# Index

a priori power calculations 119 toxicity in rabbits 39 cancer in companion animals Abiocor 181 toxicity in rhesus monkeys 34 randomizing, preclinical accelerated titration design 77 advance purchase agreements ACTG 076 regimen 135 145, 147 evidence 119 action bias 186 with discriminatory pricing supporting evidence, for ADA-SCID 132, 162 147 gene transfer 111 ADA-SCID study (Milan adverse events see also preclinical group) 105, 132-3, 139, delayed, detection studies/evidence 140, 164, 188 mechanisms 64-5 anti-retrovirals attractiveness for gene long-term follow-up 59, 64-5 AZT, mother to child costs vs value 65 transfer 132 transmission prevention PEG-ADA availability and reporting 59 135, 139 costs 132 low rate, adenoviruses as discriminatory pricing results 132-3 vectors 38 see also OTCD 146 adeno-associated virus (AAV) study; X-SCID antisense agents 89 vectors 12, 37 advocacy organizations 45 arginase deficiency 8 age, exclusions from trials on in brains of non-terminal Ark Therapeutics 83 patients 110 basis of 91 aspirational benefits 74 GAD gene transfer in Aguia, Nelson 178 benefits *vs* risks 75 Parkinson's disease Aguirre, Gustavo 153 evaluation 75-6 Association of Health Care Alliance for Eye and Vision hemophilia study 92, 93, 163 Research 153 Journalists (AHCJ) immune response to 92, 93 American Cancer Society 94 insertion near actively American College of Physicians attrition, in drug development transcribed genes 163 94 preclinical and phase 1 study American Society of Gene augmerosen 89, 91 findings 92 Therapy 16, 18, 26, 37, 42 Australia 22 reference standard 63 recommendations for autopsies, information from response to in dogs vs. uncertainty reduction 66 62, 65, 95 humans 92 retroviral gene transfer safety Avigen 21, 133, 146 serotypes 12 AZT, mother to child toxicity 52 Anderson, W.F. 1, 24, 26, 112 transmission prevention first gene transfer trial, HTGS adenosine deaminase deficient 135, 139 SCID, study see ADAapproval 156-7, SCID study 161, 162 Baltimore, David 39 adenoviruses as vectors 11 OTCD study see OTCD study bargaining model, for pre-trial adverse event reporting, low angiogenesis factors, for cell agreements 138-9 therapy 181 rate 38 Batten disease 18 hepatic toxicity 39 angiogenesis inhibitors 160, 168 Bayh-Dole Act 24, 123 immune reactions to 32, 54 animal models/data 54, 64 BCL-289 OTC.019 (Gelsinger) study AAV-GAD gene transfer in Beck, Ulrich 179 31-2 see also OTCD Parkinson's disease 111, Belmont Report 134-5 study 126 benefit-risk ratio 58 reference standard 63 availability, scaling benefits, of phase 1 trials risk minimization strategies 57 of evidentiary see medical benefits for third generation 57, 122 requirements 115-6 volunteers toxicity in OTCD study bridging translational Bennett, Jean 153-4, 171 see OTCD study "best risk estimate" 55 distance and 121

### Index

bias 186 "collateral value" 93 therapeutic expectations action 186 commercial pressures 61 commercialization, of gene confirmation 186 regulatory model for drug optimism, translational trials 94 transfer 14, 17, 64 companion animals, cancer research and 123 targeted therapy 182 in preclinical studies, reduced testicular, imatinib mesylate intervention studies 121 by blinding 119-20 compassion for volunteers 72, 74 Cancer Therapy Evaluation publication 123 status quo 186 Program (CTEP) 77, 94 complexity of studies, biodistribution 13 Caplan, Arthur 32, 38 translational model of bioethics 20 Casarett, David 103 value 99 biomarkers 77 Cavazzana-Calvo, Marina 51, 60 confirmation bias 186 biotechnology, decline in cell suicide 89, 99 conflicts of interest, financial support for gene transfer see financial conflicts of cell transfer/transplantation, 14, 15 stem cells see stem cell interest Blaese, Michael 26, 156 transfer consequences of proposals 185 blinding, preclinical studies Cerezyme 104 continual reassessment method 119 - 20Children's Hospital of blindness, Leber's congenital Philadelphia study 92 Cooley, Denton 181 amaurosis preclinical China, gene transfer studies 23, Cooperative research and studies 153 134, 141 development agreement bone marrow mononuclear cell Cichon, Guenther 39 injections 178, 180 CIOMS, preclinical evidence coronary disease, cell therapy 178, 181 see also Perin, bone marrow transplantation 113 104, 165 Cline, Martin 1, 122, 134 Emerson brain clinical equipoise, concept 2, coronary syndromes, acute AAV vectors in Parkinson's 78-9, 91, 112, 128, 184 91 disease see Parkinson's advantages and problems 112 cosmetic use, of gene therapy 9 disease direct benefits of studies vs novel interventions for 182-3 risk 78-9 coagulant factor 140 clinical trials drugs for orphan disorders "bubble boy" disease phases 15 see X-SCID (X-linked volume decline 14, 15, 164, gene transfer products 104, 188\_9 166 see also phase 1 severe immune humanitarian model of value deficiency syndrome) trials; phase 2 trials; Bush, Vannevar 24, 95 phase 3 studies and 104 bystander effect 99 "close to the client" health limitation to translational systems 138 model of value 100 PEG-ADA 132 Canada 22, 25 coagulant factor 2, 92 criminal activities 1 Canavan's disease 73 costs 104, 140 cancer neutralizing antibodies to 57 Crystal, Ronald 1, 156 AAV vectors and 164 see also hemophilia and cystic fibrosis trial 158, 159, clinical equipoise of phase 1 gene transfer 161 culture of safety 61 studies 78-9 Coartem 146 Culver, Kenneth 133 dosing strategies and design coherence of arguments 185 of studies 77 cohort-specific consent 77 cystic fibrosis 13, 18 early-phase trials as medical collateral benefits 74 Crystal's trial and protocol opportunity 73 ethical medical practice vs approval 158-9, 162 translational distance 118, mortality, first gene transfer 75 - 6evaluation 75-6 trial approval and 156-7 psychological benefits 74, Trusts and Foundations for mouse xenograft model and 120 - 175, 76 18, 21 patients' views on risks compensated by 75 cytokine cascade 31 breakthroughs 168 problems/objections to dacarbazine 89 phase 1 trials 18 75 - 6

