

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)


---

# Index

- abduction, 6
- abduction theory, 6
- accountability
  - cognitive biases increased by, 23
  - cognitive dissonance effect increased by, 23
  - dilution effect and, 33
  - feedback's importance for, 16
  - for decision outcomes, 35
  - for inferences, 33–34
  - hypothesis testing and, 44
  - individual decision making and, 16
  - judgment improved by, 23, 34
  - of judges, 16, 33
  - of jurors, 33
- Actual Innocence Project, 124
- actuarial instruments
  - admissibility and, 140–142
  - and human judgment, 140
  - brain dysfunction diagnosis by, 197
  - components missing from, 166
  - explanatory power of, 140
  - future dangerousness predictions and, 162
  - IQ tests, 164
  - least-squares stepwise multiple regression analysis, 164
  - multi-disciplinary teams v., 126
  - multiple regression statistical tool, 163–164
  - Receiver Operating Characteristic curve statistics of, 126
  - relative accuracy of, 144
  - risk analysis advantage of, 141
  - sexual violence analysis via, 161
  - testimony based on, 166
  - theory underlying, 140
- admissibility
  - actuarial instruments and, 140–142
  - assessing expert's conclusions for, 53–56
  - battered women syndrome testimony, 219
  - Burn's question on, 33
  - Canadian Supreme Court's criteria for, 11
  - consensus standard for, 5
  - court problems with standards of, 113
  - Daubert* standards of, 95
  - determining, 6, 54
  - error rate importance to, 112
  - expert testimony and, 19
  - Frye v. United States* and, 4
  - lawyers/judges determinations of, 63
  - of character evidence, 212
  - of mtDNA, 113
  - statistical significance and, 68
  - sufficiency conflation of, 82–84
- adversarial system, 130, 132
- AFIS. *See* Automated Fingerprint Identification System
- aggression, absence of, 209
- aggression, lack of, 209, 216–217
- Aitken, C. G. G., 115
- Aldridge, Peter, 98
- American Academy of Forensic Sciences survey, 102
- American Psychiatric Association, 128
- analogy-based reasoning, 8
- anchoring heuristic, 151, 152
- animal studies
  - advantages of, 73
  - arguments against, 72
  - chemical exposure in, 71, 73
  - court's rejection of, 72
  - judges difficulties with, 71
  - limitations of, 71
  - misconceptions regarding usefulness of, 76
  - rodent studies, 71
  - rodent study, 71
  - rule-of-thumb hierarchical ranking of, 70
  - superiority of, 70
  - toxicology's use of, 186
- arguments. *See* scientific arguments

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

## 240 Index

- Aristotelian syllogism, 6
- Ashbaugh, David R., 107
- assessment(s)
- EPA risk, 69
  - of arguments, 59
  - of conclusions, 53–56
  - of risk, 48
  - of scientific validity, 51
  - probabilistic, 65
  - Supreme Court and general, 9
  - violence risk, 166
- assumptions
- criteria for basic, 51
  - intellectual due process and, 51
  - mtDNA's usefulness, 117–118
  - randomness, 177
  - reliance on, 50
  - theories built on, 51
  - understanding statistical, 62
- Australia
- Alyce Chamberlin murder case of, 97
  - convictions overturned in, 97–98
  - expert testimony admissibility in, 13
  - no reliability requirements of, 12
  - probative value emphasis in, 13
  - pseudo-science problems of, 99
  - U.S. style legislation of, 13
- Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS), 106
- availability heuristic, 151, 194, 198
- Barefoot v. Estelle* (Supreme Court decision), 124
- and regime of evidentiary federalism, 127–129
  - Blackmun's dissenting opinion in, 129
  - dilution effect and, 153
  - evidentiary contradictions of, 127–131
  - future dangerousness testimony and, 128
  - group decision making dynamics and, 149
  - psychiatric testimony in, 128
  - rules of evidence changed since, 128
- base rate
- defined,
  - fallacy, 195
  - neglect, 197
- battered woman syndrome, 207. *See also* feminists; Walker, Lenore.
- as power struggle,
  - as sub-species of PTSD, 224–225
  - assessing scientific validity of, 220–221
  - bogus science exclusion from, 231
  - castle doctrine and, 215
  - cycle inconsistency in, 222
  - expert testimony permitted for, 218
  - formal v. substantive equality and, 225
  - learned helplessness as false perception in, 220, 222
  - psychological impact evidence for, 229–231
  - questioning Walker's methodology regarding, 223
  - reasonable assessment for, 226
  - scientific unsoundness of, 225
  - size differential considerations in, 215
  - social context evidence for, 225
  - testimony admissibility for, 219
  - Walker, Lenore, on, 220–221, 222–223, 225
- battered woman/women
- characterization of,
  - PTSD of, 230–231
  - Walker's definition of, 221
- batterers, lenient sentencing for, 229
- battling, of experts, 19, 50
- Bayes theorem, 24
- Becker, Gary, 169
- behavior, future
- impossibility of predicting, 138
  - predicting weather v. predicting, 139
- behavioral decision research
- between-subjects designs, 182, 183
  - college students as subjects for, 177–181
  - double-blind experiment control lack in, 185
  - experimental design in, 176–181
  - experimental design trade-offs, 183
  - failing of, 189
  - practical significance lack in, 188
  - small effect sizes of, 188
  - subject (people) variation influence on, 181
  - within-subjects design, 182, 183
- behavioral decision theorists
- claims of, 176
  - heuristic choice of, 173
  - human beings observations of, 170
  - natural selection considerations of, 172
  - questions asked by, 175
- behavioral decision theory. *See also* decision making; decision theorists
- biological interactive systems and, 171
  - law and economics v., 169–176
  - methodology debate, 176
  - strength/weakness of, 170
- between-subjects designs, 182, 183
- bias(es)
- cognitive illusions as,
  - defining, 28, 149
  - instinct and, 175

