

Contents

| | |
|---|------------------|
| <i>Preface</i> | <i>page</i> xiii |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Prospect theory | 2 |
| Behavioral foundations | 2 |
| Homeomorphic versus paramorphic modeling | 3 |
| Intended audience | 3 |
| Attractive feature of decision theory | 4 |
| Structure | 4 |
| Preview | 5 |
| Our five-step presentation of decision models | 7 |
| Part I Expected utility | |
| 1 The general model of decision under uncertainty and no-arbitrage (expected utility with known utilities and unknown probabilities) | 11 |
| 1.1 Basic definitions | 11 |
| 1.2 Basic properties of preferences | 15 |
| 1.3 Expected value | 17 |
| 1.4 Data fitting for expected value | 19 |
| 1.5 The bookmaking argument of de Finetti, or the no-arbitrage condition from finance | 22 |
| 1.6 A behavioral foundation of subjective probabilities and expected value using no-arbitrage and no-book | 27 |
| 1.7 Discussion of Structural Assumption 1.2.1 (Decision under uncertainty) | 32 |
| 1.8 The general nature and usefulness of behavioral foundations | 34 |
| 1.9 Appendix: Natural, technical, and intuitive preference conditions, and the problematic completeness condition | 37 |
| 1.10 Appendix: The relation of Theorem 1.6.1 to the literature | 39 |
| 1.11 Appendix: Proof of Theorem 1.6.1 and Observation 1.6.1' | 41 |
| | v |

| | | |
|----|---|-----|
| vi | Contents | |
| | 2 Expected utility with known probabilities – “risk” – and unknown utilities | 44 |
| | 2.1 Decision under risk as a special case of decision under uncertainty | 44 |
| | 2.2 Decision under risk: basic concepts | 47 |
| | 2.3 Decision under risk as a special case of decision under uncertainty; continued | 48 |
| | 2.4 Choices under risk and decision trees | 51 |
| | 2.5 Expected utility and utility measurement | 53 |
| | 2.6 Consistency of measurement and a behavioral foundation of expected utility | 57 |
| | 2.7 Independence and other preference conditions for expected utility | 63 |
| | 2.8 Basic choice inconsistencies | 65 |
| | 2.9 Appendix: Proof of Theorem 2.6.3 and Observation 2.6.3' | 67 |
| | 3 Applications of expected utility for risk | 69 |
| | 3.1 An application from the health domain: decision tree analysis | 69 |
| | 3.2 Risk aversion | 71 |
| | 3.3 Applications of risk aversion | 74 |
| | 3.4 Indexes of risk aversion | 77 |
| | 3.5 Parametric families of utility | 78 |
| | 3.6 Data fitting for expected utility under risk | 83 |
| | 3.7 Multiattribute utility | 85 |
| | 3.8 Taxation and welfare theory with cardinal utility | 91 |
| | 3.9 Proofs for Chapter 3 | 92 |
| | 4 Expected utility with unknown probabilities and unknown utilities | 94 |
| | 4.1 Fifteen preference questions | 94 |
| | 4.2 (Subjective) expected utility | 100 |
| | 4.3 Measuring utility and testing EU for §4.1 | 103 |
| | 4.4 A decision process | 107 |
| | 4.5 General utility measurement and the tradeoff notation | 108 |
| | 4.6 A behavioral foundation of expected utility | 110 |
| | 4.7 Further discussion of Theorem 4.6.4 (Uncertainty-EU) | 112 |
| | 4.8 Further implications of expected utility | 114 |
| | 4.8.1 Savage’s sure-thing principle | 114 |
| | 4.8.2 Utility analysis based on t-indifferences | 115 |
| | 4.8.3 Debreu’s additive decomposability and state-dependent utility | 117 |
| | 4.9 A hybrid case: subjective probabilities and utilities when also objective probabilities are given | 118 |
| | 4.9.1 A general (“single-stage”) approach | 119 |

| | Contents | vii |
|--|----------|-----|
| 4.9.2 A behavioral foundation for the single-stage approach | 120 | |
