

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

- 2° target (climate change)
 - controversy 150
 - Copenhagen Accord 208–9, 213, 218
 - developing countries 148–9, 150–1
 - EU policy 148–9, 150–1
 - shared understandings 168
- 9/11 (terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001)
 - evidence of failure of international law, as 3
 - impact on
 - analysis 18–19
 - international law 18–19
 - prohibition of torture 221, 233–50
 - shared understandings on use of force in self defence 294–5, 299
 - self defence measures following 296–7
- ‘24’
 - depiction of torture 221–2, 233–5
 - Military’s reaction to 233–5, 245
- Abu Ghraib, interrogations 4, 237–44, 247–8, 264
- actors
 - communities of practice, in
 - see* communities of practice
 - Fuller’s theory, in 20–1
 - multiple identities 14
 - non-state actors *see* non-state actors
 - reciprocity 352
 - role
 - construction of law 352–3
 - construction of norms 34
 - constructivist theory 13
 - interactional theory 8–9
 - international law 5
 - neo-liberal theory 11–12
 - shared understandings *see* shared understandings
- added value to law, creation 55, 77
- ‘adherence’ concept (Franck) 95–6
- Adler, Emanuel
 - ‘intersubjective structures’ 14–15
 - theory of communities of practice 21–3, 44–5, 62–5
- Afghanistan, invasion of, justification as self defence 296–7
- agency
 - Fuller’s theory, in 20–1, 29–30
 - state sovereignty, distinction from 35
- agents
 - citizens as 24, 29–30
 - reasons for action 24, 29–30
- Alvarez, José 107
- anti-colonialism as exception to prohibition of use of force 287–8
- anti-torture norm *see* torture, prohibition of
- anticipatory self defence
 - Caroline* incident 290–1, 294
 - challenge to 292
 - clarity criterion 300, 303–4
 - congruence criterion 306–7
 - constancy/predictability criterion 300, 303–4
 - Cuban Missile Crisis, justification as 303–4
 - developing countries’ challenge to 292

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

389

- generality criterion 300–1, 303–4
- moral aspect 302–3
- origin of doctrine in *Caroline* incident 290–1, 294
- shared understandings 290–1
- Aquinas, Thomas, just war doctrine 285–6
- Aristotelian rhetoric, Fuller's allegiance to 31–2
- Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate, establishment 145–6
- Augustine, Saint, just war doctrine 285
- Australia
 - CBDR policy 156
 - greenhouse gas emissions targets 150–1
 - Kyoto Protocol, and 134–5, 137, 167–8, 170–1
- authority
 - law as imposed authority, challenge to view of 23–5, 55
 - power over others, as 24–5
- autonomy
 - basis of interactional law, as 35
 - Fuller's theory, in 20–1, 23–4, 29
 - promotion by interactional law 33
 - state sovereignty as proxy 35
- 'Bali Action Plan'
 - adoption 138–9
 - developing countries' commitments 138–9
- Ban Ki-Moon
 - 2° target (climate change), view on 150
 - support for responsibility to protect 340
- Bangladesh, anticipated effect of global warming 126
- Barkun, Michael, views on use of social science methodology 9–10
- Baroi, Michael S., effect of global warming on Bangladesh, on 126
- Bhaskar, Roy, structuration theory 14–15
- Brothers Karamazov*, on whether torture justifiable 220
- Brown, Gordon, proposal for climate change assistance fund 141
- Bush administration
 - CBDR policy 162
 - challenge to Security Council on WMDs 316
 - freedom from torture as inalienable human right, assertion of 220
 - 'major emitters' process, launch of 145–6
 - norm entrepreneur in redefining torture, as 249–50
 - right of self-defence, assertion of 301–2
 - secret detention centres, use of 261–2
 - torture, attempted redefinition 237–44
 - 'War on terror' *see* 'War on terror'
- Bybee, Jay, memoranda on legality of torture 238
- 'Byrd-Hagel Resolution'
 - adoption 170–1
 - political background 173
- Canada
 - CBDR policy 157
 - climate change regime non-compliance cases 201–2
 - greenhouse gas emissions targets 150–1
 - ICISS, sponsorship of 326
 - Iraq War, study of media coverage 275–7
 - Kyoto Protocol, and 134–5, 167–8, 170–1
 - prohibition of torture, reassertion of 267
- Caroline* incident 290–1, 294
- Chayes, Abram and Antonia Handler, managerial theory of compliance 104–6, 109–10

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

390

INDEX

- China
 - CBDR policy 156, 164
 - Copenhagen Accord 166, 169, 205–8, 210, 213
 - greenhouse gas emissions
 - level 127–8
 - policy 137
 - post-2012 reduction targets 168
 - public reaction to reduction targets 170
 - citizens as agents 23–4
 - ‘civilizing mission’ of international law 3, 78
 - ‘civilized states’ concept, developing countries’ opposition to 317–18
- clarity criterion
 - anticipatory self defence 300, 303–4
 - Climate Convention 181
 - collective security, use of force for 319–21, 323
 - Copenhagen Accord 212
 - prohibition of torture 251–2, 255–6, 268–9, 352
 - prohibition of use of force 280
 - responsibility to protect 327, 329–30, 337, 341
- Clean Energy and Security Act (US), adoption 173–4
- climate change
 - Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate, establishment 145–6
 - assistance fund proposal by Gordon Brown 141
 - complexity 126–7
 - consensus 147
 - ‘Inconvenient Truth’ *see* Gore, Al
 - legal dimension 129
 - Major Economies Forum *see* Major Economies Forum (MEF)
 - public reaction 4
 - scientific uncertainty 127
 - Security Council debate 127–8, 178
 - security issue, as 127–8
 - shared ground 141–2
- climate change regime *see also* Kyoto Protocol
 - 2° target *see* 2° target (climate change)
 - adherence to criteria of legality 352
 - ‘Bali Action Plan’ 138–9
 - Byrd-Hagel Resolution *see* Byrd-Hagel Resolution
 - CBDR *see* common but differentiated responsibilities (CBDR)
 - criteria of legality 177–94
 - ‘dangerous’ climate change, debate over meaning 146–7, 149, 350–1
 - development, problems of 129
 - domestic law shared understandings 170
 - emissions trading mechanisms, establishment 134–5
 - equity issues 127–8, 132–3
 - evolution 131–41
 - example of success, whether 218–19
 - impact of US policy 18–19
 - incremental building 133
 - interactional law
 - challenge of building 217
 - emergence of substantive 217–18
 - need for foundation in 216–17
 - understanding, implications of 218–19
 - key features 131–41
 - Kyoto Protocol *see* Kyoto Protocol
 - mutual engagement 353
 - need for 142–6, 350
 - non-compliance cases 201–2
 - objective 146–51
 - overview 126–19
 - post-2012 commitments 168
 - practice of legality 194–204
 - resilience 129
 - shared understandings 71–2, 141–77
 - states’ interests influencing policy 172–3
 - suitability for applying interactional theory 129–30
 - talks on new agreement 140
 - ‘ultimate objective’ 146
 - US policy 175–6