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

Index 241

- minimizing decision making, 22
  - of groups, 28
  - overconfidence, 27, 34, 152, 199
  - physical attractiveness bias, 24
  - self-serving, 199
- biological information
  - examination of, 47
  - inferential synergy of combined, 48
- biological significance, 64
- biological systems
  - biological/statistical significance importance to, 64
  - human-animal similarities of, 73
- bitemark identification, 94, 96, 109–110
  - Kunco v. Commonwealth case* and, 110
  - People v. Marx* court case and, 109
  - State v. Krone* case and, 110
- Black, Bert, 99
- Blackmun, Harry A., 124
  - Barefoot* dissenting opinion by, 129
  - Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* majority opinion of, 129
- bounded rationality, 20–22, 169
  - unrealistic views of mind replaced by, 20
- Bourke, Judy, 99
- brain, human
  - actuarial instruments, measurement of, 126
  - as computer (metaphor), 44
  - nonlinearity of, 139
- Brown, Malcolm, 101
- Bukszar, E., 188
- burden of proof, 67
- Burns, Robert, 33
- Canada
  - Daubert* approved by courts of, 11
  - Supreme Court of, 11
- cancer
  - causation issues, 77
  - EPA default position on, 77
  - rodent study extrapolations on, 71
- Capital Juror Project, 133, 138
- capital sentencing, 123
  - cognitive quirks impact on, 148–150
  - criminal sentencing v., 132
  - expert testimony proffered at, 133, 146
  - gatekeeping and, 131–133
  - heightened reliability standards of, 131
  - jury decision making for, 146, 149
  - overconfidence heuristic and, 150
  - systematic biases of concern in, 150–153
- case-control studies, 68
- castle doctrine, 215
- causation
  - attribution of, 58
  - conundrum, 65
  - court's difficulty with, 57
  - Daubert* and, 83
  - general, 58
  - human studies and, 70
  - Koch's postulates of, 58–59
  - making decisions about, 62
  - proving, 82
  - scientific uncertainty about, 58
  - specific, 58
- Chamberlain, Alyce, 97
- Chemical Institute of Toxicology report, 86
- chemicals
  - animal studies and, 71, 73
  - EPA's regulation of, 49
  - illness caused by, 47
  - safety testing lack for, 80
- choice shift, group polarization and, 29, 31
- Christensen-Szalanski, J., 189
- civil law, lower standards of, 65
- clinical double-bind studies, 68
- clinical predictions, 125
  - inaccuracies of, 125, 129
- CODIS. *See* Combined DNA Index System
- cognitive bias(es)
  - accountability's influence on, 23
  - dilution effect as, 23–26
- cognitive dissonance, 27
  - accountability and, 23
  - decision making and, 201
  - defined, 150
  - juries and, 150
  - overconfidence and, 150
- cognitive dissonance theory
  - resilience of,
- cognitive illusions. *See also* social psychology
- cognitive quirks
  - capital sentencing impacted by, 148–150
- cognitive shortcuts, 148
- coherence theory (of rationality), 19
- cohort studies, 68
- Cole, Simon A., 107
- Combined DNA Index System (CODIS), 104
- common law countries, 11–14
  - judges as gatekeepers in, 18
- community notification statutes, 155
- complex decisions, 20
- complexity theory, 138–140, 173, 202
- concern for accuracy (in common law), 19, 21, 27, 33, 107

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

## 242 Index

- conclusions, scientific
  - conflicting, 38, 55
  - linguaging problem of, 55
  - tentative phrases couching of, 55
- confidence interval
  - defined, 60
  - scientist's use of, 66
- confidence limits, 61
- conflict, creating impression of, 67
- Connolly, T., 188
- Constitution (U.S.)
  - Eighth Amendment, 135
  - Fourteenth Amendment, 135
- controls, importance of, 52
- convictions
  - foundationless evidence and, 95
  - overturning of, 97
- correspondence theory (of rationality), 19
- court(s)
  - admissibility standards, problems of, 113
  - analysis standards, circumvented by, 95
  - animal studies rejected by, 72
  - causality difficulties of, 57
  - causation conundrum in, 65
  - certainty sought by, 54
  - criminal evidence dilemma of, 96
  - domestic violence issues of, 203
  - epidemiological studies and, 69
  - evidence excluded by, 65, 67, 84, 93
  - expert testimony rejected by, 74, 131
  - extrapolation struggles of, 76
  - future dangerousness testimony exclusion by, 130
  - general acceptance test of,
  - in vitro tissue culture studies, discomfort of, 80
  - lab standards/protocols issues of, 53
  - pseudo-science admitted into, 99
  - risk assessment/management by, 48
  - scientific validity examined by, 11
  - scientific validity not addressed by, 127
  - State v. Council* failure by, 120–121
- courtroom disputes, scientific evidence focus in,
- Cowans, Stephen, DNA evidence exoneration of, 108
- Coyle, Marcia, 99, 102
- Crane, Michael, 164. *See also* *Kansas v. Crane*
- Criminal Cases Review Commission (England), 12
- criminal cases, judge testimony permissiveness in, 94
- criminal laboratories, modernizing protocols of, 10
- criminal sentencing, capital sentencing v., 132
- Cummins, Harold, 100
- cumulative studies, impact of, 57, 71, 233–234
- Damaska, Mirjan, 130
- data
  - actuarial instruments for analyzing, 126
  - conflicting, 49
  - examining available, 47–49
  - trimming, 63
- data dredging experiments, 38
- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*
  - decision, 4
  - admissibility standards of, 95
  - and causation, 83
  - as constitutional minimum, 124, 133–137
  - Blackmun's majority opinion in, 129
  - Canada's approval of, 11
  - circumventing mandate of, 111
  - evidentiary contradictions of, 127–131
  - expert testimony admissibility prior to, expert testimony influenced by, 129
  - fingerprint challenge of, 105
  - Frye's* difference with, 10
  - judicial analysis influenced by, 11
  - junk science and,
  - Kozinski's remand in, 10
  - Rehnquist's dissent of, 7, 9–10
  - relevance and,
  - standards, 82
  - structured analysis required under, 149
  - Supreme Court (U.S.) guidelines for, 5
  - Supreme Court's granting of certiorari in, 9
  - unanswered questions of, 84
- Dawes, Robyn, 171
- death penalty
  - future dangerousness and, 143
  - jurisprudence, 134
  - Supreme Court's minimal standard for, 136
- decision making. *See also* behavioral decision theory; social psychology
  - accountability in, 35
  - aids to, 22
  - Barefoot v. Estelle* and dynamics of group, 149
  - basis of sound, 208
  - biological/evolutionary process consideration in, 171
  - by judges, 14, 18, 46
  - by juries, 14
  - causation and, 62
  - cognitive dissonance theory and, 201
  - disbelief in rational choice models of, 21
  - experimental settings v. real world, 186

