

The Cambridge Handbook of Personality Psychology

Personality psychology is a rapidly maturing science making important advances on both conceptual and methodological fronts. *The Cambridge Handbook of Personality Psychology* offers a one-stop source for the most up-to-date scientific personality psychology. It provides a summary of cutting-edge personality research in all its forms, from DNA to political influences on its development, expression, pathology and applications. The chapters are informative, lively, stimulating and, sometimes, controversial and the team of international authors, led by two esteemed editors, ensures a truly wide range of theoretical perspectives. Each research area is discussed in terms of scientific foundations, main theories and findings, and future directions for research. With useful descriptions of technological approaches (for example, molecular genetics and functional neuroimaging) the *Handbook* is an invaluable aid to understanding the central role played by personality in psychology and will appeal to students of occupational, health, clinical, cognitive and forensic psychology.

PHILIP J. CORR is Professor of Psychology at the University of East Anglia.

GERALD MATTHEWS is Professor of Psychology at the University of Cincinnati.



The Cambridge Handbook of Personality Psychology

Edited by
Philip J. Corr
and
Gerald Matthews





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521680516

© Cambridge University Press 2009

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2009

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

The Cambridge handbook of personality psychology / edited by Philip J. Corr and Gerald Matthews.

p. cm.

ISBN 978-0-521-86218-9 (hdbk : alk. paper) — ISBN 978-0-521-68051-6 (pbk : alk. paper)

1. Personality. I. Corr, Philip J. II. Matthews, Gerald. III. Title.

BF698.C155 2009

155.2-dc22

2009019281

ISBN 978-0-521-86218-9 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-68051-6 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables	xiii
List of Contributors	XV
List of Abbreviations	xviii
Preface	xxi
Editors' general introduction	xxii
Editors' introduction to Parts I to VIII	xliii
Part I. Foundation Issues	1
1. Conceptual issues in personality theory	2
SUSAN CLONINGER	3
2. Personality psychology of situations	
SETH A. WAGERMAN AND DAVID C. FUNDER	27
3. Personality: traits and situations	
JENS B. ASENDORPF	43
4. Personality and emotion	
RAINER REISENZEIN AND HANNELORE WEBER	54
The characterization of persons: some fundamental conceptual issues	
JAMES T. LAMIELL	72
Part II. Personality Description and Measurement	87
6. The trait approach to personality	
IAN J. DEARY	89
7. Methods of personality assessment	
GREGORY J. BOYLE AND EDWARD HELMES	110
8. Structural models of personality	
BOELE DE RAAD	127
	-,

 \mathbf{v}

page ix



Contents		
9.	The Five-Factor Model of personality traits: consensus and controversy	148
	ROBERT R. McCRAE	148
10.	PHILLIP L. ACKERMAN	162
Part III. D	evelopment, Health and Personality Change	175
11.	Childhood temperament MARY K. ROTHBART, BRAD E. SHEESE AND ELISABETH D. CONRADT	177
12.	The development of personality across the lifespan M. BRENT DONNELLAN AND RICHARD W. ROBINS	191
13	Models of personality and health MARKO ELOVAINIO AND MIKA KIVIMÄKI	205
14.	Attachment theory: I. Motivational, individual-differences and structural aspects PHILLIP R. SHAVER AND MARIO MIKULINCER	228
15.	Attachment theory: II. Developmental, psychodynamic and optimal-functioning aspects MARIO MIKULINCER AND PHILLIP R. SHAVER	247
Part IV. B	iological Perspectives	263
16.	Evolutionary theories of personality AURELIO JOSÉ FIGUEREDO, PAUL GLADDEN, GENEVA VÁSQUEZ, PEDRO SOFIO ABRIL WOLF AND DANIEL NELSON JONES	265
17.	Animal models of personality and cross-species comparisons SAMUEL D. GOSLING AND B. AUSTIN HARLEY	275
18.	Behavioural genetics: from variance to DNA MARCUS R. MUNAFÒ	287
19.	Neuroimaging of personality TURHAN CANLI	305
20.	Personality neuroscience: explaining individual differences in affect, behaviour and cognition COLIN G. DEYOUNG AND JEREMY R. GRAY	323



	Contents	vii
21. The Reinforcement Sensitivity Theory of Personality PHILIP J. CORR	347	
Part V. Cognitive Perspectives	377	
22. Semantic and linguistic aspects of personality GERARD SAUCIER	379	
23. Personality and performance: cognitive processes and models GERALD MATTHEWS	400	
24. Self-regulation and control in personality functioning CHARLES S. CARVER AND MICHAEL F. SCHEIER	427	
25. Self-determination theory: a consideration of human motivational universals EDWARD L. DECI AND RICHARD M. RYAN	441	
26. Traits and the self: toward an integration MICHAEL D. ROBINSON AND CONSTANTINE SEDIKIDES	457	
27. Personality as a cognitive-affective processing system RONALD E. SMITH AND YUICHI SHODA	473	
Part VI. Social and Cultural Processes	489	
28. The storied construction of personality AVRIL THORNE AND VICKIE NAM	491	
29. Personality and social relations LAURI A. JENSEN-CAMPBELL, JENNIFER M. KNACK AND MADELINE REX-LEAR	506	
30. Personality and social support processes RHONDA SWICKERT	524	
31. Social pain and hurt feelings GEOFF MACDONALD	541	
32. Personality in cross-cultural perspective JURIS G. DRAGUNS	556	
33. Culture and personality ROBERT HOGAN AND MICHAEL HARRIS BOND	577	
34. Personality and politics GIANVITTORIO CAPRARA AND MICHELE VECCHIONE	589	



viii	Contents	
	Part VII. Psychopathology	609
	35. Mood and anxiety disorders: the hierarchical structure of personality and psychopathology DAVID D. VACHON AND R. MICHAEL BAGBY	611
	36. Personality and psychosis GORDON CLARIDGE	631
	37. Diagnosis and assessment of disorders of personality STEPHANIE N. MULLINS-SWEATT AND THOMAS A. WIDIGER	649
	38. Psychopathy and its measurement ROBERT D. HARE AND CRAIG S. NEUMANN	660
	39. Personality and eating disorders NATALIE J. LOXTON AND SHARON DAWE	687
	40. Personality and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder RAPSON GOMEZ	704
	Part VIII. Applied Personality Psychology	717
	41. Personality in school psychology MOSHE ZEIDNER	719
	42. Personality in educational psychology MOSHE ZEIDNER	733
	43. Personality at work GILES ST J. BURCH AND NEIL ANDERSON	748
	44. Workplace safety and personality ALICE F. STUHLMACHER, ANDREA L. BRIGGS AND DOUGLAS F. CELLAR	764
	45. Personality and crime DAVID CANTER AND DONNA YOUNGS	780

