

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i> vii
<i>List of tables</i>	ix
<i>Foreword</i>	
<i>by</i> VALERIE BRAITHWAITE	xi
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
1 Introduction	1
2 Tax law, the shadow economy and tax non-compliance	5
2.1 Complexity of tax law	5
2.2 Shadow economy	13
2.3 Tax compliance versus non-compliance	21
2.3.1 Definitions of tax compliance, avoidance and evasion	21
2.3.2 Diffusion of income tax evasion	23
3 Social representations of taxes	28
3.1 Subjective knowledge and mental concepts	31
3.1.1 Subjective knowledge	31
3.1.2 Subjective concepts of taxation	39
3.2 Attitudes	49
3.3 Norms	58
3.3.1 Personal norms	59
3.3.2 Social norms	64
3.3.3 Societal norms	70
3.4 Behaviour control: perceived opportunities of non-compliance	72
3.5 Fairness	73
3.5.1 Distributive justice	78
3.5.2 Procedural justice	84
3.5.3 Retributive justice	87
3.5.4 Individual and situational differences in justice concerns	90
3.5.5 Justice and social identification	93
3.6 Motivation to comply	96
3.6.1 Motivational postures	96
3.6.2 Tax morale	99
	v

vi	Contents	
4	Tax compliance decisions	103
4.1	Audit probabilities, fines, tax rate and income effects	107
4.1.1	Audit rates	108
4.1.2	Fines	111
4.1.3	Marginal tax rate	114
4.1.4	Income	115
4.1.5	Reasons for weak effects of audits and fines	116
4.2	Repeated audits	118
4.3	Heuristics, biases and framing effects	129
5	Self-employment and taxpaying	152
6	Interaction between tax authorities and taxpayers	167
7	Cautious conclusions	182
7.1	Methodological concerns	182
7.2	Summary of research findings	187
7.2.1	Interaction between tax authorities and taxpayers	189
7.2.2	Social representations of taxes	191
7.2.3	Deciding to pay taxes	197
7.2.4	Self-employment and paying taxes out of pocket	200
7.3	The ‘slippery-slope model’: trust in authorities and voluntary compliance versus power of authorities and enforced compliance	202
	<i>References</i>	207
	<i>Index</i>	233

Figures

1.	Classification of determinants of tax compliance	<i>page 3</i>
2.	Growth in number of words in the US IRS Code from 1955 to 2000 (adopted from <a href="http://www.taxfoundation.org/compliancetestimony.html">www.taxfoundation.org/compliancetestimony.html</a> ; quoted in Owens and Hamilton, 2004, p. 349)	8
3.	Semantic space of associations to ‘taxes’ by entrepreneurs, blue- and white-collar workers, civil servants and students (Kirchler, 1998)	44
4.	Scenario about tax avoidance, tax evasion and tax flight (Kirchler, Maciejovsky and Schneider, 2003)	45
5.	Two-dimensional result of correspondence analysis of associations on tax avoidance, tax evasion and tax flight with respect to employment group (Kirchler, Maciejovsky and Schneider, 2003)	46
6.	Observed tax behaviour, related to willingness to cooperate and shared views on tax-related dimensions (B-values and p-values; * = $p < .05$ ; ** = $p < .01$ ) (reprinted from Kirchler, Niemiowski and Wearing, 2006, p. 513, with permission from Elsevier)	48
7.	Description and evaluation of typical taxpayers, honest taxpayers and tax evaders (judgments range from –3 (lazy, stupid) to +3 (hard-working, intelligent); evaluations range from –1 = negative to +1 = positive evaluation) (Kirchler, 1998)	52
8.	Attitudes towards taking undue benefits and not declaring income (bar charts indicate percentages of judgments of morally wrong behaviour, lines indicate percentages of people who might show the behaviour) (adopted from Orviska and Hudson, 2002, p. 91)	54
9.	Attitude–behaviour model for the case of tax evasion according to Lewis, 1982, p. 172	58
		vii

