Introduction

... though I bestowed some time in writing the book, yet it cost me not half so much labor as this very preface. *Miguel de Cervantes Saavedra*¹

Background: In the early 1950s, Fermi, Pasta, and Ulam² (FPU), in the unpublished report by Fermi et al. (1955), analyzed numerically, in one of the first computer simulations performed, the behavior of oscillations in certain nonlinear lattices. Expecting equipartition of energy among the various modes, they were highly surprised to discover that the energy did not equidistribute, but rather they observed that the system seemed to return periodically to its initial state. Motivated by the surprising findings in FPU, several researchers, including Ford (1961), Ford and Waters (1964), Waters and Ford (1964), Atlee Jackson et al. (1968), Payton and Visscher (1967a,b; 1968), Payton et al. (1967), studied lattice models with different nonlinear interactions, observing close to periodic and solitary behavior. It was Toda who in 1967 isolated the exponential interaction, see Toda (1967a,b) and hence introduced a model that supported an exact periodic and soliton solution. The model, now called the Toda lattice, is a nonlinear differential-difference system continuous in time and discrete in space,

$$x_{tt}(n,t) = e^{(x(n-1,t)-x(n,t))} - e^{(x(n,t)-x(n+1,t))}, \quad (n,t) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R},$$
(0.1)

where x(n, t) denotes the displacement of the *n*th particle from its equilibrium position at time *t*. While nonlinear lattices are interesting objects of study and certainly

¹ Don Quixote, (1605) preface.

² "We [Fermi and Ulam]...decided to attempt to formulate a problem simple to state but such that a solution ... could not be done with pencil and paper... Our problem turned out to be felicitously chosen. The results were entirely different from what even Fermi, with his great knowledge of wave motions, had expected. ... Fermi considered this to be, as he said, "a minor discovery." ... He intended to talk about this [at the Gibbs lecture; a lecture never given as Fermi became ill before the meeting]...", see Ulam (1991, p. 226f).

2

Introduction

of fundamental importance in their own right, it should be mentioned that already in the paper Toda (1967b), it is also shown that the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation emerges in a certain scaling, or continuum limit, from the Toda lattice, creating a link with the theory of the KdV equation. Indeed, the theory for the Toda lattice is closely intertwined with the corresponding theory for the KdV equation on several levels. Most notably, the Toda lattice shares many of the properties of the KdV equation and other completely integrable equations. This applies, in particular, to the Hamiltonian and algebro-geometric formalism treated in detail in the present monograph. While the developments for the KdV equation preceded those for the Toda lattice, in the context of algebro-geometric solutions the actual developments for the latter rapidly followed the former as described below.

Before turning to a description of the main contributors and their accomplishments in connection with the Hamiltonian and algebro-geometric formalism for the Toda lattice, we briefly recall a few milestones in the development leading up to soliton and algebro-geometric solutions of the KdV equation (for an in-depth presentation of that theory, we refer to the introduction of Volume I). In 1965, Kruskal and Zabusky (cf. Zabusky and Kruskal (1965)), while analyzing the numerical results of FPU on heat conductivity in solids, discovered that pulselike solitary wave solutions of the KdV equation, for which the name "solitons" was coined, interacted elastically. This was followed by the 1967 discovery of Gardner, Greene, Kruskal, and Miura (cf. Gardner et al. (1967; 1974)) that the inverse scattering method allowed one to solve initial value problems for the KdV equation with sufficiently fast decaying initial data. Soon after, Lax (1968) found the explanation of the isospectral nature of KdV solutions using the concept of Lax pairs and introduced a whole hierarchy of KdV equations. Subsequently, in the early 1970s, Zakharov and Shabat (1972; 1973; 1974), and Ablowitz et al. (1973a,b; 1974) extended the inverse scattering method to a wide class of nonlinear partial differential equations of relevance in various scientific contexts, ranging from nonlinear optics to condensed matter physics and elementary particle physics. In particular, soliton solutions found numerous applications in classical and quantum field theory, in connection with optical communication devices, etc.