194



Index

DARPA model 101-2 cancer trials 77 European Society of Gene data outliers 123 escalation regimes 116 Therapy 16, 26 data safety monitoring boards functional vectors vs Evans, John 20 (DSMBs) 59, 60 incomplete vector Evans, Rhys 51 ex vivo delivery approach, gene databases particles 62 clinical trials 17 lack of standards 62-3 transfer 13, 14 gene transfer 14, 97 starting, calculation 56 expectation(s) incompletely analyzed data subtherapeutic 77, 83 as "network" phenomenon 156 and 63 dose-toxicity curves of public, management tissue samples 66 biphasic 32 see expectation preclinical studies 124 non-linear 31-2 management of gene deception of public 160 uncertainty and risk due transfer see also expectation to 55, 62 therapeutic, of phase 1 trials management of gene see phase 1 trials drugs, new transfer translational research 154-5 oncology, trials 3 Decker, Donavon 161 risky, regulatory evidence to expectation management of cell Declaration of Helsinki 90, 137 rebut 143 therapy 181 2000 Duchenne's muscular dystrophy expectation management of revisions 135 gene transfer 5, 153-7, 160 Defense Advanced Research During, Matthew 110 185, 187 Project Agency adverse (Hard Times), (DARPA) 101-2 management 155, early-phase trials design of study see study design 162 - 4inclusionism 72-3 direct benefits (clinical appraising 164-7 in LMICs see low-/middleimprovement), of trials denial and defensiveness income countries 74-5,83163 - 4(LMICs) evaluation 76-9 ethical consequences 169 as vehicle for care 73-4 argument from consistency examples of trials 162 see also phase 1 trials with policy 79 historical precedent 163, enhancement gene transfer 23 argument from data 76-7 164-6, 169 Entremed 160 argument from design 77-8 morale boosting 164 **Environmental Protection** argument from equipoise reinterpreting disruptions Agency (EPA) 57 78-9 163 enzyme replacement therapies X-SCID study 162 reforms to improve, 104 asymmetries of counterproductive 78 epistemic orientation, of trials risk-benefits 76 communication 169 90, 112 surrogate benefits, building expectations 155 equitable access licensing distinguishing 82-3 145, 146 complexity reduction, therapeutic vs nontherapeutic contingency 162 Erickson. Robert 32 procedures 23, 74, 76 consequences of Essential Medicines List (EML) disclosure policies 41 mismanagement 167-9 141 deaths in 1999 trial 41 false hopes 168 ethicists 19-21 discriminatory pricing 145, 146 invalid-informed consent ethics 19-20, 79 167 - 8advance purchase agreements oversight 21 trust undermining 168, 169 with 147 research see research ethics leakage, concern over 146 deficiencies in 166 social purpose 21 disease advocates 18, 45 environment for 162 uncertainty shaped by 20-1 researcher relationship, ethical consequences 154-5 ethics committees 100, 102 ethical/optimal management expectation ethics review bodies, caution 169-70, 185 management 170 over value disease foundations 18 benefits 169 assessments 106 dogs, cancer rates, intervention concerns about Europe, Road Map to 2010 25 studies 121 instrumentalizing European Organization for Research and Treatment 169 - 70lack of 169 accelerated titration design 77 of Cancer 4



### Index

expectation management of gene transfer (Cont.) recommendations 170-1 Great Expectations (research promise projection) 155-8, 179 Anderson and first gene transfer trial 156-7, 161 appraising 159-2 countering by researchers Crystal's cystic fibrosis trial 158-9, 162 ethical consequences 168-9 researchers responsible for 156 Wilson and hypercholesterolemia trial 157-8, 160, 161 medical sensationalism 160 overselling (hype) 155, 156 consequences 168-9 as problem of information/ truthful disclosures 159 epistemological issue/no standards 160-1 by researchers 154 theatrical and nonverbal elements 154, 161 recommendations 171 transparency/equilibrium 170 truthful disclosures interpretation 160 experimental animals see animal models/data exploratory trials 116 translational model of value 95 external validity, translational distance and 120-1, 125 AAV-GAD protocol 126

