Note. Entries in small capitals are used to indicate language functions.

abbreviations, 232–3
abstract nouns, 182–5, 192–3
abstract and concrete, 167, 185–6
abstract style, see style
academic culture, 12–13, 99, 230–2
see also conventions; disciplines;
schools of thought
ACCEDED, see motives
active voice, 153–5
ADDING to a case, see EXTENDING a case
adjectives, 205
agents, see participants in processes
AGREED, see motives
agreement between noun and verb,
185–6
‘all’, 43–4, 217, 244, 247, 249
ALTERNATIVE answers, see
EXTENDING a case
see also drafting
ambiguity
in essay topics, 40–1, 52, 93, 96
in writing, 114, 205–6; see also
confusion
ANALYSING vs DESCRIBING, 9, 194–9
analysis, 9, 162, 217
categories of, 43
depth of, 116
modes of, 77–9, 117–18, 150,
195–7
ASCRIBING, 68, 77, 78, 178
CLASSIFYING, 77, 202–3
COMPARING and CONTRASTING,
33, 77, 207–12, 225–6
CONJECTURING, 9, 35, 77, 79,
138, 165–6
DEFINING, 28, 37–9, 77–9, 86, 94,
96, 105–6, 125, 199–205
DESCRIBING, 8–9, 77, 78, 162,
194–6, 199
DISTINGUISHING, 39, 77, 78,
204–5
EVALUATING, 32–3, 50–1, 77, 87,
88, 93, 139, 240–2
EXEMPLIFYING, 77
EXPLAINING, 30–2, 67, 77, 78,
196
IDENTIFYING, 28–33, 77, 78
ILLUSTRATING, see
EXEMPLIFYING
NAMING, 77, 169
OBSERVING, 77
SPECULATING, see
CONJECTURING
THEORISING, 28, 31, 77, 79, 201,
212
see also description
APPLYING, 139
a priori vs a posteriori knowledge,
83–8
argument, changing your, 112–13
Aristotle, 91, 92, 95, 101, 107, 134, 135,
139, 197
articles, definite, 184, 217
ASCRIBING, see analysis, modes of
ASKING questions, see QUESTIONING
assessment, 13–15
assumptions, 8, 33–4, 86, 108–9, 143,
159, 245
audience, writer’s 12–15, 107, 134,
139
authority, of author, 58–64, 163, 233
background information, 91, 93, 94, 96–8
background knowledge, see knowledge
background reading, see reading
balance, 2–3
between comprehensiveness and depth, 115–16, 118, 133
between elaboration and word limits, 115, 118, 126, 130–1
‘because’, 174–5
begging the question, 104–5, 129, 154
beginnings, see introductions
‘believe’, 149, 150
bibliographies, 230, 236–8, 241, 242
block, see ‘writer’s block’
Bolinger, Dwight, 61
book reviews, 240–2
Bronowski, Jacob, 166
‘but’, 104, 108
‘by’ vs ‘from’, 160
‘by contrast’, 210
‘can’–‘could’, 171
Carr, E. H., 5–6, 12, 99
Carroll, Lewis, 199
case, stating of a, 91–4, 107, 195, 211
case studies, 125–6, 130
cause, causation, 30–1, 44–5, 68, 99
ceteris paribus clause, 105
changing your mind, 112–13
Chillingworth, William, 37
choosing
between answers, 33, 35–7, 94
between endings, 135–6
between grammatical structures, 11, 147–61, 171–4
between interpretations of topics, 40–1
between participants, processes, 177–93
circumstances, 44, 118, 125, 127, 173, 174, 177–9
definition of, 178
CLARIFYING a point, see ELABORATING a generalisation
Clark, Manning, 9–10
class nouns, 191
classes, logic of, 43–50, 204
CLASSIFYING, see analysis, modes of clauses, 174, 202
main clause, 174, 176, 192
cohere, 3, 8, 16–17, 68, 79–81, 87, 92–4, 192, 215–17, 220–1
cohesion, 215–29
Coleridge, S. T., 165
communication, 12–15
comparative structures, 212–14
‘compare’, 214
COMPARING and CONTRASTING, see analysis, modes of compatibility of evidence, argument, 197
complex sentences, 174
comprehensiveness, 3, 116, 118–19, 198
CONCEIVING, see motives
conciseness, 115, 231; see also length of essay
conclusions, see endings
concrete nouns, 182–6
conditions, of time, place, manner, etc., 29, 44, 93, 94, 104–6, 127–30
see also circumstances; QUALIFYING confidence
in an answer, 3, 8–11, 94, 137–8, 149
in oneself, 4–5, 7, 66, 151
CONFIRMING, see motives
confusion
between self and material, 151–61
between words and things, 187–90
in sentence structures, 168–93
of participants and processes, 177–93
‘conjecture’, 165
see also speculating
CONJECTURING, see modes of analysis
see also SPECULATING
conjoining clauses, 174
conjunction, 100
conjunctions, 4, 43, 104, 175, 177
beginning a sentence with, 175
Conrad, Joseph, 4, 177
Index – 259