Another decisive step forward in the development of completely integrable soliton equations was taken around 1974. Prior to that period, inverse spectral methods in the context of nonlinear evolution equations had been restricted to spatially decaying solutions to enable the applicability of inverse scattering techniques. From 1975 on, following some pioneering work of Novikov (1974), the arsenal of inverse spectral methods was extended considerably in scope to include periodic and certain classes of quasi-periodic and almost periodic KdV finite-band solutions. This new approach to constructing solutions of integrable nonlinear evolution equations, based on solutions of the inverse periodic spectral problem and on algebro-geometric methods

Introduction

and theta function representations, developed by pioneers such as Dubrovin, Its, Kac, Krichever, Marchenko, Matveev, McKean, Novikov, and van Moerbeke, to name just a few, was followed by very rapid development in the field and within a few years of intense activity worldwide, the landscape of integrable systems was changed forever. By the early 1980s the theory was extended to a large class of nonlinear (including certain multi-dimensional) evolution equations beyond the KdV equation, and the explicit theta function representation of quasi-periodic solutions of integrable equations (including soliton solutions as special limiting cases) had introduced new algebro-geometric techniques into this area of nonlinear partial differential equations. Subsequently, this led to an interesting cross-fertilization between the areas of integrable nonlinear partial differential equations and algebraic geometry, culminating, for instance, in a solution of Schottky's problem (Shiota (1986; 1990), see also Krichever (2006) and the references cited therein).

The present monograph is devoted to hierarchies of completely integrable differential-difference equations and their algebro-geometric solutions, treating, in particular, the Toda, Kac–van Moerbeke, and Ablowitz–Ladik hierarchies. For brevity we just recall the early historical development in connection with the Toda lattice and refer to the Notes for more recent literature on this topic and for the corresponding history of the Kac–van Moerbeke and Ablowitz–Ladik hierarchies. After Toda's introduction of the exponential lattice in 1967, it was Flaschka who in 1974 proved its integrability by establishing a Lax pair for it with Lax operator a tri-diagonal Jacobi operator on \mathbb{Z} (a discrete Sturm–Liouville-type operator, cf. Flaschka (1974a)). He used the variable transformation

$$a(n,t) = \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(x(n,t) - x(n+1,t))\right),$$

$$b(n,t) = -\frac{1}{2}x_t(n,t), \quad (n,t) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R},$$
(0.2)

which transforms (0.1) into a first-order system for *a*, *b*, the Toda lattice system, displayed in (0.3). Just within a few months, this was independently observed also by Manakov (1975). The corresponding integrability in the finite-dimensional periodic case had first been established by Hénon (1974) and shortly thereafter by Flaschka (1974b) (see also Flaschka (1975), Flaschka and McLaughlin (1976a), Kac and van Moerbeke (1975a), van Moerbeke (1976)). Soon after, integrability of the finite non-periodic Toda lattice was established by Moser (1975a). Returning to the Toda lattice (0.2) on \mathbb{Z} , infinitely-many constants of motion (conservation laws) were derived by Flaschka (1974a) and Manakov (1975) (see also McLaughlin (1975)), moreover, the Hamiltonian formalism, Poisson brackets, etc., were also established by Manakov (1975) (see also Flaschka and McLaughlin (1976b)). The theta function representation of *b* in the periodic case was nearly simultaneously derived by Dubrovin et al.

4

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-75307-4 - Soliton Equations and their Algebro-Geometric Solutions, Volume II: (1+1)-Dimensional Continuous Models Fritz Gesztesy, Helge Holden, Johanna Michor and Gerald Teschl Excerpt <u>More information</u>

Introduction

(1976) and Date and Tanaka (1976a,b), following Its and Matveev (1975a,b) in their theta function derivation of the corresponding periodic finite-band KdV solution. An explicit theta function representation for a was derived a bit later by Krichever (1978) (see also Kričever (1982), Krichever (1982; 1983), and the appendix written by Krichever in Dubrovin (1981)). We also note that Dubrovin, Matveev, and Novikov as well as Date and Tanaka consider the special periodic case, but Krichever treats both the periodic and quasi-periodic cases.

Scope: We aim for an elementary, yet self-contained, and precise presentation of hierarchies of integrable soliton differential-difference equations and their algebrogeometric solutions. Our point of view is predominantly influenced by analytical methods. We hope this will make the presentation accessible and attractive to analysts working outside the traditional areas associated with soliton equations. Central to our approach is a simultaneous construction of all algebro-geometric solutions and their theta function representation of a given hierarchy. In this volume we focus on some of the key hierarchies in (1 + 1)-dimensions associated with differential-difference integrable models such as the Toda lattice hierarchy (TI), the Kac–van Moerbeke hierarchy (KM), and the Ablowitz–Ladik hierarchy (AL). The key equations, defining the corresponding hierarchies, read¹