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

Index 243

- group v. individual, 28
- heuristics and, 20–21
- increasing accuracy of, 34
- individual biases in, 28, 149
- inferences and, 21–22
- minimizing biases in, 22
- nonperfection in,
  - of groups, 27–32, 33, 149, 152
  - question variance for, 192
  - simplification of, 59
  - story model model of, 147–148
  - structural analysis advantages in, 126
- decision theory, 170
- defendant, lack of aggression by, 209, 216–217
- defense of premises doctrine, 203
- democratic system, rule of law in, 122
- descriptive statistics, 60
- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-IV)*, 133
- Diamond, Jared, 175, 196
- dilution effect, 23–26, 33
  - as cognitive bias, 149
  - accountability and, 33
  - Barefoot v. Estelle* and, 153
  - causes of, 24
  - group processes lowering of, 26
  - heuristics underlying, 24
  - irrelevant information and, 149
- DNA
  - nuclear analysis of, 116
  - PCR technique and, 104
  - RFLP analysis of, 112
  - STR of, 104
  - VNTR of, 104
- DNA Identification Act, 102
- DNA typing. *See also* mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid; nuclear DNA analysis; restriction fragment length polymorphism DNA analysis
  - as boon for defense/prosecution, 100–102
  - as DNA “fingerprinting,” 104
  - as gold standard, 104
  - Kron exoneration from, 110
  - National Research Council reports on, 118
  - theory behind, 115
  - Virginia State Crime Lab errors with, 101
- doctrine of relevance, 18
- domestic violence
  - cycles/phases of, 220
  - expert testimony about, 225
  - judge’s/court’s issues with, 203
  - misconceptions about prevalence of, 205
  - statistics on, 203
- dose-response relationships, 53, 73
- dual-process model of reasoning, 189–190
- Due Process Clause (14th Amendment)
  - right to fair hearings of, 134, 135
- due process, free-standing, 135
- economists, probability theory and, 171
- effect size, 188–189, 191
- egocentric biases, 26
- Eighth Amendment (U.S. Constitution), 135
- emotions
  - framing effects and, 193
  - nonrandomness of, 193
- empirical support
  - criminal evidence lacking in, 53
  - expert’s need for, 54
- England
  - Criminal Cases Review Commission of, 12
  - no reliability requirements of, 12
  - Royal Commission on Criminal Justice of, 12
  - Sally Clark case of, 12
- English law, admissibility of expert opinion
  - testimony under, 11
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
  - cancer default position of, 77
  - chemicals regulated by, 49
  - guidelines of, 5
  - risk assessment, 69
  - scientific claims evaluated by,
    - target-organ studies position of, 77
- EPA. *See* Environmental Protection Agency
- epidemiological studies, 58, 64, 69
  - courts and, 69
  - limited availability of, 73
  - meta-analysis technique, 180
  - small sample size problems in, 177
- epidemiologists, operational criteria of, 58
- epistemology
  - gatekeeper issue view of, 14
  - naturalized,
    - normative, 122
  - reciprocal containment, 7
  - social, 14
- Epstein, Robert, 108
- equality
  - competing conceptions of, 206–209
  - criticism of, 206–207
  - formal equality issue, 208, 225
  - gender and, 206
  - justice and, 204
  - substantive, 204, 206–207, 208, 225
- equilibrium theory (of evolution), 173

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

## 244 Index

- error rates
  - admissibility and, 112
  - identification techniques for, 96
- errors
  - commission of statistical, 103
  - random v. systematic, 60
- Europe, inquisitorial adjudication system of, 131
- Everett, Ian, 108
- evidence, criminal
  - court's dilemma regarding, 96
  - empirical support lacking in, 53
  - failing to report contradictory, 63
  - scientifically unsound, 95
  - types of excluded, 123
- evidence, hearsay, 123
- evidence law, 204
- evidence, rules of, 205
  - abandoning strict adherence to, 124
  - accuracy concerns, 19
  - Barefoot v. Estelle*'s influence on, 128
  - declining to apply, 132
  - gender-blind, neutrality of, 206
  - modernizing protocols of, 10
- evidence, scientific
  - cumulative impact of, 80–82
  - exclusion of, 65, 67, 84, 93
  - Frye v. United States* and, litigation and, 8
  - proffered probability statement for, 103
- evidence, self-defense, 209–210
- evidence, social context, 223
- evidence-based medicine movement, 197
- evidentiary federalism, 127–129
- exemplary reasoning, 6
- experiments
  - data dredging, 38
  - designing of, 52–53
  - on humans, 58
- experts, scientific
  - admissibility inquiry of, 19
  - assessing conclusions of, 53–56
  - battling of, 19, 50
  - categorical v. statistical articulation of results, 142
  - concerns regarding, 19
  - conflicting conclusions of, 38, 55
  - court-appointed/adversarial, 11
  - cross-examination of, 12
  - diagnosis/prediction variance of, 126
  - empirical support needed by, 54
  - excess leeway provided to, 99
  - information gap influence on, 49
  - jury education by, 166
  - Netherlands's appointment of, 57
  - nontolerance for confusion created by, 145
  - overconfidence bias and, 34
  - perjury by, 97
  - wrongness/rightness of, 96
- extrapolation(s)
  - animal to human, 72–73
  - court struggles with, 76
  - high dosage to low dosage, 73–77
  - qualitative, 73
  - quantitative, 73
  - rebuttable presumption similarity to, 72
  - rodent study, 71
  - route of exposure, 78–80
  - target organ, 77–78
- F.B.I.
  - CODIS of, 104
  - fingerprint misidentification by, 109
  - Justice Department report on, 97, 102–103
  - STR loci (sites) used by, 104
  - substandard forensic lab work by, 102
  - whitepaper hair analysis commentary of, 115
- facts, scientific
  - knowledge and, 50
  - probabilistic nature of, 66
- false positive
  - false negative v., 102–103
  - tests, 103
- falsification
  - of assertions, 161
  - of incrimination, 112
  - of null hypothesis, 170
  - theory of, 7, 42, 44
- Faulds, Henry, 106
- FDA. *See* Food and Drug Administration
- Federal Death Penalty Act of 1994, 133
- Federal Judicial Center, 59
- Federal Rules of Evidence (U.S.), 131
  - 1975 adoption of, 9
  - amendment to, 4
  - Australia's legislation similarity to, 13
  - judges and, 4–5
- feedback
  - accountability's importance for, 16
- feminists
  - equal treatment under law issue, 204
  - formal equality issue, 208, 225
  - non-equal applications of rules, 206
  - relational, 207, 209
  - science critiqued by, 37