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

391

- Climate Convention
 see also Conference of the Parties (COP) (Climate Convention)
 adoption 129
 clarity criterion 181
 communities of practice
 overview 142
 strength 145–6
 congruence criterion 183–4
 constancy/predictability criterion 182–3
 Copenhagen Accord, relationship to 209–10, 215–16
 criteria of legality 177–84
 developing countries 177–84
 EU support 148–9
 Kyoto Protocol *see* Kyoto Protocol
 main statements of Convention 132
 meetings 144
 non-contradiction criterion 181–2
 non-impossibility criterion 182
 non-state actors' role 142–3, 146
 origin 131–2
 plenary body *see* Conference of the Parties (COP) (Climate Convention)
 co-existence, international law as law of 2
 collective security, use of force for
 clarity criterion 319–21, 323
 congruence criterion 320
 constancy/predictability criterion 320–1
 elements of norm 318–19
 establishment 284
 generality criterion 320–1
 global support 288–9
 impossibility criterion 320–1
 legitimacy 314, 315–16, 322, 323
 legitimacy test for use of force 272–3
 mass atrocities as threats to peace and security 333
 NATO response to 9/11 296–7
 non-retroactivity criterion 322–3
 overview 313
 responsibility to protect, fulfilment of 333, 340–2
 Security Council's failure to oversee 320
 shared understandings
 overview 313
 strength 323
 soundness 316
 commitment to legality *see* legality
 common but differentiated responsibilities (CBDR)
 climate change regime, and 151–4
 congruence criterion 193
 constancy/predictability criterion 192–3
 Copenhagen Accord 212–13
 criteria of legality 190–4
 current state of progress 162
 debate 154–62
 developing countries 154–60, 162, 176–7, 190–4
 differentiation, debate on 154–60
 EU policy 164
 generality criterion 190
 meaning, establishing 350
 national policies 156
 non-contradiction criterion 191–2
 overview 151–66
 promulgation criterion 190
 responsibilities, debate on 160
 shared understandings 151–66
 US policy 162
 'common law of mankind', existence 2
 communication
 balance with autonomy 23–4, 29
 communities of practice, by 356–7
 constructivist theory, in 30–1
 'moral' commitment to legality, as 29–30
 protection by interactional law 35
 shared understandings deepened through 43–4
 states' capacity for 55
 communicative action, Habermas's concept 44–5
 communities of practice
 Adler's theory 21–3, 44–5
 basis of law-making, as 44–5
 Climate Convention 142, 145–6
 communication through norms 356–7

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

392

INDEX

- communities of practice (*cont.*)
 - compliance, and 116
 - cultural specificity and 43–4
 - development of law, and 356–7
 - development of norms, and 54, 350–1
 - distinction from ‘international community’ 80–1
 - diversity, and 80–1
 - enlargement 43–4
 - interactional law as community 44–5, 69–70
 - international relations, in 70
 - law as interlocking 356–7
 - meaning 353
 - mutual engagement 63–4, 353
 - need for common goal or vision 44–5
 - non-state actors, role of 64–5, 70, 81
 - overview 62–5
 - social learning 62–5
 - source of norms, as 21–3, 27–8
 - theory 13
 - universalist claims of ‘international community’ 79
- compliance
 - climate change regime non-compliance cases 201–2
 - communities of practice, and 116
 - criteria of legality, and 114
 - empirical approach 93–4
 - foundation in law-making 98–100
 - governance of rules 23–4, 29–30
 - importance of legal obligation 125
 - individuals’ role 115–16
 - interactional nature of 121
 - interactional perspective 98–123
 - Kyoto Protocol, criteria of legality 195–204
 - law-making, continuum with 98, 123
 - law-making, separation from 98
 - legal obligation
 - distinction from 92–4
 - role of 94–7
 - legitimacy, and 53
 - ‘liberal’ theories 115–16
 - managerial approach 104–6
 - merits compared to enforcement 110
 - non-state actors, and 114–21
 - overview 88
 - potential 121
 - practice of legality, as element in 125
 - procedures
 - extending practice of legality through 108–14
 - spaces for interaction, as 101
 - reason for conduct 92
 - rethinking concept 121–3
 - theories of 91–2, 94–5
- ‘compliance pull’
 - basis in interactional law 113
 - commitment to legality, and 92
 - leading to fidelity 96–7
 - operation of 94
- compliance strategies, choice of 93
- Conference of the Parties (COP) (Climate Convention)
 - forum, as 133
 - meetings 144
- Congo v Uganda* case 295–6
- congruence criterion
 - adherence theory, and 94–5
 - anticipatory self defence 306–7
 - CBDR policy 193
 - Climate Convention 183–4
 - collective security, use of force for 320
 - failure to enforce indicating lack of 113–14
 - fidelity, and 35, 48–9
 - importance of 35
 - legal norms and shared understandings 32
 - legal obligation, and 96–7
 - link to practice of legality 283–4
 - overview 16
 - prohibition of torture 259–68, 269, 352
 - prohibition of use of force 282–3, 352
 - reciprocity, and 352
 - role of enforcement 355
 - self defence, use of force in 306–7, 311
 - treaties 48–9
- ‘congruence thesis’ (Postema) 24
- constancy/predictability criterion
 - anticipatory self defence 300, 303–4
 - CBDR policy 192–3

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

393

- Climate Convention 182–3
- collective security, use of force for 320–1
- prohibition of torture 258
- prohibition of use of force 281–2, 310–11
- responsibility to protect 327, 330, 335, 337, 341
- self defence, use of force in 308–9, 310–11
- constructivist approach
 - applied to Fuller's theory 20–1
 - communication 30–1
 - communities of practice *see* communities of practice
 - communities of practice development of norms 350–1
 - emphasis on 'duality of structure' 14–15
 - identity *see* identity
 - international relations (IR) theory, and 12–13
 - role of actors 13
 - shared understandings, and 56–7
 - social 'structures', and 14–15
 - structuration theory, and 14–15
 - theories 13
- continuum of legality 21–3
- contract law
 - example of congruence, as 32
 - example operation of 'internal morality', as 25
 - Fuller's theory, in 33–4
- Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), undermining 73
- Copenhagen Accord
 - 2° target 54, 208–9, 213, 218
 - clarity criterion 212
 - Climate Convention, relationship to 209–10, 215–16
 - commitments under 207–14, 218
 - common but differentiated responsibilities 212–13
 - consensus, role in decision-making 131, 145, 179–80, 205, 210, 215
 - criteria of legality 212–14
 - 'measurement, reporting and verification' 207–8
 - promulgation criterion 211
 - shared understandings 210–11
 - transparency, lack of 210
- criteria of legality
 - adherence to inclusive practice 54
 - application 352
 - clarity criterion *see* clarity criterion
 - climate change regime 177–94
 - Climate Convention 177–84
 - common but differentiated responsibilities (CBDR) 190–4
 - compliance meeting 114
 - conditions for existence of law 41–2
 - congruence criterion *see* congruence criterion
 - constancy/predictability criterion *see* constancy/predictability criterion
 - construction of norms, and 6–7, 34, 356
 - Copenhagen Accord 211–14
 - creation of legal obligation 41–2, 76–7
 - creation of legitimacy from 53–4
 - critiques 28–9
 - engendering fidelity, and 356–7
 - Fuller's theory, in 21
 - generality criterion *see* generality criterion
 - greenhouse gas emissions reduction 184–90
 - knife metaphor (Raz) 28–9
 - Kyoto Protocol 184–90
 - compliance mechanism 195–204
 - enforcement regime 198–201
 - legal norms distinguished by
 - adherence 351
 - list 26, 351
 - 'moral', as 29
 - National Security Concept (Russia) 302–3
 - National Security Strategy statement (US) 300–1
 - natural law, link to 29
 - new treaty rules meeting 48–9