Fair Benefits 137, 138–9
host communities free to
negotiate 138
"how much", not "what" 139
training/knowledge benefits
139 see also responsiveness, to host communities
false hopes 168
familial hypercholesterolemia
trial (Wilson's) 157–8,
160, 161

publication 162 feasibility of policies 185 fialuridine 32 financial conflicts of interest 123, 124 OTCD study 35-6, 39 Fischer, Alain 51 Fletcher, Joseph 112 Folkman, Judah 160 Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 4, 56 accelerated approval process 73 adverse event reporting 59, 64 long-term follow-up costs Critical Path initiative 25, 94 definition of phase 1 study 92 OTCD study 37-8 phase 1 study application review 79 pipeline problem 94 regulation of ooplasmic transfer studies 188 standards for supporting evidence 113 uncertainty reduction strategy, monitoring of volunteers 59 Foundation Fighting Blindness 153, 171 Foundation for Retina Research "407" studies 187 Freedman, Benjamin 112 French Anderson, W. see Anderson, W.F. Friedmann, Theodore 111, 125 funding 24 difficulties, studies 16 sources 17 see also costs financial conflicts of interest

Gaucher's disease 104, 140
Gelsinger, Jesse 31, 32,
33, 36, 38, 55, 163
see also OTCD study
Gelsinger, Paul 32, 35, 36
Gendicine 1, 141
gene, definition 10
gene addition 13
gene marking 9
gene replacement 13
gene therapy 1, 9

Gene Therapy Advisory Committee (UK) 64 gene therapy policy conferences 59 gene transfer 8-30 actors involved 15-21, 27 disease advocates 18 institutions 17 patients 18 regulators and ethicists 19-21 scientists 16-17 sponsors 17 aims (therapeutic vs enhancement) 3-4, 23 background to 8 biological response, and failure 99-100 condemnation of 156 continuity with clinical medicine 2 definition 9 deficiencies/complications of 9 - 10delivery approaches 13 ex vivo 13, 14 in vivo 13 as distinct field 16 as a drug?, differences 13 - 14as elastic evolving field 25 ethical problems of translational research 4 ethics, agenda 187-9 genetic material 13 geography 22-3 indications/diseases included 23 - 4mixtures of genes 13, 62, 104 as model for translational research 4-5 nanotechnology with 183 new phenomena and opportunities 27 oversight/regulation see regulations for gene transfer pricing issues 104-5 process 14-23 product 9-14 program 23-5 research status and design faults, NIH panel 92-3



Index

glioblastoma multiforme 72, 83 resource-poor settings hepatitis A vaccine 137 see low-middle-income Gliven International Patient High, Katherine 163 countries (LMICs) Assistance Program high-pay-off trials 101 stem cell transfer comparison (GIPAP) 145, 146 "high-reliability organizations" 180 - 3glutamic acid decarboxylase 60 (GAD), gene transfer 110 HIV 12, 54 strategies 11 targets 10 Goffman, Erving 154 mother to child transmission, foreign organisms 10 growth hormone 53 AZT study 135, 139 germ cells 10 vaccines 133 somatic cells 10 HIV activism 73 "halfway technology" 162 timeline 26 Harkin, Senator 72 human embryonic stem cells vehicles and vectors 10-12, Havrix 137 (hES) 180 179 health insurance 79 Human Gene Therapy mode of action 53 Subcommittee (HGTS) Healy, Bernadine 72 nonviral 11 156-7 heart disease, cell therapy regulated as biologics 21 178, 181 see also Perin, humanitarian model of value risk minimization 103-5, 184Emerson strategies 57 hemophilia and gene transfer applying 105-6 viral see viral vectors 2-4, 13, 23 axes for assessing 103, 105 see also gene transfer severity of unmet health AAV vector trial 92, 93, 163 trials animal models 115 need 103, 105 generic biologicals 20, 89 target population 103, Children's Hospital of gene transfer trials Philadelphia study 92, 93 104 benefit-risk ratio 58 temporal 103, 104 correspondence between decline in trial volume 165, preclinical/clinical threshold for 105 166 studies 122 complexity of extending to first trial 156-7 costs of clotting factors 104, translational trials 105 risk in vs drug trial risks 52 140 cost and access issues 104, second trial (familial 105, 188-9 Essential Medicines List 141 hypercholesterolemia) gene transfer studies 104-5 as gene transfer model benefits/advantages 2 translational model vs 103, 157 - 8stringent standard of value limitations 2 105 see also social value and 58 Genzyme's pharmacothird trial (cystic fibrosis) philanthropy program IL-10 (interleukin-10) 53 158-9 see also OTCD imatinib mesylate (Gleevec) 74, study; issues to consider for trials 76,77 Parkinson's disease; GIPAP 145, 146 X-SCID appropriate study immune-based toxicity 54-5 generic biologicals 20, 89 population 3 immune response genetic enhancement 3-4, 23 to AAV vectors 92, 93 evaluation of validity/value ethics 188 of trial 3 to adenovirus vectors 32, 54 Genetic Technologies Inc. 24 initiation of testing, timing OTCD study 31-2, 62 genetically modified viruses 2 - 3immune response modulation, immune response risk evaluation 3 genetically modified modulation 9 risk evaluation (risks to viruses 9 see also viral vectors others) 4 immunological status, Genasense® studies 100 risks classification (to measurement, Genzyme Corp. 133, 146 patients) 3-4 standards 63 geography, of gene transfer selection of patients 3, 57 inclusionism, early-phase trials 22-3 in LMIC 133, 142 72 - 3germ cells, as gene transfer patient selection, risk indeterminacy 6 target 10, 187, 188 minimization by 57 informed consent 80-3 Gleevec see imatinib mesylate scientists involved 16 asking patients on (Gleevec) translational distance 118 motivations 83



