

Index

- abnormal conditions
 - causation and, 175–6
 - ‘eggshell skull’ treatment case and, 191–2
 - intervention of, 187–93
 - regulatory context in causation and, 192–3
- abnormality of mind concept
 - diminished responsibility and, 248–9
 - recent legal reforms concerning, 251–4
- absolutism, penal theory and, 20–1
- abstract juridical individual
 - actus reus and, 168–70, 373–5
 - corporate liability and, 372–3
 - criminal doctrine foundations and, 35–8
 - defences and, 375–8
 - deterrence ideology and, 336–44
 - duties of citizenship and, 166–8
 - emergence of, 35–6
 - historical context for, 26–9
 - insanity defence and, 263–4
 - ‘just deserts’ in unjust society and, 348–50
 - law of acts and, 137–8
 - law of omissions, 159–62
 - mens rea and, 369–73
 - in offences, 369–75
 - political nature of, 53–7, 365–9
 - psychological individualism and, 366–7
 - regulatory offences and, 106–9
 - rehabilitation and incapacitation ideology and, 353–9
 - sentencing and ideology of, 333–6, 363–4, 378–9
- abuse
 - diminished responsibility defence and, 264–6, 268n.37
 - imminence in self-defence and, 281–4, 283–4n.3
 - necessity in self-defence and, 279–80
 - in provocation defence, 320–2
 - in suicide cases, 182–3
- ‘active member’ requirement, self-induced duress and, 227–9
- acts, 35–6
 - basic principles, 138–9
 - causation and, 193–5
 - corporate liability and, 117–18, 128–9
 - dangerous acts, 66–7
 - duress defence and, 220–4
 - identity doctrine and, 118–20
 - individual morality and, 46–9
 - involuntariness in, 177n.7
 - legal discourse concerning, 137–8
 - limits on physical involuntariness in, 144–51
 - negligence and, 83–8
 - omissions and, 152–6
 - overview of, 137–70
 - practical indifference principle and, 92–4
 - responsibility for, 82–3
 - strict liability and, 104–6
 - supervening cause and, 193–5
 - voluntariness and, 140–4
- actus reus. *See also* acts, law of
 - abstract juridical individual and, 168–70, 373–5
 - causation and, 171–95
 - defences and, 199–200
 - definitions of, 137–8
 - gross negligence manslaughter and, 83–8
 - mistaken self-defence and, 286–7
 - necessity defence and moral involuntariness, 214–18
 - omission concepts in, 152–6
 - self-defence and, 274–6
 - situational liability cases and, 149–51
 - summary of, 168–70
 - voluntary actions in, 138–9, 151
- addiction offences
 - abstract right and social need and, 150n.10, 160–2
 - alcoholism and diminished responsibility, 254–6
 - causation in drug-taking cases and, 183–5
 - duress defence and, 225–7
 - moral validity of necessity and duress defences and, 234
 - self-induced duress in, 227–8
 - unconsciousness requirement and, 144–6
- administrative offences, strict liability and, 102–4
- advertent recklessness
 - antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 - defined, 73–6
 - implied malice doctrine and, 96–8
 - practical indifference principle and, 90–1
- age characteristics, provocation defence and, 319–20, 320n.16

- agency
 conflicting motives and common intentions and, 43–6
 necessity defence and, 214–18
 political limits of practical indifference and, 94–6
 standard of resistance and, 225–7
 third-party voluntary intervention and, 177–9
 voluntariness and, 168–70
- aggregative approach
 aggregation of fault, 120–1
 corporate liability and, 118–20
- aircraft hijacking, excusatory necessity and, 207–10
- alcoholism, laws concerning. *See also* addiction offences
 diminished responsibility and, 254–6
 moral voluntariness and, 144
 physical involuntariness and moral voluntariness, 146–9, 147n.9
 unconsciousness requirement and, 144–6
- anger trigger, 308n.4
 cold anger principle, 309
 in provocation defence, 321–2
 sudden vs. non-sudden, 322–5
- Anglo-American legal scholarship,
 anti-historical tilt in, 9
- An Inspector Calls* (Priestley), 178, 182–3
- antecedent cause, causation and, 171–2, 174–9
- antinomy
 recklessness and, 98–9
 in sentencing ideologies, 359–60
- Anti-Social Behaviour Order (ASBO), 167–8
- appeals, role of judiciary in, 17n.5
- appropriate circumstances rider, in provocation defence, 312–14
- Ashworth, A, 333
 counter-arguments on self-defence and, 289–91
 on deterrence, 361–2
 on duty to act, 164–5, 166
 on incapacitation sentencing, 356–9
 on intention, 48
 ‘just deserts’ in unjust society and, 344n.13, 348n.16, 348–50
 on law of acts, 82–3
 living standards analysis and, 352
 on political individualism, 36–8
 on regulatory offences, 116
 on self-defence, 274, 278n.1, 290n.7
- assault
 abnormal conditions or contingencies and, 175–6
 death as result of, 171–2, 179n.9
 practical indifference principle concerning, 89–90
 recklessness and law of, 78n.6, 78–9
 self-defence and, 287–9
- assimilation
 in corporate liability, 117–24
 corporate liability and, 132–3
- assisted suicide
 discretion to convict and, 54–5
 discretion to prosecute and, 54
 asylum, history of insanity defence and, 240–2
 attempted murder, duress defence in, 223–4
 Austin, John, 43n.5, 140–1
- automatism defence
 disease of the mind principle and, 244–5
 intoxication, physical involuntariness and moral voluntariness, 146–9
 unconsciousness requirement and, 144–6, 145n.6
- autonomy
 communitarian theory and, 167
 necessity defence and moral involuntariness, 214–18
 social values vs., 154–5
- battered woman syndrome (BWS)
 anger trigger and, 321–2
 diminished responsibility defence and, 264–6
 fear trigger and, 320–1
 self-defence and, 283–4n.3
 subjective test of provocation and, 309
- Beccaria, Cesare, 21–2, 26–9, 337–8
- ‘beckoning candle philosophy’, 356n.21
- beliefs, insanity defence and role of, 245–8
- Bentham, Jeremy, 22–3
 deterrence theory and, 22–3, 337–8, 341–4, 343n.10
 on legality, 24
 on moral-legal individualism, 25n.6–26n.7, 25–6, 26n.8
 necessity defence and philosophy of, 205–6
 panopticon of, 337n.3
 penal theory and, 20–1, 26–9
 reform ideology and, 24–5
- bigamy cases, ethics of strict liability and, 109–13
- Black Acts, 31–2
- blood relationships
 easy rescue principle and, 163–6
 law of omission and role of, 159–62, 160n.25
- breadth of the rules principle, insanity defence and, 244–5
- British Rail, corporate liability involving, 122–3
- Brudner, Alan, 108n.6
- Butler Report, 259–61
- Buxton, Richard (Sir), 68–9
- Caldwell* case, 16–18, 73–6
 consideration of mental state in, 82–3
 determinacy in, 91–2
 practical indifference principle and, 90–1
 subjectivist/objectivist dichotomy of
 recklessness in, 76–9, 96–101
 subjectivist/objectivist split in, 79–80
- Caldwell/Lawrence* test of recklessness, 73–6
- callousness
 antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 political limits of practical indifference and, 94–6
 practical indifference principle and, 90–1
- cannabis offences, necessity defence in medicinal use of, 207–10

- capitalism
 causation doctrine and, 172–4
 corporate liability and, 117–18
 economic integration and corporate punishment in, 128–9
 internationalisation of, 129–32
 state interventionism and, 353–9
 strict liability and development of, 106–9
- capital punishment
 absence of deterrent value in, 338n.4
 abstractions and realities concerning, 26–9
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 English penal theory and, 20–1
 provocation defence law and, 325–9
 retributive justice and, 21–2
- cardinal proportionality principle, 350–2
- causation, law of
 abnormal conditions or contingencies and, 175–6, 187–93
 case-based analysis of, 179–93
 coincidental events and, 187–93
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 critical approach to, 172–4
 drug-taking cases, 183–5
 duty to act and, 152–4
 factual vs. legal causation, 171–2
 framing of cases in, 180–1
 fright and flight principles and, 181–2
 individualism and, 193–5
 intervention of voluntary act and, 179–87
 law enforcement cases, 185–6
 legal discourses concerning, 137–8
 liberal principles in, 174–9
 manslaughter cases and, 160–2
 omissions and, 151, 152–6
 overview of, 171–95
 refusal of medical treatment and, 186–7
 regulatory context in, 192–3
 suicide cases and, 182–3
 third-party voluntary intervention and, 177–9
 voluntary acts and, 141, 177–9
- censure, allocation of punishment and, 346–50
- certainty
 ambiguity in interpretation of, 64–6
 antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 indirect intention and, 67–8
 intention and, 58–9
 oblique intention and, 61–4
- Chapman, Mark, 237–9
- Chartist disorder, 243–8
- ‘chiasmus’, 293n.9
- child labour, strict liability and development of, 106–9
- children, law of omissions and, 159–62
- Children and Young Persons Act 1933, 159n.23, 159
- ‘CID Structure’, organisational approach to corporate liability and, 121–2
- circumstance
 excusatory necessity and duress of circumstances, 207–10
 justificatory necessity in challenges to state and, 211–13
- citizenship, duties of
 law of omissions and, 162–8
 legal form and preventive turn issues in, 166–8
 mistaken self-defence and, 292–4
- civil law
 common law heritage and, 32–3
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
- Clapham rail disaster, 122–3
- class structure
 ethics of strict morality and, 109–13
 history of insanity defence and, 240–2
 logic and policy and, 33–5
 middle-class interests and legal reform, 25
 motive in context of, 45–6
 necessity defence and, 203–7, 207n.7
 penal theory and, 26–9, 29n.12, 340n.8
 state interventionism and, 353–9
 strict liability evolution and, 106–9
 utilitarian deterrence and, 22–3, 29n.12
- coercive sanctions, causation law and, 174–9
- cognitive tests, insanity defence and, 245–8
- coincidental events, intervention of abnormal occurrence and, 187–93
- Coke, Edward (Sir), 25, 138n.1
 common law heritage and, 31–3
- cold anger principle, subjective test of provocation and, 309
- Coleridge (Lord), 156–9, 203–7, 214–18, 220–4
- common law
 actus reus and, 137–8
 criminal law and, 31–3
 omission and duty in, 156–9
 self-defence in, 274–6
- commonsense expectation
 law of omissions and, 154n.15, 154–5
 regulatory context of causation and, 188n.16, 192–3
 in self-defence, 276–86
 suicide cases and, 182–3
- communitarian theory
 duties of citizenship and, 166–8
 easy care principle and, 163–6
- compassion
 diminished responsibility defence and, 254
 discretion to prosecute and motive of, 54
 limits of, in insanity defence, 266–7
 motive and, 45n.9, 45–6
 provocation defence and, 316–19, 325–9
 complicity, law of, self-induced duress and, 227–8
- compulsion
 moral involuntariness and, 141–3
 necessity defence and, 201–3
- conscious acts, 140
 justificatory necessity and challenges to state, 211–13
 voluntariness paradigm and, 144–6