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

394

INDEX

- criteria of legality (*cont.*)
 - non-contradiction criterion *see* non-contradiction criterion
 - non-impossibility criterion *see* non-impossibility criterion
 - non-retroactivity criterion *see* non-retroactivity criterion
 - preservation of diversity, and 43–4
 - prohibition of torture 250, 268–9
 - prohibition of use of force 279–83, 352
 - promulgation criterion
 - see* promulgation criterion
 - responsibility to protect 324
 - self defence, use of force in
 - against
 - new threats 300
 - non-state actors 307–8
 - overview 299–313
 - shared understandings 76–7, 356–7
 - Vienna Convention on the Law of
 - Treaties as example 49–50
- Cuban Missile Crisis, justification of anticipatory self defence 303–4
- cultural specificity and communities of practice 43–4
- ‘culture of formalism’ (Koskenniemi) 51–2
- customary law *see also* legal norms
 - continuing process, as 54
 - developing countries’ involvement in 74–100
 - formal law, and 46–52
 - interactional law, as 74–100
 - prohibition of torture as 220–1, 230–1, 251
 - tipping point for ‘required’ practice 47–8
- ‘dangerous’ climate change, debate over meaning 146–7, 149, 350–1
- De Visscher, Paul, theory of enforcement 113
- death penalty as example of shared understandings in interactional law 66–9
- dédoublement fonctionnel* (Scelle), interactional theory view 35
- developing countries
 - 2° target 148–9, 150–1
 - anticipatory self defence, challenge to 292
 - CBDR policy 154–60, 162, 176–7, 190–4
 - ‘civilized states’ concept, opposition to 317–18
 - climate change consensus 147
 - Climate Convention 177–84
 - ‘coalition of reasonably democratic states’ concept, opposition to 344
 - Copenhagen Accord 205, 206, 207–8, 210–13
 - customary law, involvement in 74–100
 - frustration with international law 40–1
 - greenhouse gas emissions
 - commitments 129, 133–4, 137, 138–9, 141–2, 164
 - compromise on commitments 150–1
 - level 127–8
 - per capita 132
 - public opinion on limitations 170
 - Kyoto Protocol
 - Compliance Committee membership 196
 - overview 184–90
 - Non-Aligned Movement (NAM) 288, 334–5
 - power differentials, effect of 82–3
 - resistance to WTO 73–4
 - self defence, invocation 289–90
- dispute settlement, extending practice of legality through 108–14
- diversity
 - communities of practice, and 80–1
 - Fuller’s theory, in 20–1, 29–30, 42–5
 - interactional theory, and 81–2, 86
 - international law, and 77–86

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

395

- limits to law-making where deep diversity 82
- domestic law
 - acceptance of international norms 120
 - application of Fuller's theory to international law 6
 - horizontality *see* horizontal nature of law
 - importance of understanding 119–20
 - interplay with international law 114–21
 - practice of legality in 124
 - shared understandings in
 - climate change regime 170
 - overview 124
 - social science, and 9–10
 - 'validity' test 6–7
- Dostoevski, Fedor, *The Brothers Karamazov*, on whether torture justifiable 220
- 'duality of structure', emphasis in constructivist theory 14–15
- educative aspect of law
 - starting point for norm entrepreneurs 33
- treaties 48–9
- enforcement
 - collective enforcement, rarity of 112
 - De Visscher's theory 113
 - dependence of law on 34
 - failure to enforce 35, 113–14
 - importance of 355
 - Kyoto Protocol, criteria of legality 198–201
 - merits compared to compliance 110
 - practice of legality, and 108–14
 - shared understandings, basis in 111–12
- enterprise, law as 21–3
- environmental law
 - compliance 108–9
 - epistemic communities, role of 59–60
 - framework agreements as constitutive instruments 50
 - framework-protocol approach 98–9
- epistemic communities theory
 - overview 59–60
 - shortcomings 61–2
- equity, climate change issues 127–8, 132–3
- European Union (EU)
 - CBDR policy 164
 - climate change policy
 - 2° target 148–9
 - interests affecting 172–3
 - leadership role 129
 - political structure affecting 173
 - Kyoto Protocol, and 134–5
 - position on mass atrocities 333
 - post-2012 greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets 168
 - supra-national approach to law-making 174–5
- expectations *see* shared understandings
- fidelity *see also* legal obligation
 - ability of imposed rules to generate 55
 - achieving goal of 44–5
 - basis in
 - norms 50–1
 - what law is 29–30
 - basis of legal obligation, as 92
 - 'compliance pull', and 96–7
 - congruence criterion, and 35, 48–9, 55
 - dependence on reciprocity 38–9
 - engendering 356–7
 - Fuller's theory, in 6–7, 21, 26–7
 - legitimate law, from 53
 - strength 51
 - undermining through failure to enforce 35
- Finnemore, Martha, 'norm cycles' theory 57–9
- force, law's dependence on 24–5
- formal law
 - basis of interactional law, as 75, 352–3
 - customary law, and 46–52

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

396

INDEX

- formal law (*cont.*)
 - Fuller's theory, in 46–52
 - interactional law's basis in 355–6
 - over-reliance on accounts of legality
 - based on 33–4, 46
 - soft law, and 50–1
- formalism
 - 'culture of formalism'
 - (Koskeniemi) 51–2
 - distinction
 - formality 46–7
 - rule of law 51–2
- formality, distinction from formalism 46–7
- framework agreements as constitutive instruments 50
- France
 - CBDR policy 157
 - example of multiple identities of actors 14
- Franck, Thomas
 - compliance theory 94–6 *see also*
 - 'compliance pull'
 - legitimacy theory 52–3, 99
- Fuller, Lon
 - allegiance to Aristotelian rhetoric 31–2
 - approach to formal law 46–52
 - areas of interest 33–4
 - contract law, interest in 33–4
 - criteria of legality *see* criteria of legality
 - description of law 21–3
 - distinction between legal norms and interests 46
 - domestic law, and 6, 33–4
 - essential commitments in law 29
 - 'fidelity' theory 6–7
 - 'fist and elbows' analogy of injustice 67
 - Hart-Fuller debate 7
 - importance of his theories 6
 - injustice, views on 67
 - international law, and 6, 33–55
 - key ideas 20–1, 33–4
 - legal effectiveness, and 23–4
 - legal theory
 - overview 20–33
 - 'procedural version' of natural law, as 29
 - non-hierarchical approach to law 33–4
 - over-reliance on formalistic accounts of legality, view on 33–4, 46
 - positivist theory and international law, on 6
 - practical approach to theory 21
 - property law, interest in 33–4
 - purposive nature of law, on 7
 - rule of law 20–1, 33–4, 42–3
 - sense of responsibility, and 20–1
 - shared understandings, and 65–70
- G8 Group
 - agreement on greenhouse gas emissions reduction 138
 - post-2012 greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets 168
 - spaces for interaction, as 145–6
 - support for climate change negotiations 140–1
- G77 group, greenhouse gases emissions policy 137
- Gaza, Israeli invasion 298
- generality criterion
 - anticipatory self defence 300–1, 303–4
 - CBDR policy 190
 - Copenhagen Accord 211, 215
 - collective security, use of force for 320–1
 - prohibition of torture 250–1
 - prohibition of use of force 279
 - responsibility to protect 328–30, 337
 - self defence, use of force in 300–1
- genocide
 - action against, right of 329–30
 - prohibition 230–1
 - responsibility to protect against 311, 330–1, 338
- Security Council's inaction 321
- shared understanding on
 - intervention 325–6
 - whether occurring 338
- Giddens, Anthony, structuration theory 14–15