### Index

informed consent (Cont.) decisions shaped by expectations 155 invalid, consequence of expectation mismanagement 168 problems in OTCD study 36 - 7telling patients clinical benefits unlikely 82 translational model of value and 95 validity, patient motivations for phase 1 trials 80 initiation of human trials 2-3, 5, 110-31 cell therapy trials 181 clinical equipoise see clinical equipoise, concept criteria, for GAD gene transfer 110 ethical justification of preclinical evidence 113-15 evidentiary standards diminishing in terminal illness 117 novel agent trials 117-18 scaling by animal model availability 115-16 scaling by illness severity 117 scaling by risk 116-17, 127 formalized criteria 112-13 GAD gene transfer in Parkinson's disease 110 - 13translational distance analysis 124-7 hunches and guesses inadequate 114, 115 procedural approach 113 shortcomings 113 risk-benefits 112 small-scale "exploratory" studies 116 strong supporting evidence for valuable trials 114 - 15supporting evidence, threshold 118 translational distance see translational distance

innate immunity, dose-toxicity and adenovirus vectors 31 - 2innovations funding for 24 translational model of value, support for 95 see also novel interventions insertional mutagenesis 51 Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC) 22 Institutional Review Board (IRB) 21, 44, 187 collateral benefits vs risks of trials 75 costs and access judgements 105 humanitarian model of value and 106 OTCD study 37-8 review of one trial protocol at once 102 intellectual property licensing 146 - 7"intention to treat" 91 internal consistency 185 internal validity, translational distance and 119-20, 125 AAV-GAD protocol 126 International Council on Harmonization 21 Ioannidis, John 127 Isner, Jeffrey 1 "iterative value" 93

Jewish Chronic Disease
Hospital 137–8
Jonas, Hans 75
Jones, Stormie 157
journals see publications, on
gene transfer
justice and translational trials 5,
134–6, 148

Kahneman, Daniel 186 Kaplitt, Michael 110, 124, 167 Kay, Mark 163 Kelley, William 34, 36

Lancelot (dog) 153, 154, 171 Laski, Harold 161

law of small numbers 186 leakage, discriminatory pricing concern 146 Leber's congenital amaurosis (LCA) 153 human studies 171 preclinical studies 153, 171 see also Lancelot (dog) Lenfant, Claude 158 lentiviruses as vectors 12 licenses 104 London, Alex 138 low-/middle-income countries (LMICs) 132 - 52ADA-SCID trial 132-3 avoidable mortality 142 cell therapy trials 182 deployment of interventions 144 factors motivating earlyphase trials 133-4, 142-3 expedience 133, 142, 143 fortuity 133, 142 operational advantages 133 - 4prevalence of diseases 133 reasons for subject recruitment 133-4 ultra-rarity of diseases 133, gene transfer agent benefits for 140-1 health care budgets 140-1 health needs as primary goal of studies 148 home-grown gene transfer programs 147-8 impediments to using gene interventions 141 justice and translational trials 134 - 6medical skills/facilities limiting interventions motivations for recruitment from 142-3 nonresponsive, studies being 147 post-trial access 137 responsiveness seeresponsiveness, to host communities

Index

lymphoproliferative disorders, in X-SCID trial see X-SCID Max Foundation 146 McIvor, Scott 156 MD Anderson Cancer Center measurement criteria, for proposals 185 media negative coverage of gene transfer studies 166-7 research publicity 154 Wilson's familial hypercholesterolemia trial 162 see also expectation management of gene transfer medical benefits (of trials) for volunteers 5, 72–88 analysis and types 74-5 aspirational (scientific/ medical) benefits see aspirational benefits collateral (medical/nonmedical) benefits see collateral benefits direct benefits (clinical improvement) see direct benefits (clinical improvement) justification of risk 79-80 phase 1 trials as medical opportunity 72–4 phase 1 trials as therapeutic see phase 1 trials recommendations for assessment/disclosure 82 therapeutic ambiguity, motivation and informed consent 80-3 Medical Research Council of India 159 Medicare, U.S. 79 melanoma, augmerosen study 89,91 meta-analyses 66, 77 planning for 66 Milan ADA-SCID study

