Approach
Thomas Hoffmann
Frontmatter
More information

	List of tables	xv
4.3	Additional factor groups for relative clause analysis	116
4.4	ICE-GB results for the factor group CATEGORICAL CLAUSE TYPE	120
4.5	PP types × obligatorily stranding clauses in the ICE-GB	122
4.6	ICE-EA results for the factor group CATEGORICAL CLAUSE TYPE	125
4.7	PP types × obligatorily stranding clauses in the ICE-EA	126
4.8	HCFA for the configurations of the individual variables	130
4.9	HCFA for the configurations of all two-way interactions	131
4.10	HCFA for the configurations of all significant three-way interactions	132
4.II	HCFA for the configurations of the individual variables	134
4.12	HCFA result for <i>be</i> -tokens	140
4.13	Selected PP types with expected and actual knockout effect	141
4.14	HCFA result for individual variables in obligatory PP types	146
4.15	HCFA result for all significant interactions in obligatory PP types	147
4.16	#1 CLAUSE TYPES	148
4.17	#2 DISPLACED ELEMENT	149
4.18	#3 type of XP contained in	150
4.19	#4 техт туре	151
4.20	#5 PP TYPE	152
4.21	Final Goldvarb model for the variable ICE-EA and ICE-GB data	155
4.22	Non-finite RCs: HCFA configurations	159
4.23	PP types exhibiting knockout effects in ICE-GB RCs	161
4.24	Covarying-collexeme analysis of respect adjunct PPs	162
4.25	Covarying-collexeme analysis of manner adjunct PPs	164
4.26	Covarying-collexeme analysis of frequency adjunct PPs	165
4.27	Covarying-collexeme analysis of degree adjunct PPs	165
4.28	Final Goldvarb model for ICE-GB and ICE-EA RC data	167

xvi List of tables

4.29	HCFA: stranded finite RC data – individual variables	170
4.30	HCFA: stranded finite RC data – interactions	171
5.1	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*RELATIVIZER interaction	179
5.2	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT* PP TYPE interaction	179
5.3	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*RELATIVIZER interaction	184
5.4	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT* PP interaction	185
5.5	Sample token set	189
5.6	Filler set A	191
5.7	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for COMPLEXITY*PREPOSITION PLACEMENT interaction	193
5.8	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*RELATIVIZER interaction	195
5.9	T-test results P + $who/that$ -RELATIVIZER vs simple \emptyset -subject fillers	202
5.10	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for COMPLEXITY*PREPOSITION PLACEMENT interaction	204
5.11	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*RELATIVIZER interaction	205
5.12	T-test results P + <i>who/that</i> -RELATIVIZER vs simple Ø-subject fillers	210
5.13	Filler set 1	213
5.14	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*PP TYPE interaction (British English data)	215
5.15	Result of post-hoc Tukey test for PREPOSITION PLACEMENT*PP TYPE interaction (Kenyan English data)	220
6.1	The syntax–lexicon continuum (adapted from Croft and Cruse 2004: 255; incorporating arguments from Goldberg 2003: 220 and Jackendoff 2002: 176)	228

Abbreviations

AdjP	Adjective Phrase
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AVM	Attribute-Value Matrix
BIRM	Birmingham corpus
BNC	British National Corpus
BROWN	Brown Corpus
CFA	Configural Frequency Analysis
СР	Complementizer Phrase
DP	Determiner Phrase
FTF	Fuzzy Tree Fragment
GHFP	Generalized Head Feature Principle
GLM	Generalized Linear Model
HCFA	Hierarchical Configural Frequency Analysis
HPSG	Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar
ICE	International Corpus of English
ICECUP	ICE-GB retrieval software
ICE-EA	International Corpus of English (East Africa)
ICE-GB	International Corpus of English (Great Britain)
ICN	Instant Chunk Number
LF	Logical Form
LLC	London–Lund Corpus
MCN	Mean Chunk Number
ME	Middle English
NP	Noun Phrase
OE	Old English
Р	Preposition

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-based Approach
Thomas Hoffmann
Frontmatter
More information

xviii List of abbreviations

PASS	Passive
PP	Preposition Phrase
PRO	Pronoun
PST	Past (tense)
REL	Relative
SpecC	Specifier of Complementizer Phrase
SpecFocus	Specifier of Focus Phrase
SpecT	Specifier of Tense Phrase
SpecTopic	Specifier of Topic Phrase
V	Verb
VP	Verb Phrase
ХР	Unspecified phrase (NP, VP, AdjP, etc.)