consistency, in rules for presenting work
see also evaluation, criteria of context, 57, 67, 69, 107, 108, 139, 181, 184, 185, 206, 232, 237, 240
CONTEXTUALISING, 139
see also implications contracted speech forms, 232 conventions of language, 171–4 of presentation, 3, 8, 12, 15, 230–9 of tense, see tense coordination, 218–20, 225 counterarguments, 93, 112 counter-evidence, 93, 112 criteria defining and non-defining, 105, 201–5 of evaluation, see evaluation, criteria of
dangling modifiers, 151–2, 180 debate, 3–4, 14, 60, 69, 81, 106, 162, 201 see also discussion deciding, see choosing DEFENDING, see motives DEFINING, see analysis, modes of defining and non-defining clauses, 205–7 punctuation of, 206–7 defining and non-defining criteria, 201–5 definition and comparison, 208 in dictionaries, see dictionaries structure of a, 202–3 uses of, 38–9, 93, 94, 96 see also analysis, modes of demonstratives, 217 depth of analysis, see analysis Derrida, Jacques, 200 DESCRIBING, see analysis, modes of vs analysing, 9, 194–9 vs interpreting, 61–2, 155–6 detachment, 24 see also ‘disinterested’
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ELABORATING a generalisation, 117, 122–7, 133, 174, 176, 204

CLARIFYING, 28, 37–9, 117, 123–6, 176, 206; see also participants in processes

EXEMPLIFYING/ILLUSTRATING, 117, 123–7, 176; see also analysis, modes of

SUBSTANTIATING, 117, 123–7, 176

Eliot, T. S., 132, 168

emphasis, 3, 37, 50, 92, 94, 98, 112, 117, 131, 133, 149, 175, 216, 226, 241

endings, 92, 93, 113, 134–44, 211

mood of, 136–9

EMPHASISING

information, 118, 127–30, 174, 217

your writing, 163, 220

essays

constituents of, 92–3

definitions of, 35–6, 54, 92

structuring of, 15–17, 43, 92–3, 99–101, 210–12, 217

essay topics

ambiguity in, see ambiguity analysis and interpretation of, 35–52, 243 and essay structure, 41, 92 choosing of, 22, 24–6 containing a number of questions, 41–2 kinds of, see questions, kinds of meaning of, 37–41 scope of, 96, 118 ‘etc.’, 233

Euler circles, 44–6, 204

EVALUATING, see analysis, modes of evaluation, see judgement

criteria of, 9, 24–33, 50–2, 57–64, 70, 76, 77, 80, 93–4, 112, 113, 117, 158, 197–9, 208–10, 214, 241

in definitions, see defining and non-defining criteria

Evans-Pritchard, E. E., 158

evidence, 9, 24–52, 64, 70, 76, 77, 80, 93–4, 112, 113, 117, 123, 126–7, 149, 162, 196–9, 208–10, 214, 241

evidence, interpretation and fact, 33, 57–63

see also fact

Ewing, A. C., 84–6

EXCEPTIONS to a case, see EXTENDING a case

EXEMPLIFYING a point, see analysis, modes of ELABORATING a generalisation

EXPANDING a case, 117–31, 155, 195

see also ELABORATING a generalisation; ENHANCING; EXTENDING a case

EXPLAINING, see analysis, modes of explanation, see EXPLAINING testing of an, 198 types of, 31–2