urgency of rare diseases 141

milestone payments 123 Milstein, Alan 36 Milto, P. and Milto, T. 18 Minimal Anticipated Biological Effect Level (MABEL) 57 missing data 123, 124 mitochondria 10 modest translational distance, principle 5, 118, 127, 128 AAV-GAD protocol 126 components and fulfilling 119 if animal models not available 121 see also translational distance Mohr, Jolee 37 monitoring of volunteers 66 FDA and sponsors 59 risk and uncertainty minimization strategy Moorfields Eye Hospital 171 morality, research in LMICs 134 Motulsky, Arno 27, 92, 94, 115, 121, 163 mouse xenograft model 120 - 1mucopolysaccharidosis type VII 133 Mulligan, Richard 156 muscular dystrophy 13, 160, Muscular Dystrophy Association 161 nanomaterials 183 nanotechnology 183 National Bioethics Advisory

nanomaterials 183
nanotechnology 183
National Bioethics Advisory
Committee 139
National Commission, Belmont
Report 134–5
National Gene Vector
Laboratories (NGVL)
59, 63, 66
National Hemophilia
Foundation 21, 45
National Institute of Cancer
(NCI), funding 17
National Institutes of Health
(NIH) 4
budget and funding 24
database of gene transfer
trials 97

funding from, for gene transfer 17 gene transfer, telling patients clinical benefits unlikely missed opportunities on vector safety 62 Pioneer Award 101 recommendations for uncertainty reduction 66 Roadmap 4, 25, 101 uncertainty minimization 59 National Urea Cycles Disorder Foundation 33, 45 "negative results", of studies 99, 114, 115, 118, 183 The Netherlands 22 Nelkin, Dorothy 161 no adverse effect level (NOAEL) 56 non-novel agents, novel interventions vs, translational model of value 95-6, 113-14 non-novel interventions 80 phase 1 testing 79, 113-14 normalization of deviance 41 normalization of risks 41-2 Novartis Coartem 146 GIPAP 145, 146 novel interventions 79, 80, 113-14, 179 for brain 182-3 citations of phase 1 studies and 96 non-novel interventions vs 80, 95-6, 113-14 translational model of value and 95-6, 99, 113-14 value of information from studies on 96 Nuremberg Code 90, 103, 113 Ohio State University, muscular

161-2
ooplasmic transfer 188
opportunity cost, stringent
value standard 58
organization, of uncertainty/
risk see uncertainty, and
risk in gene transfer

dystrophy study

see ADA-SCID study



### Index

"organizational learning" 61, 62 GAD gene transfer 110, 162, as medical opportunity for impediments 62-3, 64 seriously ill patients Orkin, Stuart 27, 92, 94, 115, acute vs chronic animal 72 - 4121, 163 meta-analysis planning 66 model 126 ornithine transcarbamylase novel vs non-novel adverse events in preclinical deficiency (OTCD) testing 124-5 interventions 79, 113-14 see OTCD study analysis 124-7 patient motivations for orphan drugs 104, 133, 140, correspondence of entering 80, 102 asking, for informed 188 - 9preclinical/clinical OTC.01931 consent 83 studies 126 OTCD study 14, 31 credibility of data 126 for care 80 death of patient (Gelsinger) criticisms 111, 126-7 progressive/sequential 14, 31, 55, 62, 163 critics vs researchers 111 orientation 94 purpose 5, 89-109 lawsuit 32 external validity 126 efficacy assessment 89 see also Gelsinger, Jesse preclinical studies 126 phase 2 trial planning risk/safety assessment rescue strategy 111 acceptable risk, definition 89 - 90,94risks (administration/ for 42-5 agent) 124-5 social value see social adenoviral vectors and value; value, of phase 1 support for 110 immune response 31-2, trial translational distance 124 toxicity assessment 89 primate model 111, 126 deviance toleration, risk recommendations for patents 123 normalization 41 patient advocates 44 assessment/disclosure of financial conflict of interest benefit 82 patients 18 35-6 as "research procedure" 79, medically stable, in OTCD informed consent 184 study 32-3 problems 36-7 motivation for trial see phase success rates for advancing to medically stable volunteer 1 trials see also subject phase 296, 97 enrolled 32, 42 therapeutic expectations selection; see volunteers nonlinear dose-toxicity 80 - 1,83pediatric trials 187 curves 31-2 belief statements 80 peer review, of press releases normalizing risk 41–2 170 dampening by researchers personal ambition and PEG-ADA 132 81,82 misconduct 34-5 Perin, Emerson 178, 180, 181, frequency statements 80, protocol and dose-toxicity curves 32 pharmaco-philanthropy moral significance 81 protocol violations 33-4 program 145-6 patient's values/preferences risk classification challenge pharmacovigilance 66 affecting 81-2 Phase 0 studies 25 therapeutic justification 79 self-regulation lapse 38-9 phase 1 trials 3, 14, 79 ethical significance 80-1 system/regulatory failures cancer trials 18 by patients 80 37 - 8care vs research demarcation therapeutic misconception safety data integration across 79, 81, 184 reduction 81, 82 multiple trials after 62 definition, FDA 92 asking patients on overselling, of technology 155, direct benefits, policy and 79 motivation 83 see also direct benefits clinical benefits unlikely, 156 see also expectation management of gene (clinical informing patients transfer improvement) oversight committees 42 heterogeneity of design 79 clinical vs surrogate oversight/regulation 19, 21-2 inclusionism 72-3 benefits 83 see also regulations for initiation see initiation of practices interfering with gene transfer human trials therapeutic objective 83

