THE END OF RECIPROCITY

Why should America restrain itself in detaining, interrogating, and targeting terrorists when they show it no similar forbearance? Is it fair to expect one side to fight by more stringent rules than the other, placing itself at disadvantage? Is the disadvantaged side then permitted to use the tactics and strategies of its opponent? If so, then America's most controversial counterterrorism practices are justified as commensurate responses to indiscriminate terror. Yet different ethical standards prove entirely fitting, the author contends, in a conflict between a network of suicidal terrorists seeking mass atrocity at any cost and a constitutional democracy committed to respecting human dignity and the rule of law. The most important reciprocity involves neither uniform application of fair rules nor their enforcement by a simple-minded approach. Real reciprocity instead entails contributing to an emergent global contract that encompasses the law of war and from which all peoples may mutually benefit.

Mark Osiel has written five books on the law of war, most recently *Making Sense of Mass Atrocity* (Cambridge University Press, 2009) and *Mass Atrocity*, *Ordinary Evil, and Hannah Arendt: Criminal Consciousness in Argentina's Dirty War* (2001). He has lectured at the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia and advised on the prosecution of General Augusto Pinochet and the perpetrators of the Rwandan genocide. He regularly consults to international organizations and governments in postconflict societies on issues of transitional justice. Osiel has been a Visiting Fellow at Cambridge University, Harvard's Kennedy School of Government, the London School of Economics, and universities in Argentina, Brazil, France, and India. He teaches law at the University of Iowa and is director of International Criminal and Humanitarian Law at the T. M. C. Asser Institute, a think-tank in The Hague devoted to international law and part of the University of Amsterdam.

The End of Reciprocity

TERROR, TORTURE, AND THE LAW OF WAR

MARK OSIEL

College of Law, University of Iowa Director of International Criminal and Humanitarian Law T. M. C. Asser Institute, The Hague



CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-73014-3 - The End of Reciprocity: Terror, Torture, and the Law of War Mark Osiel Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521730143

© Mark Osiel 2009

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2009

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Osiel, Mark. The end of reciprocity : terror, torture, and the law of war / Mark Osiel. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-0-521-51351-7 (hardback) – ISBN 978-0-521-73014-3 (pbk.) 1. Humanitarian law. 2. War on Terrorism, 2001 – Law and legislation 3. Lex talionis. 4. Reciprocity (Psychology) I. Title. KZ6471.0845 2009 341.6'7 – dc22 2008034560 ISBN 978-0-521-51351-7 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-73014-3 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Information regarding prices, travel timetables, and other factual information given in this work are correct at the time of first printing, but Cambridge University Press does not guarantee the accuracy of such information thereafter.

> For my recent international students: Khaliq, Mohit, Rohit, Sergei, Elvana, Jon, and David

> We acquire attachments to persons and institutions according to how we perceive our good to be affected by them. The basic idea is one of reciprocity, a tendency to answer in kind. Now this tendency is a deep psychological fact. Without it our nature would be very different.

John Rawls, A Theory of Justice, 1971

International humanitarian law texts rarely admit it, yet without reciprocity in practice those texts may be of little avail, for not all belligerents will be so saintly as to observe restraint and to honour humanitarian obligations in the face of an enemy's persistent refusal to do so. The most effective actual working engine of international humanitarian law observance, far from being established or even mentioned in the Geneva Conventions . . . works in fact in apparent defiance of them. Reciprocity is its name. Reciprocity may roughly back humanitarian principle, whether humanitarians or principle ask it to or not.

Geoffrey Best, War and Law since 1945, 1994

Since we have reacted in kind, your description of us as terrorists ... necessarily means that you and your actions must be defined likewise.... If killing those that kill our sons is terrorism, then let history witness that we are terrorists.... We treat others like they treat us.... The Americans started it, and retaliation ... should be carried out following the principle of reciprocity, especially when women and children are involved.... If we don't have security, neither will the Americans.... We swore that America could never dream of safety, until safety becomes a reality for us living in Palestine.... Terror for Terror... Blood for blood, destruction for destruction... Stop spilling our blood in order to save your own.

Osama bin Laden, *Messages to the World: The Statements of Osama bin Laden* (2005), and 2007 fatwa

Whoever fights monsters should see to it that in the process he does not become a monster. And when you look long into an abyss, the abyss also looks into you. Friedrich Nietzsche, *Beyond Good and Evil*, 1886

© Cambridge University Press

Contents

	Introduction	Page 1
PAR	RT ONE RECIPROCITY IN HUMANITARIAN LAW	
1	Reciprocity in the Law of War: Ambient Sightings, Ambivalent Soundings	31
2	Reciprocity in Humanitarian Law: Acceptance and Repudiation	49
3	Humanitarian vs. Human Rights Law: The Coming Clash	111
PAR	RT TWO THE ETHICS OF TORTURE AS RECIPROCITY	
4	Is Torture Uniquely Degrading? The Unpersuasive Answer of Liberal Jurisprudence	151
5	Fairness in Terrorist War (1): Rawlsian Reciprocity	166
6	Fairness in Terrorist War (2): Kantian Reciprocity	178
7	Humanitarian Law as Corrective Justice: Do Targeted Killing and Torture "Correct" for Terror?	195
PAR	RT THREE RECIPROCITY IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCE OF WAR	
8	Reciprocity as Civilization: The Terrorist as Savage	221
9	The Inflationary Rhetoric of Terrorist Threat: Humanitarian Law as Deflationary Check	244
10	Reciprocity as Tit-for-Tat: Rational Retaliation in Modern War	264

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-73014-3 - The End of Reciprocity: Terror, Torture, and the Law of War Mark Osiel Frontmatter More information

viii	Contents	
11	The "Gift" of Humanitarianism: Soft Power and Benevolent Signaling	296
PAR	T FOUR THE END OF RECIPROCITY	
12	Martial Honor in Modern Democracy: The JAGs as a Source of National Restraint	329
13	Roots of Antireciprocity: Transnational Identity and National Self-Respect	362
	Conclusion	390
Ack	nowledgments	399
Not	es	405
Ind	ex	653