46. Treatment of personality disorders

FIONA WARREN

Index

799

820



Figures

Theoretical constructs and correspondence rules	15
Perfect cross-situational consistency of inter-individual differences	
	47
five situations	48
Schematic representation of the traditional framework for scientific personality research. Reprinted from J. T. Lamiell 2000. A periodic table of personality elements? The 'Big Five' and trait 'psychology' in critical perspective, <i>Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology</i> 20: 1–24 with permission	73
Illustrative 'Big Five' personality profile based on interactive measurements, juxtaposed with previously-derived normative profile. Reprinted from 2003. Beyond Individual and Group Differences: Human Individuality, Scientific Psychology, and William Stern's Critical Personalism with permission from Sage	
Publications	79
A simplified representation of components of the personality system and their interrelations, according to Five-Factor Theory. From R. R. McCrae 2004. Human nature and culture: a trait	
perspective, Journal of Research in Personality 38: 3-14	103
Eysenck's (1970) hierarchical model of Extraversion	136
Partial models of Extraversion and Agreeableness of De Raad, Hendriks and Hofstee (1992)	137
Hierarchical emergence of factors (De Raad and Barelds 2007)	138
Circumplex representation of two factor solution (De Raad and Barelds 2007)	140
Gender differences, in <i>T</i> -scores, for adults in the United States (self-reports) vs. 50 cultures (observer ratings) on the 30 facets of the NEO-PI-R	152
An example of a hierarchical structure of intellectual abilities, derived from information in Carroll (1993)	164
Personality constructs and their relations. From P. L. Ackerman and E. D. Heggestad 1997. Intelligence, personality, and	
	Perfect cross-situational consistency of inter-individual differences despite strong situational effects on behaviour Situational profile of two children in verbal aggressiveness across five situations Schematic representation of the traditional framework for scientific personality research. Reprinted from J. T. Lamiell 2000. A periodic table of personality elements? The 'Big Five' and trait 'psychology' in critical perspective, <i>Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology</i> 20: 1–24 with permission Illustrative 'Big Five' personality profile based on interactive measurements, juxtaposed with previously-derived normative profile. Reprinted from 2003. <i>Beyond Individual and Group Differences: Human Individuality, Scientific Psychology, and William Stern's Critical Personalism</i> with permission from Sage Publications A simplified representation of components of the personality system and their interrelations, according to Five-Factor Theory. From R. R. McCrae 2004. Human nature and culture: a trait perspective, <i>Journal of Research in Personality</i> 38: 3–14 Eysenck's (1970) hierarchical model of Extraversion Partial models of Extraversion and Agreeableness of De Raad, Hendriks and Hofstee (1992) Hierarchical emergence of factors (De Raad and Barelds 2007) Circumplex representation of two factor solution (De Raad and Barelds 2007) Gender differences, in <i>T</i> -scores, for adults in the United States (self-reports) vs. 50 cultures (observer ratings) on the 30 facets of the NEO-Pl-R An example of a hierarchical structure of intellectual abilities, derived from information in Carroll (1993) Personality constructs and their relations. From P. L. Ackerman

ix



x List of Figures

	interests: evidence for overlapping traits, <i>Psychological Bulletin</i> 121: 219–45. Copyright American Psychological Association. Reprinted by permission	166
13.1	Personality factors as modifiers of environmental demands	210
	Personality factors affecting the perception of the environment	210
	Personality as an independent factor	211
	The transactional model of the core relationship between personality and health	220
18.1	Incidence of major depression as a function of <i>5-HTTLPR</i> genotype and number of life events. From A. Caspi <i>et al.</i> 2003. Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene, <i>Science</i> 301: 386–9. Reprinted with permission from AAAS	297
18.2	Amygdala activation to fearful faces compared to neutral stimuli as a function of <i>5-HTTLPR</i> genotype. Reprinted from A. R. Hariri <i>et al.</i> 2002. Serotonin transporter genetic variation and the response of the human amygdala, <i>Science</i> 297: 400–3	300
19.1	Amygdala response to emotional faces. Reprinted from T. H. Canli, <i>et al.</i> 2002. Amygdala response to happy faces as a function of Extraversion, <i>Science</i> 296: 2191	307
19.2	Relationship between neuroticism (N) and change of slopes of MedPFC activity within blocks of sad facial expressions	314
19.3	Lateral prefrontal cortex (LPFC) activation to fearful, relative to neutral, faces correlated with Agreeableness. Reprinted from B. W. Haas <i>et al.</i> 2007. Is automatic emotion regulation associated with agreeableness? A perspective using a social neuroscience approach, <i>Psychological Science</i> 18(2): 130–2	315
21.1	The relationship between (a) the real nervous system (Real NS), (b) the conceptual nervous system (Conceptual NS), (c) syndromes/behaviours related to (d) immediate stimuli/cognitions, and (e) past events/genes, providing descriptions in terms of structure, function and behaviour	352
21.2	Position in factor space of the fundamental punishment sensitivity and reward sensitivity (unbroken lines) and the emergent surface expressions of these sensitivities, i.e., Extraversion (E) and Neuroticism (N) (broken lines)	356
21.3	A schematic representation of the hypothesized relationship between (a) FFFS/BIS (punishment sensitivity; PUN) and BAS (reward sensitivity; REW); (b) their joint effects on reactions to punishment and reward; and (c) their relations to extraversion (E)	
	and neuroticism (N)	357
21.4	The two dimensional defence system	363