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

Index 245

- self-defense rules critique of, 206
- substantive equality issue, 204, 206–207, 225
- fingerprints/fingerprint technology, 94
  - concerns for accuracy, 107
  - Daubert's* challenge to, 105
  - discrepancies with, 112
  - Faulds cautions regarding, 106
  - Galton's statistical model for, 106
  - Judge Pollack's effort regarding, 112
  - lack of controlled studies regarding, 105
  - Llera Plaza* I court case and, 107
  - matching process difficulties with, 107
  - National Institute of Justice and, 107
  - People v. Jennings* court case and, 105
  - problems with, 106
  - reliability concerns about, 104–109
  - zero error claims made regarding, 108
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 68
- forensic laboratories
  - FBI substandard work in, 102
  - mandatory regulation lacking in, 102
  - methodological problems in, 101
  - scientific standards ignored in, 96–97
- forensic odontologists (bitemark experts), 97
- forensic science, 95, 98
- formaldehyde, example of toxic tort analysis, 84
- Fourteenth Amendment (U.S. Constitution), 135
- framing effects heuristic, 190–193, 194
- Frank, Jerome, 92
- frequentist statistics, 21
- Frye v. United States*
  - Daubert's* difference with, 10
  - Frye* test, 4, 13
  - New Zealand equivalence of, 13
  - scientific evidence admissibility standard of, 8
- fundamental fairness, 232
  - as requirement of rationality, 136
  - judicial gatekeeping as minimum for, 235
  - rule of law requirement of, 130
- future dangerousness predictions
  - actuarial instruments used for, 162
  - prior criminal records reliance of, 162
  - under Hendricks/Crane, 156–158
- future dangerousness testimony
  - American Psychiatric Association's
    - opposition to, 128
  - as key to jury's life/death determination,
    - castigation of, 129
  - court exclusion of, 130
  - death penalty and, 143
  - forms of expert testimony about, 125–127
  - in United Kingdom (U.K.), 155–156
  - juries influenced by, 142–143
  - lack of scientific basis for, 136
  - nonexamination of, 146
  - scientific validity lacking in, 156
- Galton, Francis, 106
- gambler's fallacy, 174
- game theory, 21
- gaps, in scientific knowledge, 51
- gatekeepers
  - appropriateness of role of, 10
  - epistemology view of, 14
  - judges as, 7, 11, 18, 35, 153, 232
  - need for, 14
  - Supreme Court (U.S.) divided on issue of, 14
- gatekeeping
  - and capital sentencing, 131–133
  - judicial, 153
- Gaudette, B. D., 115
- gender, equality and, 206
- general causation, 58
- general consensus standard. *See Frye* test
- General Electric Co. v. Joiner*, 4, 55, 81
  - Daubert* standards reiterated in, 129
  - standards, 82
  - unanswered questions of, 84
- generalization
  - inductive, 6
  - probabilistic, 6
- genocide, 196
- Giannelli, Paul C., 120
- Gibbons, Ann, 116, 117
- Gigerenzer, Gerd, 171
- global rationality, 20
- Gregg v. Georgia*, accurate sentencing
  - information and, 135
- Grieve, David L., 108
- group dynamics, 152
- group polarization, 29
  - choice shift and, 29, 31
  - diverse groups and absence of, 31
  - group discussion influence on, 30
  - individual changing view explanation of, 30
  - persuasive argument theory explanation of, 31
  - studies of, 31
- groups
  - biases of, 28
  - decisionmaking dynamics of, 27–32, 33, 152
  - extreme judgments by, 29
  - final judgments of, 28
  - overconfidence bias and, 34

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

## 246 Index

- groups (*cont.*)
- polarizations' absence with diversity of, 31
  - social loafing in, 32
- guidelines
- of Environmental Protection Agency, 5
  - of Supreme Court, 5
- hair analysis, 94, 96
- F.B.I.'s whitepaper commentary on, 115
  - mtDNA testing used in, 114
  - State v. Council* use of, 115, 117
  - theory of, 114
  - Williams v. Reynolds* case and, 111
- handwriting analysis, 94, 96
- Hansen, Mark, 99
- Hare Psychology Checklist-Revised (PCL-R), 133
- Hart, D. L., 104
- Hastie, Reid, 138, 147, 171
- hearsay evidence, 123
- Hendricks, Leo, 163. *See also* Kansas v. Hendricks 157
- heuristic(s)
- anchoring, 151, 152
  - availability, 151, 194, 198
  - behavioral decision theorists choice of, 173
  - bias and, 175
  - capital sentencing and overconfidence, 150
  - cognitive psychologist's meaning of, 20–21
  - decision making and, 20
  - defined, 20
  - dilution effect and, 24
  - framing effects, 190–194
  - instinct and, 175
  - overconfidence, 150
  - representative, 24–25, 151, 194, 198
  - satisficing, 20
  - social norms, 24, 25–26
  - stereotyping outsiders, 196
  - support theory for, 190
- high-dosage extrapolations, 73–77
- Higuchi, Russell, 117
- Hill, Austin, 58
- Holt, Sarah B., 105
- homicide
- common law doctrine of justified, 217
  - self-defense as justification for, 208
- hot hand fallacy, 174
- human beings
- decision theorist observation of, 170
  - experimenting on, 58
  - imperfect studies of, 185
  - natural selection and, 172
  - self-serving bias of, 199
  - short-cuts used by, 170
  - stereotyping by, 196
- human studies
- as “gold standard,” 68
  - causation and, 70
  - inherent weaknesses of, 69
  - rule-of-thumb hierarchical ranking of, 68
- hung juries, 149
- hypotheses
- careful construction of, 93
  - determining validity of, 47
  - testing of, 61
  - theories v., 46–47
- hypothesis testing. *See also* testability
- accountability in, 44
  - effect of variables in, 44
  - in scientific method, 36
- identification techniques. *See also* bitemark
- identification; fingerprints/fingerprint technology; hair analysis; voice spectrography
  - challenging previously accepted, 10
  - DNA as, 47
  - error rates for, 96
  - problems of, 100
  - types of, 94
- in vitro tissue culture studies, 80
- individual decision making
- accountability and, 16
  - group decision making v., 27, 32
- individual variation
- analysis of variance, 181
  - consequence debates regarding, 182
  - problems of, 181–184, 186
  - statistical methods for dealing with, 181
- individuals, unique identifying characteristics of, 47
- inductive generalization, 6
- inductive reasoning, 6
- infant deaths, unexplained, 101. *See also* sudden infant death syndrome 98
- inference(s)
- abduction and, 3
  - accountability for, 33–34
  - causal, 64
  - components of scientific, 48
  - decision making and, 21–22
  - justifiable, 7
  - making inappropriate, 63
  - statistical, 41, 63
  - unavoidability of, 51



Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

Index 247

- inferential statistics, 60
- inferential synergy, 48
- information
  - biological, 47
  - cognitive shortcuts in processing, 21
  - difficulty sorting, 23
  - gaps in, 49
  - heuristics and, 20
  - irrelevant v. relevant, 24, 149
  - judges screening irrelevant, 18
  - juries need for relevant, 146
  - non-exclusion of, 82
  - post-decision dissonance decreased via, 25–27
  - rationality and accuracy of, 19
  - reasoning based on trustworthy, 122
  - relevance of, 33
- Innocence Project, 100, 101
- instinct, heuristics/biases and, 175
- intellectual due process
  - assumptions and, 51
  - by judges, 5, 6
  - limitation of, 135
  - relevance as requirement of, 35
- interdisciplinarity, 8
- internal validity, 185
- interviews, with death penalty jurors, 147, 151
- involuntary commitment statutes, 157
- IQ tests, 164
  
- Janis, Irving, 152
- Joiner*. *See* *General Electric Co. v. Joiner*
- judges
  - accountability of, 16, 33
  - admissibility determinations by, 6, 54, 63
  - animal studies influence on, 71
  - argument assessment by, 59
  - as gatekeepers, 7, 11, 18, 35, 95, 153, 232
  - as triers of science, 4
  - Australia's probative value emphasis of, 13
  - conflict's influence on, 67
  - criminal case testimony permissiveness of, 94
  - decision making by, 14, 18, 34, 46
  - domestic violence issues of, 203
  - educational needs of, 42
  - evidence excluded by, 65, 67
  - examining statistical inference by, 63
  - expert's testimony consideration by, 46
  - Federal Rules of Evidence and, 4–5
  - intellectual due process provided by, 5, 6
  - media's influence of, 151
  - political pressure on federal, 18
  - role of, 9
  - science difficulty of, 5
  - science thinking success of, 10
  - scientific testimony evaluated by, 4
  - scientific validity questioned by, 9
  - scientist's viewpoint v. viewpoint of, 66
  - screening irrelevant information by, 18
  - self-defense involvement of, 209
  - study types preferred by, 70
  - Supreme Court (U.S.) guidelines for, 5
  - testimony decisions of, 58, 96
  - unconscious decisions of, 15
- judgment(s)
  - accountability's improvement of, 23, 34
  - actuarial instruments and human, 140
  - group final, 28
  - nonperfection of,
    - self-belief in, 27
  - shortcuts in making, 21
  - structured reasoning's impact on, 16, 22–23
- judicial analysis, *Daubert's* influence on, 11
- judicial screening, 33–35
  - of expert witnesses, 18, 27
- junk science, *Daubert's* influence on,
- juries. *See also* mock-juries
  - capital sentencing by, 146, 149
  - cognitive dissonance and, 150
  - decision making by, 14
  - duty to retreat imposition by, 217–218
  - future dangerousness influence on, 142–143
  - hung, 149
  - information sorting difficulties of, 23
  - physical attractiveness influence on, 24
  - predictability and, 142
  - reasoned moral response of, 132
  - relevant information required by, 146
  - scientific expert's education of, 142, 166
  - screening irrelevant information from, 18
  - self-defense constraints of, 214
  - social environment influence on, 27
  - studies of mock-juries, 31
  - unconscious decisions of, 15
- jurisprudence
  - death penalty, 134
  - transformation of, 129
- jurors
  - accountability of, 33
  - cognitive dissonance of, 150
  - experts rated by, 147
  - interviews with death penalty, 147, 151
  - overconfidence of, 150
  - relevance of information to, 33
  - self-belief of capital, 150
  - skewed perceptions shared by, 151

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

## 248 Index

- jurors (*cont.*)  
     underestimating years served, 15  
     violence overestimation by, 143  
 jury instructions, importance of, 147  
 jury system, separation of powers doctrine and, 17  
 justice  
     as equal treatment under law, 204  
     equality and, 204  
 Justice Department Report, 97, 102–103  
 justifiable inferences, 7  
 Justin, Peter, 200
- Kahn, Patricia, 117  
 Kahnemann, Daniel, 190  
 Kansas Sexually Violent Predator Act (“Kansas Act”), 162. *See also* pedophilia 156  
*Kansas v. Crane*, 156–158  
*Kansas v. Hendricks*, 156, 157, 158  
 Kaye, David H., 116, 118  
 Kershaw, Sara, 109  
 killing, men v. women, 229  
 knowledge  
     gaps in scientific, 51  
     scientific dialogue and growth of, 45  
     scientific facts and, 50  
 Koch, causation postulates of, 58–59  
 Koehler, Jonathan J., 98, 100, 115, 120, 190  
 Koenig, Bruce E., 96  
 Kozinski, Judge  
     admissibility factor added by, 10  
     *Daubert* remand of, 10  
 Kreuger, Joachim, 174  
 Kuhn, Thomas, 38  
*Kumbo Tire v. Carmichael*, 5  
     *Daubert* standards reiterated in, 129  
*Kunco v. Commonwealth case*, 110
- Lakatos, Imre, 38  
 law  
     evidence, 204  
     guaranteed equal protection under, 206  
     human behavior research influence on, 168–169  
     justice as equality under, 204  
     moral authority of, 232  
     social psychology’s importance to, 168  
 lawyers  
     admissibility determinations of, 63  
     examining statistical inference by, 63  
 least-squares stepwise multiple regression analysis, 164  
 legal paradigms, social construction of, 38
- Lempert, Richard, 104  
 Lewontin, Richard C., 104  
 linear effects theory, 75  
 litigation  
     appropriate inferences in context of, 51  
     dosage issues/lab condition issues and, 76  
     questionable science and, 8  
*Llera Plaza* court case, 98, 107  
     Judge Pollack’s fingerprint analysis in, 112, 113  
 loafing. *See* social loafing  
 logic. *See* scientific logic  
 low dosage extrapolations, 73–77
- Maceo, Alice, 100  
 Marshal, Eliot, 117, 120  
 Marusic, Ana, 117  
 materiality, principle of, 122  
 Mayfield, Brandon, 109  
 McCartney, Carole, 97  
 medical research, control-based ranking used in, 185  
 Megans’s Law, 155  
 men, killing of women v. killing of, 229  
 meta-analysis technique, 180  
 metaphors  
     brain as computer, 44  
     heart as pump, 44  
     scientific theories and, 46  
     scientific understanding aided by, 39, 51  
 methodology  
     defined, 51  
     protocols and standardization of, 52  
     questioning soundness of, 56, 116  
 Midlo, Charles, 100  
 Minnesota Multiphase Personality Inventory (MMPI), 197  
 Minnesota Sex Offender Screening Test-Revised (MnSOST-R), 159  
 mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid (mtDNA)  
     analysis, 113, 114  
     assumptions regarding usefulness of, 117–118  
     PCR technique used with, 118  
     possible DNA contamination with, 118  
 MMPI. *See* Minnesota Multiphase Personality Inventory (MMPI)  
 MnSOST-R. *See* Minnesota Sex Offender Screening Test-Revised (MnSOST-R)  
 mock-juries, studies of, 31  
*Mohan* court decision, 11  
 mtDNA. *See* mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid (mtDNA)  
 multiple regression statistical tool, 163–164

