

## Index

- abductive reasoning  
 defined, 379  
 generally, 54, 56–8, 98–9, 110  
 illustrated, 8–10, 11–17
- accuracy, 65
- admissibility of evidence  
 analysis, 291, 295–8  
 rules of procedure, 87–90  
 seven-step protocol, 305–14  
 standards for decisions, 238–42  
 United States. *See* Federal Rules of Evidence  
*US v Able*, 27
- adultery, 219
- Al Qaeda, 47, 52, 53
- ambiguity of evidence, 246
- analogies, 281
- analytic devices, 113–14, 121, 147–8, 155,  
 315–17
- ancillary evidence, 42, 63, 64, 67–70, 77
- Anderson, Terence, 304
- anti-nomianism, 226
- appeals, 238, 2–5
- arguments, 60–2, 86. *See also* inductive and  
 deductive argument, inference
- aspirational rationalism, 83
- assumptions, 78–83
- atomistic analysis, 156–8
- authenticity, 60, 64–5, 380
- autoptic preferences, 92, 135, 380
- background information, 42, 43, 51, 269, 273,  
 275. *See also* generalizations
- Bacon, Francis, 229, 257–9
- Bayes, Thomas, 251
- Bayes's Rule, 250, 251–3, 256, 261
- beliefs, 43, 253–7, 265, 271–2, 278
- Bell, Joseph, 8–9, 286, 287
- Benet, Stephen Vincent, 220
- Bennett, W., 280
- Bentham, Jeremy, 1, 46, 82, 83, 226, 227, 228,  
 290, 293
- Bergman, Paul, 77
- bias, 68–9, 380
- Bible, 247
- bin Ladin, Usama, 52
- Binder, David, 77
- blood samples, 64–5
- 'bottom-up' reasoning, 4, 94
- catenate inferences. *See* inferences
- character evidence, 89–90, 300
- chart method  
 advantages of, 140–2  
 analytic devices, 315–17  
 chart preparation, 132–3, 315–17  
 charts, 95, 128, 138, 307  
 features, 113  
 gaps, 109  
 illustration, 136–8  
 key-lists, 95, 113, 124, 128–32, 137–8, 307  
 macroscopic level, 123, 159  
 micro-charts, 152  
 microscopic level, 123–4  
 overview, 123–4, 152  
 seven-step protocol, 124–34  
 symbols, 93, 113, 134–6, 139–42, 387–9  
 trial preparation, 315–24
- chronologies, 112, 113–14, 121, 147–8, 153, 155,  
 317
- circumstantial evidence, 76, 352, 380
- civil law systems, 294, 299–300
- classification of evidence, 71–3
- closing statements, 323
- cognitive competence, 380. *See also* cognitive  
 consensus
- cognitive consensus, 263, 273–6, 380
- Cohen, Jonathan, 249, 257–9, 273–5
- combination, 41, 93, 108–9, 380. *See also* Bayes's  
 Rule
- common law systems, 78, 87, 299–300
- common sense generalizations, 43, 269, 271,  
 273, 274, 277
- commonly held beliefs, 43, 265. *See also*  
 cognitive consensus
- competence, 70–1
- complacent rationalism, 81, 83
- complaint filing, 234–5
- complex proposition. *See* propositions

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Index

[More information](#)