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

397

- global warming
 - 2° target *see* 2° target (climate change)
 - anticipated effect on Bangladesh 126
- Goldsmith, Jack, legal obligation, view on 90–1
- Goodman, Ryan, ‘state socialization’ theory of compliance 106–7, 110, 121–2
- Gore, Al
 - Nobel Peace Prize award 147
 - public reaction to publicisation of climate change issues 4
 - publicizing ‘Inconvenient Truth’ of climate change 147
- Greece, climate change regime non-compliance cases 201–3
- greenhouse gas emissions
 - China 127–8
 - Copenhagen Accord 204, 206, 213, 214
 - developing countries *see* developing countries
 - EU policy 148–9, 150–1
 - G8 Group agreement 138
 - Kyoto Protocol commitments 134–5
 - ‘major emitters’ process, launch of 145–6
 - post-2012 commitments 168
 - public reaction to reduction commitments 170
 - reduction, criteria of legality 184–90
 - shared understandings on commitments 149–51
 - reduction 167–70
- Grotius, Hugo, just war doctrine 286
- Grundnorm*, law as 10
- Habermas, Jürgen, communicative action concept 44–5
- Hart, H.L.A. (Herbert Lionel Adolphus)
 - criteria of legality, on 28–9
 - Hart-Fuller debate 7
 - ‘rule of recognition’ 10
- Hathaway, Oona, ‘integrated’ international law theory 115–16
- horizontal nature of law 33–6
- human conduct, governance of rules 23–4, 29–30
- human rights reports on use of torture 235–6
- humanitarian law, public reaction to Iraq war violations 4
- humanitarian reasons for use of force *see* responsibility to protect
- identity
 - basis in social interaction 37
 - basis of interests in 37
 - multiple identities of actors 14
- illegitimate law due to failure in creation 40–1
- ‘Inconvenient Truth’ of climate change, Al Gore’s publicizing of 147
- India
 - CBDR policy 164
 - Copenhagen Accord 205, 206, 207, 208, 210, 213
 - post-2012 greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets 168
 - public reaction to greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets 170
- injustice, fist and elbows analogy (Fuller) 67
- institutional power, meaning 84
- institutionalized law-making as spaces for interaction 101–2
- ‘integrated’ international law theory (Hathaway) 115–16
- interaction
 - Fuller’s theory, in 23–4, 29–30
 - G8 Group as space for 145–6
 - importance of creating opportunities 100
 - increasing density 103
 - plenary bodies as spaces for 100–1, 133
 - spaces for 100–8
- interactional law
 - basis in
 - autonomy 35
 - formal law 75, 352–3, 355–6

- interactional law (*cont.*)
 - shared understandings 113, 354–5
 - characteristics 354–5
 - community of practice, as 44–5, 69–70
 - compliance, perspective on 98–123
 - constraint of power by 84–6
 - customary law as 74–100
 - death penalty as example of shared understandings in 66–9
 - displacement of imbalance of power 355
 - diversity, and 42–5
 - domestic law, importance of understanding 119–20
 - individual defiance 40
 - insights on climate change regime 216–17
 - perspective on whether climate change regime a success of international law 218–19
 - practice of legality, and 70–7
 - prohibition of torture in context of 258–9
 - promotion of autonomy 33
 - protection of communication 35
 - reciprocity requirement and construction of norms 353–4
 - shared understandings, and 65–77
 - strength of fidelity produced by 51
- interactional theory
 - actors, role of 8–9
 - added value, of 55
 - application 15–19
 - compliance, understanding of 120–1
 - constructivist theory, and 13
 - development 5–9
 - distinctiveness 107–8
 - diversity, and 81–2, 86
 - formulation customary law, as to 47
 - Franck’s theory, common ground with 53
 - implications for law-making 355
 - international legal obligation, overview 20–55
 - legal obligation, and 9–15, 26–7
 - legality, process of building 8
 - reciprocity, and 7
 - robustness as to prohibition of torture 268
 - shared understandings, and 64–5
 - spaces for interaction, importance of 356
 - utility 35, 47–8
- interdisciplinary approach to international law 9–10
- interests
 - basis in identity 37
 - basis of reciprocity in 37–8
 - influence on states’ climate change regime policies 172–3
 - reason for state conduct, as 92
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
 - 2007 report
 - impact of 138, 148
 - role of epistemic communities in preparing 60
 - tipping point for action, as 147
 - ‘dangerous’ climate change, debate over meaning 146–7, 149, 350–1
 - Nobel Peace Prize award 60, 147
 - public reaction to findings 4
- ‘internal morality’ *see also* criteria of legality
 - Fuller’s theory, in 25
- ‘internalization of internal norms’ theory (Koh) 116
- International Campaign to Ban Landmines, Nobel Peace Prize award to 59
- International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty (ICISS), report on responsibility to protect 326
- ‘international community’
 - distinction from communities of practice 80–1
 - need for 86–7
 - universalist claims 79
- International Court of Justice (ICJ)
 - ‘civilizing mission’ of international law, and 78
 - judgments on use of force in self defence 292–3, 295–6, 307–8

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

399

- international law
 - 9/11, impact of 18–19
 - actors *see* actors
 - application of Fuller's theory of
 - domestic law 6, 33–4
 - basis in legal obligation 97, 124
 - challenges to concept 77
 - 'civilizing mission' 3, 78
 - continuing process, as 48–9, 102
 - creation by state consent 52
 - developing states' frustration with 40–1
 - diversity, importance of 80–1
 - domestic law, interplay with 114–21
 - early aspirations 2–3
 - emergence 2
 - existence 5
 - Fuller's theory, in 33–55
 - illegitimate law due to failure in
 - creation 40–1
 - importance of scholarship 5
 - importance of treaties 48
 - interactional theory *see* interactional theory
 - interdisciplinary approach 9–10
 - international relations theory, and
 - see* international relations (IR) theory
 - invasion of Iraq as evidence of
 - failure 2
 - jungle analogy 3–4, 5
 - legitimacy, and 52–5
 - neo-liberal theory, and *see* neo-liberal theory
 - non-state actors, potential
 - contribution 78–9
 - norms *see* norms
 - over-reliance on formalistic
 - accounts of legality 33–4
 - perceived weakness 88–9
 - perception as 'western' 77
 - power differentials, problem of 82–6
 - 'practice of legality' 8, 352
 - prohibition of torture as example of
 - ongoing work of 270
 - public perception of current state of
 - scholarship 4–5
 - realist theory, and *see* realist theory
 - relationship to power 2, 3–5, 10–11, 34, 77–86
 - renewed scholarship 3
 - seen as flawed 3
 - shared understandings, and 75–6
 - states, and *see* states
 - torture as evidence of failure 259–60
 - universality of 79, 86–7
 - views on 2
 - zoo analogy 3–4
- international relations (IR) theory
 - applied to Fuller's theory 20–1
 - communities of practice, and 70
 - constructivist theory, and 12–13
 - dominance of realist theory 10–11
 - international law, and 5
 - legal obligation, and 12
 - shared understandings in 56–65
- interpretation issues, importance of 24–5
- 'intersubjective structures',
 - components, 14–15
- Iraq War
 - Bush administration's challenge to
 - Security Council on WMDs 316
 - calls on UN to deal with crisis 314–15
 - condemnation in Security Council 278
 - evidence of failure of international
 - law, as 2
 - impact on prohibition of use of force 271–2
 - justifications 112, 272
 - media coverage, study of 275–7
 - perceived wrongness 1–2
 - protests against 1, 275, 277–8
 - public reaction violations of
 - humanitarian law 4
 - 'second resolution', whether giving
 - legitimacy 322
 - Security Council's failure to oversee 321–2
 - self defence, justification as 304
 - Turkey's attacks on Kurdish rebels 297–8
 - WMDs, supposed threat of 290–1, 342

