

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70030-6 - Media Argumentation: Dialect, Persuasion, and Rhetoric

Douglas Walton

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page xi</i>
Introduction	1
1 Logic, Dialectic, and Rhetoric	7
1. <i>The Viewpoint of Informal Logic</i>	8
2. <i>The Old Dialectic of the Greeks</i>	11
3. <i>The Opposition between Rhetoric and Dialectic</i>	15
4. <i>Topics and Fallacies</i>	19
5. <i>Persuasion, Social Influence, and Democracy</i>	23
6. <i>Argumentation Schemes</i>	26
7. <i>Basic Practical Reasoning</i>	30
8. <i>Value-Based Practical Reasoning</i>	34
9. <i>The Star Trek Example</i>	37
10. <i>The Aims of Dialectical and Rhetorical Argumentation</i>	41
2 The Speech Act of Persuasion	46
1. <i>The Belief-Desire-Intention Approach and the Commitment Approach</i>	47
2. <i>Basic Components of Persuasion</i>	53
3. <i>Chaining of Argumentation</i>	56
4. <i>Types of Dialogue</i>	60
5. <i>Deliberation</i>	64
6. <i>Closing of the Deliberation Dialogue</i>	66
7. <i>Acts of Persuasion, Inducement, and Making a Threat</i>	69
8. <i>Negotiation Dialogue and Persuasion</i>	73
9. <i>Relevance and Argument Diagramming</i>	79
10. <i>The Cognitive Component of Persuasion</i>	84
11. <i>The New Definition of the Speech Act of Persuasion</i>	87

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70030-6 - Media Argumentation: Dialect, Persuasion, and Rhetoric

Douglas Walton

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

viii

Contents

3	Propaganda	91
	1. <i>Negative Connotations</i>	92
	2. <i>Public Discourse and Reason</i>	96
	3. <i>Appeal to the People Revisited</i>	99
	4. <i>The Dialectical Viewpoint on Propaganda</i>	104
	5. <i>Persuasion and Propaganda</i>	106
	6. <i>Characteristics of Propaganda</i>	109
	7. <i>Is Propaganda Necessarily Dishonest or Irrational?</i>	114
	8. <i>Openness to Contrary Evidence</i>	117
	9. <i>Deceptiveness and Relevance in Propaganda</i>	120
	10. <i>Evaluating Argumentation in Propaganda</i>	122
4	Appeals to Fear and Pity	127
	1. <i>Appeals to Fear and Pity in Mass Media</i>	128
	2. <i>Appeals to Fear</i>	131
	3. <i>Appeals to Pity</i>	134
	4. <i>The Respondent-to-Dialogue Problem</i>	138
	5. <i>Simulative Reasoning</i>	142
	6. <i>The Dual Process Model of Persuasion</i>	145
	7. <i>The Structure of Appeals to Fear</i>	147
	8. <i>The Structure of Appeals to Pity</i>	150
	9. <i>Multi-agent Structure of Both Types of Argument</i>	153
	10. <i>When Are Appeals to Fear and Pity Fallacious?</i>	156
5	<i>Ad Hominem</i> Arguments in Political Discourse	161
	1. <i>Classifying the Types of Ad Hominem Argument</i>	163
	2. <i>The Circumstantial and Other Types</i>	165
	3. <i>Argument from Commitment</i>	169
	4. <i>The Gore Case</i>	173
	5. <i>The Battalino Case</i>	177
	6. <i>Classifying the Argument in the Battalino Case</i>	180
	7. <i>Evaluating the Argument in the Battalino Case</i>	183
	8. <i>Implicature and Innuendo</i>	185
	9. <i>Evaluating the Argument in the Gore Case</i>	190
	10. <i>Evaluating the Arguments Rhetorically and Dialectically</i>	192
6	Arguments Based on Popular Opinion	198
	1. <i>Influencing the Mass Audience</i>	199
	2. <i>Appeal to Popular Opinion as an Argument</i>	202
	3. <i>Cases in Point</i>	204
	4. <i>The Form of the Argument</i>	207
	5. <i>Fallacious Appeals to Popular Opinion</i>	211
	6. <i>Endoxa in Greek Dialectic</i>	213
	7. <i>Public Opinion as Informed Deliberation</i>	215
	8. <i>A More Careful Basis for Evaluating Cases</i>	218

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-70030-6 - Media Argumentation: Dialect, Persuasion, and Rhetoric

Douglas Walton

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

<i>Contents</i>	ix
9. <i>Viewing the Public as an Agent</i>	222
10. <i>Evaluating Appeal to Popular Opinion</i>	224
7 Fallacies and Bias in Public Opinion Polling	228
1. <i>Definitions and Sampling Surveys</i>	229
2. <i>Question Wording and Emotive Bias in Polls</i>	235
3. <i>The Structure of the Question</i>	239
4. <i>Forcing an Answer</i>	244
5. <i>Use of Polls by Advocacy Groups</i>	249
6. <i>The Advent of Deliberative Polling</i>	254
7. <i>Argumentation Schemes and Critical Questions</i>	259
8. <i>Using Formal Dialectical Models of Argumentation</i>	263
9. <i>Combining Dialectical and Empirical Methods</i>	267
10. <i>Conclusion and Summary of Fallacies</i>	270
8 Persuasive Definitions and Public Policy Arguments	275
1. <i>Stevenson's Theory of Persuasive Definitions</i>	276
2. <i>Cases of Public Redefinitions</i>	281
3. <i>Wider Implications of These Cases</i>	288
4. <i>Definitions in the New Dialectic</i>	292
5. <i>Proof of Legitimacy of Persuasive Definitions</i>	297
6. <i>Argumentation Schemes Relating to Definitions</i>	300
7. <i>The Speech Act of Defining</i>	308
8. <i>Evaluating Persuasive Definitions</i>	310
9. <i>What Should the Rules for Persuasive Definitions Be?</i>	316
10. <i>Conclusions</i>	319
9 The Structure of Media Argumentation	323
1. <i>Rhetoric and Dialectic Reconfigured</i>	324
2. <i>The Respondent-to-Dialogue Problem Revisited</i>	327
3. <i>Direct and Indirect Media Argumentation</i>	330
4. <i>Star Trek: The Rhetorical Dimension</i>	334
5. <i>Argumentation Strategies</i>	338
6. <i>Plan Recognition</i>	342
7. <i>The Solution to the RTD Problem</i>	347
8. <i>Fifteen Basic Components of Media Argumentation</i>	350
9. <i>The Persuasion System</i>	353
10. <i>Computational Dialectics for Rhetorical Invention</i>	355
<i>Bibliography</i>	361
<i>Index</i>	373

Color plate section follows page 34.