		List of Figures	X
21.5	Categories of emotion and defensive responses derived from 'defensive direction' (i.e., motivation to avoid or approach the source of danger) and avoidability of the threat (given constraints		
	the environment)	369	
	Humphreys and Revelle theory: causal chain	406	
	Tri-level explanatory framework for cognitive science	416	
	Cognitive-adaptive processes supporting personality traits	421	
24.1	Schematic depiction of a feedback loop, the basic unit of cyberne control	tic 428	
24.2	Hypothesized approach-related affects as a function of doing we versus doing poorly compared to a criterion velocity. Adapted from C. S. Carver 2004. Negative affects deriving from the behaviour approach system, <i>Emotion</i> 4: 3–22	om	
25.1	Representation of the SDT continuum of relative autonomy, showing types of motivation, types of regulation, the nature of perceived causation, and the degree of autonomy or self-determination for each type of motivation	445	
27.1	Illustrative intra-individual, situation-behaviour profiles for verbaggression in relation to five situations in two time samples. Fro Y. Shoda, W. Mischel and J. C. Wright 1994. Intra-individual stability in the organization and patterning of behaviour: incorporating psychological situations into the idiographic analy of personality, <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> 67 678. Copyright 1994 by the American Psychological Association	om sis 7:	
27.2	Reprinted with permission The cognitive-affective personality system (CAPS). From W. Mischel and Y. Shoda 1995. A cognitive-affective system theory of personality: reconceptualizing situations, dispositions, dynamics, and invariance in personality structure, <i>Psychologica Review</i> 102: 254. Copyright 1995 by the American Psychologica	l	
	Association. Adapted with permission	481	
34.1	The motivational continuum of basic values	597	
35.1	Correlations between subordinate and superordinate factors from an integrated hierarchical account of the structure of normal and abnormal personality. Reproduced from K. E. Markon, R. F. Krueger and D. Watson 2005. Delineating the structure of normal and abnormal personality: an integrative hierarchical approach, <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> 88: 139–57 with permission	1	
35.2	A schematic structural model of the DSM-IV mood and anxiety disorders. Reproduced from D. Watson 2005. Rethinking the mood and anxiety disorders: a quantitative hierarchical model for		



xii List of Figures

LIST O	Tigures	
	DSM-V, Journal of Abnormal Psychology. Special Issue: Toward a Dimensionally Based Taxonomy of Psychopathology 114: 522–36 with permission	622
35.3	Best-fitting model for the entire National Co-morbidity Survey, a three-factor variant of the two-factor internalizing/externalizing model. Reproduced from R. F. Krueger 1999. The structure of common mental disorders, <i>Archives of General Psychiatry</i> 56: 921–6	623
35.4	An integrated representation of major personality markers of psychopathology, Watson's (2005) quantitative hierarchical model for DSM-V and Krueger's (1999) structure of common mental disorders	624
38.1	Four factor PCL-R item-based model of psychopathy (N = 6929). Reprinted with permission of Guildford Press from C. S. Neumann, R. D. Hare, and J. P. Newman, The super-ordinate nature of the psychopathy checklist-revised, <i>Journal of Personality Disorders</i> 21: 102–7	670
38.2	Two-factor PCL-R higher-order representation of the four correlated factors model ($N = 6929$). From Hare and Neumann (2008). Reprinted with permission from <i>Annual Reviews</i> .	672
42.1	Different component weights contributing to academic success in two hypothetical students	743
44.1	Model of the safety process	774
	The cognitive model of psychopathology. From J. Pretzer and A. Beck 1996. A cognitive theory of personality disorders, in J. F. Lenzenweger (ed.), <i>Major theories of personality disorder</i> .	
	New York: Guilford Press	807
46.2	Linehan's biosocial model of borderline personality disorder	811



Tables

1.1	Major perspectives in personanty	4
1.2	Milestones in the history of personality	6
3.1	Stability, agreement and coherence of observed and judged dominance in pre-school children	45
5.1	Illustrative assessments, population norms and standard	
	scores	76
9.1	Correspondence of facet-level scales for three inventories	156
12.1	Summary of stability and change in the Big Five personality domains across the lifespan	196
12.2	Summary of core themes in personality development	200
18.1	Heritability coefficients for personality traits	290
21.1	Relationship between personality trait of 'defensiveness' (FFFS/BIS), difference between actual and perceived defensive distance, and the real defensive difference required to elicit defensive	
	behaviour	365
23.1	Outline cognitive patterning for Extraversion-Introversion	414
23.2	Outline cognitive patterning for anxiety/Neuroticism	415
34.1	Definitions of ten value constructs and sample PVQ items	596
38.1	Items and factors in the Hare PCL-R. Copyright 1991. R. D. Hare and Multi-Health Systems, 3770 Victoria Park Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M2H 3M6. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.	662
38.2	Items and factors in the Hare PCL: SV. Copyright 1995. R. D. Hare and Multi-Health Systems, 3770 Victoria Park Avenue, Toronto,	
	Ontario, M2H 3M6. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.	663
38.3	Items and factors in the Hare PCL: YV. Copyright 2003. R. D. Hare and Multi-Health Systems, 3770 Victoria Park Avenue, Toronto,	
• • •	Ontario, M2H 3M6. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.	664
39.1	Summary of studies investigating sub-groups of eating disorders using personality-related measures	693
44.1	Personality variables correlated with workplace safety	765
44.2	Five-Factor Model personality variables correlations with	
	workplace safety	766

xiii



xiv	List of Tables		
	46.1	Sub-categories of personality disorders in the DSM-IV and ICD-10	900
		classification systems	800
	46.2	Examples of cognitive distortions	806
	46.3	Examples of core beliefs, views of self and others typical of each	
		personality disorder	809



Contributors

PHILLIP L. ACKERMAN, Georgia Institute of Technology NEIL ANDERSON, University of Amsterdam JENS B. ASENDORPF, Humboldt-Universität Berlin R. MICHAEL BAGBY, University of Toronto MICHAEL HARRIS BOND, Chinese University of Hong Kong GREGORY J. BOYLE, Bond University ANDREA L. BRIGGS, DePaul University GILES STJ. BURCH, University of Auckland TURHAN CANLI, Stony Brook University DAVID CANTER, University of Liverpool GIANVITTORIO CAPRARA, University of Rome CHARLES S. CARVER, University of Miami DOUGLAS F. CELLAR, DePaul University GORDON CLARIDGE, University of Oxford SUSAN CLONINGER, The Sage Colleges ELISABETH D. CONRADT, University of Oregon PHILIP J. CORR, University of East Anglia SHARON DAWE, Griffith University IAN J. DEARY, University of Edinburgh BOELE DE RAAD, University of Groningen EDWARD L. DECI, University of Rochester COLIN G. DEYOUNG, Yale University M. BRENT DONNELLAN, Michigan State University JURIS G. DRAGUNS, Pennsylvania State University