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

400

INDEX

Israel

justification for surgical strikes 293

prohibition of torture 228–9

self-defence operations 298, 311–12

Jinks, Derek, ‘state socialization’

theory of compliance 106–7,
110, 121–2

John Paul II, Pope, view on war 1

Johnson, James Turner, just war

doctrine 286

jus cogens, prohibition of torture as

17–18, 229–30, 251

just war doctrine

correspondence with prohibition of
use of force 274–5, 284–9

history 284–9

legitimacy test for use of force

272–3

Roman practice 284–5

Kellogg-Briand Pact, prohibition of

use of force 274

Koh, Harold, ‘internalization of

internal norms’ theory 116

Koskenniemi, Martti

‘civilizing mission’ of international
law 78

‘culture of formalism’ 51–2

Kyoto Protocol

adherence to procedures 144–5

adoption 129, 133–4

commitments under 134–5

compliance mechanism

criteria of legality 195–204

non-state actors’ access 200

criteria of legality 184–90

developing countries’ commitments

133–4, 137, 184–90

discussions on further

commitments 137

emissions trading mechanisms

134–5

enforcement regime, criteria of

legality 198–201

entry into force 136

framework agreement, as 135

limitations 129, 137

Marrakech Accords 135–6

national policies 167–8

NGOs’ support for 4

‘practice of legality’, and 352–3

ratification 136

resilience 129, 135–6

shared understandings 167–70

US policy 135–6, 137, 167–8,
353–4

landmines campaign as norm cycle 59

Landmines Convention, adoption 59

Lave, Jean, ‘communities of practice’

theory 62–3

law *see also* rule of law

added value 55, 77

aspirational, as 21–3, 44–5

basis in shared understandings 56,
351, 354–5, 356–7

continuing process, as 21–3

creation of added value 55

criteria of legality as conditions for
existence 41–2

dependence on

enforcement 34

force 24–5

interaction 23–4

distinguishing characteristics 6–7

educative, as 33

enterprise, as 21–3

essential commitments in Fuller’s
theory 29

formation in social interaction 21–3

Fuller’s description 21–3

Fuller’s theory, in 20–1

Fuller’s view of purposive nature of 7

guidance as to agents’ reasons for
action, as 24horizontality *see* horizontal nature
of law

importance of enforcement 355

imposed authority, as 23–5, 55

incompleteness 21–3

interlocking communities of

practice, as 356–7

‘internal morality’ 25

legitimacy *see* legitimate law

non-hierarchical approach in

Fuller’s theory 33–4

positivist approaches 10

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

401

- purposive nature 21–3
- reciprocity, and *see* reciprocity
- rooted in shared understandings 33
- social control, as 10
- social interaction, and 354
- law-making
 - basis in communities of practice 44–5
 - compliance
 - continuum with 98, 123
 - foundation of 98–100
 - separation from 98
 - deep diversity, where 82
 - EU's supra-national approach 174–5
 - implications of interactional theory for 355
 - institutionalized law-making as
 - space for interaction 101–2
 - legal obligation, foundation of 98
 - shared understandings,
 - correspondence with 76
- League of Nations
 - failure as evidence of failure of international law 3
 - mandates and 'civilizing mission' of international law 78
 - prohibition of use of force 274
- Lebanon, Israeli invasion 298, 311–12
- legal norms *see also* customary law
 - adherence to criteria of legality as
 - distinguishing characteristic 25, 351
 - conditions for 278–9
 - congruence with shared understandings 32
 - distinction from interests in Fuller's theory 46
 - distinguished 25, 351
 - transition from social norms 21–3
- legal obligation *see also* fidelity
 - added value to law, as 55, 77
 - 'adherence' concept (Franck) 95–6
 - authors' focus on 91–2
 - compliance
 - distinction from 92–4
 - importance to 125
 - overview 94–7
 - congruence, and 96–7
 - creation
 - criteria of legality, and 41–2, 76–7
 - new treaty rules, from 48–9
 - overview 6–7
 - fidelity, basis in 92
 - Fuller's theory, in 26–7, 33–4
 - importance of understanding 5–6
 - interactional theory, and, overview 9–15, 20–55
 - international law, basis of 124
 - international relations (IR) theory,
 - and 12
 - lack of emphasis on 89–90
 - lack of theory 88
 - law-making, foundation in 98
 - law's foundation in 97
 - logic of appropriateness developing into 39
 - neo-liberal theory, and 11–12
 - perceived irrelevance 90–1
 - power differentials, and 93
 - power of 93
 - practice of legality, creation through 77–103
 - rationalism, and 12
 - realization 356–7
 - reciprocity, and 7, 37, 96
 - self-generation 29–30
 - sense of 97
 - sustained effort 124
 - theory 16–17
- legality
 - assessing strength of 21–3
 - 'compliance pull', and 92
 - continuum 21–3
 - criteria *see* criteria of legality
 - development of commitment to 6–7
 - over-reliance on formalistic accounts 33–4
 - practice of legality *see* practice of legality
 - process of building, interactional theory, and 8
- legitimacy
 - creation from criteria of legality 53–4
 - criteria 99
 - international law, and 52–5
 - meaning 33–4, 53

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

402

INDEX

- legitimacy (*cont.*)
 - objective factors 52–3
 - relationship to compliance 53
- legitimate law
 - meaning 53
 - resulting from ‘practice of legality’ 27–8
- logic of appropriateness (March and Olsen) 39, 107
- logic of consequences, meaning 39
- ‘Major Economies Forum’ (MEF)
 - developing countries’ commitments 141
 - purpose 145–6
 - support for climate change negotiations 140–1
- managerial approach to compliance
 - overview 104–6
 - procedures 109–10
- March, James, ‘logic of appropriateness’ theory 39
- Marrakech Accords, draft decisions 135–6
- mass atrocities as threats to peace and security
 - EU position 233
 - use of force in response 233–4
- ‘measurement, reporting and verification’, Copenhagen Accord 207–8
- Migrant Workers Convention
 - as example of shared understandings in law-making 76
- morality in law
 - criteria of legality, and 29
 - Fuller’s theory 25
- multiple identities of actors 14
- mutual engagement *see also* reciprocity
 - communities of practice, and 63–4
 - Fuller’s theory, in 20–1
 - importance of spaces for 356
 - increase 356–7
- NATO’s response to 9/11 296–7
- natural law
 - Fuller’s theory, in 29
 - link to criteria of legality 29
- neo-liberal theory
 - international law, and 11–12
 - legal obligation, and 11–12
 - role of
 - actors 11–12
 - states 11–12
- ‘New Haven School’, influence of
 - realist theory 10–11
- Nicaragua case 292–3, 295–6, 307–8
- Nile basin management regime, norm creation 27–8
- Nobel Peace Prize award
 - Gore, Al 147
 - Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 60, 147
 - International Campaign to Ban Landmines 59
 - Williams, Jodi 59
- Non-Aligned Movement (NAM) 228, 334–5
- non-contradiction criterion
 - CBDR policy 191–2
 - Climate Convention 181–2
 - prohibition of torture 256
 - prohibition of use of force 281
- non-governmental organizations (NGOs)
 - Climate Convention, accreditation to 142–3
 - compliance, and 114–21
 - definition of torture 253
 - landmines, campaign against 59
 - power differentials 83
 - role 45, 61, 78–9
 - support for Kyoto Protocol 4
- non-impossibility criterion
 - Climate Convention 182
 - collective security, use of force for 320–1
 - prohibition of torture 256–8
 - prohibition of use of force 281
 - self defence, use of force in 308–9, 310–11
- non-retroactivity criterion
 - collective security, use of force for 322–3
 - prohibition of use of force 280
 - United Nations Convention Against Torture 251