viii	List of figures	
10.	Effects of social norms on personal tax ethics at low versus high levels of identification (reprinted from Wenzel, 2005b, p. 501, with permission from Elsevier)	69
11.	Mean reported income by public transfer condition and high and low use of equity considerations in tax reports (reprinted from Kim, 2002, p. 778, with permission from Elsevier)	91
12.	Australian Taxation Office Compliance Model (adapted from V. Braithwaite, 2003b, p. 3 and from James <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	100
13.	Tax morale and the size of shadow economy (reprinted from Alm and Torgler, 2006, p. 243, with permission from Elsevier)	101
14.	Compliance rate by audit probability and periods since last audit (Kirchler, Maciejovsky and Schwarzenberger, 2005)	122
15.	Compliance rate by sanctions and periods since last audit (Kirchler, Maciejovsky and Schwarzenberger, 2005)	122
16.	'Bomb crater' effects immediately after an audit (Guala and Mittone, 2005, p. 9)	124
17a,b.	'Echo' effect in experiments with audits in the first and second half of sixty business periods, respectively (Guala and Mittone, 2005, pp. 12 and 13)	125
18.	Value function in prospect theory	134
19.	Wage and salary earners' and business income earners' voluntary compliance rates as a function of the size of refund or balance due (Cox and Plumley, 1988; quoted in Webley <i>et al.</i> , 1991, p. 84)	137
20.	Mean preference ratings for non-compliance under the conditions of loss, refund and final asset position (adapted from Schepanski and Kelsey, 1990, pp. 63 and 65)	140
21.	Mean preference ratings for non-compliant versus compliant choice alternatives (adapted from Schepanski and Shearer, 1995, p. 181)	142
22.	Structural model of determinants of non-compliance of self-employed people and tax auditors (beta weights) (Kirchler and Berger, 1998)	155
23.	Structural relationship between duration of running a business, age of respondents, perceived limitation of freedom, attitudes towards taxes, tax morale and intention to evade (Kirchler, 1999)	157
24.	Effects of change in slope on value functions in prospect theory	160
25.	Effects of a shift in reference point on value functions in prospect theory	161
26.	Determinants of compliance depending on the power of the state and trust in authorities: the 'slippery-slope model'	205

Tables

---

1. Taxonomy of underground economic activities (reprinted from Alm, Martinez-Vazquez and Schneider, 2004, p. 16, with permission from Elsevier)	<i>page 14</i>
2. Relative size of the shadow economy around the world in 2000 (percentage of GNP, 1999/2000) (Alm, Martinez-Vazquez and Schneider, 2004, p. 22; Schneider and Klinglmaier, 2004, pp. 42–4)	17
3. Average compliance rates depending on uncertainty about fines, taxes and detections probability, and provision of public goods (Alm, Jackson and McKee, 1992a, p. 1,024)	38
4. Percentages of free associations to the stimulus word ‘taxes’ (Schmölders, 1960, p. 77)	42
5. Correlations between tax evasion and measures of psychological instigations and constraints (reprinted from Elffers, Weigel and Hessing, 1987, p. 328, with permission from Elsevier)	56
6. Distributive justice, procedural justice and retributive justice by level of analysis (reprinted from Wenzel, 2003, pp. 49–58, by permission of Ashgate)	77
7. Average perceived public expenditures and desired expenditures for various public goods, and perceived subjective utility and desired utility of public goods (1 = very low, 5 = very high) (Kirchler, 1997a)	81
8. Motivational postures and statements representing them (V. Braithwaite, 2003a, p. 20)	98
9. Frequency of motivational postures and correlations with tax evasion and avoidance (V. Braithwaite, 2003a, pp. 33–4)	99
10. Average percentage of declared income by audit probability, punishment and tax rate (Friedland, Maital and Rutenber, 1978)	112
	ix

x	List of tables	
11.	Average compliance rate by fine rate and audit probability (standard deviations in parentheses; Alm, Sanchez and deJuan, 1995, p. 11)	113
12.	Non-compliance and cheating as a function of withholding status (means and standard deviations in parentheses) (Robben <i>et al.</i> , 1990b, pp. 355 and 358)	138
13.	Proportion of participants choosing the non-compliant alternative under the conditions of loss, refund and final asset position (adapted from Schepanski and Kelsey, 1990, pp. 64 and 66)	141
14.	Positively and negatively framed tax conditions (Hasseldine and Hite, 2003, pp. 530–1)	148
15.	Context-neutral choice task and choice embedded in a vertical fairness scenario (King and Sheffrin, 2002, pp. 513–14 and 518)	150
16.	Individual-level tax honesty by control and experimental conditions (Kirchler <i>et al.</i> , 2005)	163
17.	Probability of appearance of digits in leading position, second, and third position of naturally occurring amounts (Benford, 1938).	172
18.	Frequency of surname first letter (percentages) in census data and tax evader data (Lochbuy and O'Rourke, 1996, p. 81, reproduced with permission from the <i>Australian Tax Review</i> , 1996, 25 (June), p. 81 published by the Lawbook Co., part of Thomson Legal and Regulatory Limited, <a href="http://www.thomson.com.au">http://www.thomson.com.au</a> )	174
19.	Strategies to reach customer orientation (James <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	177