EXTENDING a case, 117–22, 217 additions, 119, 121–2 alternatives, 60–1, 119, 189 exceptions, 119, 121–2, 174

EXTRAPOLATING, 138

fact, 5, 9, 27, 61, 93, 189

definition of, 60–1

see also evidence, interpretation and fact factors, see lists of factors ‘feel’, 165 figures, 234 fonts, 12, 233

footnoting, 231, 236

FORESHADOWING, see structural intentions

formal and informal language, see language

Forster, E. M., 1

Fowler, H. G., 173

‘from’ vs ‘by’, see ‘by’

GENERALISING, see structural intentions

in conclusions, 138, 143

generality, levels of, 80
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generic reference, 191
Glick, Thomas F., 70–81, 195–9
Goethe, 7
Gowers, Ernest, 173
grammar, 16, 168
  and confusions in understanding, 168–9
  checks, see word-processing programs
Greene, Graham, 5
grinding to a halt, 113–15, 128, 133
  see also ‘writer’s block’
headings and sub-headings, 234
‘hope’, 166
‘however’, 104, 108, 175
Hutchinson, Sharon E., 158–9
hypothesis, 23, 62, 249
  see also drafting
IDENTIFYING, see analysis, modes of
ILLUSTRATING, see analysis, modes of;
ELABORATING a generalisation
‘imagine’, 166
implications, 88, 136–9, 173, 198
‘imply’ vs ‘infer’, 164–5
information, amount of, 115
  see also balance, between
  comprehensiveness and depth
interest in a subject, 6, 24, 164
  see also ‘disinterested’ vs ‘uninterested’
internet, the 5, 7, 47, 57, 162
authoritative opinion and, see authority, of author
interpretation, 53–88, 93, 98, 99
  and paraphrase, 65, 85, 195
  and quoting, see quoting
  in reading, see reading
  of essay topics, see essay topics,
  analysis and interpretation of
  see also evidence, interpretation and fact
introductions, 51, 91–107, 112–15, 119, 131, 135, 140
  mood of, 101, 136
  to research papers, 107–10
  see also DRAFTING
introductory material, see background information
ITEMISING, see structural intentions
  see also lists of factors
James (New Testament), 53
jargon, 13
  see also vocabulary, technical
judgement, 3, 8–10, 32, 51, 78, 87, 94, 101, 136–9, 148–9, 154–5, 214
  and opinion, 9–10; see also opinion definition of, 102
justifying a case, 59, 112, 117, 118, 136, 148–9
Kant, Immanuel, 82–8, 188
Kemp Smith, Norman, 84
Keynes, J. M., 5
  ‘know’, 150
knowledge, 2, 12, 17, 18, 27, 37–42, 113, 166
  a priori and a posteriori, 83–8
  background, 42–3, 52
  empirical vs theoretical, 58
  in The Tempest, 222–9
  Kant on, 83
  and knowledge, 12; see also knowledge
  and reality, 168, 178, 188–90; see also confusion, between words and things
  and self, 17, 78, 161–2; see also ‘I’ and ‘we’, use of
  and your understanding, 12, 168–9
  confusion of, see confusion formal and informal, 232–3
  object-language and meta-language, 188–90
sexist, consequences of avoiding, 191
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layout of your page, 233–5; see also conventions, of presentation

Lear, Edward, 194
Leavis, F. R., 102
length of essay, 8, 26, 109, 116, 130–1
lexical cohesion, see vocabulary, patterning of
linking terms, 68, 104, 119, 123, 175, 217, 225
see also conjunctions
lists of factors, 36, 42–3, 47, 98–9, 208–9, 234
logic, see classes, logic of
Luchte, James, 85