- consequentialist justification of punishment, 346–50
- containment
 incapacitation ideology and, 356–9
 rehabilitation and incapacitation and ideology of, 354–6
- contingency, causation and, 175–6
- contractualist ideology
 abstract right and social need and, 159–62
 law of omission and, 156–9
- contractual model, law of omissions and, 139–40, 158n.22
- conviction
 discretion to convict and, 54–5
 duress defence and, 220–4
 subjectivist/objectivist view of recklessness as basis for, 76–9
- Coroners and Justice Act 2009, 248–57, 264–6, 307–8, 360–1
- corporate liability
 abstract juridical individual and, 372–3
 aggregation of fault and, 120–1
 assimilation in, 117–24
 definitions of, 102–4
 economic integration and, 128–9
 identity doctrine and, 118–20
 limits of organisational approach in, 122–3
 offence legislation concerning, 124–7
 organisational structure and, 121–4
 regulatory context of causation and, 192–3
 social complexity in, 128–32
- Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007, 118–20, 124–7, 132–3, 372–3
- Crime and Courts Act 2013, 299–300
- Crime and Disorder Act 1998, 167–8
- Criminal Code Bill of the Law Commission, 259–61
- criminal damage
 Parliamentary reform of, 76–9
 recklessness and, 73–6, 78–9
- Criminal Damage Act 1971, 73–9, 214–18
- criminality, rehabilitation and incapacitation and, 354–5
- Criminal Justice Act 1991, 333–6, 344–6, 360–1
 incapacitation in sentencing and, 356–9
 rehabilitation ideology and, 355–6
- Criminal Justice Act 2003, 344–6, 356–9
- Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008, 274–6, 284–6, 297–300, 356–9
- criminal law
 abstract juridical individual in, 369–79
 Anglo-American scholarship on, 9–10
 causation doctrine and, 172–4
 common law and, 31–3
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 contradictions in, 16–18
 extraordinary norms in, 9–10
 fault liability and, 13
 fictional case of justice and, 1
 formal structure of defences in, 229–33
 foundational tensions in, 35–8
 historical context of doctrine in, 19–38
 historical context of self-defence in, 301–3
 individual justice in, 13–15
 legality and rationality in, 10–13
 necessity defence and, 214–18
 as praxiology, 379–81
 rational approach to, 9–10
 regulatory offences vs., 116, 132–3
 strict construction rule and, 14–15
- Criminal Law Act 1967, 274–6
- criminal responsibility, corporate liability and principle of, 117–18
- Crown Law* (Foster), 32
- culpability
 cardinal and ordinal proportionality and, 350–2
 intoxication, physical involuntariness and moral voluntariness, 146–9
 ‘just deserts’ ideology and, 348n.15
 living standards analysis and, 352
 physical involuntariness and, 144–6
 psychological individualism and, 366–7
 recklessness and, 98–9
 situational liability and physical involuntariness, 149–51
- custodial sentencing
 incapacitation ideology in, 356–9
 rehabilitation and incapacitation and, 355–6
- danger, social constructions of, 99–100
 incapacitation in sentencing and, 356–9
 individualism vs. individualisation and, 354–5
- death penalty
 abstractions and realities concerning, 26–9
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 English penal theory and, 20–1
 provocation defence law and, 325–9
- decontextualisation of madness,
 legal-psychiatric convergence concerning, 261–9
- defences
 abstract juridical individual and, 375–8
 automatism, 144–7
 diminished responsibility, 237–73
 duress, 46–9, 53–7, 199–236
 insanity, 237–73
 loss of control, 304–29
 mistaken self-defence and, 286–7
 necessity, 50n.11, 53–7, 70–1, 199–236
 psychiatry and development of, 243–57
 self-defence, 274–303
 strict construction rule and, 14–15
- ‘degrees of turpitude’, gross negligence manslaughter and, 87
- delinquency, rehabilitation and incapacitation and, 354–5, 356–9
- delusions, insanity defence and, 245–8, 260–1n.27
- denial, politics of
 discretion in sentencing and, 55–7
 discretion to convict and, 54–5
 ‘just deserts’ in unjust society and, 348–50

- prosecutorial discretion and, 54
 remedies to, 53–7
- Denning (Lord), 51n.12, 53–4, 137, 144–6,
 207–10, 214–18, 244–5
- deportation law, situational liability and
 physical involuntariness in, 149–51
- desocialisation, motive and intention and,
 43–57
- determinacy
 easy rescue principle and, 163–6
 law of omissions and absence of, 152–4
 practical indifference principle and, 91–2,
 94–6
- determinism principle, post-Hinckley debate in
 US on insanity defence and, 257–9,
 259n.23
- deterrence
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 corporate punishment and economic
 integration, 129–32
 duress defence and, 220–4, 223n.29
 Enlightenment penal theory and, 20–4
 failure of capital punishment in, 338n.4
 incapacitation sentencing and, 356–9
 individual vs. general deterrence, 341–4
 necessity defence and, 203–7
 policing and, 339n.6
 post-Hinckley debate in US on insanity
 defence and, 257–9
 rehabilitation and incapacitation ideology
 and, 354–5
 retributive justice and, 21–2
 sentencing and ideology of, 336–44, 361–2
 social context of individual deterrence,
 338–41, 363–4
 utilitarian deterrence, 22–3, 24n.3
- Devlin (Lord), 54–5, 240n.3
- diabetics
 breadth of the Rules and disease of the mind
 and, 244–5
 intoxicated involuntariness and, 146–8
- diagnostic manuals, modernisation of
 diminished responsibility laws and, 251–4
- diminished responsibility defence
 abstract juridical individual and, 376–7
 alcoholism and, 254–6
 combined law and psychiatry and
 decontextualisation of madness, 261–9
 conflict and cooperation laws concerning,
 249–51
 consensus and conflict between law and
 psychiatry and, 271–3
 gender and racial stereotypes and, 262n.29
 historical definitions and terminology, 248–9
 legal discourse concerning, 237–9
 legal reform of insanity defence in England
 and Wales and, 259n.25, 259–61
 limits to compassion and pragmatism in,
 266–7
 mandatory sentencing and, 266n.32
 men killing women and, 267–9
 modern concepts of madness and, 269–70
 modern legal reforms concerning, 251–4
 overview, 237–73
 as partial defence in murder, 256
 pre-menstrual tension and, 266n.31
 provocation defence and, 316–19,
 317–18n.12
 psychiatric vs. legal concepts of, 248–61
 women and infanticide and, 264–6
- Diplock (Lord), 73–80, 104–6, 244–5, 284–6
 ‘directing mind’ concept, aggregation of fault
 and, 120–1
- direct intention, moral threshold principle and,
 70–1
- discretion in sentencing, 55–7, 334n.1, 341–4,
 359–60
- discretion to convict, politics of denial and,
 54–5
- discretion to prosecute
 gross negligence manslaughter and, 83–8,
 87–8n.14
 politics of denial and, 54
- disease-based definition of madness, history of
 insanity defence and, 240–2
- ‘disease of sloppiness’, organisational approach
 to corporate liability and, 121–4
- ‘disease of the mind’ concept, insanity defence
 and, 244–5
- dishonesty, social mores concerning, 50–3
- dominant rationale approach in sentencing,
 360–1
- ‘double effect’ doctrine, 211n.11
- DPP v Morgan*, 16–18, 114–15
 rationality and legality in, 11–12
 self-defence in, 287–91, 294–5
- drowning infant/stranger paradigm, laws of
 omission and, 154–5
- drug offences
 abstract right and social need and, 160–2
 causation law and, 183–5
 incapacitation sentencing and, 356–9
 moral validity of necessity and duress
 defences and, 234
 moral voluntariness and, 144
 necessity defence and, 207–10
 physical involuntariness and moral
 voluntariness, 146–9
 self-induced duress in, 227–9
 strict liability principles and, 113–17
 unconsciousness requirement and, 144–6
- dualism, of corporate identity, 129–32
- Duff, Antony, 57–8, 59–61, 75–6, 89–90
 on determinacy, 91–2
 factual vs. moral recklessness and, 96–8
 political limits of practical indifference and,
 94–6
 on practical indifference principle, 90–1,
 91n.15
 on subjectivity of practical indifference, 92–4,
 99–100
- duress defence
 abstract juridical individual and, 375–6
 actus reus doctrine and, 143
 basic principles, 218–29
 conflict in basic arguments, 220–4