xvi List of Contributors

MARKO ELOVAINIO, University of Helsinki

AURELIO JOSÉ FIGUEREDO, University of Arizona

DAVID C. FUNDER, University of California, Riverside

PAUL GLADDEN, University of Arizona

RAPSON GOMEZ, University of Tasmania

SAMUEL D. GOSLING, University of Texas at Austin

JEREMY R. GRAY, Yale University

ROBERT D. HARE, University of British Columbia and Darkstone Research Group

B. AUSTIN HARLEY, University of Texas at Austin

EDWARD HELMES, James Cook University

ROBERT HOGAN, Hogan Assessment System

LAURI A. JENSEN-CAMPBELL, University of Texas at Arlington

DANIEL NELSON JONES, University of Arizona

міка кіvімäкі, University of Helsinki

JENNIFER M. KNACK, University of Texas at Arlington

JAMES T. LAMIELL, Georgetown University

NATALIE J. LOXTON, University of Queensland

GEOFF MACDONALD, University of Toronto

GERALD MATTHEWS, University of Cincinnati

ROBERT R. MCCRAE, National Institute on Aging

MARIO MIKULINCER, Bar-Ilan University

STEPHANIE N. MULLINS-SWEATT, University of Kentucky

MARCUS R. MUNAFÒ, University of Bristol

VICKIE NAM, University of California, Santa Cruz

CRAIG S. NEWMANN, University of North Texas

RAINER REISENZEIN, University of Greifswald

MADELINE REX-LEAR, University of Texas at Arlington

RICHARD W. ROBINS, University of California, Davis

MICHAEL D. ROBINSON, North Dakota State University

MARY K. ROTHBART, University of Oregon



List of Contributors

xvii

RICHARD M. RYAN, University of Rochester GERARD SAUCIER, University of Oregon MICHAEL F. SCHEIER, Carnegie Mellon University CONSTANTINE SEDIKIDES, University of Southampton PHILLIP R. SHAVER, University of California, Davis BRAD E. SHEESE, University of Oregon YUICHI SHODA, University of Washington RONALD E. SMITH, University of Washington ALICE F. STUHLMACHER, DePaul University RHONDA SWICKERT, College of Charleston AVRIL THORNE, University of California, Santa Cruz DAVID D. VACHON, University of Toronto GENEVA VÁSQUEZ, University of Arizona MICHELE VECCHIONE, University of Rome SETH A. WAGERMAN, University of California, Riverside FIONA WARREN, University of Surrey HANNELORE WEBER, University of Greifswald THOMAS A. WIDIGER, University of Kentucky PEDRO SOFIO ABRIL WOLF, University of Arizona DONNA YOUNGS, University of Liverpool MOSHE ZEIDNER, University of Haifa



Abbreviations

A Agreeableness

ACC anterior cingulate cortex

ADHD attention deficit hyperactive disorder
APA American Psychiatric Association
APD antisocial personality disorder
APIM actor-partner independence model
APSD Antisocial Process Screening Device
ARAS ascending reticular activating system

BAS behavioural approach system

BED binge eating disorder BFI Big Five Inventory

BIS behavioural inhibition system
BPI Basic Personality Inventory

C Conscientiousness

CAPS cognitive-affective processing system

CAQ-sort California Adult Q-sort

CAQ Clinical Analysis Questionnaire CBT cognitive-behavioural therapy

CD conduct disorder

CFA confirmatory factor analysis cns conceptual nervous system CNS central nervous system

CPAI Chinese Personality Assessment Inventory

CPS Child Psychopathy Scale
CR conditioned response
CS conditioned stimulus

DAPP Dimensional Assessment of Personality Pathology

DBT dialectical behaviour therapy
DIF differential item functioning
DTC democratic therapeutic community

E Extraversion

ECR Experiences in Close Relationships

EFA exploratory factor analysis
EI emotional intelligence
FFM Five-Factor Model
FFFS fight-flight-freeze system
FFS fight-flight system

FHID factored homogeneous item dimension

xviii



List of Abbreviations

xix

fMRI functional magnetic resonance imaging
FUPC first unrotated principal component
GAS general adaptation syndrome
HPI Hogan Personality Inventory
HRM human resource management
IAPS International Affective Picture Series
IAS Interpersonal Adjective Scale

ICD International Classification of Diseases

IO industrial/organizational
IRT item response theory
LGM latent growth model
LPFC lateral prefrontal cortex
MBT mentalization-based treatment
MDS multidimensional scaling
MedPFC medial prefrontal cortex

MMPI Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory MPQ Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire

N Neuroticism
NA negative affectivity
NEO-FFI NEO Five-Factor Inventory

NEO-PI-R Revised NEO Personality Inventory

O Openness to Experience
OCD obsessive-compulsive disorder
ODD oppositional defiant disorder

O-LIFE Oxford-Liverpool Inventory of Feelings and Experiences

P Psychoticism
PA positive affectivity

PAI Personality Assessment Inventory
PANAS Positive and Negative Affect Scale

PCL Psychopathy Checklist

PCL-R Psychopathy Checklist-Revised

PD personality disorder

PDNOS personality disorder not otherwise specified

PFC prefrontal cortex

PPI Psychopathy Personality Inventory

QTL quantitative trait loci ROI regions of interest ROV regions of variance

RST Reinforcement Sensitivity Theory 16PF Sixteen Personality Factor Questionnaire

SDT self-determination theory
SEL social and emotional learning
SEM structural equation modelling
SIT sustained information transfer

SNAP Schedule for Nonadaptive and Adaptive Personality

SPQ Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire

SRL self-regulated learning



xx	List of Abbreviations		
	SRM	social relations model	
	SRP	Self-Report Psychopathy	
	SSSM	standard social science model	
	STM	short-term memory	
	SWB	subjective wellbeing	
	TCI	Temperament and Character Inventory	
	TIE	typical intellectual engagement	
	TMI	transmarginal inhibition	
	UCR	unconditioned response	
	UCS	unconditioned stimulus	
	YPI	Youth Psychopathic Traits Inventory	



Preface

The study of personality requires an unusual feat of mental vision. Those of us who work in this field must focus narrowly on one or more specialized research topics, while simultaneously maintaining a wide-angle view of personality in a broader sense. The day-to-day demands of doing research can make it hard to preserve the broader focus, especially when immediate research projects are progressing well. The aim of this Handbook is to assist researchers, practitioners and students to regard the larger picture of personality research. Recent years have seen a resurgence of interest in personality, directed along lines of research that sometimes converge and sometimes seem to diverge. Our motivation in compiling this Handbook was to provide a general overview of the many areas of study that together define this branch of psychological science – that many of us consider to be becoming increasingly relevant and important in psychology more generally.