| 4.9.3 The multi-stage approach of Anscombe & Aumann (1963) | 122 | |
| 4.9.4 Comparing the Anscombe & Aumann model with the single-stage approach | 125 | |
| 4.10 Data fitting for expected utility under uncertainty | 127 | |
| 4.11 A statistical analysis of the experiment of §4.1, revealing violations of expected utility | 131 | |
| 4.12 The Allais paradox: a well-known violation of expected utility | 133 | |
| 4.13 Appendix: Experimental economics' real-incentive principle and the tradeoff method | 135 | |
| 4.14 Appendix: Tradeoff consistency as a generalization of de Finetti's bookmaking | 138 | |
| 4.15 Appendix: Proofs for Chapter 4 | 139 | |
| Part II Nonexpected utility for risk | | |
| 5 Heuristic arguments for probabilistic sensitivity and rank dependence | 145 | |
| 5.1 Probabilistic sensitivity versus outcome sensitivity for single-nonzero-outcome-prospects | 145 | |
| 5.2 Probabilistic sensitivity for multi-outcome prospects, and the old way of transforming probabilities | 149 | |
| 5.3 A violation of stochastic dominance | 153 | |
| 5.4 Rank-dependent utility: discovering the formula using psychological intuition | 155 | |
| 5.5 Rank-dependent utility: discovering the formula using mathematical intuition | 161 | |
| 5.6 Calculating rank-dependent utility | 165 | |
| 5.7 Conclusion | 168 | |
| 6 Probabilistic sensitivity and rank dependence analyzed | 169 | |
| 6.1 Ranks, ranked probabilities, and rank-dependent utility defined | 169 | |
| 6.2 Ranks, ranked probabilities, and rank-dependent utility discussed | 172 | |
| 6.3 Where rank-dependent utility deviates from expected utility: optimism and pessimism | 172 | |
| 6.4 Further deviations of rank-dependent utility from expected utility | 176 | |
| 6.4.1 The certainty effect | 176 | |
| 6.4.2 Further discussion of rank dependence | 178 | |
| 6.5 What rank-dependent utility shares with expected utility | 181 | |
| 6.5.1 Measuring utility under rank-dependent utility | 181 | |
| 6.5.2 A behavioral foundation of rank-dependent utility | 184 | |
| 6.5.3 An elaborated example | 185 | |
| 6.5.4 Abdellaoui's method of measuring probability weighting | 188 | |
| 6.5.5 Further implications of rank-dependent utility | 188 | |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| viii | Contents | |
| | 6.6 Appendix: Yet further deviations of rank-dependent utility from expected utility | 190 |
| | 6.7 Appendix: Ranking identical outcomes and collapsing outcomes | 194 |
| | 6.8 Appendix: An interpretation of rank-dependent utility using the derivative of w | 197 |
| | 6.9 Appendix: RDU for continuous distributions and figures for negative outcomes | 199 |
| 7 | Applications and extensions of rank dependence | 203 |
| | 7.1 Likelihood insensitivity and pessimism as two components of probabilistic risk attitudes | 203 |
| | 7.2 Parametric forms of weighting functions | 206 |
| | 7.3 Data fitting for rank-dependent utility under risk | 211 |
| | 7.4 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle | 214 |
| | 7.5 An alternative direct way to directly investigate properties of nonlinear decision weights | 217 |
| | 7.6 Bad-news probabilities or loss-ranks | 219 |
| | 7.7 A formal definition of likelihood insensitivity (inverse-S) | 222 |
| | 7.8 The choice of insensitivity region | 226 |
| | 7.9 Discussion of likelihood insensitivity | 227 |
| | 7.10 Indexes of pessimism and likelihood insensitivity | 229 |
| | 7.11 Binary rank-dependent utility | 230 |
| | 7.12 Appendix: Alternative definition of likelihood insensitivity: first concave and then convex | 231 |