- duress defence
 abstract juridical individual and, 375–6
 actus reus doctrine and, 143
 basic principles, 218–29
 conflict (cont.)
 definitions and terminology, 218–19
 formal structure of, 229–33
 individual morality and, 46–9
 justification vs. excuse in, 202n.3
 limits on, 224–9
 mistaken self-defence and, 286–7
 mistake of duress principle, 224–5
 moral involuntariness and, 141–3
 moral validity of, 233–6
 in murder cases, conflicting positions, 219–20
 overview of, 199–236
 self-induced duress, 227–9
 standard of resistance in, 225–7
 third-party voluntary intervention and, 177–9
- duress of circumstances, 199–200, 207–10, 209n.9
- duty of care
 abstract right and social need and, 158n.22, 159–62
 gross negligence manslaughter and, 83–8
 identity and organisation in corporate liability and, 124–7
 killing and letting die principles in laws of omission and, 155–6
- duty to act
 easy rescue principle and, 163–6
 juridification of omission and, 156–9
 killing and letting die principles and, 155–6
 law of omissions and, 152–4, 154n.15, 160–2
- duty to treat, laws of omission and, killing and letting die principles and, 155–6
- Earlsferry, Rodger of (Lord), 73–6
- easy rescue principle
 duties of citizenship and, 168
 law of omissions and, 139–40, 154–5, 162–8
 line-drawing issue and, 163–6
- Edmund-Davies (Lord), 11–12, 16–18, 76, 219–24
- Education Act 1944, 159
- ‘eggshell skull’ treatment case, causation law and, 191–2
- emotion
 history of insanity defence and, 240–2
 insanity defence and, 245–8
 motive and, 43–4
- employer-criminality, ambiguity of strict liability and, 106–9
- English penal theory
 ‘just deserts’ ideology in, 344–6
 legality and, 24
 legal reform of insanity defence and, 259–61
 middle-class interests and, 25
 Whig oligarchy and, 20–1
- Enlightenment
 deterrence ideology and, 336–44
 individual justice and legality in, 35–6
 legal reform during, 75
 madness and rationality in, 239–40
 moral-legal individualism and, 25–6
 penal theory of, 20–4, 55–7
 ‘entitled to find’ principle, intention and, 70–1
 environmental protests, necessity defence and, 214–18
- epilepsy, breadth of the Rules and disease of the mind and, 244–5
- equality
 ‘just deserts’ in unjust society and, 348–50
 law and logic of, 29–30, 36–8
 ‘equality of arms’ principle, proportionality in self-defence and, 284–6
- ethics, strict liability history and, 109–13
- European Convention on Human Rights, intention in, 70–1
- euthanasia
 discretion to convict in cases of, 54–5
 discretion to prosecute in treatment of, 54
 ‘entitled to find’ principle and, 70–1
 laws of omission and, 155–6
- evidence, intention and rule of, 68–9
- exclusion
 duties of citizenship and, 166–8
 in law of acts, 138–9
 in self-induced duress, 227–8
- excuse
 defence structure and, 229–33, 232n.33
 excusatory necessity and duress of circumstances, 207–10, 209n.9, 212n.12
 in mistaken self-defence, 292–4
 in necessity defence, 201–2, 203–7, 213–14
 in provocation defence, 305–6, 312n.5, 312–14, 325–9
 in self-defence, 291–2
- exemplary sentencing, 338–41, 343–4
- existing conditions, ‘eggshell skull’ treatment case and, 191–2
- Factories Acts, 106–9
- factual causation, legal causation vs., 171–2
- factual intention, individual morality and, 48–9
- factual recklessness, 96–8
- failure to act, omission as, 152–6
- fair choice principle, third-party voluntary intervention and, 177–9
- fault liability
 aggregation of fault, 120–1
 corporate liability and, 118–20
 criminal law and, 13
 mens rea and, 41–3
 moral involuntariness and construction of, 141–3
 organisational approach to, 121–2
 orthodox subjectivism and gradation of, 82–3
- fear trigger, 308n.4
 abused woman and provocation defence, 319n.15, 320–1
 in provocation defence, 307–8, 319–20

- Ferri, Enrico, 354–5
 feudalism, English penal theory and, 20–1
 Field and Jorg, 121–2
 Fletcher, George, 73, 75, 137, 146n.8, 160
 on defences, 199, 229–33
 on necessity defence, 201n.2, 201–2, 203–7
 on self-defence, 274
 flight principle, causation law and, 181–2
 force
 flexibility concerning use of, 299–300
 mistakes in amount of, 295–300, 303
 proportionality in self-defence and, 284–6,
 285n.4
 foreseeability doctrine
 antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 causation and, 172–4
 in *G and another* case, 80–2
 intoxication, physical involuntariness and
 moral voluntariness and, 149
 subjectivist/objectivist view of recklessness
 and, 76–9
 suicide and, 182–3
 forfeiture approach to self-defence, 276–8
 forgetfulness, practical indifference principle
 and, 90–1
 Foster, Michael (Sir), 96–8
 Foucault, Michel, 240–2
 on legal institutions, 102
 on penal theory, 20–1, 341, 355–6n.20
 on property rights, 25
 on retributive justice, 21–2
 freedom
 alcoholism and diminished responsibility
 and role of, 254–6
 deterrence and denial of, 338–41
 in drug-taking cases, 183–5
 imminence in self-defence and, 281–4
 insanity defence debate concerning, 259n.23
 moral involuntariness and denial of, 141–3
 rehabilitation and incapacitation and, 354–5
 social values vs., 154–5
 free individualism
 abstractions and realities in, 26–9
 English penal theory and, 20–1
 middle-class interests and, 25
 modern law and repressiveness of, 29–30
 utilitarian deterrence and, 22–3
 French, Peter, 121–2
 Friedman, Milton, 102, 129–32
 fright principle, causation law and, 181–2
 functionalist defence of legal practice,
 176n.6
 Gardner, John, 57–8, 290
 Garland, D., 333, 337n.3, 338–41, 354n.19
 gender issues
 abused woman, provocation defence and,
 319n.15, 320–2
 diminished responsibility defence, 262n.29
 provocation defence and, 319–20
 general deterrence, ideology of, 341–4
 Gladstone Committee of 1895, 354–5
 Gluckman, Max, 73, 100–1
 ‘Great Confinement’ period, history of insanity
 defence and, 240–2
 Greenawalt, Kent, 291–2
 Greenpeace activists, necessity defence and,
 214–18
 gross negligence manslaughter
 antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 corporate liability and, 117–18
 determinacy and, 91–2
 identity and organisation in corporate
 liability and, 124–7
 law of omissions and, 160–2, 164n.30
 orthodox objectivism and, 83–8
 practical indifference principle and, 88–9
 suicide and, 182–3
 guilt. *See also* culpability
 actus reus doctrine and, 142–3
 ethics of strict morality and, 109–13
 motive and, 45–6
 necessity defence and legal concept of, 202–3
 Hailsham (Lord), 11–12, 58, 199–200, 223–4
 Hale (Baroness), 44–5, 115–16, 202–3, 225–7
 Hall, Jerome, 369–73
 on human agency, 43–4
 on motive and intention, 41, 42
 on situational liability and physical
 involuntariness in, 149–51
Hancock and Shankland case, 61–4
 direct and indirect intention in, 71–2
 indiscriminate malice in, 68–9
 jury guidelines in, 64–6
 practical impact of, 66–7
 harm
 in actus reus law, 137–8
 aggregation in corporate liability of, 120–1
 cardinal and ordinal proportionality and,
 350–2
 duress defence and, 220–4
 incapacitation sentencing and potential for,
 356–9
 indirection intention and, 67–8
 indiscriminate malice and, 68–9
 law of oblique intention and, 61–4
 law of omissions and, 152–4, 153n.13
 living standards analysis and, 352
 in mens rea, 41–3
 morally substantive approach to intention
 and, 59–61
 motive and intention and, 41, 66–7
 omission as act of, 152–4
 organisational approach to corporate liability
 and, 121–4
 orthodox subjectivism concerning, 82–3,
 99–100
 positive harm, law of omission and, 156–9
 practical indifference principle and, 88–9
 recklessness and, 73, 80–2
 strict liability and regulatory offences,
 104–6
 Hart, H L A, 13, 15, 77–8, 82–3
 on causation, 141n.3
 on individual justice, 16–18

- Hart, H L A (cont.)
 on moral voluntariness, 141
 on retributivism, 344–6
 on voluntary acts, 140–1
- Hart and Honoré, 152–4, 153n.13
 on causation, 172, 174–87
 framing of causation cases by, 180–1
 on intervention of abnormal occurrence, 187–93
 on law enforcement, 185–6
 on refusal of medical treatment, 186–7
- Health and Safety at Work legislation, deaths at work and, 54
- ‘heat of the moment’ principle, 284–6, 299–300
- Hegel, G F W, 333
 on deterrence, 361–2
 German penal theory and, 20–1
 proportional limits on punishment and, 350
 reform ideology and, 24–5
 retributive justice and, 21–2, 346–50
- hidden motives, 46–53
 individual morality and, 46–9
 political morality and, 49–50
 social mores and, 50–3
- Hinckley, John, 257–9
- history
 Anglo-American legal scholarship bias against, 9
 criminal doctrine in context of, 10, 19–38, 38n.17
 formal structure of defences and role of, 229–33
 of necessity defence, 202–3
 of provocation defence, 305–6
 recklessness in context of, 96–100
 of self-defence, 301–3
- Hobbes, Thomas
 English penal theory and, 20–1
 philosophy of action and, 140–1, 151
- Hoffmann (Lord), 118–20, 192–3, 211–13, 314–15, 320–2
- Hogan, B, 9–10, 43–4
 on defences, 229–33, 304
 on discretion in sentencing, 55–7
 on fault liability, 13
 on intention, 57–8
 on necessity defence, 207–10, 219n.22
 orthodox subjectivism and, 99–100
 subjectivist theory and, 33–5
- homicide
 conflicting positions on duress defence in, 219–20
 factual vs. moral recklessness and, 96–8
 identity and organisation in corporate liability and, 124n.21, 124–7
 morally substantive approach to intention and, 59–61
se defendendo homicide, 301n.13, 301–3
 self-defence and, 287–9
- Homicide Act 1957, 248–57, 308–11
 honest belief requirement, 296–300
 honest mistake rule
 counter-arguments concerning, 289–91
 flexibility concerning, 299–300
 mens rea and, 287–9, 288n.6
 mistaken self-defence and, 274–6
 in self-defence, 297–300
- honour killings, provocation defence and, 309–11, 314–16
- Horder, Jeremy, 57–8, 114–15, 116
- Hörnle, T, 213–14, 232n.33
- Horwitz, Morton, 9, 10, 19–20, 173–4
- human conduct
 conflicting motives and common intentions in, 43–6
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 hidden motives and, 46–53
 history of insanity defence and, 240–2
 indirect intention and, 57–71
 insanity defence and, 237–9
 moral involuntariness and, 142–3
 subjectivity of practical indifference and, 92–4
- human rights
 abstract rights and social need, 150n.10, 160–2
 intentionality and, 70–1
 justificatory vs. excusatory defence and state legitimacy, 213–14
 self-defence and, 276–8, 284–6
- Hume, David, 20–1, 44–5
- Hyam* case
 ambiguity of certainty in, 64–6
 direct and indirect intention in, 71–2
 indiscriminate malice in, 68–9
 means and intention in, 58
 oblique intention in, 61–4
 orthodox subjectivist principles in, 66–7
Woollin case and, 67–8
- ideal retributivism, 347
- identity doctrine
 corporate liability and, 118–20, 128–33
 organisational approach to corporate liability and, 124–7
- ignorance, practical indifference principle and, 90–1
- imminence
 excusatory necessity and, 212n.12
 in self-defence, 281–4
- imperfect justification, in provocation defence, 312n.6, 312–14, 325–9
- implied malice doctrine, factual vs. moral recklessness and, 96–8
- inadvertent recklessness
 antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 defined, 73–6
 political limits of practical indifference and, 94–6
 practical indifference principle and, 90–1
- incapacity and incapacitation
 individualisation in sentencing and, 356–9
 individualism vs. individualisation in punishment and, 354–5
 physical involuntariness vs. moral voluntariness, 144
 in sentencing and penal theory, 353–9