The contributors to this Handbook rose to their task admirably, producing relatively brief summaries of their respective areas of expertise in an accessible style that are intended to inform and stimulate, and at times provoke. We instructed contributors to present their material in a way that they thought most appropriate: our concern was to ensure that chapters were presented in the way that best suited the topics – as a result, some chapters are longer than others, and some topics are divided over several chapters. We offer a collective 'thank you' to all contributors not only for producing such high-quality chapters but also for their forbearance in the production process which, as a result of the number of chapters, was slower than anticipated. We can only hope that contributors are pleased by the finished Handbook.

We are very grateful to Cambridge University Press for agreeing to publish this work; especially to Sarah Caro, Commissioning Editor, for her constant encouragement and advice, and then, after Sarah's departure, to Andrew Peart and Carrie Cheek for their patience and skill in bringing this project to fruition. Gerald Matthews wishes to thank the University of Cincinnati for allowing a period of sabbatical leave, and the Japan Society for the Promotion for Science for supporting a study visit to the University of Kyushu, which assisted him in his editorial role.

Philip J. Corr Gerald Matthews

xxi



Editors' general introduction Philip J. Corr and Gerald Matthews

Personality psychology has never been in better health than at the present time. The idea that we can describe and measure meaningful stable traits, such as extraversion and emotionality, is no longer very controversial (though see James T. Lamiell, Chapter 5). The study of traits has been boosted by, at least, a partial consensus among researchers on the nature of the major traits, by advances in genetics and neuroscience, and by increasing integration with various fields of mainstream psychology (Matthews, Deary and Whiteman 2003). Other perspectives on personality have also flourished, stimulated by advances in social-cognitive theory (Cervone 2008; Ronald E. Smith and Yuichi Shoda, Chapter 27), by the rediscovery of the unconscious and implicit personality processes (Bargh and Williams 2006), and by increasing interest in the relationship between emotion and personality (Rainer Reisenzein and Hannelore Weber, Chapter 4). The growing prominence of personality as an arena for an integrated understanding of psychology (Susan Cloninger, Chapter 1) has motivated the present Handbook. In this introductory chapter, we provide a brief overview of the main issues, themes and research topics that are addressed in more depth by the contributors to this volume.

Despite contemporary optimism, the study of personality has often been contentious and riven by fundamental disputes among researchers. A persistent issue is the nature of personality itself: what issues are central to investigating personality, and which properly belong to other sub-disciplines of psychology? At times, it has seemed as though different schools of 'personality' research have been addressing entirely different topics. Until quite recently, there was little communication between biologically and socially oriented researchers, for example. Debates in the field tended to devolve into rigid dichotomies, forcing researchers into one camp or another:

- Is personality a 'nomothetic' quality, described by general principles applying to all individuals? Or should personality be studied 'idiographically', focusing on the uniqueness of each individual?
- Does behaviour primarily depend on personality, or is it more powerfully shaped by situation and context?
- Is personality infused into conscious experience, so that people can explicitly describe their own traits? Or, as Freud argued, is much of personality unconscious, so that people lack insight into their own natures?

xxii



Editors' general introduction

xxiii

- Is personality primarily a consequence of individual differences in brain functioning, or of social learning and culture?
- Is personality mainly determined by the individual's DNA, or by environmental factors? (note that this dichotomy is not the same as the preceding one: environment affects brain development)
- Is personality fixed and stable throughout adulthood, or does the person generally change over time, and perhaps grow into maturity and wisdom?

The increasing wisdom of the field is suggested by progress in finding satisfying syntheses to these various dialectics, including a recognition of the importance of person-situation interaction in shaping behaviour, and the intertwining of genes and environment (and brain and culture) in personality development (Matthews, Deary and Whiteman 2003). Nonetheless, important and sometimes fundamental differences in perspective remain (Caprara and Cervone 2000). Many contributors to the present Handbook approach personality via the resurgent notion of stable personality traits that exert a wide-ranging influence on many areas of psychological functioning. The editors' own work aligns with this perspective. However, it is important to present a historical perspective on the controversies within the field, to examine critically the core assumptions of trait theory, and to expose some of the fissures that remain within different versions of this theory. Part I of this Handbook briefly introduces some of the basic conceptual issues that have shaped inquiries into personality.

The historical arc that has seen trait psychology go into and out of favour may (most simply) reflect the changing dialectic between scientific and humanistic approaches noted by Susan Cloninger (Chapter 1). One can do personality research as a 'hard' or natural science without subscribing to universal traits, as demonstrated by work on 'behavioural signatures' (the individual's consistencies in behaviour across different environments: e.g., Shoda 1999). However, trait theories have had a lasting appeal through their aspirations towards a universal measurement framework (akin to Cartesian mapping of the Earth or the periodic table), and their relevance to all branches of personality theory. Nonetheless, trait theory does not satisfy those seeking to understand the individual person, or the intimacy of the person-situation relationship, or the humanists that want to help humankind. Contributors to Part I of this Handbook address some of the central issues that define a struggle for the soul of personality theory. We especially highlight (1) the psychological meaning of measures of personality, (2) the role of personality in predicting behaviour, and (3) the holistic coherence of personality.

There are some points of agreement that are close to universal, at least among scientifically-oriented researchers. As further explored in Part II of this Handbook, personality researchers have a special concern with the meaning of measurements of personality (whatever the particular scale or instrument). Numerical measurements must be anchored by some process of external validation to reach theoretical understanding. For example, a theory that specifies multiple brain systems



xxiv Editors' general introduction

allows us to link the numbers we get from personality scales to parameters of those systems (Philip J. Corr, Chapter 21), and to make predictions about how trait measurements relate to objective measurements of brain functioning (e.g., from functional magnetic resonance imaging, fMRI). We are right to be wary of the factor analysis of questionnaires interpreted without such theoretical and external referents.

Another basic concern is the prediction of behaviour (whether at individual or group level). We are all interactionists now, in accepting the importance of both person and situation factors, but the simple acknowledgement of interaction does not take us very far (see Seth A. Wagerman and David C. Funder, Chapter 2; Jens B. Asendorpf, Chapter 3). At the least, we need both a fine-grained understanding of how personality factors bias the dynamic interaction between the individual and the environment in some given social encounter, as well as a longer-focus understanding on how personality and situations interact developmentally over periods of years, or even decades (see M. Brent Donnellan and Richard W. Robins, Chapter 12).