TI:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
a_t - a(b^+ - b) \\
b_t - 2(a^2 - (a^-)^2)
\end{pmatrix} = 0,$$
KM:

$$\rho_t - \rho((\rho^+)^2 - (\rho^-)^2) = 0,$$
(0.3)
AL:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-i\alpha_t - (1 - \alpha\beta)(\alpha^+ + \alpha^-) + 2\alpha \\
-i\beta_t + (1 - \alpha\beta)(\beta^+ + \beta^-) - 2\beta
\end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Our principal goal in this monograph is the construction of algebro-geometric solutions of the hierarchies associated with the equations listed in (0.3). Interest in the class of algebro-geometric solutions can be motivated in a variety of ways: It represents a natural extension of the classes of soliton solutions and similar to these, its elements can still be regarded as explicit solutions of the nonlinear integrable evolution equation in question (even though their complexity considerably increases compared to soliton solutions due to the underlying analysis on compact Riemann surfaces). Moreover, algebro-geometric solutions can be used to approximate more general solutions (such as almost periodic ones) although this is not a topic pursued in this monograph. Here we primarily focus on the construction of explicit solutions in terms of certain algebro-geometric data on a compact hyperelliptic Riemann surface and their representation in terms of theta functions. Solitons arise as the special case of solutions corresponding to an underlying singular hyperelliptic curve

¹ Here, and in the following, ϕ^{\pm} denotes the shift of a lattice function ϕ , that is, $\phi^{\pm}(n) = \phi(n \pm 1)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Introduction

obtained by confluence of pairs of branch points. The theta function associated with the underlying singular curve then degenerates into appropriate determinants with exponential entries.

We use basic techniques from the theory of differential-difference equations, some spectral analysis, and elements of algebraic geometry (most notably, the basic theory of compact Riemann surfaces). In particular, we do not employ more advanced tools such as loop groups, Grassmanians, Lie algebraic considerations, formal pseudo-differential expressions, etc. Thus, this volume strays off the mainstream, but we hope it appeals to spectral theorists and their kin and convinces them of the beauty of the subject. In particular, we hope a reader interested in quickly reaching the fundamentals of the algebro-geometric approach of constructing solutions of hierarchies of completely integrable evolution equations will not be disappointed.

Completely integrable systems, and especially nonlinear evolution equations of soliton-type, are an integral part of modern mathematical and theoretical physics, with far-reaching implications from pure mathematics to the applied sciences. It is our intention to contribute to the dissemination of some of the beautiful techniques applied in this area.

Contents: In the present volume we provide an effective approach to the construction of algebro-geometric solutions of certain completely integrable nonlinear differential-difference evolution equations by developing a technique which simultaneously applies to all equations of the hierarchy in question.

Starting with a specific integrable differential-difference equation, one can build an infinite sequence of higher-order differential-difference equations, the so-called hierarchy of the original soliton equation, by developing an explicit recursive formalism that reduces the construction of the entire hierarchy to elementary manipulations with polynomials and defines the associated Lax pairs or zero-curvature equations. Using this recursive polynomial formalism, we simultaneously construct algebrogeometric solutions for the entire hierarchy of soliton equations at hand. On a more technical level, our point of departure for the construction of algebro-geometric solutions is not directly based on Baker-Akhiezer functions and axiomatizations of algebro-geometric data, but rather on a canonical meromorphic function ϕ on the underlying hyperelliptic Riemann surface \mathcal{K}_p of genus $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. More precisely, this fundamental meromorphic function ϕ carries the spectral information of the underlying Lax operator (such as the Jacobi operator in context of the Toda lattice) and in many instances represents a direct generalization of the Weyl-Titchmarsh mfunction, a fundamental device in the spectral theory of difference operators. Riccatitype difference equations satisfied by ϕ separately in the discrete space and continuous time variables then govern the time evolutions of all quantities of interest (such as that of the associated Baker-Akhiezer function). The basic meromorphic function

6

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-75307-4 - Soliton Equations and their Algebro-Geometric Solutions, Volume II: (1+1)-Dimensional Continuous Models Fritz Gesztesy, Helge Holden, Johanna Michor and Gerald Teschl Excerpt More information

Introduction

 ϕ on \mathcal{K}_p is then linked with solutions of equations of the underlying hierarchy via trace formulas and Dubrovin-type equations for (projections of) the pole divisor of ϕ . Subsequently, the Riemann theta function representation of ϕ is then obtained more or less simultaneously with those of the Baker–Akhiezer function and the algebrogeometric solutions of the (stationary or time-dependent) equations of the hierarchy of evolution equations. This concisely summarizes our approach to all the (1 + 1)-dimensional discrete integrable models discussed in this volume.