lag between drug licensing

and 104

200

Parkinson's disease 53, 187

therapeutic objectives 77

practices interfering 83

Index

value of see value, of phase 1 trial as vehicle for care 73-4 see also early-phase trials phase 2 trials 3, 14 planning, phase 1 trial role 89-90,94 success rate of phase 1 tests advancing to 96, 97 phase 3 studies 90, 105, 179 Piantadosi, Steven 94, 98 pipeline problem 94 population (for study) 3 hemophilia and gene transfer humanitarian model of value 103, 104 "positive result" 114 positron emission tomography (PET) 75 post-trial access 137, 184 - 5pox viruses, as vectors 12 preclinical studies/evidence AAV-GAD protocol 126 bias minimization 119-20 blindness, dogs 153, 171 see also Lancelot (dog) correspondence with clinical studies 122 databases 124 death as endpoint 120 details of limitations/ alternative explanations ethical justification for trial initiation 113-15 evaluation 127 expectations based on 155 GAD gene transfer in Parkinson's disease 111 hypothesis supported when alternative explanations refuted 114 methodological deficiencies 120 publication of 124 randomized animals 119 toxicological studies, publication 64 for translational trials 98 see also animal models/

press conference, familial hypercholesterolemia trial (Wilson's) 157 press releases, peer review 171 pricing, gene transfer 104-5 primates, Parkinson's disease model 111, 126 private foundations 18 private sector, funding by 17 professional misconduct 34-5 Project Hope 146 "proof of principle" studies 118 proposals in book, agenda 185 protocols for studies centralized and transparent review 187 regulation/oversight 21 uncertainty reduction recommendations 64-5 violation in OTCD study (Gelsinger) 33-4 see also individual trials psychological benefits, of early phase trials 74, 75, 76 publication bias 123 publications, on gene transfer 14, 16 lymphoproliferative disorder 60 nonpublication issues 77, 123 organizational learning reduction 64 rates for phase 1 studies 97 preclinical data 124 preclinical toxicological studies 64 recommendations for uncertainty reduction 67 publicity, for research 154 "quality of life" protocol 23

Raper, Steven 31, 32
"reciprocal value" 93
Recombinant Advisory
Committee (RAC) 18,
22, 38
cystic fibrosis trial approval
(Crystal's) 158
familial hypercholesterolemia
trial approval 157–8
first gene transfer trial
(Anderson) approval
156–7

first-in-human trial approval pathway 20 GAD gene transfer in Parkinson's disease 110 information available publicly 22 OTCD study 32, 37-8 risk classification, technical terms 40 stable volunteers in studies 33 uncertainty minimization 59 waiving of normal review for glioblastoma agent 72,83 recommendations in book 184 regulations for cell therapy 182 regulations for gene transfer 19-20, 21-2, 188 centralized review 22 failure, in OTCD study 37 - 8inclusionism, for early phase trials 73 Institutional Biosafety Committee 22 IRB see Institutional Review Board (IRB) recommendations 42 outside experts 42 violations 1 regulators 19-21 regulatory model, value, of phase 1 trial 91-2, 94 research ethics 90-1 agenda for 186-7 principles 134 social value of study 90 transgenerational 187 research ethics committee 21 research policy, orientation and shift 24-5 responsiveness, to host communities 137-9, 148 biological criteria for measuring, criticisms 137 conditions required 144 local utility of knowledge 138, 144 urgency 138, 140, 141, 144 definition 137, 143 Fair Benefits see Fair Benefits policies for achieving 145 - 7

data



### Index

responsiveness, to host communities (Cont.) advance purchase agreements 145, 147 discriminatory pricing 145, 146, 147 intellectual property licensing 145, 146-7 pharmaco-philanthropy program 145-6 presumption in gene transfer studies 139-43 autonomy argument 140, polyvalence argument 140, properties argument 140 trickle-down argument 140, 142 reconciling early-phase gene transfer trials with 143-4 evidence against presumption on responsiveness 143-4 intervention able to be deployed 144 responsiveness, to host social/political and economic conditions 138 ultra-rare diseases 143 retinoblastoma 13, 23 retroviruses as vectors 11-12 integration near LMO2 51, 54 review of data on safety 59 self-inactivating, risk minimization 57 X-SCID, lymphoproliferative disease and 51 rheumatoid arthritis 37 risk(s) AAV-GAD protocol 124-5 acceptable, defining 42-5 analogical strategy 43-4 OTCD study and 42-5 procedural strategy 44, 45 scientific information for 43 threshold 43 "best risk estimate" 55 classification, challenge of 39 - 41hemophilia and gene transfer studies 3-4 OTCD study 39-41 recommendations 40-1