A focus on the general functioning of the person, emerging from many individual components or modules, is a further common theme. There is a tension between the idea of a coherent self and several features of biological science, including the division of the brain into many functionally distinct areas (neuroscience), the determination of brain structure by multiple genes (molecular genetics), and the evolution of the brain to support multiple adaptive modules (evolutionary psychology). Contrasting with these fissile tendencies, if there is one issue on which most personality psychologists agree, it is that the whole is more than the sum of the parts. Comparable difficulties in finding personality coherence also arise in social-cognitive approaches which discriminate multiple cognitive, affective and motivational processes underlying personality (Caprara and Cervone 2000). Should we see personality as a fundamental causal attribute of the brain that, in Jeffrey Gray's (1981) phrase, becomes a great flowering tree as it guides the development of many seemingly disparate psychological functions? Or does personality coherence reside in the idiosyncratic schemas that lend unique meanings to the lives of individuals (Caprara and Cervone 2000)? Or is personality coherence functional rather than structural in nature, reflecting the person's core goals and strategies for adaptation to the major challenges of life (Matthews 2008a)? Defining personality in some holistic sense, as opposed to a collection of functional biases in independent modules, may be informed by integration of personality and emotion research. As discussed by Rainer Reisenzein and Hannelore Weber (Chapter 4), the study of emotion has similar integrative aims.

Trait researchers pursue 'normal science' (Kuhn 1962), in that they share common core assumptions about the nature of personality. There is a reasonable degree of consensus on dimensional models, the importance of both biology and social factors, and person x situation interaction. Some alternative perspectives on personality, such as those grounded in social constructivism, are clearly outside the paradigm. Social-cognitive perspectives appear to be in the process of



Editors' general introduction

XXV

negotiating their stance towards trait models. Some aspects of social-cognitive research use normative trait-like measures (e.g., self-esteem), and might be integrated with the trait paradigm (Michael D. Robinson and Constantine Sedikides, Chapter 26). Other aspects that take an idiographic view of personality coherence (Caprara and Cervone 2000) may represent an alternative paradigm.

This volume primarily covers the various expressions and applications of trait theory as the dominant paradigm in personality, while recognizing the important contributions of social-cognitive models (Ronald E. Smith and Yuichi Shoda, Chapter 27) and the idiographic (Auril Thorne and Vickie Nam, Chapter 28) and humanistic (Edward L. Deci and Richard M. Ryan, Chapter 25) traditions of the field. The remainder of this introductory chapter briefly highlights key issues relating to the focal issues reflected in the section structure of the book: measurement issues, theoretical stances (biological, cognitive and social), personality development, the role of culture, and applications.

Measurement of personality

Measurement issues may be broken down into a series of interlinked questions. First, should quantitative measurements be at the center of personality research at all? Answers in the negative would come from psychodynamic theorists, and from social constructivists (cf., Avril Thorne and Vickie Nam, Chapter 28). There are also those who challenge the basic assumptions of psychometric methods used in personality assessment (James T. Lamiell, Chapter 5), or even the validity of any psychological measurement (Barrett 2003). For the most part, however, personality researchers share the assumption that scientific tests of personality theory require quantitative assessments of personality. Typically, it is dimensional traits such as extraversion, anxiety and sensation-seeking which are assessed, but personality characteristics unique to the individual may also be quantified (Ronald E. Smith and Yuichi Shoda, Chapter 27).

Assuming that measurement is desirable, the next question is what do we measure? As Ian J. Deary (Chapter 6) points out, Gordon Allport raised a question that still awaits an answer: what is the basic unit of personality? In practice, various sources of trait data have been used, following Raymond Cattell's classification (see Gregory J. Boyle and Edward Helmes, Chapter 7), that distinguishes self-reports (which need not be accepted at face value), objective behaviours and life-record data. Questionnaire assessments of traits are familiar, and need no introduction. The major structural models of personality such as the Five-Factor Model (FFM) (Robert R. McCrae, Chapter 9) are largely based on questionnaire scales, although they gain authority from evidence on the convergence of self-report with other measurement media, such as the reports of others on the personality of the individual (Goldberg 1992). Assessment may also be reconfigured by the resurgence of interest in the unconscious. Implicit personality dimensions distinct from self-report dimensions assessed via behavioural techniques based on



xxvi Editors' general introduction

speed of response to trait-relevant stimuli are promising, although psychometric challenges remain (Schnabel, Banse and Asendorpf 2006).

Having chosen a data source, the next issue for trait researchers is what specific analytic techniques should be used to identify and discriminate multiple dimensions of personality (Gregory J. Boyle and Edward Helmes, Chapter 7). The traditional tool here (Cattell 1973) is exploratory factor analysis (EFA), which assigns the reliable variance in responses (e.g., on a questionnaire) to a reduced set of underlying factors or dimensions. For example, factor analysis of the various English-language verbal descriptors of personality suggests that most of the variation in response can be attributed to just five underlying factors that provide a comprehensive description of personality in this medium (Goldberg 1990). EFA, however, is subject to various limitations, including the existence of an infinite number of mathematically-equivalent factor solutions (alternate 'rotations'), different principles for factor extraction, and the lack of any definitive method for deciding on the key question of how many factors to extract (Haig 2005). These difficulties have been known from the beginning of research using factor analysis, and most theorists have advocated using factor analysis only in conjunction with other approaches that may provide converging evidence, such as discriminating clinical groups and performing experimental investigations (Eysenck 1967).

As Gregory J. Boyle and Edward Helmes (Chapter 7) discuss, interest is growing in 'modern' methods for scale construction that contrast with classical test theory; these methods include item response theory and Rasch scaling. Multivariate methods that complement or replace traditional EFA have also become increasingly sophisticated. The single most important advance may be the development of confirmatory techniques, which are used to test whether or not a factor model specified in advance fits a given data set. Testing goodness of fit provides some protection against making too much of the serendipitous factor solutions that may emerge from EFA. Confirmatory factor analysis is itself one instance of a larger family of structural equation modelling techniques that allow detailed causal models to be tested against data (Bentler 1995).

The final set of questions concerns the nature of the measurement models that emerge from the application of multivariate statistical methods. For many years, debate over the structure of personality revolved around disputes over the optimal number of factors for personality description. Famously, Cattell advocated sixteen (or more) factors, whereas Eysenck preferred a more economical three. The Five-Factor Model represents the most popular resolution of the debate (Robert R. McCrae, Chapter 9), although there remain significant dissenting voices (e.g., Boyle 2008). In addition, disputes can to some extent be resolved within hierarchical, multilevel models that differentiate broad superfactors such as the 'Big Five', along with more numerous and narrowly defined 'primary' factors (Boele De Raad, Chapter 8).