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

403

- non-state actors
 - access to Kyoto Protocol compliance procedure 200
 - attacks by, use of force in self defence against 279, 294–9, 307–8
 - Climate Convention, role in 142–3, 146
 - communities of practice, role in 64–5, 70, 81
 - compliance, and 114–21
 - Hathaway's 'integrated' international law theory, in 115–16
 - potential contribution to international law 78–9
 - power differentials 83
 - prohibition of torture, and 270
 - promotion of shared understandings 61
 - rise of 8–9
 - spaces for interaction 114–15
- norm cycles theory
 - overview 57–9
 - shortcomings 61–2
- norm entrepreneurship
 - norm cycles, role in 57–9
 - responsibility to protect, and 340–1, 342–8
 - role 350–1
 - shared understandings, role in creating 42–3
 - starting point with educative aspect of law, as 33
 - US government's attempt to redefine torture as example 249–50
- norms *see also* legal norms
 - basis in shared understandings 42–3, 350–1
 - challenge to strengthen 356–7
 - challenges to 351
 - change by treaty formation 48
 - communication through communities of practice 356–7
 - communities of practice as source 21–3, 27–8
 - construction
 - criteria of legality 34
 - criteria of legality, and 6–7, 356
 - Habermas's communicative action theory 44–5
 - imbalance of power, and 353–4
 - rhetorical activity, in 34
 - role of communities of practice 54, 350–1
 - shared understandings, in 34, 86
 - social interaction, in 350–1
 - states, by 27–8
- convergence 43–4
- criteria of legality *see* criteria of legality
- domestic acceptance of international norms 120
- engendering, fidelity, and 50–1, 356–7
- failure to enforce 35
- Fuller's theory, in 20–1
- 'internalization of internal norms' theory (Koh) 116
- legal norms distinguished 25, 351
- political science perspective 9–15
- prohibition of torture 220–1, 230–1
- promotion 350–1
- shared understandings, congruence with 96–7
- states' support for 352
- strengthening 356–7
- theoretical framework for identifying 5
- transition from social to legal 21–3
- nuclear non-proliferation regime as example of illegitimate law 40–1
- Obama administration
 - American Clean Energy and Security Act, passes 173–4
 - Copenhagen Accord 151, 205, 214
 - 'Major Economies Forum' (MEF), establishes 140–1, 145–6
 - policy on torture 248–9
 - reassertion of prohibition of torture 267
- Olsen, Johan, logic of appropriateness 39
- opinio juris*
 - customary law, and 47
 - 'practice of legality' as 47

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

404

INDEX

- Pachauri, Rajendra, views on 2° target 150
- Perelman, Chaim, distinction of 'rational truths' and 'reasonable arguments' 31–2
- Permanent Court of International Justice and 'civilizing mission' of international law 78
- positivist theory
 - approaches to law 10
 - distinction of law and morality 26
 - utility 10
- Posner, Eric, views on legal obligation 90–1
- Postema, Gerald J., 'congruence thesis' 24
- power
 - authority as 24–5
 - differentials
 - construction of norms, and 353–4
 - displacement by interactional law 355
 - effect on developing countries 82–3
 - legal obligation, and 93
 - non-state actors 83
 - problem of 82–6
 - forms of 84
 - realist view 3–4, 10–11
 - relationship to international law 2, 3–5, 10–11, 34, 77–86
 - restraint by interactional law 84–6
 - social aspect 84
 - state conduct, as reason for 92
 - structural power *see* structural power
- practice of legality
 - adherence to 27–8, 351–2, 355
 - basis of shared understandings 72
 - climate change regime 194–204
 - compliance as element 125
 - continuing process, as 54, 283–4
 - domestic law, in 124
 - elements 284
 - enforcement based in 111–12
 - inclusive practice 54
 - interactional law, and 70–7
 - legal obligation, creation of 77–103
 - legitimate law resulting from
 - see* legitimate law
 - link to congruence criterion 283–4
 - meaning 6–7, 352
 - methods of extending 108–14
 - new treaty rules as object of 48–9
 - opinio juris*, as 47
 - prohibition of torture 259–68
 - prohibition of use of force 283–4
 - self defence, use of force in 299–313
 - sustained effort 124
 - tax law as example 27–8
 - tipping point for 'required' practice 47–8
 - translation of formal law into interactional law through 352–3
- precautionary principle as example
 - influence of soft law 51
- predictability *see* constancy/ predictability criterion
- productive power
 - meaning 84
 - restraint of 85–6
- prohibition of torture *see* torture, prohibition of
- prohibition of use of force
 - see also* collective security, use of force for; use of force
- adherence to congruence criterion 352
- clarity criterion 280
- congruence criterion 282–3
- constancy/predictability criterion 281–2
- continuity of doctrine 287
- criteria of legality 279–83
- exceptions
 - adherence to legality criterion 352
 - anti-colonialism 287–8
 - collective security *see* collective security, use of force for
 - controversy 273
 - global support 288–9
 - humanitarian reasons
 - see* humanitarian reasons for use of force
 - overview 284–9

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

405

- rules 272
- scope 287
- self defence *see* self defence, use of
 - force in
- shared understandings 284–9
- types 284
 - ‘western’, perceived as 287
- generality criterion 279
- genocide, as to 329–30
- impact of 9/11 18–19, 352
- Iraq War, impact of 271–2
- just war doctrine, correspondence
 - with 274–5, 284–9
- Kellogg-Briand Pact 274
- League of Nations 274
- non-impossibility criterion 281
- non-retroactivity criterion 280
- overview 271–349
- practice of legality 283–4
- promulgation criterion 279–80
- reaffirmation by UN 271
- Responsibility to Protect, and
 - 350–1
- scope 273–4
- shared understandings
 - global acceptance 277
 - history pre-9/11 273
 - overview 273–84
- support for, difficulty proving 282–3
- weapons of mass destruction
 - see* weapons of mass destruction (WMDs)
- promulgation criterion
 - CBDR policy 190
 - Copenhagen Accord 211
 - prohibition of torture 250–1
 - prohibition of use of force 279–80
- property law in Fuller’s theory 33–4
- public opinion
 - climate change 4
 - current state of international law
 - scholarship, on 4–5
 - greenhouse gas emissions reduction
 - targets 170
 - Iraq War 1, 4, 275, 277–8
 - torture 221, 243–4, 247–8, 249–50
 - war, against 274, 275
- purposive nature of law 7, 21–3
- rationalism
 - legal obligation, and 12
 - ‘rational truths’, distinction from
 - ‘reasonable arguments’
 - 31–2
- Raz, Joseph, knife metaphor of criteria
 - of legality 28–9
- realist theory
 - dominance in international relations
 - (IR) theory 10–11
 - effect on popular image of
 - international law 4
 - influence of US constitutional law
 - 10–11
 - influence on ‘critical’ scholars 10–11
 - international law in 3–4, 10
 - power in 3–4, 10–11
 - zoo analogy 3–4
- ‘reasonable arguments’, distinction
 - from ‘rational truths’ 31–2
- reasons for action, law’s guidance for
 - actors’ 24, 29–30
- reciprocity
 - basis in interests 37–8
 - congruence criterion, and 352
 - construction of norms, and 353–4
 - creation 40
 - dependence of fidelity on 38–9
 - dependence of law on 7
 - Fuller’s theory, in 23–4
 - interactional theory, and 7
 - legal obligation, and 7, 37, 96
 - meaning 7
 - need for sustained effort 8
 - shared understandings, and 65–7
 - trade agreements as example 37
- responsibility to protect
 - acceptance of norm 336
 - authorization 338–9
 - clarity criterion 327, 329–30, 337, 341
 - constancy/predictability criterion
 - 327, 330, 335, 337, 341
 - criteria of legality 324
 - endorsement 336
 - entitlement to act 325
 - establishment 284
 - fulfilment through use of force 333,
 - 340–2