| | 7.13 Appendix: Proofs for Chapter 7 | 233 |
| 8 | Where prospect theory deviates from rank-dependent utility and expected utility: reference dependence versus asset integration | 234 |
| | 8.1 A choice paradox | 235 |
| | 8.2 A discussion and the real culprit of the paradox | 236 |
| | 8.3 Deviations from a fixed reference point (“initial wealth”) as nothing but an alternative way of modeling final wealth, and of incorporating some empirical improvements | 237 |
| | 8.4 Loss aversion defined | 238 |
| | 8.5 Deviations from a variable reference point as a major breakaway from final-wealth models | 240 |
| | 8.6 Rabin’s paradox | 242 |
| | 8.7 Future directions for theories of reference dependence | 245 |
| | 8.8 Appendix: Empirical meaningfulness problems of loss aversion | 247 |
| | 8.9 Appendix: A formal model of initial wealth and reference points | 249 |

| | Contents | ix |
|---|----------|-----|
| 9 Prospect theory for decision under risk | | 251 |
| 9.1 A symmetry about 0 underlying prospect theory | | 251 |
| 9.2 The definition of prospect theory | | 252 |
| 9.3 Properties of the prospect theory formula, and calculations | | 254 |
| 9.4 What prospect theory shares with rank-dependent utility and with expected utility, and one more reanalysis of the experiment of § 4.1 | | 260 |
| 9.4.1 Monotonicity, stochastic dominance, and the sure-thing principle | | 260 |
| 9.4.2 Measuring utility, event weighting, and loss aversion | | 262 |
| 9.5 Empirical findings on prospect theory | | 264 |
| 9.6 Analytical problems of power utility for analyzing loss aversion | | 267 |
| 9.6.1 The first problem: loss aversion depends on the monetary unit | | 267 |
| 9.6.2 The second problem: always $U(\alpha) > -U(-\alpha)$ for some outcome $\alpha > 0$ | | 270 |
| 9.6.3 Ways to avoid the problems | | 271 |
| 9.7 Appendix: Some theoretical issues concerning prospect theory | | 271 |
| 9.8 Appendix: Original prospect theory of 1979 | | 273 |
| Part III Nonexpected utility for uncertainty | | |
| 10 Extending rank-dependent utility from risk to uncertainty | | 279 |
| 10.1 Probabilistic sophistication | | 279 |
| 10.2 Rank-dependent utility defined for uncertainty and without probabilistic sophistication | | 282 |
| 10.3 Rank-dependent utility and probabilistic sophistication once more | | 286 |
| 10.3.1 Ellsberg's violation of probabilistic sophistication | | 286 |
| 10.3.2 Further observations | | 287 |
| 10.4 Where rank-dependent utility under uncertainty deviates from expected utility in the same way as it did under risk | | 288 |
| 10.4.1 Optimism and pessimism | | 289 |
| 10.4.2 Likelihood insensitivity (Inverse-S) | | 290 |
| 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle | | 292 |
| 10.5 What rank-dependent utility shares with expected utility for uncertainty (in the same way as it did for risk) | | 294 |
| 10.5.1 Rank-preference conditions | | 294 |
| 10.5.2 Measuring utility (and event weighting) under RDU | | 295 |
| 10.5.3 A behavioral foundation for RDU | | 297 |
| 10.6 Binary rank-dependent utility | | 298 |
| 10.7 A hybrid case: rank-dependent utility for uncertainty when also objective probabilities are given | | 299 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 10.7.1 | A general (“single-stage”) approach | 299 |
| 10.7.2 | A behavioral foundation for the single-stage approach | 300 |
| 10.7.3 | Schmeidler’s and Jaffray’s two-stage approaches | 301 |
| 10.8 | Bad-news events or loss-ranks | 304 |
| 10.9 | Further observations regarding rank dependence | 305 |