In the following we will detail this verbal description of our approach to algebrogeometric solutions of integrable hierarchies with the help of the Toda hierarchy.

The Toda lattice, in Flaschka's variables, reads

$$a_t - a(b^+ - b) = 0,$$

$$b_t - 2((a^+)^2 - (a^-)^2) = 0,$$
(0.4)

where $a = \{a(n, t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}, b = \{b(n, t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}, t \in \mathbb{R}.$ The system (0.4) is equivalent to the Lax equation

$$L_t(t) - [P_2(t), L(t)] = 0.$$

Here L and P_2 are the difference expressions of the form

$$L = aS^{+} + a^{-}S^{-} + b, \quad P_{2} = aS^{+} - a^{-}S^{-},$$

and S^{\pm} denote the shift operators

$$(S^{\pm}f)(n) = f(n\pm 1), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ f = \{f(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}},$$

with $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ abbreviating the set of complex-valued sequences indexed by \mathbb{Z} .

In this introduction we will indicate how to construct all real-valued algebrogeometric quasi-periodic finite-band solutions of a hierarchy of nonlinear evolution equations of which the first equation is the Toda lattice, abbreviated Tl. The approach is similar to the one advocated for the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) and Zakharov– Shabat (ZS), or equivalently, Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur (AKNS), equations and their hierarchies in Chapters 1 and 3 of Volume I.

This means that we construct a hierarchy of difference operators P_{2p+2} such that the Lax relation

$$L_{t_p} - [P_{2p+2}, L] = 0,$$

defines a hierarchy of differential-difference equations where the time variation is continuous and space is considered discrete. We let each equation in this hierarchy run according to its own time variable t_p . The operators P_{2p+2} are defined recursively. In the stationary case, where we study

$$[P_{2p+2}, L] = 0,$$

Introduction

there is a hyperelliptic curve \mathcal{K}_p of genus p which is associated with the equation in a natural way. This relation is established by introducing the analog of Burchnall– Chaundy polynomials, familiar from the KdV and ZS-AKNS theory. The basic relations for both the time-dependent and stationary Toda hierarchy as well as the construction of the Burchnall–Chaundy polynomials are contained in Section 1.2.

In Section 1.3 we discuss the stationary case in detail. We introduce the Baker– Akhiezer function ψ which is the common eigenfunction of the commuting difference operators *L* and P_{2p+2} . The main result of this section is the proof of theta function representations of $\phi = \psi^+/\psi$ and ψ , as well as the solutions *a* and *b* of the stationary Toda hierarchy.

In Section 1.4 we analyze the algebro-geometric initial value problem for the Toda hierarchy. By that we mean the following: Given a nonspecial Dirichlet divisor of degree p at one fixed lattice point, we explicitly construct an algebro-geometric solution, which equals the given data at the lattice point, of the qth stationary Toda lattice, $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Section 1.5 parallels that of Section 1.3, but it discusses the time-dependent case. The goal of the section is to construct the solution of the rth equation in the Toda hierarchy with a given stationary solution of the pth equation in the Toda hierarchy as initial data. We construct the solution in terms of theta functions.

Section 1.6 treats the algebro-geometric time-dependent initial value problem for the Toda hierarchy. Given a stationary solution of an arbitrary equation in the Toda hierarchy and its associated nonsingular hyperelliptic curve as initial data, we construct explicitly the solution of any other time-dependent equation in the Toda hierarchy with the given stationary solution as initial data.

Finally, in Section 1.7 we construct an infinite sequence of local conservation laws for each of the equations in the Toda hierarchy. Moreover, we derive two Hamiltonian structures for the Toda hierarchy.

We now return to a more detailed survey of the results in this monograph for the Toda hierarchy. The Toda hierarchy is the simplest of the hierarchies of nonlinear differential-difference evolution equations studied in this volume, but the same strategy, with modifications to be discussed in the individual chapters, applies to the integrable systems treated in this monograph and is in fact typical for all (1 + 1)dimensional integrable differential-difference hierarchies of soliton equations.

A discussion of the Toda case then proceeds as follows.¹ In order to define the Lax pairs and zero-curvature pairs for the Toda hierarchy, one assumes a, b to be bounded sequences in the stationary context and smooth functions in the time variable in the time-dependent case. Next, one introduces the recursion relation for some

¹ All details of the following construction are to be found in Chapter 1.