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

406

INDEX

- responsibility to protect (*cont.*)
 - General Assembly debate 333, 335–7, 339
 - General Assembly resolution 328, 334
 - generality criterion 328–30, 337
 - genocide, against 338
 - ICISS report 326
 - interactional law application 323–4
 - see also* just war doctrine
 - legitimacy test for use of force 272–3
 - Non-Aligned Movement position 334–5
 - norm entrepreneurship 340–1, 342–8, 350–1
 - offences justifying action 336
 - origin 324–5
 - Secretary General (UN),
 - implementation report 327–8, 330, 332–5, 337–9
 - Security Council report 327–8
 - shared understandings 324
 - South Ossetia, Russian invasion 311–12
 - sovereignty, and 336
 - strength
 - doctrine 325–6
 - norm 339–40
 - support for 339
 - UN Outcome Document 330–1
- retroactivity *see* non-retroactivity criterion
- review processes as spaces for interaction 101
- rhetoric
 - construction of norms, and 34
 - Fuller's allegiance to Aristotelian 31–2
- rule of law
 - distinction from formalism 51–2
 - Fuller's theory, in 20–1, 33–4, 42–3
 - states' commitment to 37–8
- 'rule of recognition', law as 10
- Russia
 - greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets 150–1
 - invasion of South Ossetia 311–12
 - Kyoto Protocol, and 134–5
- National Security Concept, criteria of legality 302–3
- Scelle, George, *dédoublement fonctionnel* in interactional theory 35
- secret detention centres, use of torture 261–2
- security, climate change as issue 127–8
- Security Council
 - alternative to, lack of 317–18
 - climate change debate 178
 - determination of threats to international security 318
 - failure to over *see* use of force for collective security 320
 - genocide, inaction 321
 - Iraq War, condemnation 278
- Outcome Document on
 - responsibility to protect 330–1
- mass atrocities and 333
- prohibition of use of force, and 271–349
- reform, importance of 318
- report on responsibility to protect 327–8
- resolutions, enforcement of 112
- unrepresentative structure 316–17
- self defence
 - debate over meaning 350
 - invasion of Afghanistan justified as 296–7
- US claims
 - legality of 85–6
 - resistance to 353–4
- self defence, use of force in 9/11
 - new shared understandings following 294–5
 - response to 296–7
- acceptance of doctrine 289–90
- anticipatory self defence
 - see* anticipatory self defence, doctrine of
- attacks by non-state actors, against 279, 294–9, 307–8
- Congo v Uganda* case 295–6

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

407

- congruence criterion 306–7, 310–11
- constancy/predictability criterion 308–9, 310–11
- criteria of legality 299–313
- establishment 284
- examples 289–90, 297–8
- generality criterion 300–1
- ICJ judgments 292–3, 295–6
- impossibility criterion 310–11
- intensity of attack, debate as to 292–4
- Israeli invasion of Gaza as 298
- justification 303–4
- legitimacy test for use of force 272–3
- link between hostile non-state forces and target state 296, 308–9
- necessity limitation 310
- new threats, against 300
- Nicaragua* case 292–3, 295–6, 307–8
- non-impossibility criterion 308–9, 310–11
- non-state actors, against attacks by 279, 294–9, 307–8
- ongoing attacks, against 293–4
- overview 289–313
- practice of legality 299–313
- proportionality limitation 310
- reasonableness, debate on narrow definition of 299–300
- reprisal, as unlawful 292–4
- scope 290
- self defence, use of force in 308–9
- shared understandings 289–99
- shared understandings, shift in 294–5
- South Ossetia, Russian invasion 311–12
- surgical strikes, justification of 293
- Turkish attacks against Kurdish rebels in Iraq 297–8
- Wall* case 295–6
- weapons of mass destruction
 - see* weapons of mass destruction (WMDs)
- September 11th, 2001 terrorist attacks
 - see* 9/11 (terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001)
- shared understandings
 - 2° target 168
 - anticipatory self defence 290–1
 - basis in social interaction 24
 - basis of law 56, 113, 351, 354–5, 356–7
 - basis of norms 34, 42–3, 86, 350–1
 - clarification by treaties 48
 - climate change regime 141–77
 - collective security, use of force for
 - overview 313–14
 - strength 323
 - common but differentiated responsibilities (CBDR) 151–66
 - ‘compliance pull’, basis of 113
 - congruence with legal norms 32, 96–7
 - construction 33, 42–3
 - constructivist theories 56–7
 - Copenhagen Accord 210–11
 - criteria of legality, and 76–7, 356–7
 - death penalty as example in
 - interactional law 66–9
 - deepening through communication 43–4
 - domestic law, in
 - climate change regime 170
 - overview 124
 - enforcement, basis of 111–12
 - fluctuations in, prohibition of
 - torture as example 268
 - formation of law in 21–3
 - Fuller’s theory, in 24, 65–70
 - genocide, intervention 325–6
 - greenhouse gas emissions reduction
 - targets 149–51, 167–70
 - interactional law, and 65–77
 - interactional nature of 64–5
 - international law, and 75–6
 - international relations (IR) theory, and 56–65
 - Kyoto Protocol 167–70
 - law, rooted in 33
 - law-making, correspondence with 76
 - non-state actors, role of 61
 - overview 56–87

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

408

INDEX

- shared understandings (*cont.*)
 - prohibition of torture 223–50, 268
 - prohibition of use of force
 - see* prohibition of use of force
 - responsibility to protect 324
 - role of norm entrepreneurs 42–3
 - self defence, use of force in 289–99
 - shift in, re use of force in self defence 294–5
 - treaties, from 48–9
- shared water resources as example of
 - norm creation 27–8
- Sikkink, Kathryn, ‘norm cycles’ theory 57–9
- social interaction
 - basis for shared understandings 24
 - basis of identity in 37
 - construction of norms in 350–1
 - law, and 354
- social learning, communities of
 - practice, and 62–5
- social practice *see* shared understandings
- social structure
 - constructivist theory, and 14–15
 - Fuller’s theory, in 20–1
- soft law and formal law 50–1
- South Ossetia, Russian invasion 311–12
- sovereignty
 - basis of ‘civilizing mission’ of
 - international law 78–9
 - distinction from agency 35
 - exception to prohibition of torture
 - for reason of 231–3
 - proxy for autonomy, as 35
 - responsibility to protect, and 336
- ‘state socialization’ theory of
 - compliance
 - critique 121–2
 - interaction 110
 - overview 106–7
- states
 - capacity for communication 55
 - commitment to rule of law 37–8
 - conduct in international law 16
 - consent for international law 52
 - criteria of legality, use of 43–4
 - differentiated obligations 353
 - imposed authority 55
 - norm creation 27–8
 - power differentials 82–3
 - reasons for violations of
 - international law 93
 - role in neo-liberal theory 11–12
 - support for norms 352
- structural power
 - meaning 84
 - restraint of 85
- structuration theory, constructivist
 - theory, and 14–15
- surgical strikes, justification 293
- torture
 - ‘24’, depiction in 221–2, 233–5
 - Bush administration as norm
 - entrepreneur as to attempt to
 - redefine terror 249–50
 - culpability, danger of 254–5
 - depiction in popular culture 221–2
 - evidence of failure of international
 - law, as 259–60
 - freedom from, assertion as
 - inalienable human right 220
 - interrogation techniques as 228–9
 - judgments on legality 245–7
 - justifiability, question of (*The Brothers Karamazov*) 220
 - legal process, as 223
 - meaning
 - attempted redefinition 237–44
 - Convention Against Torture
 - 220–1, 231, 251–2
 - debate 252–6, 350
 - NGOs 253
 - opposition to, growth of 265–8
 - popular culture, and 221–2, 233–5
 - public opinion 243–4, 247–8, 249–50
 - secret detention centres 261–2
 - UN report 235–6
 - use of
 - history of 223
 - increasing opposition to 265–8
 - routinely worldwide 260–1
 - utility, debate on 257–8
 - ‘war on terror’, and 237–44