Acknowledgements

L - - d! said my mother, what is all this story about?

A COCK and a BULL, said Yorick —— And one of the best of its kind, I ever heard. (Laurence Sterne, *The Life and Opinions of Tristram Shandy, Gentleman*)

Hopefully the present book does not turn out to be a 'cock and bull' story but qualifies as valid scientific analysis of preposition placement in English. Also I would not go as far as labelling it 'one of the best of its kind', but the current version is definitely better than any of the earlier drafts. This has to do with the great number of friends and colleagues who constantly provided invaluable feedback and support.

First and foremost, I have to thank Edgar W. Schneider for his continuing support and friendship throughout the various stages of this project. It was he who suggested preposition-stranding as a potential topic for an MA thesis seven years ago, and little did we both realize at the time that it would eventually lead to a PhD thesis and, finally, end up as a book in CUP's Studies in English Language series. The relationship between my MA and PhD theses can probably be best described as loose family resemblance: both deal with preposition-stranding, but while the former limited itself to relative clauses, the PhD investigated all constructions exhibiting the phenomenon in present-day English. Furthermore, in contrast to the MA study, for the PhD thesis I corroborated corpus data with psycholinguistic experiments and focused not only on British English but compared it to the second-language variety of English spoken in Kenya. Finally, while the syntactic description of preposition placement in my MA thesis was couched in arcane Minimalist Program terminology, the PhD opted for an arcane Construction Grammar analysis. From this short overview of the numerous changes that the work has undergone in the past few years it will be obvious that supervising my theses was not an easy task. Edgar, however, put up with all these linguistic mood swings. In addition to having him as my thesis supervisor, for the past five years I have also had the pleasure of working for Edgar's research team. Both as a supervisor and as a boss he not only allowed me a great deal of freedom to pursue my own linguistic research, but also offered support whenever I needed it (be it for grant applications or comments on earlier

xx Acknowledgements

drafts of articles). For all of this, I can't thank him enough and I am very much aware how lucky I am to have him as a boss and, even more importantly, as a friend.

Next I would like to thank my second supervisor, Roswitha Fischer. Way back in the last millennium when I was still a student she was the first to offer me a job as a student tutor at the English department of the University of Regensburg. At the time I mainly wanted the job for financial reasons, but it was clearly the first step towards my decision to pursue an academic career in English linguistics. I don't know whether this has done the field of linguistics much good, but I certainly profited from this decision. So I sincerely would like to thank Roswitha for making all this possible in the first place.

As usual, there are many others without whom nothing even closely resembling the present book could have been written. During my field trip to Kenya, Alfred Buregeva from the University of Nairobi helped me find safe accommodation, ensured that I got enough subjects for all of my experiments and invited me to his house to spend some time with his wonderful family. Graeme Trousdale and And Rosta, on the other hand, supplied me with a large number of my British English subjects for the experiments. I am deeply grateful to all three of them for their help. In addition to that, I would like to thank Stefan Th. Gries for providing me with his Coll.analysis 3 software, and I am also very grateful to John Maindonald for his help with the R software, as well as to John Paolillo and Robert Sigley for their Goldvarb support. I am furthermore indebted to Sam Featherston for introducing me to the WebExp software and for his continuous help with all questions concerning the statistical analysis of Magnitude Estimation data in SPSS. Also, I am in Manfred Sailer's debt for having a look at my earlier endeavours into HPSG. Andreas Dufter I would like to thank for proofreading chapter 3. A big thank you also goes to Holger Saurenbach, who not only provided me with constant food for thought, but in essence converted me to Construction Grammar. Finally, I have to thank my friend Peter Waller who agreed to proofread my manuscript. I hope I can return the favour in the not so distant future.

Next, I would like to thank everyone at Cambridge University Press for their permanent support in publishing this book. In particular, I am, of course, extremely grateful to the series editor, Merja Kytö, for considering my book a possible contribution to the excellent *Studies in English Language* series in the first place. On top of this, I would like to express my gratitude to the commissioning editor, Helen Barton, for her continuous support throughout the entire publication process. Finally, I would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments, which have helped to greatly improve the quality of the book.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my wife Moni, my rock of love, who's keeping it real and always knows what time it is.