

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	ix
1 <i>Preliminaries</i>	I
1.1 Embryo use	2
1.2 The biological context	4
1.3 Affected beings and utilitarianism	10
1.4 A computational Waterloo	17
2 <i>Epidosembryos</i>	21
2.1 The universe of concern	21
2.2 The set of eligible subjects	27
2.3 The argument from nonenablement	29
(a) Developmental potential and discretionary action	29
(b) Permissibility of declining intrauterine transfer	31
(c) Collective redistribution indefensible	43
(d) No possible person corresponds to an epidosembryo	44
(e) Epidosembryo personhood untenable	45
(f) Fulfilling the collective duty of beneficence	47
2.4 Other defenses of embryo use distinguished	50
2.5 Two subsets, one justification	53
2.6 Replies to objections relating to potential	54
2.7 In service of humanitarian ends	57
3 <i>Individuation</i>	59
3.1 The ontological challenge	59
3.2 Identity	62
3.3 Understanding individuation	63
3.4 Arguments against early embryonic individuality	68
(a) Demanding indivisibility	68
(b) Embryo splitting and personal identity	73
(c) Totipotency of blastomere components	76
3.5 Divisibility and personhood	77
3.6 Individuality further considered	81

vi	<i>Contents</i>	
	(a) Individuality within alternative ontologies	81
	(b) Categories and kinds of creatures	86
	(c) The embryo as organism	92
	(d) Other resources	96
3.7	Where matters remain	97
4	<i>Respect for specific life</i>	99
4.1	The species problem	100
4.2	Species as universals	102
4.3	Species as structures	104
4.4	Properties	113
	(a) Kripke's causal theory of reference	113
	(b) Putnam's theory of reference	118
	(c) Homeostatic property cluster natural kinds	120
	(d) Essentialism for species-corresponding kinds	122
4.5	Taxa	123
4.6	Bearers of morally crucial properties	126
4.7	Species partiality	130
4.8	Inferences within theistic ethics	136
5	<i>Consensus</i>	140
5.1	Public reason	141
5.2	Kantian morality	149
5.3	The Catholic magisterium	153
	(a) Two doctrines	153
	(b) The first nineteen centuries	155
	(c) Assertion of immediate animation	158
	(d) Prescinding from the timing of animation	159
5.4	Arguments concerning personhood	159
	(a) From genome to person	162
	(b) Lack of a nonarbitrary beginning	165
	(c) Possibility of a soul	167
5.5	Support for epidosembryo use	170
	(a) The social duties	170
	(b) Inferring divine will	172
	(c) Charity and assisted reproduction	173
	(d) Escape from a dilemma	176
	(e) Protecting a related stance	177
5.6	Recognizing common ground	179
6	<i>Clones</i>	181
6.1	Nonreprocloning	181
6.2	The teleological objection	184
6.3	Reprocloning	187
	(a) Hazards	188

<i>Contents</i>		vii
	(b) Nonsafety objections	191
	(c) Considering probable incidence	195
	(d) Assessing the objections	205
	(e) Prohibition and privacy	209
6.4	Strategic prohibition of nonreprocloning	211
7	<i>Analyzing alternatives</i>	214
7.1	Putative noncomplicity	214
7.2	Studying the developed human	217
7.3	Procuring pluripotent cells	221
7.4	Parthenotes	228
8	<i>Shaping norms</i>	231
8.1	Gifts and consents	231
8.2	Public support	234
8.3	Oocyte contributions	235
8.4	Ectogenesis	244
8.5	Hybrids and chimeras	248
8.6	Pleonexia and patents	252
	<i>Bibliography</i>	259
	<i>Index</i>	269