- Indecency with Children Act 1960, 114–15
 indecent assault, strict liability in, 115–16
 indeterminacy
 easy rescue principle and, 163–6
 law of omissions and absence of, 152–4
 political limits of practical indifference and, 94–6
 practical indifference principle and, 91–2, 94–6
 in sentencing, 361–2
 indirect intention
 discretion to convict and, 54–5
 individual morality and, 48
 legal and moral judgment and, 57–71
 moral certainty test and, 64
 orthodox subjectivist principles and, 66–7
 in *Woollin* case, 67–8
 indiscriminate malice, intention and, 68–9
 individual fault, corporate liability and, 118–20
 individualisation
 individualism vs., 354n.19, 354–5
 in sentencing, 355–9
 individualism. *See also* abstract juridical individual; free individualism; legal individualism; political individualism; psychological individualism
 causation and principles of, 193–5
 deterrence and, 341–4, 354–5
 duties of citizenship and, 166–8
 individualisation vs., 354–5
 law of omissions and, 156–9
 social context of deterrence, 338–41
 third-party voluntary intervention and, 178
 Vining's discussion of, 15
 individual justice
 criminal law and, 13–15
 ideal and actual in classical retributivism and, 347
 'just deserts' in unjust society and, 348–50
 limits of, 16–18
 mens rea and, 41–3
 moral validity of necessity and duress defences and, 233–6
 politics of denial and, 53–7
 post-Hinckley debate in US on insanity defence and, 257–9
 psychological individualism and, 35–6
 strict liability of regulatory offences and, 104–6
 individual responsibility
 abnormal conditions or contingencies and, 175–6
 causation and, 172–9, 193–5
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 corporate liability and, 128–32
 defence law and, 199–200
 duties of citizenship and, 166–8
 hidden motives and, 46–9
 insanity defence and, 237–9, 260–1n.27
 'just deserts' in unjust society and, 348–50
 law of omissions and, 139–40
 legal test for, 263–7
 motive and, 43–6, 49–50
 penal theory and, 24
 post-Hinckley debate in US on insanity defence and, 257–9
 psychological individualism and, 35–6
 recklessness in *Caldwell* case and, 77
 sentencing and ideology of, 333–6
 strict liability and, 104–6, 109–13
 subjectivist theory and, 33–5
 third-party voluntary intervention and, 177–9
 inequality, 'just deserts' in unjust society and, 348–50
 inexorable logic in mens rea
 honest and reasonable mistakes and, 297–300
 mistakes of fact and law in self-defence and, 296–7
 self-defence and, 287–9
 infanticide
 diminished responsibility defence and, 264–6
 poverty and insanity defence concerning, 263–4
 Inglis, Frances, 54–5
 insanity defence
 abstract juridical individual and, 376–7
 asylum and psychiatry and emergence of, 240–2
 breadth of the rules principle, 244–5
 cognitive tests and, 245–8
 combined law and psychiatry and
 decontextualisation of madness, 261–9
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 consensus and conflict between law and psychiatry and, 271–3
 'disease of the mind' concept and, 244–5
 gender and racial stereotypes and, 262n.29
 legal discourse concerning, 237–9, 263–7
 legal reform in England and Wales and, 259n.25, 259–61
 legal vs. psychiatric tests of, 243–8
 limits to compassion and pragmatism in, 266–7
 men killing women and, 267–9
 modern concepts of madness and, 269–70
 narrowness of the rules concerning, 245–8
 overview, 237–73
 post-Hinckley debate in US on, 257–9
 poverty and, 263–4
 psychiatry and politics of law reform and, 257–61
 rationality in criminal law and, 239–40
 intention, law of. *See also* mens rea
 abstract juridical individual and, 369–73
 actus reus doctrine and, 143
 basic concepts, 41–72
 common intentions, motives in conflict with, 43–6
 definitions of, 41n.1, 41–3, 57–8, 71–2
 duress defence and, 220–4
 'entitled to find' principle and, 70–1
 factual intention, 48–9
 indirect intention, 48, 54–5, 57–71
 indiscriminate malice and, 68–9

- intention, law of (cont.)
 individual desocialisation and, 43–57
 individual morality and, 46–9
 intrinsic morality of, 57–8
 loss of control defence and, 322–5
 morally substantive approach to, 59–61
 moral voluntariness and, 151
 motive and, 43–56, 138–9
 necessity defence and, 210–11
 oblique intention, 61–4
 orthodox subjectivist approach to, 58–9
 politics of denial and, 53–7
 recklessness in *Caldwell* case and, 77–8, 78n.4
 separation of motive from, 35–6
 subjectivist interpretation of, 64–7
 ulterior intention, 43–4
 voluntary acts and, 138–9
- interpersonal relations, third-party voluntary interventions and, 177n.8, 177–9, 180n.10
- interventionist state. *See also* state instrumentality
 duties of citizenship and, 166–8
 individualisation in sentencing and, 355–6
 law of omissions and, 159–62, 165–6
 rehabilitation and incapacitation ideology and, 353–9
- intoxication, laws concerning. *See also* addiction offences; alcoholism, laws concerning
 alcoholism and diminished responsibility, 254–6
 moral voluntariness and, 144
 physical involuntariness and moral voluntariness, 146–9, 147n.9
 unconsciousness requirement and, 144–6
- involuntariness
 actus reus and, 138–9
 causation and, 193–5
 denial of physical involuntariness, 149–51
 duress defence and, 220–4
 intoxication, physical involuntariness and moral voluntariness, 146–9
 in law of acts, 177n.7
 limits on physical involuntariness, 144–51
 moral voluntariness vs. physical involuntariness, 144
 necessity defence and moral involuntariness, 214–18
 physical vs. moral involuntariness, 140–3
 third-party voluntary intervention and, 177–9
 unconsciousness requirement, 144–6
- judgment
 conflicting motives and common intentions in, 43–6
 hidden motives and, 46–53
 indirect intention and, 57–71
 necessity defence and, 203–7
 political limits of practical indifference and, 94–6
- judiciary
 attitude towards criminal law reform, 33–5
 on legal individualism vs. social control, 30–5
 mens rea doctrine and, 30–1
 provocation defence and power of, 314–15
 role in appeals of, 17n.5
 sentencing and power of, 352n.17
 subjective fault and role of, 17
- juridical individual. *See* abstract juridical individual
- jury guidelines
 discretion to convict and role of, 54–5
 gross negligence manslaughter and absence of, 83–8
 in *Hancock and Shankland* and *Nedrick* cases, 64–6
 in *Moloney* case, 63–4
 social diversity issues and, 51n.12
- ‘just deserts’ ideology
 allocation of punishment and, 346–50
 cardinal and ordinal proportionality and, 350–2
 indeterminacy of legal form and, 361–2
 proportional limits on punishment and, 350–2
 sentencing and, 344n.13, 344–6
 in unjust society, 348n.15, 348n.16, 348–50
- justice
 abstractions and realities concerning, 26–9
 Bentham’s penal theory and, 26–9
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 discretion in sentencing and, 55–7
 Enlightenment penal theory and, 20–4
 inexorable logic in mens rea and, 287–9
 mens rea and, 41–3
 necessity defence and, 214–18
 retributive justice and, 21–2, 344–52
- justifiable homicide, self-defence as, 301–3
- justification
 defence structure and, 229–33, 232n.33
 in mistaken self-defence, 292–4
 in necessity defence, 201–2, 202n.3, 203–7
 in provocation defence, 305–6, 312n.5, 312–14, 322n.19, 325–9
 of punishment, 346–50
 for self-defence, 289–92
 unknown justification, 295–6n.10
- justificatory necessity
 challenges to state and, 211–13
 in medical cases, 210–11
 moral involuntariness and, 214–18
- Kant, Immanuel
 penal theory and, 20–1, 350
 reform ideology and, 24–5
 retributive justice and, 21–2, 346–50, 347n.14
- Kenny, C S, 77–8, 78n.6, 80–2, 88–9
 factual vs. moral recklessness and, 96–8
- Lacey, N C, 333
- lactation theory, diminished responsibility defence and, 264–6
- laissez-faire ideology
 abstract right and social need and, 159–62
 corporate punishment and, 129–32