Index 397

- compound proposition. *See* propositions
- Conan Doyle, Arthur, 8–10, 47, 58, 58–9, 286
- conflicting evidence, 69–70
- confusion, 89
- conjunction, 103–4, 381
- connecting dots, 46–55
- contradictory evidence, 69–70, 381
- convergence, 103, 106–7, 222, 381
- Cornwell, Patricia, 47
- corroboration, 98, 107, 135, 381. *See also* proponent's corroboration
- credentials of evidence, 56, 60–71, 247, 381. *See also* evidence
- credibility, 63–74, 381
  - attributes
    - tangible evidence, 64–5, 381
    - testimonial evidence, 65–70, 381
- crime fiction, 47
- criminal records, 303
- Cross, Rupert, 243
- Damaska, Mirjan, 270
- Dart, B., *Is the Ford Motor Company guilty of killing girls with a Pinto?*, 337–9
- data. *See* evidential data
- Davis, John W., 283, 287
- Dawid, Philip, xx, 247
- deductive reasoning, 54–6, 98, 100, 381
- delay, 89
- Dempster's Rule, 257
- Dennis, Ian, 273
- Descartes, René, 100
- direct evidence. *See* evidence
- directly relevant evidence, 62–3, 382
- discretion
  - abuse, 245
  - admissibility of evidence, 301
  - appeals, 2–5, 238
  - standards for decisions, 236
  - trends, 85
- discovery, 55–8, 99, 151–2, 382
- DNA, 47, 270
- dots, connecting and generating, 46–58
- Doyle, Sir Arthur Conan. *See* Conan Doyle, Arthur
- drama, 324
- efficiency, 300
- Eggleston, Richard, 249
- Ekelof, P. O., 249
- eliminative induction, 257–9, 382
- emotion, 281
- epistemology, 79–82, 271, 382
- ethnic cleansing, 265
- European Convention on Human Rights, 294
- evidence
  - admissibility. *See* admissibility of evidence
  - classification, 71–3
  - conflicting, 69–70, 103, 107, 381
  - credentials, 56, 60, 247, 381
  - defined, 382
  - direct, 62, 76–7, 269, 382
  - evaluation, 224–6
  - and events, 60, 248, 256, 263, 382
  - marshaling, 99, 384
  - one law, 294–5
  - and principles of proof, 289, 295
  - quantum, 222, 293, 385
  - real, 55, 385. *See also* autoptic preference
  - redundant, 385
  - tangible. *See* tangible evidence
  - testimonial. *See* testimonial evidence
  - Thayerite theory, 290–4, 295
  - trial preparation, 322–3
  - US Federal Rules, 299–314
- evidential data
  - autoptic preferences, 92, 93, 98
  - meaning, 60, 92, 382
  - recording, 121
- evidentiary fact, 88, 98, 382
- expert evidence, xxi, 270
- expletive justice, 79
- facts
  - facts-in-issue, 80, 91, 383
  - fact of consequence, 62, 88, 91, 382
  - material facts, 91, 384
  - meaning, 92, 382
  - ultimate facts, 91
- fact investigations, 54
- factum probandum, 90, 92, 383. *See also* probanda
- factum probans, 92, 222, 383. *See also* probans
- Fairley, William, 250
- Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 84
- Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, 84
- Federal Rules of Evidence
  - codification, 299
  - constitutional exclusionary rules, 304–5
  - exclusion of relevant evidence, 303
  - generally, 299–314
  - principles of proof, 299–300
  - probative processes, 301–4
  - standards, 84–90
  - Wigmorean protocol for admissibility, 305–14
- Feldman, M., 280
- Finklestein, Michael, 250
- FLINTS (Forensic Led Intelligence System), 47
- forensics, 78, 246
- Frank, Jerome, 83, 159
- fuzzy logic, 260, 261
- Gates, Robert, 47
- generalizations
  - assessing, 279–80
  - background generalizations, 43, 269, 273, 275
  - beliefs, 271–2, 278
  - case specific generalizations, 43, 266–9, 278

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Index

[More information](#)