A more subtle issue is how to discriminate dimensions of personality from other domains of individual differences, especially intelligence (Phillip L. Ackerman, Chapter 10). The term 'personality' is sometimes used in a wider sense to refer to



Editors' general introduction

xxvii

the full spectrum of personal characteristics, including abilities. Careful psychometric modelling can help to resolve the boundaries of different domains within this broader sphere of individual differences. The new construct of 'emotional intelligence' is an example of the problems that may arise. Different versions of the construct have been proposed that seem variously to belong in either the ability or personality domain, or some no man's land in between (Matthews, Zeidner and Roberts 2007).

Developmental processes

Given that we can assess personality descriptively, one of the next fundamental issues to consider is personality development. How do our personalities originate? How do they change over time? What psychological processes support development? Broadly, two rather different perspectives have been adopted historically. An essentialist position (see Haslam, Bastian and Bissett 2004) supposes that individuals have a rather stable nature, evident early in childhood, which is perpetuated, with minor changes, throughout the lifespan. This position is compatible with a strong hereditary component to personality and a view that biology is destiny. Conversely, in the spirit of J. B. Watson, we may see personality as accumulating over time through significant learning experiences. Theories as various as psychoanalysis, traditional learning theory and modern social-cognitive theory have all seen learning as central to personality. Such approaches tend to suggest a more malleable view of personality.

Understanding development breaks down into a number of discrete research issues, including measurement models for the lifespan, identifying qualitative differences between child and adult personality, modelling the processes that contribute to development, and linking personality development to the person's broader experience of life and wellbeing. Contributors to this volume address some of the key issues involved.

Assessment and continuity of personality in the early years are often attacked via studies of temperament. The general idea is that even infants may show rudimentary qualities such as emotionality and activity. These basic 'temperaments' may persist into adulthood, for example as positive and negative emotionality, and also provide a platform for development of more sophisticated personality attributes. It is sometimes assumed that temperament is closer to biological substrates than adult personality, which is more strongly influenced by social-cultural factors (Strelau 2001). Just as with adult personality, we can investigate the dimensional structure of temperament, although, with young children, the primary data source must be observations of the child's behaviour rather than self-report.

One of the most parsimonious and also most influential models of temperament is that proposed by Rothbart and Bates (1998; Mary K. Rothbart *et al.*, Chapter 11). Its major dimensions include Surgency/Extraversion (including activity and



xxviii Editors' general introduction

sociability), negative affectivity and effortful control, all of which may be identified through observational methods. A key question is the extent to which childhood temperament shows continuity with adolescent and adult personality. Do active children become extraverted adults? Do 'whiny' infants become emotionally unstable in later life? The consensus on such issues is that temperament does indeed predict adult personality, although personality may be somewhat unstable during the childhood years. An important line of research constitutes longitudinal studies that track temperament, personality and real-life behaviours of periods of years. For example, the Dunedin study in New Zealand has tracked around one thousand infants into adulthood, and demonstrated that childhood temperament is modestly but reliably predictive of adult personality and further criteria including criminal behaviour and mental disorder (e.g., Caspi, Harrington, Milne *et al.* 2003).

As M. Brent Donnellan and Richard W. Robins (Chapter 12) discuss, the FFM has proved a useful framework for investigating both stability and change in personality over the lifespan. Factor analytic studies confirm the convergence of personality and temperament dimensions (Strelau 2001). We should note that factorial convergence does not preclude qualitative changes in the nature of the dimension over time.

Coupled with statistical modelling of personality change over the lifespan is a concern with the underlying processes driving change and stability. We prefigure our later discussion of personality theory by indicating several avenues towards understanding development. The grounding of temperament in biology points towards the role of neuroscience. There are good correspondences between the fundamental dimensions of temperament and some of the key constructs of biological theories of personality (Mary K. Rothbart et al., Chapter 11). Importantly, brain development depends on both genes and environmental influences, and, as genes may become active at different ages, genetic influences may incorporate personality change. Cognitive and social processes are also critical for personality development. Traits such as Extraversion and Neuroticism are associated with biases in cognitive functioning that confer, for example, an aptitude for acquiring social skills in extraverts, and heightened awareness of threat in high neurotic persons (Matthews 2008a). Self-regulative theories (Charles S. Carver and Michael F. Scheier, Chapter 24; Michael D. Robinson and Constantine Sedikides, Chapter 26) have addressed how cognitive representations of the self mediate the individual's attempts to satisfy personal goals in a changing external environment. Furthermore, cognitive development takes place within a social context (Bandura 1997) that may powerfully affect personality, for example, in relation to exposure to role models, internalization of cultural norms and educational experiences (Moshe Zeidner, Chapters 41, 42).

Most researchers accept that neural, cognitive and social processes interact in the course of personality development, although building and validating detailed models of the developmental process is difficult. Two examples will suffice. There is a growing appreciation that research on personality and health should be placed in the context of the lifespan (Marko Elovainio and Mika Kivimäki, Chapter 13).



Editors' general introduction

xxix

Activities such as smoking and exercise exert their effects over long intervals. Whiteman, Deary and Fowkes (2000) suggested that a full understanding of personality requires the integration of two models, a structural weakness model that focuses on internal vulnerabilities (e.g., genetic predispositions to illness), and a psychosocial vulnerability model that focuses on external factors such as life/work stress. Cognitive factors such as choosing health-promoting coping strategies may play a mediating role.

Similarly, development of emotional competence depends on the interaction between biologically-based elements of temperament that confer emotionality on the child, and social learning processes, such as modelling of emotional response. Individual differences in brain systems for handling reward and punishment stimuli (Philip J. Corr, Chapter 21) may govern whether children develop cheerful or distress-prone temperaments, respectively. However, the distress-prone child may still grow up to be well-adapted if he or she learns effective strategies from parents and peers for coping with vulnerability to negative emotion. Cognitions are also critical in that language capabilities influence the child's capacity to understand and express emotion. Traits such as emotional intelligence emerge from this complex and enigmatic interactional process (Zeidner, Matthews, Roberts and McCann 2003).