- individual vs. general deterrence and, 341–4
- juridification of omission and, 156–9
- law of omission and, 168–70
- law enforcement
 - causation law and, 185–6
 - deterrence and, 339n.6, 341n.9
 - duress defence and role of, 218–19
- Law Reform (Year and a Day Rule) Act 1996, 171–2
- Lectures on Jurisprudence* (Austin), 140–1
- Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012, 356–9
- legal causation, factual causation vs., 171–2
- 'legal cloke' argument
 - in *Dudley and Stephens* case, 203–7
 - duress defence and, 220–4
 - necessity defence and, 214–18
- legal code
 - combined law and psychiatry and decontextualisation of madness, 261–9
 - conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 - consensus and conflict with psychiatry and, 271–3
 - evolution from moral judgment to, 113–17
 - evolution of defences in, 243–57
 - insanity test in, 243–8
 - judicial resistance to, 33–5
 - repressiveness of individualism and, 29–30
 - security of, 24n.4
- legal individualism
 - control and, 367–9
 - Enlightenment penal theory, 20–4
 - logic, policy and class structure and, 33–5
 - modern repressiveness of, 29–30
 - moral validity of necessity and duress defences and, 234–6
 - political individualism and, 36–8
 - reform penal theory ideology and, 24–30
 - social control and, 30–5
 - social individuality and, 20–30
 - Vining's discussion of, 15
- legality
 - contradictions within, 16–18
 - discretion in sentencing and, 55–7
 - individual justice and, 15
 - intention and, 68–9
 - middle-class interests and, 25
 - moral validity of necessity and duress defences and, 233–6
 - penal theory and, 24
 - of private property, 45–6
 - psychiatric profession vs., 239–43
 - psychiatry and politics of law reform and, 257–61
 - psychological individualism and, 35–6
 - rationality and, 10–13
- legal reform
 - abstractions and realities in, 26–9, 28n.11
 - Enlightenment penal theory, 20–1
 - historical contradictions in, 19–20
 - insanity defence in England and Wales and, 259n.25, 259–61
 - judicial resistance to, 33–5
 - middle-class interests and, 25
 - modernisation of diminished responsibility and, 251–4
 - moral-legal individualism and, 25–6
 - penal theory interests and ideology and, 24–30
 - of provocation defence law, 305
 - psychiatry and politics of, 257–61
 - utilitarian deterrence and, 22–3
- lesser evils approach
 - justificatory necessity in challenges to state and, 211–13
 - justificatory necessity in medical cases and, 210–11
 - justificatory vs. excusatory defence and state legitimacy, 213–14
 - necessity defence and, 201–2, 202n.3
- letter of the law, necessity defence and, 202–3
- Leverick, F., 278n.1
- Lex Talionis, 350
- liability. *See also* corporate liability; fault liability; mens rea; strict liability
 - due diligence and, 104n.1
 - fault liability, 13
 - gross negligence manslaughter and determination of, 83–8
 - law of omissions and restrictions on, 139–40, 156–9, 159n.23
 - situational liability, 149–51
 - subjectivist/objectivist view of recklessness and, 76–9
- liberal ideology
 - abstract right and social need in law of omissions and, 159–62
 - actus reus and, 137–8
 - causation and, 174–9
 - in criminal law, 14–15
 - insanity defence and, 237–9
 - juridification of omission and, 156–9
 - legal reform and, 33–5
 - psychological individualism and, 366–7
 - sentencing and, 333–6
- libertarian ideology
 - actus reus and, 137–8
 - duties of citizenship and, 166–8
- line-drawing issue, easy rescue principle and, 163–6
- living standards analysis, punishment and, 352
- logic
 - criminal law heritage and, 33–5
 - of equity, political individualism and, 36–8
 - mens rea and, 41–3, 287–9
- loss of control defence
 - abstract juridical individual and, 378
 - abused woman and, 320–2
 - age and sex factors in, 319–20
 - anger trigger in, 321–2
 - comparison of old and new law, 325–9
 - early law concerning, 308–11
 - fear trigger and, 320–1, 322n.19
 - historical evolution of, 305–6
 - legal reformation concerning, 305
 - new law concerning, 307–8

- loss of control defence (cont.)
 objective test in, 316–20
 overview, 304–29
 reinstatement of, 322–5
 subjective test in, 309
 sudden vs. non-sudden loss, 322–5
 underlying philosophy, 312–14
- Loughnan, Arlie, 239–40
- lunacy statutes, history of insanity defence and, 243n.5
- MacCormick, D N, 9–10
 on argumentation process, 33–5
Caldwell case and theories of, 76
 on rationality and legality, 11n.1, 11
- Mackay, R D, 83–8, 245–8
- McKittrick, D., 274
- madness
 decontextualisation of, 261–9
 individualism vs. individualisation
 concerning, 354–5
 modern concepts of, 269–70
 social control of, 239–43
- malice aforethought, recklessness and, 78n.5
- ‘malum in se’ (real crime), strict liability and, 104–6
- ‘malum prohibitum’ (quasi-crime)
 ethics of strict morality and, 113
 strict liability and, 104–6
- management failure principle, identity
 and organisation in corporate liability and, 124–7
- manslaughter offences. *See also* gross negligence manslaughter
 abstract right and social need in law of
 omissions and, 159–62
 corporate liability and, 117–18, 132–3
 discretion to convict in, 54–5
 discretion to prosecute in, 54
 drug-taking cases, 183–5
 duress defence and, 223–4
 indirect intention in, 67–8
 individual morality and, 46–9
 limits of corporate liability in, 122–3
 mistakes of fact and law in, 296–7
 physical involuntariness and moral
 voluntariness, 146–9
 provocation in, 304–5
 recklessness in, 78–9
- market ideology, moral-legal individualism
 and, 25–6
- Masters, Brian, 270–1n.42
- materialist philosophy, English penal theory
 and, 20–1
- May Committee, 355–6
- means, intention and, 58
- medical practice
 abnormal conditions or contingencies and,
 175–6
 alcoholism and diminished responsibility
 and, 254–6
 discretion to prosecute and, 54
 easy rescue principle and, 163–6
- ‘eggshell skull’ treatment case and, 189n.18,
 191–2
- gross negligence manslaughter cases and,
 83–8, 85n.9
- insanity test and, 243–8
- intervention in abnormal treatment, 187–91
- justificatory necessity defence for, 210–11
- laws of omission and, killing and letting die
 principles and, 155–6
- modernisation of diminished responsibility
 laws and, 251–4
- necessity defence and, 199–200, 202, 207–10
- refusal of medical treatment and, 186–7,
 187n.15, 188n.16
- mens rea
 abstract juridical individual in, 369–73
 in *Caldwell* case, 76–9
 in corporate liability, 117–24, 372–3
 creation of, 30–1
 defences and, 199–200
 definition and terminology for, 41n.2, 41–3
 evolution from moral judgment to legal
 principle in, 113–17
 gross negligence manslaughter and, 83–8
 hidden motive and, 46–53
 individual justice and, 14–15
 mistaken self-defence and, 286–7
 motive and intention and, 41–72
 political morality and, 49–50
 recklessness as form of, 73–101
 self-defence and, 274–6, 287–9, 294–5
 social mores and, 50–3
 strict liability and, 102–4, 109–17
 Williams’ discussion of, 16–18
- mental responsibility concept, 250n.10, 251n.16
 flexibility in self-defence concerning,
 299–300
 individualism vs. individualisation
 concerning, 354–5
- mental state
 conflict and cooperation laws concerning,
 249–51
 diminished responsibility and, 248–9
 duress defence and, 225–7
 history of insanity defence and, 240–2
 insanity defence and discourse concerning,
 237–9
 modern legal reforms concerning diminished
 responsibility and, 251–4
 narrowness of rules and cognitive testing of,
 245–8
- mercy
 discretion in sentencing and, 55–7
 terror and, in English penal theory, 20–1
- mercy killing
 diminished responsibility and, 249–51,
 251n.17
 discretion to convict in cases of, 54–5
 discretion to prosecute in treatment of, 54
 ‘entitled to find’ principle and, 70–1
 laws of omission and, 155–6
 limits to compassion and pragmatism in,
 266–7

- metaphysics, proportional limits on
 punishment and, 350
- middle-class interests
 legal reform and, 25
 moral-legal individualism and, 25n.6–6n.7,
 25–6, 26n.8
- Mill, James, 43n.5
- mistaken self-defence
 abstract juridical individual and, 377–8
 amounts of force in, 295–300
 competing perspectives on, 301–3
 counter-arguments concerning, 288n.6,
 289–91
 legal discourse concerning, 274–6
 as offence, 294–5
 reasonableness and, 286–7
 warranted excuse or imperfect justification
 in, 292–4
- mistake of duress, 224–5
- mistake of fact, force in self-defence and,
 296n.11, 296–7
- mistakes of law, force in self-defence and, 296–7
- mitigation of punishment
 conflict and cooperation laws concerning
 diminished responsibility and, 249–51
 diminished responsibility and, 248–57
 discretion in sentencing and, 55–7
 duress defence and, 220–4
 individual vs. general deterrence and, 341–4
- M’Naghten, Daniel, 243–8
- M’Naghten Rules, 237
 diminished responsibility and, 248–57
 emergence of, 239–40
 of insanity, 243–8
 modern concepts of madness and, 269–70
 narrowness of, 245–8
 post-Hinckley debate in US on, 257–9
 trials involving, 244n.6
- modern law
 madness concepts in, 269–70
 provocation defence in, 307–8
 repressive individualism of, 29–30
- Moloney* case
 comparison with *Woollin*, 67–8
 direct and indirect intention in, 71–2
 intended impact of, 64
 jury guidelines in, 63–4
 oblique intention principle in, 61–4
 subjectivist interpretation of, 64–7
- Moore, M., 18n.6
- moral certainty test
 indirect intention and, 67–8
 oblique intention and, 61–4
 probability and, 64–6
- ‘moral elbow room’ principle, intention and,
 71–2
- moral individualism, juridification of omission
 and, 156–9
- morality
 conflicting values and law of omissions,
 154–5
 control over grounds for provocation based
 on, 314–16
 criminal law and, 13–15
 deterrence theory and, 338–41
 discretion to convict and, 54–5
 duress defence and, 219–20
 ethics of strict liability and, 109–13, 110n.11,
 111n.13
 evolution to legal principle, in strict liability,
 113–17
 formal structure of defence and, 229–33
 indirect intention and, 57–71
 individual morality, 46–9
 insanity defence and, 237–9
 justificatory vs. excusatory defence and state
 legitimacy, 213–14
 legitimate allocation of punishment and,
 346–50
 middle-class interests and, 25–6
 motive and, 45–6
 necessity defence and, 203–7
 physical involuntariness vs. moral
 involuntariness, 140–3
 politics and, 49–50, 53–7
 self-defence and, 294–5
 strict liability of regulatory offences and,
 104–6
- morally substantive approach to intention,
 59–61
 ‘entitled to find’ principle and, 70–1
 indirect intention and, 67–8
 indiscriminate malice and, 68–9
- moral recklessness, 96–8
- moral threshold doctrine, intention and,
 70–1
- moral turpitude principle, discretion to
 prosecute and, 54
- moral voluntariness
 definitions and terminology, 144n.5
 intoxication, physical involuntariness and,
 146–9
 in law of acts, 138–9
 legacy of, 151
 necessity defence and involuntariness
 condition, 214–18
 physical involuntariness vs., 144
 situational liability and limits of, 149–51
- Morris (Lord), 301–3
- motive. *See also* mens rea
 abstract juridical individual and, 369–73
 actus reus doctrine and, 143
 basic concepts, 41–72
 common intentions in conflict with, 43–6
 discretion in sentencing and, 55–7
 discretion to prosecute and, 54
 hidden motives, 46–53
 individual desocialisation and, 43–57
 insanity defence and, 247n.9
 politics of denial and, 53–7
 separation from intention, 35–6, 43–6,
 138–9
 third-party voluntary intervention and,
 177–9
- multiplicity of causes, causation doctrine and,
 172–4