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

Index 249

- murder, rule of law and, 155  
 Murdock, John E., 102  
 myth, science v., 45
- National Institute of Justice, 107  
 National Research Council, DNA reports by, 118  
 natural selection, 172  
 naturalized epistemology, 7  
 nature never repeats assertion, 100  
 Netherlands, court appointed experts in, 57  
 Neufeld, Peter, 100  
 New Zealand  
   expert testimony admissibility in, 13  
   *Frye* test equivalent of, 13  
   no reliability requirements of, 12  
 nonscientists, reasoning process of, 6  
 normative epistemology, 122  
 nuclear DNA analysis, 116  
 null hypothesis, 44, 61, 62, 63  
   defined, 61  
   falsification of, 170  
   importance of, 187
- objectivity, scientific method and, 37  
 Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)  
   formaldehyde exposure standards of, 86  
 outcomes, self-enhancing interpretations of, 199  
 overconfidence  
   cognitive dissonance and, 150  
   of jurors, 150  
 overconfidence bias, 27, 34, 152, 199  
 overconfidence heuristic, 150
- Paracelsus's maxim, 74  
 paradigms. *See* legal paradigms; scientific paradigms  
 pattern recognition, 197  
 PCR technique. *See* polymerase chain reaction technique  
 pedophilia, Kansas Sexually Violent Predator Act and, 157  
 Peirce, Charles Sanders, abduction theory of, 6  
 penile plethysmographs, 162  
 Pennington, Nancy, 138, 147  
*People v. Jennings* court case, 105  
*People v. Marx* bitemark case, 109  
 persuasive arguments theory, 29, 31, 148–150  
 Peterson, Joseph L., 102  
 phallometric studies, 161  
   penile plethysmographs used in, 162  
   physical attractiveness, influence of, 24  
   policymakers, reliable information needed by, 146  
 Pollack, Judge, 112, 113  
 polygraph technique,  
 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique, 104, 118  
 Popper, Karl, 36, 39, 43  
 population genetics theory, 100  
 post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)  
   battered woman and, 224–225, 230–231  
   description of, 230  
 Powell, Lewis, 124  
 power  
   defined, 42, 60  
   significance levels and, 63  
   toxic court cases and, 66  
   truth and, 17  
 predator. *See* sexual predators  
 predictability  
   and juries, 142  
   inherent limits of, 140  
   rare events and, 141  
 predictions  
   clinical/actuarial, 125, 129  
   complexity theory and inherent limits of, 138–140, 202  
   inaccuracy of violent behavior, 198  
   rightness v. wrongness of, 148  
 prejudices (prior), tainting influence of, 126  
 preponderance standard, 82–84  
 probabilistic assessment, 65  
 probabilistic generalization, 6  
 probabilistic reasoning, 8, 39–43  
 probability, 62  
   as physical quality, 40  
   definition of, 40  
   scientists and, 41  
   statistical inference and, 41  
   theory of, 40  
 probability theory, 40, 114, 171  
 proficiency testing, 102  
 proof, burden of, 67  
 proportionality, self-defense and, 214  
 prospect theory, 190, 191, 192  
 psychiatrists, *Barefoot v. Estelle* testimony by, 128  
 psychologists, cognitive, heuristics and, 20–21  
 PTSD. *See* post-traumatic stress disorder
- qualitative extrapolations, 73  
 quantitative extrapolations, 73  
 Quinie, Willard V.,

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

## 250 Index

- 
- racial stereotyping, 197
  - random sampling, 25, 178
  - randomness assumptions, 177
  - randomness, statistical inference and, 41
  - Rapid Risk Assessment for Sexual Offense
    - Recidivism (RRASOR), 159
  - rare events, predictability of, 141
  - rational choice, 24
  - rational utility theory, 171
  - rationality, 5
    - accurate information prerequisite to, 19
    - as goal of rule of law, 19
    - asserting goals of, 6, 17
    - bounded, 20, 22, 169
    - constraints of, 38
    - correspondence/coherence theory of, 19
    - debatable meaning of, 36
    - fundamental fairness requirement of, 136
    - game theory assumption of, global, 20
    - real world, 19
  - reasoning. *See also* structured reasoning
    - “dual-process model” of, 189–190
    - about biological systems, 64
    - analogy-based, 8
    - deductive, 45
    - exemplary, 6
    - inductive, 6
    - metaphorical basis (proposed) for, 189
    - probabilistic, 8, 39–43
    - structured, 7, 140
    - training’s improvement of, 34
    - trustworthy information based, 122
    - underlying principles of, 5
  - Receiver Operating Characteristic curve
    - statistics, 126
  - recidivism, violent, 156, 163
    - base rate of, 156
    - prediction of, 164
  - Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence
    - (Federal Judicial Center), 233
  - regression analysis, 163
    - VRAG and, 164
  - Rehnquist, William
    - Daubert* dissent of, 7, 9–10
    - Joiner* and, 83
  - relational feminists, 207, 209
  - relative risk, 60
  - relevance
    - conditions of, 35
    - criteria of, 130
    - Daubert* and, doctrine of, 18
    - due process and, 35
    - screening for, 14
  - reliability, scientific, 4, 46
  - representative heuristic
    - defined, 195
    - original study of, 24–25, 151, 194
    - research on, 198
  - research, laboratory v. field, 193–195. *See also*
    - medical research; scientific research
  - restriction fragment length polymorphism
    - (RFLP) DNA analysis, 112
  - retirals, bad lab practices leading to, 97
  - RFLP DNA analysis. *See* restriction fragment length polymorphism DNA analysis
  - rights, fundamental, 135
  - Risinger, D. Michael, 96
  - risk. *See also* violence risk assessments
    - actuarial instrument improvement of, 141
    - analysis, 141, 144, 159
    - as probabilistic statement, 159
    - as social construct, 141
    - assessment v. management of, 48
    - of violent recidivism, 156, 163
    - relative, 60
  - Roberts, Paul, 94
  - rodent studies, 71
  - route of exposure, 78–80
  - Royal Commission on Criminal Justice, 12
  - RRASOR. *See* Rapid Risk Assessment for Sexual Offense Recidivism (RRASOR)
  - rule of law
    - as safeguard, 17
    - definition of, 6, 17
    - fundamental fairness requirement of, 130
    - in democratic system, 122
    - murders/violent sex offenses and, 155
    - truth/rationality goals of, 19, 122
  - Saks, Michael J., 98, 100, 114
  - Sally Clark case (England), 12, 97, 98
  - satisficing heuristics, 20
  - Scheck, Barry, 100
  - science. *See also* Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence (Federal Judicial Center)
    - as charter of uncertainty (Frank), 92
    - as collaborative enterprise, 81
    - as creative process, 38
    - as process movement, 92
    - background assumptions of, 42
    - determining what counts as, 122
    - feminist critique of, 37
    - idea construction process of, 47
    - junk, 8