Macaulay, Lord, 111
main clause, see clauses
main point, 114, 130, 136
manner, 127, 174, 178
meaning, 13
and knowledge, see knowledge
formal relations of, 43–50, 116, 244–7, 249–50
meaning what you say vs saying what you mean, 10, 152
of essay topics, see essay topics, analysis and interpretation of
terms, 37–9, 88, 93, 243, 246, 248; see also analysis, modes of:
defining; definition; verbs, of enquiry
meaningless words, 172–3
means, 127, 128, 174, 178
meta-language, see language, object-language and meta-language
metaphor, 51, 85, 87, 88, 179
method, 5, 10, 27, 107, 108, 208
metonymy, 179
middles, 92, 111–33
mood of, 136
problems of, 112–16
Mill, J. S., 165
Mill, James, 164
Milton, John, 164
modesty, in endings, 136–8
modifiers, see dangling modifiers
modulating, 104
mood
of endings, see endings
of introductions, see introductions
motives, 68–71, 76, 79, 81, 94–5, 104, 240, 241
acceding, 70, 76, 94, 163, 241
agreeing, 36, 70
conceding, 70, 76, 93, 94, 102–4, 113, 196
confirming, 70
defending, 70, 71, 76
dismissing, 70, 76
proposing, 70, 76, 94, 138, 142
qualifying, 70, 83, 93, 94, 102, 104, 113, 118, 127–8
rebutting, refuting, rejecting, 34, 45, 70, 76, 95, 125, 196
recanting, 70, 95
reformulating, 60, 70, 71, 76, 95
multiple authorship, 151

naming, see analysis, modes of
Nash, Walter, 215
negating, 43–5, 48, 244, 247, 249
nominals formed from verbs, 182–5
‘none’, see negating
notes, 236
note-taking, 5, 23, 53–88, 139, 162
use of own language in, 55, 65–6, 88
see also paraphrasing; reading
nouns
abstract and concrete, see abstract nouns
class nouns, see class nouns
of multitude, 192
number agreement, 190–2, 218
objectivity, 148–51, 159
of websites, 64
object-language and meta-language, see language
Index – 263

oberving, see analysis, modes of
‘of’, 183
‘on the other hand’, 210
opinion, 3, 9–10, 14, 58–63, 148, 149
and the internet, see authority, of
author
see also evidence; interpretation
‘other things being equal’, see ceteris
paribus clause
outlines, 52, 116–17

paragraphs, 16, 17, 79, 109, 211
indenting of, 235
structure of, 123, 125–6, 128–30,
225–6
parallelism in sentence structure,
212–13, 216, 218–20
paraphrasing, 66, 88
see also interpretation, and
paraphrase; note-taking, use of
own language in
participants in processes
actors, agents and affected, 28, 160
clarifying human and non-human,
179–82
see also choosing, between
participants, processes
particularising, see structural
intentions
Pascal, Blaise, 115
passive voice, 153–5
personification, 179
Piaget, Jean, 21, 22
plagiarising, 13
downloading text, 162
Plato, 59
plausibility, 197
point of view, 7, 10, 12, 112, 113,
123
Popper, Karl, 9
possible answers, see drafting
possible worlds, 166
postmodernity, 189, 200
precision, 3, 11, 26, 93, 123
predicating, see referring, and
predicating
predicting, 139, 143
prejudice, 2, 9–10, 24, 46
prepositions, 28, 183–5
with ‘compare’, 214
with ‘quote’, see ‘by’ vs ‘from’
presentation, see conventions
primary sources, see sources
processes, see choosing, between
participants, processes;
participants in processes
pronouns, 217
proposals for answers, see drafting
proposing, see motives
in conclusions, 138, 142
propositions, 36, 45–6, 52, 93, 102,
104
structure of, 169, 174
punctuation
brackets, 236
capitals, 237
colons, 175–7, 218, 237
commas, 176, 177, 207, 237
full stops, 237
of abbreviations, 231
of references and bibliographies, 237
parentheses, 237
quotation marks and italics, 187–8,
235, 237
semi-colons, 175–7, 237
qualifying, see motives
quantification, 43–5
quantifiers, 217
see also ‘all’, ‘none’, ‘some’
question words and phrases, 27–34,
127, 195
‘by what means’, 127
‘for what reason/purpose’, 127
‘how’, 29–31, 127
‘to what extent’, ‘how much’, etc.,
32–3, 127
‘under which circumstances/
conditions’, 127
‘what’, 28; see also analysis, modes of:
defining; definition
‘where’, ‘when’, 29, 127
question words and phrases (cont.)