- murder
 conflicting views of, 219–20, 242–3
 diminished responsibility as partial defence
 in, 256
 duress defence and, 218–20
 indirect intention and, 67–8
 justificatory necessity defence in, 210–11
 malice aforethought and, 78n.5
 morally substantive approach to intention
 and, 59–61
 provocation defence in, 304–5
 ‘must follow’ formula, in sentencing, 360–1
- narrowness of the rules principle, insanity
 defence and, 245–8
- nationalisation, corporate punishment and,
 129–32
- natural consequences
 ambiguity in interpretation of, 64–6
 definitions of, 64n.19
 oblique intention and, 63–4
- necessity defence
 actus reus doctrine and, 143
 ambiguous history of, 202–3
 basic principles of, 201–18
 criminal law and justice and, 214–18
 excusatory necessity, 207–10
 formal structure of, 229–33
 intention and, 50n.11, 53–7, 70–1
 judgment and context in *Dudley and
 Stephens* case and, 203–7
 justification vs. excuse in, 201–2, 213–14
 justificatory necessity in medical cases and,
 210–11
 moral involuntariness and, 141–3
 moral validity of, 233–6
 overview of, 199–236
 paradoxes in, 199–200
 re-emergence of, 207–14
 self-defence and, 279–80
- Nedrick* case, 61–4
 direct and indirect intention in, 71–2
 indirect intention and, 67–8
 indiscriminate malice in, 68–9
 jury guidelines in, 64–6
 practical impact of, 66–7
- need
 justice theories and rule of law concerning,
 45n.8
 law of omissions and, 159–62
 moral validity of necessity and duress
 defences and, 234–6
 motive and intention linked to, 44n.7, 44–5
 necessity defence and conditions of,
 207–10
- negative act, omission as, 152–6
- negligence
 abnormal treatment in medical cases and,
 187–91
 antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 corporate liability and, 118–20
 determinacy and, 91–2
 gross negligence manslaughter, 83–8
- identity and organisation in corporate
 liability and, 124–7
 in law enforcement cases, 185–6
 law of omission and, 158n.22, 159n.23
 organisational approach to, 121–4
 orthodox subjectivism and, 82–3
 practical indifference principle and, 88–9
 recklessness and, 77–8, 78n.4, 78n.6
 neo-liberal ideology, corporate liability in
 context of, 126–7
- Nilsen, Dennis, 270–1n.42
- non-custodial sentencing, rehabilitation and
 incapacitation and, 355–6
- non-financial sanctions, corporate punishment
 and, 129–32
- normal person test, provocation defence,
 316–19
- norms
 abnormal conditions or contingencies and,
 175–6
 intervention of abnormal occurrence and,
 187–93
 law of omissions and, 152–4
 prohibitory norms, defence structure
 and, 231
 of self-defence, 278–9
- no unreasonable risk principle, law of omission
 and, 163–4, 164n.29
- Nourse, Victoria, 281–4, 283–4n.3
- novus actus interveniens, suicide and, 182–3
- nulla poena sine lege, discretion in sentencing
 and, 55–7
- nullum crimen sine lege, discretion in
 sentencing and, 55–7
- objectivist theory
 in *Caldwell* case, 76–9
 of causation, 172–4
 duress defence and, 225–7
 legacy of, 100–1
 legal reform and, 33–5
 limitations of subjectivism and, 82–3
 loss of control under, 308–11
 orthodox objectivism, limitations of, 83–8
 orthodox subjectivism and, 99–100
 over-subjectivisation of reasonable person,
 provocation defence and, 311
 practical indifference principle and, 88–9,
 90–1
 provocation defence and, 307–11, 316–20
 recklessness and, 73–89, 77n.3
 split with subjectivist theory, 79–80
 unacceptable grounds for provocation and,
 309–11
- oblique intention, 61–4, 66–7
- obvious risk principle, 79–80, 82–3
- offence
 abstract juridical individual in, 369–75
 administrative offences, 102–4
 discretion to prosecute and categories of, 54
 formal structure of defences and, 229–33
 indeterminacy in seriousness of, 361–2
 mistaken self-defence and, 286–7, 294–5

- mistake of duress in, 224–5
 necessity defence and, 210–11
 regulatory offences, 104–6
 restrictive vs. broad constructions of, 16–18
 self-defence and, 294–5
- Offences Against the Person Act 1861, 109–10, 110n.10
- Official Secrets Act 1989, 211–13
- ‘Old Corruption’ regime, penal theory and, 20–1
- omissions, law of
 abstract right and social need in, 159–62, 373–5
 basic principles, 139–40
 citizenship duties and, 166–8
 concept construction in, 152–6
 drowning infant/stranger paradigm and, 154–5
 easy rescue principle and, 139–40, 154–5, 162–8
 juridification of concept of, 156–9
 killing and letting die principles and, 155–6
 legal discourse concerning, 137–8, 151
 overview of, 137–70
 summary of, 168–70
 supervening cause and, 193–5
- ordinal proportionality principle, 350–2
- organisational structure
 corporate liability and, 121–4, 132–3
 corporate punishment and, 129–32
 economic integration and, 128–9
 identity doctrine in corporate liability and, 124–7
 liability issues and, 102–4
 limits of corporate liability in, 122–3
- Ormerod, David, 304–5
- orthodox objectivism, limitations of, 83–8, 100–1
- orthodox subjectivist theory
 indirect intention and, 67–8
 indiscriminate malice and, 68–9
 of intention, 58–9
 limitations of, 82–3
 ‘objective’ questions in, 99–100
 practical indifference principle and, 88–9
 recklessness and, 163–6
 in *Woollin* case, 67–8
- Outlines of Criminal Law (Kenny), 80–2
- pain/pleasure principle, utilitarian deterrence and, 22–3
- panopticon, Bentham’s concept of, 337n.3
- pardons, English penal theory and, 20–1
- Parker (Lord), 250n.14, 251–4
- partial defence
 diminished responsibility as partial defence in murder, 256
 loss of control and, 307–8
 modern concepts of madness and, 269–70
 of provocation, 325–9
- partial excuse, in provocation defence, 312–14
- passive euthanasia, laws of omission and, 155–6
- peace protests, necessity defence and, 214–18
- ‘Peculiar People’ cases, 46–9
- Peel, Robert (Sir), 243–8
- penal theory. *See also* punishment
 antinomies of sentencing and, 359–60
 of Bentham, 26–9
 common law heritage and, 31–3
 competing ideologies in, 359–63
 conflicting ideologies in, 363–4
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 corporate liability and, 128–32
 corporate punishment and economic integration, 128–9
 deterrence and, 336–44
 discretion in sentencing and, 55–7
 dominant rationale approach in, 360–1
 of the Enlightenment, 20–4
 ideal and actual in classical retributivism and, 347
 incapacitation in, 356–9
 indirect intention and, 57–71
 individualisation in sentencing and, 355–9
 individualism vs. individualisation in, 354–5
 individual vs. general deterrence in, 341–4
 ‘just deserts’ ideology and, 344–6
 legality and, 24
 legal reform and, 20–1
 legitimate allocation of punishment and, 346–50
 moral-legal individualism and, 25–6
 reform interests and ideology, 24–30
 rehabilitation and incapacitation in, 353–9
 repressiveness of individualism in modern law and, 29–30
 retributive justice and, 21–2
 retributivism and, 344–52
 social context of deterrence in, 338–41
 social mores and, 50–3
 utilitarian deterrence and, 22–3
- perpetual surveillance, Bentham’s concept of, 337n.3, 337–8
- persecution cases, self-induced duress in, 227–8
- personal self-defence, 276–8
- perverse verdict, discretion to convict and, 54–5
- Petition of Right of 1628, 31
- physical acts
 actus reus and, 138–9
 denial of physical involuntariness, 149–51
 intoxication, physical involuntariness and moral voluntariness, 146–9
 involuntary acts vs. moral involuntariness, 140–3
 limits on physical involuntariness in actus reus, 144–51
 moral voluntariness vs. physical involuntariness, 144
 unconsciousness requirement in involuntariness, 144–6
- pluralism, sentencing and ideology of, 333–6
- political economy
 individualist ideology of, 25–6
 social order and, 343n.10

- political individualism
 criminal law and, 36–8
 expressive function of, 367–9
 necessity in self-defence and, 279–80
- politics
 abstract juridical individual and, 53–7, 365–9
 control over grounds for provocation based on, 314–16
 hidden motive and, 49–50
 identity and organisation in corporate liability and, 124–7
 incapacitation in sentencing and, 356–9
 insanity tests and, 243–8
 intention and, 66–7
 justificatory defence in challenges to state and, 211–13
 justificatory vs. excusatory defence and state legitimacy, 213–14
 law of omissions and, 156–9, 165–6
 moral validity of necessity and duress defences and, 233–6
 necessity defence and, 202, 214–18, 279–80
 practical indifference principle and, 94–6
 psychiatry and politics of law reform, 257–61
 recklessness and, 100–1
 regulatory offences vs. real crime and, 116
 rehabilitation in sentencing and, 355–6
 self-defence and, 294–5
- Ponting, Clive, 54–5
- Poor Laws, 31, 202–3
- positive good and harm, law of omission and, 156–9
- positivist criminology, rehabilitation and incapacitation and, 354–5
- possession cases, ethics of strict liability and, 109–13
- Pottle, Pat, 54–5
- practical indifference principle
 antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 concept of, 90–1
 determinacy and, 91–2
 introduction of, 88–9
 political limits of, 94–6
 recklessness and, 89–96
 subjectivity in, 92–4
- pragmatism, limits of, in insanity defence, 266–7
- praxiology, criminal law as, 379–81
- preceding cause, in causation law, 171–2
- pre-emption, necessity in self-defence and, 279–80
- prevention of crime
 challenges to state and defence using, 211–13
 rehabilitation and incapacitation and, 354–5
- Priestley, J B, 178, 182–3
- prima facie wrongfulness, self-defence and, 289–91
- Principles of the Penal Code* (Bentham), 26–9
- prior fault
 intoxication, physical involuntariness and moral voluntariness and, 148
 law of omissions and, 159–62
 situational liability and physical involuntariness and, 149–51
- Prison Rules 1964, 354–5
- private law, causation doctrine and, 172–4
- probability analysis
 deterrence ideology and role of, 338–41
 incapacitation ideology and limits of, 356–9
 moral certainty principle and, 64–6
- probable consequence test, intention and, 62n.18, 66n.20
- property rights
 common law heritage and, 31
 crimes involving, 33–5
 duress defence and, 220–4
 middle-class interests and, 25n.5, 25
 motive in context of, 45–6
 self-defence and, 276–8
 social mores concerning, 52
- proportionality
 cardinal and ordinal proportionality, 350–2
 classical approach to, 350
 fright or flight principle and, 181–2
 incapacitation sentencing and, 356–9
 legality and, 24
 limits on punishment and, 350–2
 living standards analysis and, 352
 retributive justice and, 21–2
 self-defence and, 284–6, 285n.4
- prosecutorial discretion, politics of denial and, 54
- provocation defence
 abused woman and, 320–2
 age and sex factors in, 319–20
 anger trigger in, 321–2
 comparison of old and new law, 325–9
 control over grounds for provocation, 314–16
 discretion to convict and, 54–5
 dual trigger approach in, 307n.3
 duress defence and, 225–7
 early law concerning, 308–11, 325–9
 fear trigger and, 320–1
 historical evolution of, 305–6, 325–9
 judicial power and, 314–15
 loss of control defence and, 304–5
 new law concerning, 307–8
 normal person test, 316–19, 325–9
 objectivist test in, 307–8, 311, 316–20
 over-subjectivisation of reasonable person, objective test for, 311
 reformation of, 305
 reinstatement of loss of control in, 322–5
 sexual infidelity and, 315–16
 standard of resistance and, 225–7
 subjective test in, 309
 unacceptable grounds for, objective test, 309–11
 underlying philosophy, 312–14
- psychiatry
 combined law and psychiatry and decontextualisation of madness, 261–9
 conflicting views of crime in, 242–3
 consensus and conflict with legal profession and, 271–3
 diminished responsibility and, 248–57