8

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-75307-4 - Soliton Equations and their Algebro-Geometric Solutions, Volume II: (1+1)-Dimensional Continuous Models Fritz Gesztesy, Helge Holden, Johanna Michor and Gerald Teschl Excerpt More information

Introduction

polynomial functions f_{ℓ} , g_{ℓ} of a, b and certain of its shifts by

$$f_{0} = 1, \quad g_{0} = -c_{1},$$

$$2f_{\ell+1} + g_{\ell} + g_{\ell}^{-} - 2bf_{\ell} = 0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}_{0},$$

$$g_{\ell+1} - g_{\ell+1}^{-} + 2\left(a^{2}f_{\ell}^{+} - (a^{-})^{2}f_{\ell}^{-}\right) - b\left(g_{\ell} - g_{\ell}^{-}\right) = 0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}_{0}.$$

$$(0.5)$$

Here c_1 is a given constant. From the recursively defined sequences $\{f_\ell, g_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ (whose elements turn out to be difference polynomials with respect to *a*, *b*, defined up to certain summation constants) one defines the *Lax pair* of the Toda hierarchy by

$$L = aS^{+} + a^{-}S^{-} + b, (0.6)$$

$$P_{2p+2} = -L^{p+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{p} (g_{p-\ell} + 2af_{p-\ell}S^+)L^{\ell} + f_{p+1}.$$
(0.7)

The commutator of P_{2p+2} and L then reads¹

$$[P_{2p+2}, L] = -a(g_p^+ + g_p + f_{p+1}^+ + f_{p+1} - 2b^+ f_p^+)S^+ + 2(-b(g_p + f_{p+1}) + a^2 f_p^+ - (a^-)^2 f_p^- + b^2 f_p) - a^-(g_p + g_p^- + f_{p+1} + f_{p+1}^- - 2bf_p)S^-,$$
(0.8)

using the recursion (0.5). Introducing a deformation (time) parameter² $t_p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, into *a*, *b*, the *Toda hierarchy* of nonlinear evolution equations is then defined by imposing the *Lax commutator relation*

$$\frac{d}{dt_p}L - [P_{2p+2}, L] = 0, (0.9)$$

for each $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By (0.8), the latter are equivalent to the collection of evolution equations³

$$\mathrm{Tl}_{p}(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{t_{p}} - a(f_{p+1}^{+}(a,b) - f_{p+1}(a,b)) \\ b_{t_{p}} + g_{p+1}(a,b) - g_{p+1}^{-}(a,b) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}.$$
(0.10)

- ¹ The quantities P_{2p+2} and $\{f_{\ell}, g_{\ell}\}_{\ell=0,...,p}$ are constructed such that all higher-order difference operators in the commutator (0.8) vanish. Observe that the factors multiplying S^{\pm} are just shifts of one another.
- ² Here we follow Hirota's notation and introduce a separate time variable t_p for the *p*th level in the Toda hierarchy.
- ³ In a slight abuse of notation we will occasionally stress the functional dependence of f_{ℓ} , g_{ℓ} on a, b, writing $f_{\ell}(a, b)$, $g_{\ell}(a, b)$.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-75307-4 - Soliton Equations and their Algebro-Geometric Solutions, Volume II: (1+1)-Dimensional Continuous Models Fritz Gesztesy, Helge Holden, Johanna Michor and Gerald Teschl Excerpt More information

Introduction

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tl}_{0}(a,b) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{t_{0}} - a(b^{+} - b) \\ b_{t_{0}} - 2(a^{2} - (a^{-})^{2}) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \\ \mathrm{Tl}_{1}(a,b) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{t_{1}} - a((a^{+})^{2} - (a^{-})^{2} + (b^{+})^{2} - b^{2}) \\ b_{t_{1}} + 2(a^{-})^{2}(b + b^{-}) - 2a^{2}(b^{+} + b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ c_{1} \begin{pmatrix} -a(b^{+} - b) \\ -2(a^{2} - (a^{-})^{2}) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \\ \mathrm{Tl}_{2}(a,b) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{t_{2}} - a((b^{+})^{3} - b^{3} + 2(a^{+})^{2}b^{+} - 2(a^{-})^{2}b \\ +a^{2}(b^{+} - b) + (a^{+})^{2}b^{+} + (a^{-})^{2}b^{-}) \\ b_{t_{2}} - 2a^{2}(b^{2} + bb^{+} + (b^{+})^{2} + a^{2} + (a^{+})^{2}) \\ +2(a^{-})^{2}(b^{2} + bb^{-} + (b^{-})^{2} + (a^{-})^{2} + (a^{--})^{2}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ c_{1} \begin{pmatrix} -a((a^{+})^{2} - (a^{-})^{2} + (b^{+})^{2} - b^{2}) \\ 2(a^{-})^{2}(b + b^{-}) - 2a^{2}(b^{+} + b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ c_{2} \begin{pmatrix} -a(b^{+} - b) \\ -2(a^{2} - (a^{-})^{2}) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \text{ etc.}, \end{aligned}$$