risk in technical terms 40 sociology of risk 40, 41, 42 compensated by collateral benefits 75 concept, product formula and 127 confidence levels encompassed in 56 estimation in clinical trials, uncertainty and 55-7 evaluation 3, 128 effect on study design 3 hemophilia and gene transfer studies 3 risks to others 4 risks to volunteers 3-4 see also risk assessment evidentiary standards for trial initiation 116-17, 127 as forensic resource 31 gene transfer vs new drugs 52 justification, for translational trials 79-80 management see risk management minimization strategies 57-8, 59-60 modeling/predicting 60 non-linear dose-toxicity curves 31-2 normalizing 41-2 OTCD study see OTCD study scientific benefits vs medical risk 42 therapeutic vs nontherapeutic procedures 3-4, 23, 76 translational model of value and 99 translational trial practices 46 uncertainty and see uncertainty, and risk in gene transfer "upper bound" estimate 56-7, 58, 113-14 risk assessment 3 group participation in 44-5 hemophilia and gene transfer trials 3 recommendations 40-1 risks to others 4 risks to volunteers 3-4 study design affected by 3 see also risk(s), acceptable risk management 67, 183

RNA interference 10 Rogers, Stanfield 8 Rosenberg, Steven 26, 156, 161 Royston, Ivor 72, 83 safety in translational trials 31 preclinical toxicological studies publication 64 prioritization 61 purpose of phase 1 trials and 89 redundancy in systems 61 safety symposia 59 San Diego Cancer Center 72, 83 Sawyer, Diane 154, 167, 171 Scheie Eye Institute 153, 171 scientific information, for acceptable risk definition 43 selection of patients see subject selection self-criticism by researchers 170 self-regulation, lapse, in OTCD study 38-9 semen, gene transfer vector sequences 4 sensationalism, medical 160 serendipitous discoveries 101 severity of illness, scaling of evidentiary standards for trial initiation 117 Shope papilloma virus 8 Small Business Patent Procedures Act 24 social value, phase 1 trial 89-90, 103, 184 see also humanitarian model of value societal value, of research 134, 184 society, technology outstripping policies 189 sociology/social nature of risk 40, 41, 42 minimization, "highreliability organizations" somatic cell nuclear transfer 9, 180 somatic cells, as gene transfer target 2, 10, 23, 27 South Africa guidelines for trials 137

challenges 179

Index

stavudine licensing 147 cautiousness, risk recommendations 123-4 species specificity 54 minimization by 57 bridging, models and trial sponsors, of research 17 cell therapy trials 181 designs 121 stable volunteers 32-3, 42 hemophilia trials 3, 57 confidence in predicting STAIR I 127 medically stable volunteers clinical outcome from standards laboratory observations 32, 42 evidentiary, trial initiation oncology model 32 122 see initiation of human Sugarman, Jeremy 112 correspondence between trials suicide genes 13 preclinical/clinical supporting evidence, for gene immunological status studies 122, 125, 126 measurement 63 transfer 111 criticisms 118-19, 127 lack of, for viral vectors and stringency relationship with retarding medical dose 62-3 risk 111 innovation 127 reference, viral vectors 63 surrogate benefits of studies external validity and 120-1, role in organizing uncertainty 82 - 3125, 126 61 - 3internal validity and 119-20, stringent value 58 targeted cancer therapy 182 125, 126 for study design, need for 63 technological optimism, culture modest see modest for supporting evidence, FDA translational distance, of 73 principle "technological sublime" 73 status quo bias 186 Terheggen, H.G. 8 principle 117-19, 122, 127 stavudine 147 terminal illness, evidentiary purpose of concept 118 stem cell transfer 178 standards for trial source and control measures gene transfer comparisons initiation 117 125 180 - 3translational model of value Texas Heart Institute 178, 181 initiation of trials 181 TGN1412 study 57, 67 92 - 4in LMICs 182 β-thalassemia 122, 134 accrual of value by Perin's study see Perin, therapeutic gene transfer translational trials Emerson 95 - 6studies 23 regulations and policy 182 applying 98-100 vs nontherapeutic procedures arguments/evidence scientific uncertainty 180 3-4, 23, 76subject selection 181 tiered-pricing supporting 94-7 characteristics 98-100 technical complexity 180-1 see discriminatory contingency plan 98-9 Stokes, Donald 95 pricing explicit description 98 Straus, Stephen 32 tissue accessibility, phase 1 study design flexible intervention 98 testing selection 100, 102 direct benefits vs risk of earlytissue specimen archiving 63, 66 measurement of target phase trials 77-8 Trade-Related Intellectual effects 98 for efficacy vs risk 62 solid preclinical evidence Property Rights (TRIPS) base 98 funding difficulties and scientists involved 16 transgene 11, 54, 112 stringency (risk/ group participation in 44-5 transgenerational research complexity) affecting heterogeneity, phase 1 trials ethics 187 99-100 circularity between clinic and translational distance 117-19, need for standards/ laboratory 94 128, 184, 186 uniformity 63 consent and 95 AAV-GAD protocol 124-7 organizing uncertainty 61-3 appraisal of credibility of definition 93 pragmatic, drug trials 91 information for 122-4, discovery and innovation 95 diseases with tissue risk and uncertainty accessibility 100, 102 minimization strategy AAV-GAD protocol 126 failure to produce biological bias and financial interests standardizing, for metaaffecting 123 response and 99-100 analyses 66 policies enhancing humanitarian model vs 103, 105 subject selection 3 123 - 4