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

409

- torture, prohibition of
 - 9/11, impact of 18–19, 233–50, 352
 - absolute, as 220–1
 - adherence to
 - clarity criterion 352
 - congruence criterion 352
 - challenges to
 - failure to meet criteria of legality 250–1, 268–9
 - overview 351
 - post-9/11 221
 - clarity criterion 251–2, 255–6, 268–9, 352
 - community of practice undermining
 - norm, example of 261–2
 - congruence criterion 259–68, 269
 - constancy/predictability criterion 258
 - Convention Against Torture
 - see* United Nations Convention Against Torture
 - criteria of legality 250, 268–9
 - customary norm, as 220–1, 230–1, 251
 - exception for reason of sovereignty 231–3
 - fragility 354
 - generality criterion 250–1
 - history 223–33
 - inconsistent practice 352–3
 - interaction as to upholding 101–2
 - interactional analysis, robustness of 268
 - interactional law context 258–9
 - jus cogens*, as 17–18, 229–30, 251
 - non-state actors, role of 270
 - ongoing work of international law, as example of 270
 - overview 220–70
 - practice of legality 259–68
 - provisional conclusion as to strength 269
 - public opinion 221
 - reassertion 265–8
 - retroactivity 251
 - shared understandings
 - example of fluctuations in 268
 - overview 223–50
 - strength 269
 - universality 250–1
- treaties
 - adoption as example of interactional law 72–4
 - congruence criterion, and 48–9
 - educative aspect of law 48–9
 - evolution as continuing process 54
 - importance of 48
 - plenary bodies as spaces for interaction 100–1
- treaty formation
 - change to norms 48
 - example of illegitimate law, as 40–1
 - reference points for new law 48–9
- Turkey, attacks against Kurdish rebels in Iraq 297–8
- United Kingdom (UK)
 - 9/11, response to 296–7
 - Afghanistan, justification for invasion of 296–7
 - anti-terrorism powers, limitation 246–7
 - climate change debate at Security Council 127–8, 178
 - Copenhagen Accord 207
 - greenhouse gas emissions, offsetting of other states' increases 172–3
- Iraq War
 - justification for 112
 - study of media coverage 275–7
- prohibition of torture
 - jus cogens*, as 229–30
 - reassertion 267
- responsibility to protect, support for norm 340
- torture
 - complicity 228–9, 236–7, 265
 - criticism of government policy 247–8
 - judgments on legality 245–7
 - Parliamentary report 265
- United Nations (UN)
 - 9/11, response to 296–7
 - Bush's challenge re WMDs 316
 - calls to deal with crises 314–15
 - collective security, legitimization of use of force for 315–16, 322, 323

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70683-4 - Legitimacy and Legality in International Law: An Interactional Account

Jutta Brunnee and Stephen J. Toope

Index

[More information](#)

410

INDEX

- United Nations (UN) (*cont.*)
 - prohibition of use of force, reaffirmation of 271
 - realist view of 3
 - report on torture 235–6
 - Security Council *see* Security Council
 - Trusteeships and ‘civilizing mission’ of international law 78
- United Nations Convention Against Torture
 - definition of torture 220–1, 231, 251–2
 - ratification 252–3
 - retroactivity 251
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) *see* Climate Convention
- United States (US)
 - 9/11, response to 296–7, 299
 - ‘24’
 - depiction of torture 221–2, 233–5
 - Military’s reaction to 233–5, 245
 - Afghanistan, justification for invasion of 296–7
 - approach to climate change regime 175–6
 - Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate, establishment 145–6
 - ‘Bali Action Plan’, agreement on 139–40
 - Bush, George W. *see* Bush administration
 - Bybee-Yoo memoranda on legality of torture 238
 - ‘Byrd-Hagel Resolution’ *see* ‘Byrd-Hagel Resolution’
 - CBDR policy 162
 - Clean Energy and Security Act 173–4
 - constitutional law, scholarship and realist theory 10–11
 - Copenhagen Accord 205
 - greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets 150–1
 - impact on climate change policy 18–19
 - interests affecting climate change policy 172–3
 - justification for Iraq War 112
 - Kyoto Protocol, and 129, 134–6, 137, 167–8, 170–1, 353–4
 - ‘Major Economies Forum’ (MEF) *see* ‘Major Economies Forum’ (MEF)
 - ‘major emitters’ process, launch of 145–6
 - Military’s reaction to ‘24’ 233–5, 245
 - National Security Strategy
 - statement, criteria of legality 300–1
 - Obama, Barak *see* Obama administration
 - political structure climate change policy 173
 - position on responsibility to protect 333–4
 - public reaction to greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets 170–1
 - Supreme Court’s limitation of anti-terrorism powers 245–6
 - surgical strikes, justification 293
 - torture
 - attempted redefinition 237–44
 - Bush administration policy *see* Bush, George W., administration
 - Bybee-Yoo memoranda on legality 238
 - Government as norm entrepreneur in attempt to redefine 249–50
 - judgments on legality 245–7
 - Obama administration policy *see* Obama, Barak, administration
 - public opinion 221, 243–4, 247–8, 249–50
 - ratification of Convention Against Torture 252–3
 - reassertion of prohibition 265–8

- ‘war on terror’, legality of 85–6, 353–4
- use of force
 - collective security *see* collective security, use of force for
 - legitimacy test 272–3
 - NATO response to 9/11 296–7
 - prohibition *see* prohibition of use of force
 - self defence against attacks by non-state actors 279, 294–9, 307–8
- ‘validity’ test of law 6–7, 46–7, 51–2
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
 - constitutive instrument, as 50
 - example of interactional theory, as 49
 - example of operation of criteria of legality, as 49–50
- Wall* case 295–6
- Walzer, Michael, just war doctrine 287
- war
 - just war doctrine *see* just war doctrine
 - popular revulsion against 274, 275
- ‘War on terror’
 - legality 85–6, 353–4
 - limitation of anti-terrorism powers 245–7
 - secret detention centres 261–2
 - use of torture 237–44, 261–2
- weapons of mass destruction (WMDs)
 - Bush’s challenge to Security Council 316
 - potential access to 308
 - supposed threat of 290–1, 342
- Wenger, Etienne, ‘communities of practice’ theory 62–3
- Williams, Jodi, Nobel Peace Prize award to 59
- World Trade Organization (WTO), unequal participation 73–4
- Yoo, John, memoranda on legality of torture 238