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

Index 251

- Kuhn's philosophy of, 38
- litigation and questionable, 8
- metaphor and, 39, 51
- myth v., 45
- Poppers' philosophy of, 36, 39, 43
- probabilistic reasoning underlying, 39
- questioning/critical attitude of, 38
- value-laden nature of, 141
- scientific analysis, theories as starting points for, 46
- scientific arguments
  - cognitive shortcuts in evaluating, 148
  - demystification of
  - language/structure of
  - theory/data juxtaposition in, 45
- scientific dialogue, 45
- scientific inferences, 48
- scientific logic, nonspecialness of,
- scientific method
  - definitions of, 36
  - hypothesis testing in, 36
  - objectivity as goal of, 37
  - Popper's debunking of, 36
  - Supreme Court (U.S.) on, 36, 84
- scientific noses, counting of, 6–11
- scientific paradigms, social construction of, 38
- scientific research
  - behavioral decision, 176–181
  - experimental settings v. real-world decision making, 186
  - growing sophistication of, 49
  - individual variation concern in, 181
  - on self-perception, 174
- scientific studies. *See also* animal studies; human studies
  - in vitro tissue culture, 80
  - battered women study (Walker, Lenore), 221
  - biological significance studies, 64
  - building/integrating influence of, 81
  - case reports, 69
  - case-control, 68
  - clinical double-blind, 68
  - cohort, 68
  - controlling extrinsic variables in, 52
  - epidemiological, 58, 64, 69
  - inferential synergy of combining research from, 48
  - judge preferences of, 70
  - of group polarization, 31
  - of mock-juries, 31
  - on self-perception, 174
  - phallometric studies, 161, 162
  - post-decision dissonance study, 25–27
  - questioning methodology of, 56
  - repeating of, 60
  - structure-activity relationship, 80–81
  - target-organ, 77
  - toxicology, 52, 58, 78
- scientific testimony, complexity of, 14–15
- scientific validity
  - assessing, 51
  - battered woman syndrome and, 220–221
  - challenging of, 10
  - court's examination of, 11
  - future dangerousness testimony and, 156
  - judge's questioning of, 9
  - proficiency testing/high lab standards and, 102
  - shared perceptions of, 38
  - state's tests for, 4
- scientists. *See also* nonscientists
  - argument assessment by, 59
  - basic premise agreement of, 42
  - belief/reality disconnect of, 41
  - confidence interval used by, 66
  - differences among, 36–37
  - evaluation process of, 39
  - inaccuracies controlled/eliminated by, 62
  - judge viewpoint v. viewpoint of, 66
  - non-magic numbers used by, 63
  - non-threshold response assumed by, 76
  - Popper on task of, 43
  - probability and, 41
  - theories as understood by, 41
  - valid causal inferences of, 64
- screening. *See* judicial screening
- self-categorization theory, 29
- self-defense
  - absent alternatives for, 212
  - as justification for homicide, 208
  - deceased's character relevance in, 212
  - defendant's lack of aggression, 216–217
  - defined, 214
  - duty to retreat as, 217–219
  - evidence of, 209–210
  - excuse v. justified, 203
  - feminist critique of rules of, 206
  - "heat of passion," 203
  - imminence requirement for, 203, 210–211, 212
  - judge involvement for, 209
  - jury constraints with, 214
  - personal size considerations for, 214–216
  - proof requirements for, 209
  - proportionality requirement for, 214
  - temporal proximity requirement for, 211

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-67655-7 - Evaluating Scientific Evidence: An Interdisciplinary Framework for Intellectual Due Process

Erica Beecher-Monas

Index

[More information](#)

## 252 Index

- self-perception, research on, 174
- self-serving bias, 199
- Sensbaugh, George, 116
- sentencing hearings. *See also* capital sentencing; criminal sentencing
- as evidentiary free-for-alls, 123
  - court's failure to address scientific validity at, 127
  - court's refusal to scrutinize expert testimony at, 131
  - declining to apply rules of evidence at, 132
  - hearsay evidence admitted at, 123
- separation of powers doctrine, 17
- sex offenders
- hearings for, 158–159
  - incorrigibility of, 155
  - MnSOST-R and, 159
- sex offenses. *See also* Kansas Sexually Violent Predator Act ("Kansas Act"); predator, sexual
- rule of law and, 155
  - statutes regarding, 156
  - unpredictability of, 157
- Sexual Offender Risk Assessment Guide (SORAG), 159
- sexual predators
- community notification statutes and, 155
  - defined, 156
  - phallometric studies of, 161
- sexual violence
- instruments used in assessing, 159
  - phallometric studies and, 161
- short term repeats (STR), 104
- short-cuts, uncertainty and use of, 170
- shortcuts, cognitive, 148
- SIDS. *See* sudden infant death syndrome
- significance
- statistical, 61
  - testing, 67
- social comparison theory, 29
- social epistemology, 14
- social influence network theory, 29
- social loafing, in groups, 32
- social norms heuristic, 24, 25–26
- social psychology
- debates regarding, 23
  - legal importance of, 168
  - statistics used in, 176–178
- SORAG. *See* Sexual Offender Risk Assessment Guide (SORAG)
- specific causation, 58
- St. Petersburg Paradox, 190
- Stacey, Robert B., 107, 109
- standard deviation, 60, 63, 176
- standards
- admissibility consensus, 5
  - civil law's lower, 65
  - Daubert, 82
  - forensic laboratories ignoring of, 96–97
  - goal of scientific, 66
  - Joiner, 82
  - legal v. scientific, 65, 66
  - preponderance as, 82–84
- State v. Council*
- admissibility standards problem in, 5
  - court's failures in, 120–121
  - hair identification used in, 115, 117
- State v. Krone* case, bitemark/DNA identification and, 110
- statistical analysis, 60
- statistical assumptions, 62
- statistical inference, 41
- statistical significance, 61
- admissibility and, 68
  - biological significance and, 64
  - importance of, 64
  - legal burden of proof and, 67
  - power and, 63
  - unthinking use of, 67
- statistical techniques, 181
- statistical theory, 160
- statistics
- errors in, 62
  - frequentist, 21
  - social psychologists use of, 176–178
- statutes
- community notification, 155
  - involuntary commitment, 157
  - sexually violent predator, 156
- stereotyping
- as human thought characteristic, 196
  - racial, 197
  - tainting influence of, 126
- stereotyping outsiders heuristic, 196
- Stoney, David A., 106
- story model model, of decision making, 147–148
- STR. *See* short term repeats
- structure-activity relationship studies, 80–81
- structured reasoning, 7, 45, 140
- judgment improved by, 16, 22–23
- students, (college) as research subjects, 177–181
- studies. *See* scientific studies
- substantive equality, 204, 206–207, 208, 225
- sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS), 12
- suffering, women's v. men's, 207