‘which’, 33–4; see also analysis, modes of: comparing and contrasting

‘who’, ‘whom’, 28–9, 154, 183

‘why’, 31–2, 127

‘with what effect’, 127

questioning, 2, 6, 9, 22, 26–7, 54–5, 68, 69, 84, 94, 107, 119, 121, 123, 133, 183, 195, 240

questions, kinds of, 27–35

see also essay topics

quotation marks, see punctuation

quotations, setting out of, 235–6

quoting, 55, 66, 161–3

when to use ‘by’ and ‘from’, see ‘by’ vs ‘from’

reader

addressing the, see audience
you and your, see academic culture
see also ‘I’ and ‘we’, use of; ‘you’

reading, 6, 22–3, 42, 53–88, 240

and comprehension, 54, 69, 82–7, 162, 242

and evaluation, 87–8, 240

and the reader’s background, 157

and writing, 5–6, 12, 54–5, 79, 87

background, 56–7

breadth of, 116, 118–19, 133

concentrating on, 23, 55

concrete and abstract in, 69

general and particular, 69, 80

speed of, 23, 55, 69

whole and part in, 79–81

reading procedure, with a book, 69, 240–2

reasons, 101, 180–1

see also criteria of evaluation

rebutting, see motives

recanting, see motives

recapitulating, see structural intentions

recommending, 139

reconciling, see motives

redundancy, 172

references

conventions governing, see bibliographies

number of, see reading, breadth of referencing systems, 231

referring, 28, 33, 52, 77

and predicating, 169–71, 174, 176, 177

to one’s own text, 154, 217–18, 225

referring nominals, 169–71, 182, 191, 192

reformulating, see motives

refuting, see motives

rejecting, see motives

relative clauses/phrases, see defining and non-defining clauses

relevance, 14, 47, 55, 70, 93, 94, 96–7, 115, 118, 197, 212

reliability, 197

repeating oneself, 128, 135, 136

repetition of words, 218–21, 225

reporting vs interpreting, 155–9

research papers

devising your own topic, 26–7

introductions to, see introductions

retracting, see motives

revaluing, 138

revising, 5–6, 10–12, 16–17, 113, 115, 117, 118, 133, 159, 185, 190, 216, 221–9, 251

see also drafting

re-writing, see revising

‘right’ answers, 112

rules

for endings, 135

for presenting written work, see conventions

grammatical, see appropriate grammatical category

Wittgenstein on, 230, 231

Russell, Bertrand, 5, 24

Sabine, George H., 155–6, 218

saying what you mean, 10, 152

schools of thought, 3, 31, 198, 233

see also conventions; disciplines

‘scissors-and-paste’, 17, 161, 234

© in this web service Cambridge University Press
secondary sources, see sources

self and selfhood in writing, 4, 13, 17–18, 35–6, 136, 139; see also
confusion, between self and material; essay topics, choosing of; ‘I’ and ‘we’, use of; language and self

sense and nonsense, 178

sentence adverbials, see linking terms

sentence fragments, see verbless sentences

sentence length, 16, 175

sentence structure, 3, 151–61, 167–93 see also comparative structures; parallelism in sentence structure