- insanity assessment in, 243–8
 insanity defence and role of, 237–9, 240–2, 257n.19
 legal defences and, 243–57
 legal reform of insanity defence in England and Wales and, 259n.25, 259–61
 modern concepts of madness and, 269–70
 modernisation of diminished responsibility laws and, 251–4
 politics of law reform and, 257–61
 rule of law vs., 239–43
 psychological approach to intention, 58–9
 criminal law and, 35–6, 71–2
 duress defence and, 225–7
 mens rea and, 41–3, 369–73
 orthodox subjectivism and, 82–3
 provocation defence and, 316–19, 317–18n.12
 responsibility and, 71–2
 psychological individualism
 individual responsibility and, 35–6
 mens rea and, 369–73
 repressive function, 366–7
 public policy
 causation and, 193–5
 criminal law heritage and, 33–5
 necessity defence and, 214–18
 public welfare
 incapacitation in sentencing and, 356–9
 provocation defence and, 325–9
 state interventionism and, 353–9
 strict liability and, 104–6, 108n.6
 punishment. *See also* sentencing
 antinomies of sentencing and, 359–60
 cardinal and ordinal proportionality in, 350–2
 classical approach to proportionality in, 350
 competing ideologies concerning, 359–63
 conflicting ideologies concerning, 363–4
 corporate liability and, 128–32
 deterrence ideology and, 336–44
 discretion in sentencing as mitigation of, 55–7
 dominant rationale approach to, 360–1
 ideal and actual in classical retributivism and, 347
 incapacitation in, 356–9
 individualisation in, 355–9
 individualism vs. individualisation in, 354–5
 individual vs. general deterrence and, 341–4
 ‘just deserts’ ideology and, 344–6
 legality and, 24
 legitimate allocation of, 346–50
 living standards analysis and, 352
 mens rea requirement, 41
 moral-legal individualism and, 25–6
 moral validity of necessity and duress defences and, 233–6
 necessity defence and justification of, 203–7
 proportionality and limits on, 350–2
 psychological individualism and, 366–7
 rehabilitation and incapacitation in, 353–9
 repressiveness of individualism and, 29–30
 retributivism and, 21–2, 344–52
 sentencing and ideologies of, 333–6
 social co-ordination and corporate liability, 129–32
 utilitarian deterrence and, 22–3
 purpose
 causation and, 193–5
 motive and, 49–50
 orthodox subjectivist theory of intention and, 58–9
 racial discrimination, ‘just deserts’ in unjust society and, 348–50
 racial violence, provocation defence and, 314–16
 radical incompleteness, individual justice and, 18n.6
 Raitt and Zeedyk, 264–6
 Ramsay, P. 167
 Randle, Michael, 54–5
 rape
 insanity and diminished responsibility defences and, 267n.34, 267–9
 mens rea and, 73–6
 practical indifference principle and, 89–90, 92–4
 proportional limits on punishment and, 350
 recklessness in law of, 78–9
 rational construction, MacCormick’s principle of, 9–10
 rationality in criminal law
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 deterrence and, 341–4
 formal and substantive distinction in, 215n.14
 incapacitation ideology and, 356–9
 insanity defence and, 239–40
 legality vs., 10–13
 in legal scholarship, 9–10
 limits of, 16–18
 reasonableness
 easy rescue principle and criteria of, 163–6
 ethics of strict morality and, 112
 flexibility in self-defence concerning, 299–300
 mens rea and, 287–9
 in mistaken self-defence, 286–7
 mistaken self-defence and, 286–7
 necessity in self-defence and, 279–80
 proportionality in self-defence and, 284–6
 self-defence and, 276–8, 297–300
 standard of resistance and, 225–7
 reasonable person test, provocation defence, 311, 316–19
rechtsfrei argument, necessity defence and, 214
 reckless driving
 law of omissions and, 159–62
 offences based on, 87n.11
 political limits of practical indifference and, 94–6
 unconsciousness requirement in laws concerning, 144–6
 recklessness
 abstract juridical individual and, 369–73

- recklessness (cont.)
 ambiguity in interpretation of, 64–6
 antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 definitions of, 73–6, 74n.1
 determinacy and, 91–2
 factual vs. moral recklessness, 96–8
 in *G and another* case, 80–2
 gross negligence manslaughter and, 83–8
 historical roots of, 96–100
 indirect intention and, 67–8
 line-drawing issue and, 163–6
 oblique intention principle and, 61–4
 organisational approach to, 121–4
 orthodox subjectivism and, 99–100
 overview of principles concerning, 73–101
 political limits of practical indifference and, 94–6
 practical indifference principle and, 89–96
 subjectivism and objectivism in law of, 76–89, 77n.3, 79–80, 100–1
- recognised medical condition requirement
 modernisation of diminished responsibility laws and, 251–4
 provocation defence and, 317–18n.12
- reductionism, in self-defence, 295–6n.10
- reformability, individualism vs.
 individualisation and, 354–5
- regulatory offences
 causation law and, 192–3
 defined, 102–4
 ideological and practical context of, 106–9
 real crime vs., 116, 132–3
 sentencing and, 333–6
 strict liability and, 104–6
- rehabilitation
 individualisation in sentencing and, 355–6
 individualism vs. individualisation and, 354–5
 theories of, 353–9
- religion
 individual morality and, 46–9
 insanity defence and, 237–9
 refusal of medical treatment based on, 186–7, 187n.15
- resistance standard, duress defence and, 225–7
- retributivism
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 dominant rationale approach in sentencing and, 360–1
 ideal and actual in classical ideology of, 347
 ‘just deserts’ ideology and, 344–6, 348–50
 legitimate allocation of punishment and, 346–50
 middle-class interests and, 25
 necessity defence and, 203–7
 penal theory and, 21–2
 post-Hinckley debate in US on insanity defence and, 257–9
 proportional limits on punishment and, 350–2
 sentencing and, 344–52
- risk assessment. *See also* foreseeability doctrine
 antinomy and culpability and, 98–9
 objective justifiability issues in, 99–100
 orthodox subjectivist view of, 82–3
 practical indifference principle and, 88–9, 90–1
 recklessness principle and, 73–6
- Rivers (Prevention of Pollution) Act 1951, 104–6
- Road Traffic Act 1988, 159
 discretion to prosecute and, 54
 reckless driving offences and, 87n.11
- robbery crimes, practical indifference principle and, 90–1
- ‘Robin Hood’ policy, social mores and, 50–3
- role omissions, 154n.15
- rule of law. *See* legality
- Scarman (Lord), 43–4, 46–9, 64–7, 176
- Schmitt, Carl, 214
- science, rehabilitation and incapacitation and, 354–5
- self-defence. *See also* mistaken self-defence
 bipolarity concerning, 301–3
 commonsensical logic concerning, 276–86
 counter-arguments concerning, 289–91
 duress defence and, 223–4
 evaluative context for, 291–5
 flexibility concerning mistakes in, 299–300
 historical evolution of, 301–3
 honest and reasonable mistakes in, 297–300
 imminence in, 281–4
 as justification or excuse, 291–2
 law enforcement and, 181n.12, 185–6
 legal discourse concerning, 274–6
 mistaken amounts of force in, 295–300
 mistake of duress in, 224–5
 mistakes of fact and law in, 296–7
 necessity and, 279–80
 normative model of, 278–9
 offence–defence structure and, 287–9
 overview of, 274–303
- self-induced duress, 227–9
- self-induced intoxication
 causation in drug-taking cases and, 183–5
 duress defence and, 225–7
 physical involuntariness and moral voluntariness, 146–9
- self-preservation
 causation law and, 180n.10, 181n.12, 181–2
 individual vs. general deterrence and, 341–4
 justificatory defence in challenges to state and, 211–13
 necessity defence in medicinal use of cannabis and, 207–10
- self-restraint, provocation defence and, 307–8
- sentencing. *See also* punishment
 abstract juridical individual and, 378–9
 actus reus doctrine and, 143
 antinomies of, 359–60
 cardinal and ordinal proportionality in, 350–2
 competing ideologies in, 359–63
 deterrence and, 336–44