| 10.10 | Appendix: An integral representation | 309 |
| 10.11 | Appendix: Ranking states and collapsing outcomes | 310 |
| 10.12 | Appendix: Comonotonicity | 310 |
| 10.13 | Appendix: Proofs for Chapter 10 | 314 |
| 10.14 | Appendix: Proof of Theorem 6.5.6 | 316 |
| 11 | Ambiguity: where uncertainty extends beyond risk | 317 |
| 11.1 | The Ellsberg paradox and the home bias as within-subject between-source comparisons | 317 |
| 11.2 | Using rank dependence to analyze ambiguity | 318 |
| 11.3 | Elaborated examples for ranks, ambiguity premiums, and hedging in finance | 322 |
| 11.4 | The CORE | 323 |
| 11.5 | Multiple priors (Maxmin expected utility and α -maxmin): basic results | 324 |
| 11.6 | Approaches in the literature that we will not take | 327 |
| 11.7 | Indexes of ambiguity aversion | 328 |
| 11.8 | Indexes of ambiguity aversion and sensitivity | 332 |
| 11.9 | Appendix: Discussion of multiple priors and other models | 335 |
| 11.10 | Appendix: Violations of monotonicity for multiple priors | 338 |
| 11.11 | Appendix: Möbius transforms and belief functions | 339 |
| 12 | Prospect theory for uncertainty | 342 |
| 12.1 | Prospect theory defined | 342 |
| 12.2 | Where prospect theory deviates from rank-dependent utility and expected utility | 344 |
| 12.3 | What prospect theory shares with rank-dependent utility and expected utility under uncertainty | 344 |
| 12.3.1 | Sign-preference conditions | 344 |
| 12.3.2 | Measuring utility (and event weighting) under prospect theory | 345 |
| 12.3.3 | Measuring loss aversion under prospect theory | 346 |
| 12.3.4 | A behavioral foundation for prospect theory | 347 |
| 12.4 | A hybrid case: prospect theory for uncertainty when also objective probabilities are given | 349 |
| 12.5 | Loss aversion versus ambiguity aversion | 349 |
| 12.6 | Violations of prospect theory and rank dependence | 350 |
| 12.7 | Indexes of ambiguity attitudes under prospect theory | 354 |

| | Contents | xi |
|--|----------|-----|
| 12.8 Appendix: Some theoretical issues concerning prospect theory for uncertainty | | 356 |
| 12.9 Appendix: Proofs for Chapter 12 | | 357 |
| 13 Conclusion | | 358 |
| Appendices | | 359 |
| Appendix A Models never hold perfectly: how to handle their deficiencies? | | 359 |
| A.1 Nonparametric measurements and parametric fittings for imperfect models: general discussion | | 359 |
| A.2 Our distance measure for parametric fitting | | 361 |
| A.3 Discussion of the distance measure | | 363 |
| Appendix B Choosing from multiple prospects and binary choice: the principles of revealed preference | | 366 |
| B.1 Examples | | 367 |
| B.2 A behavioral foundation of preference relations derived from choice behavior | | 371 |
| B.3 Assumptions underlying revealed preference | | 374 |
| B.4 The history of revealed preference | | 378 |
| Appendix C Dynamic decisions | | 380 |
| Appendix D Applications other than decision under uncertainty | | 384 |
| Appendix E Bisymmetry-based preference conditions | | 387 |
| Appendix F Nonmonotonic rank-dependent models and the Fehr–Schmidt model of welfare evaluation | | 391 |
| Appendix G Extensions of finite-dimensional results to infinite-dimensional results: a meta-theorem | | 393 |
| Appendix H Measure theory | | 395 |
| Appendix I Related textbooks and surveys | | 397 |
| Appendix J Elaborations of exercises | | 399 |
| Appendix K Skipping parts and interdependencies between sections | | 455 |
| <i>References</i> | | 461 |
| <i>Author index</i> | | 492 |
| <i>Subject index</i> | | 500 |