Shakespeare, William, 132, 214, 221

significance, 198

singular and plural, see number agreement

‘some’, 43–6, 217, 245, 247, 250

sources (primary, secondary, tertiary), 57–63, 82, 162, 188 see also evidence

‘speculate’, see ‘conjecture’

speculating, 22–4, 61–2, 138 see also analysis, modes of statistical techniques, 99, 126

Stevenson, R. L., 167

Strawson, P. F., 199

structural intentions, 68, 79–81, 217–18

digressing, 80

foreshadowing, 80

generalising, 80, 117, 123, 143, 174

itemising, 80

particularising, 80, 117, 135

recapitulating, summarising, 80, 81, 134–5, 140–3

revisiting, reminding, 80, 81

style, 151, 175, 215–16

abstract and concrete, 182–3

analytical, 136, 199

conventions of, 231–9; see also conventions

subjectivity and objectivity, see ‘I’ and ‘we’, uses of; ‘disinterested’ vs ‘uninterested’

subject matter, you and your, 7–12

substantiating a generalisation, see elaborating a generalisation

substitution of words, 172, 220–1

suggesting, 136–8, 140–2

summarising, see structural intentions

tables, conventions governing, 159, 234
tense, 155, 171, 177, 218

‘ethnographic present’, 157–9
tertiary sources, see sources see also textbooks
testability, 198
textbooks, 57–9

texture, in your writing, 215–29

‘that’ clause, 176–7

‘the’ + abstract noun, 184
theories, 9; see also analysis, modes of: theorising

‘therefore’, misused as a conjunction, 175

thesaurus, 114

thinking, 12

and language, 114–15; see also style, abstract and concrete

speculative, see speculating
time, efficient use of, 23–4, 55, 57
time and tense, see tense
timetables for meeting deadlines, 5, 11–12

to’ vs ‘with’, see ‘compare’
topic sentence, see main point
tutor, consulting your, 14–15, 26, 41, 169, 171–4, 197, 198, 231, 244, 245, 250
tutors’ comments, 9, 117–18, 123, 127, 128, 153, 162
tutors’ expectations, 8, 13–15, 39, 41, 96, 154
typefaces, see fonts

‘understand’, 153, 162
understanding, see language, and your understanding
‘uninterested’ vs ‘disinterested’, see ‘disinterested’ vs ‘uninterested’
Index
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unity, see coherence

validity, 169, 197, 198, 231

verbless sentences, 172–4, 244

verbs

auxiliary (‘be’, ‘have’ and modals), 171, 245

finite and non-finite, 170–4

modal, 171, 250

of enquiry, perception and analysis, 153, 163–6, 176, 188

substitute (‘be’, ‘do’, ‘happen’), see substitution of words

vocabulary

disciplinary differences, 37–8, 185, 198–200, 233

of academic enquiry, see language, object-language and meta-language

patterning of, 220–1, 225–6

technical, 233; see also jargon

‘we’, see ‘I’ and ‘we’, use of

websites

approaches to, 63–4

citing, 175, 237

see also internet

‘whereas’, 174, 175

‘which’ clause, 175, 202; see also defining and non-defining clauses

Whittow, John B., 246

Wilding, John M., 62

Williams, Raymond, 200

‘wish’, see ‘hope’

‘with’ vs ‘to’, see ‘compare’

Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 230, 231

word limits, see length of essay

word-processing programs, 7

grammar checks in, 179

words

choice of, 3, 11

confusion with things, see confusion, between words and things

elusive, 114–15

see also repetition of words;
substitution of words;
vocabulary; ‘writer’s block’

world wide web, see internet

‘writer’s block’, 7, 11, 27, 113

see also grinding to a halt

writing

and knowledge, see knowledge and thinking, 5–7, 23, 112–13; see also language, and your understanding

as debate, see debate

main elements of, 2–4

problems of, see middles, problems of

Yeats, W. B., 147

‘Yes, but’ discussion, 102; see also

motives, conceding and qualifying

‘yet’, 104, 108

‘you’, use of, 232

see also reader, addressing the