represent the first few equations of the time-dependent Toda hierarchy. For p = 0 one obtains *the* Toda lattice (0.4). Introducing the polynomials ($z \in \mathbb{C}$),

$$F_p(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^p f_{p-\ell} z^{\ell},$$
(0.11)

$$G_{p+1}(z) = -z^{p+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{p} g_{p-\ell} z^{\ell} + f_{p+1}, \qquad (0.12)$$

one can alternatively introduce the Toda hierarchy as follows. One defines a pair of 2×2 matrices $(U(z), V_{p+1}(z))$ depending polynomially on z by

$$U(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -a^{-}/a & (z-b)/a \end{pmatrix},$$
 (0.13)

$$V_{p+1}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{p+1}^{-}(z) & 2a^{-}F_{p}^{-}(z) \\ -2a^{-}F_{p}(z) & 2(z-b)F_{p} + G_{p+1}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_{0},$$
(0.14)

and then postulates the discrete zero-curvature equation

$$0 = U_{t_p} + UV_{p+1} - V_{p+1}^+ U. (0.15)$$

One verifies that both the Lax approach (0.10), as well as the zero-curvature approach

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-75307-4 - Soliton Equations and their Algebro-Geometric Solutions, Volume II: (1+1)-Dimensional Continuous Models Fritz Gesztesy, Helge Holden, Johanna Michor and Gerald Teschl Excerpt <u>More information</u>

10

Introduction

(0.15), reduce to the basic equations,

$$a_{t_p} = -a \left(2(z - b^+) F_p^+ + G_{p+1}^+ + G_{p+1} \right),$$

$$b_{t_p} = 2 \left((z - b)^2 F_p + (z - b) G_{p+1} + a^2 F_p^+ - (a^-)^2 F_p^- \right).$$
(0.16)

Each one of (0.10), (0.15), and (0.16) defines the Toda hierarchy by varying $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The strategy we will be using is then the following: First we assume the existence of a solution a, b, and derive several of its properties. In particular, we deduce explicit Riemann's theta function formulas for the solution a, b, the so-called Its–Matveev formulas (cf. (0.41) in the stationary case and (0.53) in the time-dependent case). As a second step we will provide an explicit algorithm to construct the solution given appropriate initial data.

The Lax and zero-curvature equations (0.9) and (0.15) imply a most remarkable isospectral deformation of *L* as will be discussed later in this introduction. At this point, however, we interrupt our time-dependent Toda considerations for a while and take a closer look at the special stationary Toda equations defined by

$$a_{t_p} = b_{t_p} = 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
 (0.17)

By (0.8)–(0.10) and (0.15), (0.16), the condition (0.17) is then equivalent to each one of the following collection of equations, with *p* ranging in \mathbb{N}_0 , defining the *stationary Toda hierarchy* in several ways,

$$[P_{2p+2}, L] = 0, (0.18)$$

$$f_{p+1}^+ - f_{p+1} = 0, \quad g_{p+1} - g_{p+1}^- = 0,$$
 (0.19)

$$UV_{p+1} - V_{p+1}^+ U = 0, (0.20)$$

 $2(z-b^{+})F_{p}^{+}+G_{p+1}^{+}+G_{p+1}=0, \qquad (0.21)$

$$(z-b)^{2}F_{p} + (z-b)G_{p+1} + a^{2}F_{p}^{+} - (a^{-})^{2}F_{p}^{-} = 0.$$
(0.21)

To set the stationary Toda hierarchy apart from the general time-dependent one, we will denote it by

$$\text{s-Tl}_p(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{p+1}^+(a,b) - f_{p+1}(a,b) \\ g_{p+1}(a,b) - g_{p+1}^-(a,b) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Explicitly, the first few equations of the stationary Toda hierarchy then read as follows

s-Tl₀(a, b) =
$$\binom{b^+ - b}{2((a^-)^2 - a^2)} = 0,$$