

A Realist Philosophy of Social Science

This introduction to the philosophy of social science provides an original conception of the task and nature of social inquiry. Peter Manicas discusses the role of causality seen in the physical sciences and offers a reassessment of the problem of explanation from a realist perspective. He argues that the fundamental goal of theory in both the natural and social sciences is not, contrary to widespread opinion, prediction and control, or the explanation of events (including behavior). Instead, theory aims to provide an understanding of the processes which, together, produce the contingent outcomes of experience. Offering a host of concrete illustrations and examples of critical ideas and issues, this accessible book will be of interest to students of the philosophy of social science, and social scientists from a range of disciplines.

PETER T. MANICAS is Director of Interdisciplinary Studies at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa.



A Realist Philosophy of Social Science

Explanation and Understanding

Peter T. Manicas





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521678582

© Peter T. Manicas 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-86140-3 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-86140-3 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-67858-2 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-67858-7 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To my wife



Contents

	Acknowleagem	ients	page viii
	Introduction		1
1	Explanation and understanding		7
2	Theory, experiment and the metaphysics of Laplace		26
3	Explanation a	and understanding in the social sciences	42
4	Agents and ge	enerative social mechanisms	75
5	Social science and history		103
6	Markets as social mechanisms		126
		Comparison, Mill's methods and narrative	151 157
	Appendix C	Rational choice theory and historical sociology	171
	Appendix D	The neo-classical model	186
	References		200
	Index		217

vii



Acknowledgements

The ideas in this volume have been germinating for some time. In that time, I have accrued many debts. My education in the social sciences began perhaps with my PhD mentor at Buffalo, Marvin Farber, who, along with Bill Parry, started me on the path I pursue in this book. Farber also let me write a dissertation in the Philosophy Department which would not have been possible at most universities. My instruction in history and the social sciences was advanced when I became Director of the Program in Contemporary Civilization at Queens, a genuinely interdisciplinary program required of all students. While Farber and Parry had convinced me that an understanding of social science was essential - we read Dewey's Logic along with Schütz and standard works in the philosophy of science - Rom Harré and Paul Secord, authors of the important (if for me, mis-titled) volume, The Explanation of Social Behavior (1973) were critical in disabusing me of my lingering and unacknowledged logical empiricism. Paul and I team-taught when we were both members of the Queens College faculty, but I remember well my failure to see the connection between the main messages of their book and what I had brought from Buffalo. Some of these ideas were tried out at Queens College at the Monday lunch group, a remarkable assembly of social scientists too many to list here - who met regularly, lunched, heard papers and had marvelous discussions. I also regularly team-taught with many of this group in an undergraduate social science honors course – a luxury of inefficiency not much tolerated these days. The furious debates between the members of that floating group - Tito Gerassi, Ray Franklin, Carl Riskin, Mike Harrington, Bill Tabb, Paul Avrich, Mike Wreszin, Saul Resnick, Mike Brown, Burton Zweibach and Lenny Markowitz to name only a few – were remarkable learning experiences, even if we sometimes terrified the undergraduates with our passion. The Journal for the Theory of Social Behavior, initiated by Harré and Secord, but edited with style and insight for the past twenty years by Charles Smith, not only provided opportunity for me to test some of these ideas but was where I was introduced to the work of Roy Bhaskar. His presence, along with that of Rom

viii



Acknowledgements

ix

Harré, was large in my *History and Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, my first major attempt to set out what was amiss in our understanding of the social sciences.

I have been lucky also to have held two posts at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa. After taking an early retirement at Queens, I became a member of the Sociology Department and Director of Interdisciplinary Studies at Hawai'i. My two long-standing colleagues in that wonderful unit, Jaishree Odin and Emanuel Drechsel, both powerful interdisciplinary thinkers, have been a constant source of knowledge and support. Prior to that I had taught off and on in the Department of Political Science, where I picked up some further debts, but especially to my good friend Manfred Henningsen. Each of these roles has been a delight. They certainly enabled me to continue my interdisciplinary interests. My large lecture section in sociology 100 was meant to enlarge the idea of introductory social science. While everyone talks about cultivating "the sociological imagination," it is hard to see how one can do this with the awful standard disciplinary textbooks and multiple choice exams. Teaching the sequence of required courses in sociological theory to both graduates and undergraduates forced me to re-think ideas that once seemed clear to me. I am indebted also to my close colleague and friend in the Sociology Department, Herb Barringer.

Most of my debts remain unacknowledged, but I must mention, at least, those who have read and made suggestions on the present work. In addition to some of those already mentioned, these include: Sam Pooley, Gregory Maskarinec and Manfred Steger.