- sufficiency, admissibility conflated with, 82–84
- support theory, 175, 190, 194
  - shortcoming of, 202
- Supreme Court (Canada), admissibility criteria of, 11
- Supreme Court (U.S.)
  - and future dangerousness testimony, 127
  - certiorari granted in *Daubert* by, 9
  - Daubert* guidelines of, 5
  - death penalty minimal standards of, 136
  - evidence excluded by, 93
  - expert admissibility jurisprudence
    - transformed by, 4
  - gatekeeper quandary of, 14
  - general assessment test dispatched by, 9
  - guidelines for judges by, 5
  - Kansas v. Crane* examined by, 158
  - Kansas v. Hendricks* examined by, 156, 157
  - on scientific method, 36, 84
- target-organ studies, 77
- testability
  - as key precept, 43
  - challenges to, 44
- testimony, expert
  - actuarial instrument based, 166
  - Australia/New Zealand admissibility of, 13
  - based on hypothetical question, 128
  - capital sentencing and, 133, 146
  - court's refusal to scrutinize, 131
  - court's rejection of, 74
  - Daubert's* influence on, 129
  - domestic violence, 205, 225
  - evidentiary rules and, 205
  - future dangerousness, 125–127
  - inadmissibility of, 83
  - juries assisted by, 142
  - jurors lack of confidence in, 147
  - nonscrutinization of, 23
  - on battering, 218
  - polygraph technique and
  - pre-*Daubert* admissibility of
  - relevance/reliability screening of, 14, 144
  - sex offender hearings and, 158–159
  - transforming jurisprudence of, 129
  - Wright v. Willamette Industries, Inc.* and, 84
- theories, scientific
  - assumptions and, 51
  - coherence theory, 19
  - correspondence theory, 19
  - determining validity of, 47
  - DNA typing theory, 115
  - explanatory power of, 45–47
  - falsification theory, 42
  - game theory,
  - hair identification theory, 114
  - hypothesis v., 46–47
  - linear effects theory, 75
  - metaphor applied to, 46
  - methodology for gaining acceptance of, 189
  - persuasive arguments theory, 29
  - Popper on, 43
  - population genetics theory, 100
  - probability theory, 40, 114
  - relative evaluation of, 42
  - science's questioning/criticism of, 38, 41, 46
  - self-categorization theory, 29
  - social comparison theory, 29
  - social influence network theory, 29
  - strength of, 45
  - testability of, 43
  - threshold theory, 74
- thinking, by association, 25
- Thompson, William C., 120
- three-strikes rules, 162
- threshold theory, 74
- tort cases, proving causation in toxic, 57
- toxicology studies, 52, 58, 78
  - animals used in, 186
  - meta-analysis technique, 180
  - small effects size/large consequences of, 188
  - small sample size problems in, 177
- trials, criminal. *See also* retrials
  - proffered probability statement at, 103
  - types of evidence excluded from, 123
- trimming, of data, 63
- truth
  - adversarial system perspective on, 130
  - as contest for power, 17
  - as goal of rule of law, 19, 122
  - asserting goals of, 6, 17
  - debatable meaning of
  - evidentiary practice and, 124
  - negotiating, 37
- Tversky, Amos, 190
- Twining, William, 94, 95
- uncertainty, scientific
  - about causation, 58
  - rational decisions under conditions of, 58
- United Kingdom (U.K.)
  - convictions overturned in, 97–98
  - future dangerousness predictions in, 155–156

<i>United States v. Lowe</i> court case, DNA methodology and, 111	VRAG. <i>See</i> Violence Risk Assessment Guide (VARG)
validity. <i>See</i> internal validity; scientific validity	Walker, C. P., 97
variable number of random repeats (VNTR), 104	Walker, Lenore, 220–221, 222–223, 225
variance, analysis of, 181	Wertheim, Kasey, 100
violence. <i>See also</i> domestic violence; sexual violence	Whitaker, D. D., 96
age influence on, 144	Whitehurst, Frederic, 97
American families and, 228	<i>Williams v. Reynolds</i> case, hair analysis and, 111
inaccurate predictions regarding, 198	Williams, R. L., 108
jurors overestimation of, 143	Wilson, Paul, 101
predicting probability of recurring, 161	within-subjects design, 182, 183
three-strikes rules and, 162	witnesses, expert
Violence Risk Assessment Guide (VRAG), 159	judicial screening of, 18
author attributed accuracy of, 165	oath required of, 19
hypothesis underlying, 163–165	women
regression analysis and, 164	abusive relationship entrapment of, 228
violent conduct definitions, 163	killing of men v. killing of, 229
violence risk assessments	science critique by, 37
biological basis possibility for, 167	suffering of, 207
uncertainty of, 166	Woods, Frank G., 106
Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act (1994), 155	<i>Wright v. Willamette Industries, Inc.</i> , 84
Virginia State Crime Lab, DNA typing errors by, 101	assessing expert’s conclusions in, 89–92
VNTR. <i>See</i> variable number of random repeats	causation hypothesis, 85
voice spectrography, 94, 96, 98	court’s causation conundrum in, 92
discrediting of, 99	evidence availability, 86–87
voiceprints, 96, 98	expert testimony and, 84, 89
	validity of assumptions in, 87–92
	Zuckerman, Adrian, 94