## Index

translational model of value (Cont.) iterative, reciprocal and lateral value 93, 96, 97 novel interventions 95-6, 99 non-novel agents vs 95-6, 113 - 14objections to 100-3 costs 100 ethical limitations 102-3 high-pay-off research excluded 101 limiting opportunities for discoveries 101-2 physical limitations 102 practical limitations 100-1 optimal vs obligatory 100 regulatory model relationship stringent assessment of risk/ benefit 95 translational oncology, methodological rigor, studies 120 translational research 24, 25 agenda setting 187 benefit/risk assessment of diseases 3 considerations for applying/ reviewing 106 criteria for initiation see initiation of human trials decline in trial volume 165, 166 difficulties 1-2 discontinuities with nonclinical research 5 ethical problems, gene transfer model 4 gene transfer as model 4-5 humanitarian model of value applied to 105 justice/fairness see justice and translational trials management refinements needed 42 optimism bias affecting 123 organizations 4 outcomes 114 pace 189 practical significance of 105-6 results/performance and expectations 154-5

reward cultures of medicine vs science 16 "trial effects" 74 trophic factors 182-3 Tversky, Amos 186 UK 22 UK, translational clinical research centres 4 uncertainty, and risk in cell transfer studies 180, 189 uncertainty, and risk in gene transfer 51-1, 105, 112, 117, 178-80 agenda for 186 animal data and 56 causes 179, 180, 184 complex composition (of agents) 54, 179 gene transfer agent mode of action 53 immune-based toxicity 54-5 long-term impacts 54 nonlinear dose-response relationships 55 passive vs active compositions 53 species specificity 54 as "companion to opportunity" 179 confidence included with risk 56 ethical frameworks 183-5 implications of increased uncertainty 55 organizing 58-61, 67 design features 61-3 "organizational learning" 61,62 policies/practices to reduce 59-60 shortcomings in 59 standards role 61-3 see also OTCD study, risk/safety assessment of principles, risk justification 57 - 8product formula and trial comparisons 56 protective role of 186 recommendations for "taming" 64–7,

179 - 80

across life cycle of protocols (vertical reforms) 64-5 across multiple protocols (horizontal reforms) 66 - 7implementation responsibility 66-7 response to 55, 56, 57-8 risk estimation in clinical trials 55-7 strategic mobilization 179 - 80stringent standard of value and 58 "upper bound" estimate 56-7, 58, 113-14 University of Pennsylvania 17, 31 familial hypercholesterolemia trial (Wilson's) 157 OTCD study see OTCD study preclinical studies of Leber's congenital amaurosis University of Rochester 38 "upper bound" estimate 56-7, 58, 113-14 vaccine development 148 vaccine manufacturers, discriminatory pricing vaccinia virus, as vector 12 validity 5, 3, 90 hemophilia and gene transfer 3 internal/external, translational distance see translational distance patient motivations for phase 1 trials, informed consent 80 see also value, of phase 1 trial value, of phase 1 trial 5, 3, 90, 93, 183 definition and assessment 90 - 1, 91ethics review bodies' caution over 106 humanitarian model see humanitarian model

of value



Index

progressive notion, vs translational model 96 regulatory model 91-2, 94 translational model relationship 95 in scientific literature 90 social see social value translational model see translational model of value Varmus, Harold 22, 27, 92 venture capital 61 Verma, Inder 39 victimhood, adverse expectations and viral vectors 11-12, 53 lack of standard for dose/ potency 62-3 recombination 53 reference standard 63 risk minimization strategies see also individual viruses

in vivo and ex vivo delivery
approach, gene transfer
in 13
volunteers
compassion for 72, 83
monitoring see monitoring
of volunteers
phase 1 trials as medical
opportunity 72–4
trials not optimized for safety
67 see also patients

The Washington Post, reports
157, 171
website 192
Weissmann, Irving 181
Wiley database, gene transfer
trials 97
Wilson, James 1, 31, 32
familial hypercholesterolemia
trial 157–8, 159, 161, 162
financial conflict of interest
35–6
OTCD study (Gelsinger)
see OTCD study

personal ambition and misconduct 34–5 workshops, uncertainty/risk minimization 59–60 World Health Organization (WHO) 141 Worton, Ron 160 Wynne, Brian 40

X-SCID (X-linked severe immune deficiency syndrome) 1, 52
Evans, Rhys 51
gene transfer risk (vs drugs)
52
lymphoproliferative disorders
5, 51, 54, 163
insertional mutagenesis
role 51–2
reporting and monitoring 60
regulation and 188
xenotransplantation 182

Yale University, stavudine 147