Finally, in this section, we note the resurgence of one of the grand theories of personality, John Bowlby's attachment theory, reviewed in this volume in two chapters authored by Phillip R. Shaver and Mario Mikulincer (Chapters 14, 15). Bowlby's insight was that the child's pattern of relationships with its primary care-giver affected adult personality; secure attachment to the care-giver promoted healthy adjustment in later life. The theory references many of the key themes of this review of personality. Attachment style may be measured by observation or questionnaire; a common distinction is between secure, anxious and avoidant styles (Ainsworth, Blehar, Waters and Wall 1978). It also corresponds to standard traits; for example, secure attachment correlates with Extraversion and Agreeableness (Carver 1997). Attachment likely possesses biological aspects (evident in ethological studies of primates), social aspects (evident in data on adult relationships), and cognitive aspects (evident in studies of the mental representations supporting attachment style) (Phillip R. Shaver and Mario Mikulincer, Chapter 14). As with other personality theories, a major challenge is developing a model that integrates these different facets of the attachment construct.

Theories of personality

Allport (1937) saw personality traits as possessing causal force. Traits correspond to 'generalized neuropsychic structures' that modulate the individual's understanding of stimuli and choice of adaptive behaviours. Thus, traits represent more than some running average of behaviour. For example, we could see trait anxiety as simply the integral of a plot of state anxiety over time, but this perspective tells us nothing about the underlying roots of vulnerability to anxiety.



xxx Editors' general introduction

A theory of the trait is required to understand the causal basis for stability in individual differences, and the processes that incline the person to view stimuli as threatening, and to engage in defensive and self-protective behaviours.

One of the hallmarks of personality theory is the diversity of explanatory concepts it invokes (Susan Cloninger, Chapter 1). We could variously attribute trait anxiety to sensitivity of brain systems controlling response to threat, to cognitive processes that direct attention to environmental threat, or to culturebound socialization to see oneself as threat-vulnerable. Three sections of this Handbook address three major perspectives that mould contrasting theories. According to biological perspectives, personality is a window on the brain. Hans Eysenck and Jeffrey Gray articulated the influential view that individual differences in simple but critical brain parameters, such as arousability and sensitivity to reinforcing stimuli, can drive far-reaching personality changes, expressed in traits such as Extraversion and Neuroticism. These theories emphasized the role of individual differences in genes for brain development (polymorphisms) in generating personality variation (in conjunction with environmental factors). As a broad research project, biological theory thus emphasizes studies of behaviour and molecular genetics, psychophysiology, and the linkage between neuroscience and real-world behavioural functioning, including clinical disorder.

Cognitive and social-psychological theories bring different issues into the foreground of research. The essence of cognitive theories is that personality is supported by differing representations of the world, and the person's place within it, coupled with individual differences in information-processing. For example, Aaron Beck (Beck, Emery and Greenberg 2005) attributed depression to the negative content of self-schema, such as beliefs in personal worthlessness. Emotional pathology also relates to biases in attention, memory and strategies for coping. A major feature of cognitive approaches is the use of the experimental methods of cognitive psychology to link traits to specific components of information-processing. These approaches typically link cognition to real-life behaviour and adaptation through self-regulative models that seek to specify stable individual differences in the processing supporting goal attainment (Charles S. Carver and Michael F. Scheier, Chapter 24).

Social psychological accounts focus on the interplay between personality and social relationships (Lauri A. Jensen-Campbell *et al.*, Chapter 29), and several interlocking issues. These include the extent to which personality characteristics (including traits) arise out of social interaction, the reciprocal influence of personality on social interaction, and the role of culture in modulating these relationships. Biological and cognitive theories typically conform to a natural sciences model, but at least some variants of social psychological theory owe more to the idiographic and humanistic traditions of the field discussed by Susan Cloninger (Chapter 1). A vigorous research programme that looks back to the social learning theories of Walter Mischel and Albert Bandura combines elements of both cognitive and social psychology within an idiographic framework (Caprara and Cervone 2000; Ronald E. Smith and Yuichi Shoda, Chapter 27).



Editors' general introduction

xxxi

In a sense, each research tradition may stand alone. Each has its own distinct research agenda and methods supporting a self-contained domain of scientific discourse. However, each perspective on theory faces contemporary challenges that are a product of previous progress. We will review these shortly. The more general point to emphasize is that there is increasing convergence between different approaches. Cognitive and social neuroscience approaches are increasingly infusing personality research, and it is also clear that core social-psychological constructs, such as the self-concept, overlap with trait-based constructs (Matthews, Deary and Whiteman 2003). There are still unresolved issues regarding the extent to which, for example, cognitive and social accounts of personality may be reduced to neuroscience (Matthews 2008b; Corr and McNaughton 2008). It can be agreed, though, that there has never been a greater need for proponents of different research traditions to talk to one another in the service of theoretical integration.

Next, we reflect briefly on some of the main challenges for each theoretical perspective, which are taken up by contributors to this volume.

Neuroscience

The neuroscience of personality has advanced considerably from Hans Eysenck's (1981) pioneering efforts to advance biological models as a new Kuhnian paradigm for the field. Genetic studies, psychophysiology and 'the neuroscience of real life' have all made major advances. The leading biological theories, such as Reinforcement Sensitivity Theory (Philip J. Corr, Chapter 21), aim to integrate various strands of evidence in delineating the neuroscience of personality.

The case of heritability of personality was originally based on behaviour genetics, and the finding that the similarity between related individuals, such as siblings, related to their degree of genetic similarity (Johnson, Vernon and Mackie 2008). The attribution of around 50 per cent of the variance in major personality traits to heritability is uncontroversial. The field has also tackled such important issues as non-additive effects of genes and gene-environment interaction. Studies of personality variation within a given population are not, however, informative about the mechanisms through which genes build the individual brains that differ in the familiar personality traits.

There is currently some excitement about the prospects for molecular genetics, i.e., identifying polymorphisms (different variants of the same gene) that may produce individual differences in neural functioning and ultimately observed personality. Approaches focusing on genes for neurotransmitter function have had some success in linking personality to DNA (Marcus R. Munafò, Chapter 18). The search is on for 'endophenotypes' – highly specific traits that are shaped by the genes and influence broader personality traits and vulnerability to mental illness. At the same time, the likely complexity of mappings between genes, brain systems and behaviour may present a barrier to future progress (Turkheimer 2000).

There is also growing interest in the evolutionary basis for human neural functioning. Initially, evolutionary psychology was more concerned with personality in the sense of 'how all people are the same', rather than with individual differences.