- diminished responsibility defence and, 266n.32
- discretion in, 55–7
- dominant rationale approach to, 360–1
- exemplary sentencing, 338–41
- incapacitation in, 356–9
- indeterminacy of legal form in, 361–2
- individualisation in, 355–9
- individualism vs. individualisation in, 354–5
- individual vs. general deterrence and, 341–4
- ‘just deserts’ in unjust society and, 348–50
- legal discourse concerning, 333–6
- living standards analysis and, 352
- overview of, 333–64
- proportional limits on punishment and, 350–2
- rehabilitation and incapacitation in, 353–9
- reparations in, 335n.2
- retributivism and, 344–52
- summary of conflicting ideologies in, 363–4
- sexual crime
 - insanity and diminished responsibility defences and, 267–9, 268n.35, 268–9n.38
 - proportional limits on punishment and, 350
- sexual morality
 - causation law and, 181n.12, 181–2
 - ethics of strict liability and, 109–13
 - evolution of legal principles in, 114–15
 - provocation defence and, 315–16
- Sexual Offences Act 2003, 73–6, 115–16
- Sheen Report, 118–20
- Shimmen* lacuna, subjectivist/objectivist split and, 79–80
- side-effects of intention, 58–9
 - in murder/manslaughter cases, 66–7
 - oblique intention principle and, 61–4
- situational liability, denial of physical involuntariness in cases of, 149–51
- sleepwalking, breadth of the Rules and disease of the mind and, 244–5
- small social harm principle, strict liability of regulatory offences and, 104–6
- Smith, Adam, 26n.7
 - English penal theory and, 20–1
- Smith, A T H, 16–18
- Smith, J C, 9–10, 43–4
 - on defences, 229–33, 304
 - on discretion in sentencing, 55–7
 - on fault liability, 13
 - on intention, 57–8
 - on necessity defence, 207–10, 219n.22
 - orthodox subjectivism and, 99–100
 - subjectivist theory and, 33–5
- social control
 - breadth of the Rules and disease of the mind and, 244–5
 - causation law and, 174–9
 - deterrence and, 338–41, 343n.10
 - duties of citizenship and, 166–8
 - law of omissions and, 159–62
 - legal individualism and, 30–5, 367–9
 - logic, policy and class structure and, 33–5
 - of madness, 239–43
 - moral validity of necessity and duress defences and, 234
 - necessity in self-defence and, 279–80
 - physical involuntariness vs. moral voluntariness and, 144
 - provocation defence and role of, 325–9
 - psychiatry and politics of law reform and, 257–61
 - strict liability and, 104–6
- social individuality
 - abstractions and realities in, 26–9
 - consensus and conflict between law and psychiatry and, 271–3
 - deterrence and, 341–4
 - Enlightenment penal theory, 20–4
 - legal individualism and, 20–30
 - modern repressiveness of, 29–30
 - poverty and insanity defence and, 263–4
 - reform penal theory ideology and, 24–30
- social mores
 - conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 - corporate liability and, 128–32
 - deterrence theory and, 338–41
 - hidden motives and, 50–3
 - insanity defence and, 237–9
 - ‘just deserts’ in unjust society and, 348–50
 - law of omissions and, 152–4
 - moral involuntariness and, 142–3
 - motive and, 43–6, 45n.9
 - necessity defence and, 205–6
 - provocation defence law and, 325–9
 - sentencing ideology and, 363–4
- social responsibility doctrine
 - causation and, 193–5
 - law of omissions and, 154–5, 162–8
 - third-party voluntary intervention and, 177–9
- spirit of the law, necessity defence and, 202–3
- ‘split personality’ cases, automatism defence in, 144–6
- standard of fortitude, duress defence and, 225–7
- state instrumentality. *See also* interventionist state
 - Bentham’s penal theory and, 29n.12
 - discretion in sentencing and, 55–7
 - duties of citizenship and, 166–7
 - judiciary and, 36–8
 - law of omissions and, 159
 - moral validity of necessity and duress defences and, 233–6, 234n.34
 - rehabilitation and incapacitation ideology and, 353–9
- state legitimacy
 - justificatory necessity defence and, 211–13
 - justificatory vs. excusatory defence concerning, 213–14
 - self-defence and, 276–8
- statutory interpretation, of corporate liability, 132–3
- Stephen, J F, 77–8, 109–13, 110n.11, 111n.13
 - on law of acts, 137, 160
 - on moral involuntariness, 141–3
 - on necessity defence, 202–3
 - on situational liability and physical involuntariness, 147n.9, 149–51

- strict construction, rule of, 14n.2, 14n.3
 criminal law and, 14–15
- strict liability
 definitions of, 102–4
 differentiation in, 104–9
 ethics in historic cases of, 109–13
 evolution from moral judgment to legal principle of, 113–17
 ideological and practical context of regulatory offences and, 106–9
 offences under, 104n.1
 presumption of mens rea and, 109–17, 372–3
 regulatory offences, 104–6
- sub-contractors, corporate liability and, 129n.23
- subjective fault
 in criminal law, 16–18
 social mores and, 50–3
- subjectivist theory
 in *Caldwell* case, 76–9
 duress defence and, 225–7
 in *G and another* case, 80–2
 incapacitation ideology and, 356–9
 intention in context of, 58–9, 64–7
 legacy of, 100–1
 oblique intention and, 61–4
 practical indifference principle and, 90–1, 92–4
 provocation defence and, 309
 recklessness principle and, 73–89, 77n.3, 163–6
 split with objectivist theory, 79–80
 strict liability and, 114–15
- ‘substantial cause’ argument, abnormal treatment in medical cases and, 187–91
- suicide, in causation law, 182–3
- super-individualism, actus reus and, 373–5
- supervening cause
 acts and omissions law and, 193–5
 causation and, 171–2, 174–9
 fright and flight principles and, 181–2
- survival cannibalism, necessity defence and, 203–7
- Sutcliffe, Peter, 237–9, 247n.9, 259–61, 267–9, 268n.36
- ‘take the victim as you find her’ argument
 ‘eggshell skull’ treatment case and, 191–2
 refusal of medical treatment and, 186–7
- terror
 mercy and, in English penal theory, 20–1
 self-induced duress in cases of, 227–8
- terrorism, duress defence and, 222–3
- Terrorism Act 2000, 166–7
- Textbook on Criminal Law* (Williams), 9–10
- Theft Act 1968, 50–3
- third-party intervention
 causation and, 175, 177–9
 necessity defence and, 201n.2
 regulatory context of causation and, 192–3
- Thomas, D., 336–7, 360–1
- threat, duress defence and role of, 218–19
- ‘three strikes’ sentencing, 356–9
- tolerance, provocation defence and, 307–8
- tort law, causation doctrine and, 172–4, 180–1
- training rhetoric, individualisation in sentencing and, 355–6
- triggers
 dual trigger approach, 307n.3
 fear trigger, 320–1
 in provocation defence, 307–8
- tripartism in defences, 295–6n.10
- triviality, ideology of, strict liability of regulatory offences and, 104–6
- ulterior intention, 43–4
 individual morality and, 46–9
- unconscious acts, 140
 requirement in physical involuntariness, 144–6
- third-party voluntary intervention and, 177–9
- United States
 ‘just deserts’ ideology in, 344–6
 post-Hinckley debate on insanity in, 257–9
 ‘three strikes’ sentencing in, 356–9
- unjust society, ‘just deserts’ ideology in, 348n.15, 348n.16, 348–50
- unlawful act manslaughter, drug-taking cases, 183–5
- unlawful possession, necessity defence and, 207–10
- utilitarian deterrence
 individual vs. general utility, 341–4
 middle-class interests and, 25
 penal theory and, 22–3, 24n.3
- utilitarianism
 conflicting views of crime and, 242–3
 motive and intention in, 43n.5, 43–4
 necessity defence and, 201–2, 203–7
 sentencing and, 336–44
- utter indifference principle
 recklessness and, 80–2
 subjectivity of practical indifference and, 92–4
- Vagrancy Acts, 31
- values consensus
 drowning infant/stranger paradigm in omission and, 154–5
 political limits of practical indifference and, 94–6
 strict liability principles and, 113–17
- victim reparations in sentencing, 335n.2
- Vining, J, 15
 on individual justice, 16–18, 35–6
 moral validity of necessity and duress defences and, 233–4
- volition
 history of insanity defence and, 240–2
 insanity defence and, 245–8
 physical vs. moral involuntariness and, 140–1
- voluntary acts (voluntariness)
 actus reus and, 138–9, 168–70

- causation and, 171–2, 175, 177–87
 conflicting concepts of, 140–4
 drug-taking cases, 183–5
 framing of causation cases and, 180–1
 fright or flight principle and, 181–2
 intoxication, physical involuntariness and
 moral voluntariness, 146–9
 law enforcement cases and, 185–6
 necessity defence and moral involuntariness,
 214–18
 refusal of medical treatment and, 186–7
 self-induced duress and, 227–9
 situational liability and physical
 involuntariness, 149–51
 suicide cases and, 182–3
 voluntary association, duress defence and,
 225–7
 Von Hirsch, Andrew, 344n.13, 344–52, 356–9
- warranted excuse
 in mistaken self-defence, 292–4
 in provocation defence, 312–14, 313n.8,
 325–9
- Welsh law, legal reform of insanity defence in,
 259–61
- Whig oligarchy, English penal theory and, 20–1
- white collar crime, 363–4
- Williams, Glanville, 9–10
 on causation, 171
 counter-arguments on self-defence and,
 289–91
 on duress defence, 222n.27, 222
 on fault liability, 13
 on formal structure of defences, 229–33
 on gross negligence, 85n.9
 on inexorable logic in mens rea, 287–9
 on insanity defence, 237–9
- on intention, 57–8
 on judiciary and state instrumentality, 36–8
 on law of acts, 152n.12
 on legal concepts, 41, 57
 on mens rea, 16–18, 30–1, 41
 on morality and psychology, 42
 on necessity defence, 201–2, 279–80
 on rationality and legality, 12
 on recklessness, 77–8
 on regulatory offences, 104–6
 on self-defence, 279–80
 on social mores, 52
 on strict construction rule, 14n.3, 14–15
 on strict liability, 102
 subjectivist theory and, 33–5
- Wilson, William, 71–2
- women, diminished responsibility defence and
 infanticide by, 262n.29, 264–6
- women's labour, strict liability and
 development of, 106–9
- Woollin* case, 57, 61–4
 direct and indirect intention in, 71–2
 'entitled to find' and moral threshold in,
 70–1
 indirect intention parameters in, 67–8
 indiscriminate malice in, 68–9
- workplace deaths and accidents
 ambiguity of strict liability and, 106–9,
 108n.5
 discretion to prosecute and, 54
 gross negligence manslaughter in cases of,
 83–8
- Yorke, Philip, 31–2
- Yorkshire Ripper. *See* Sutcliffe, Peter
- Zeebrugge ferry disaster, 117–18, 122–3