398 Index

- generalizations (*cont.*)
- common sense, 43, 269, 271, 273, 274, 277
  - commonly held beliefs, 43, 265
  - context-specific generalizations, 267
  - dangers, 276–7
  - defined, 383
  - degrees of certainty, 263–5
  - examples, 20–3, 93
  - experience-based, 271, 278
  - express generalizations, 279–80
  - foolish generalizations, 272
  - formulation, 279
  - general knowledge, 270–1
  - generally, 18–20, 43, 56, 100–3, 262–80, 282–6
    - and hearsay, 309
    - implied, 280
    - importance, 100–1
    - likelihood, 225
    - nature, 102–3
    - practice rules, 277–9
    - purpose, 60, 62, 262
    - reliability, 266
    - scientific knowledge, 270
    - stock of knowledge, 269, 273–4, 277, 283
    - and stories, 282–5
    - symbol for, 135
    - synthetic-intuitive, 271–2, 278
    - types, 102–3, 265–72
- Gilbert, Jeffrey, 82, 228, 242, 290
- Glossary
- of Terms, 379–86
  - of Symbols, 387
- Green, Eric, 249
- Haack, Susan, 260
- Hampsher-Monk, Iain, xxii, 46
- Hastie, Reid, 280
- hearsay, 66, 139, 248, 305, 306–10, 383
- holism, 156–8
- Holmes, Sherlock, 8, 9–10, 58–9. *See* Conan Doyle, Arthur
- human rights, 294
- hypotheses
- eliminating, 50, 257–9
  - generation, 3–7, 12–17, 49, 56–60
  - meaning, 60, 383
  - prioritizing, 50
  - testing, 90
- hypothetical cases
- Estate of Warren*, 288, 341, 356–78
  - Police v. Twist*, 329–34
  - Police v. Weller*, 160, 325–9, 333–4
  - Sargent v. Southern Accident Ins. Co.*, 28–31, 104, 233–4
  - State v. Archer*, 94, 109–11, 142–4, 158, 320
  - US v. Able*, 23–7, 125–9, 147, 239–41, 303, 309–14
  - US v. Wainwright*, 160, 341, 343–56
- IBM, 236
- imaginative reasoning. *See* abductive reasoning
- inconclusive evidence, 246
- inconsistent statements, 69
- indications and warnings, 4
- inductive reasoning, 55–6, 59, 80, 100, 383
- inferences
- catenate inferences, 60, 71, 107–8, 380
  - Conan Doyle, 8–10
  - connecting dots, 46–55
  - defined, 383
  - inferential force. *See* probative force
  - intelligence analysis, 3–8
  - Judgment of Solomon, 2, 99, 286
  - legal contexts, 10–45
  - non-legal contexts, 2–10
  - and proof, 94
  - upon inference, 384. *See also* catenate inferences
- intelligence analysis, 3–8, 47–50, 99
- interim probanda, 61, 63, 96, 262, 383–4
- intuition, 271, 281
- Iraq, 47
- judicial notice, 273, 300, 383
- juries
- generalizations, 225
  - instructions, 227, 317, 351–6, 377–8
  - selection, 321
  - and stories, 280
- Kemelman, F., *The Nine Mile Walk*, 11–17, 99, 131, 151, 266, 287
- Kent, Sherman, 247
- key-lists, 95, 122, 124, 128–32, 137–8, 307
- Kolmogorov, A. N., 253
- Leary, Richard, xxii, xxiii
- Lempert, Richard, 250, 252
- likelihood ratios, 251–3, 261
- Llewellyn, Karl, 269, 271, 283, 287, 335
- logical reasoning
- principles, 98–103
  - types, 55–6
- magnets, 51, 119–20, 384
- marshaling. *See* evidence
- materiality, 91, 290, 384
- methods of analysis
- analytic devices, 113–14, 121, 147–8, 155, 315–17
  - before close of investigation, 151–2
  - chart method. *See* chart method
  - chronologies, 112, 113–14, 121, 147–8, 153, 155, 317
  - final trial preparation, 152–3
  - general, 112–22
  - litigation stages, 149–53
  - narrative, 114, 148, 153, 155

- outline method, 113, 145–6
- pleading stage, 150–1
- seven-step protocol, 113, 114–22, 124–34
- Mill, John Stuart, 100, 257
- mirror imaging, 48
- Moussaoui, Zacarias, 52–3
- Mueller, Robert, 53
- Murphy, Peter, xxiii
  
- narrative, 114, 148, 153, 155. *See also* stories
- National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, 49–50
- negative evidence, 74
- 9/11, 47–51, 52–5
- nuisance, 20–1
  
- objectivity, 66–7, 68–9
- observational sensitivity, 67, 68, 69
- opening statements, 321–2
- opinion evidence, 66, 300
- opponents' denial, 96, 98
- opponents' explanation, 96, 97, 98, 99
- opponents' rival, 96, 98
- optimistic rationalism, 83
- outline method, 113, 145–6
  
- Pascal, Blaise, 229, 247
- PE  $\gg$  PV, 89. *See also* prejudicial effect
- Peirce, Charles Sanders, 56–8
- Pennington, Nancy, 280
- penultimate probandum. *See* probanda
- perjury, 300
- pleadings, 150–1, 235, 317
- Pollock, Frederick, 290
- Popper, Karl, 257
- Powell, Colin, 47
- precedents, 284
- prejudice, 219, 265, 281
- prejudicial effect
  - meaning, 89, 240–2
  - and probative value, 89, 303–4, 306, 313–14
- prescriptive rationalism, 80, 81, 82
- previous convictions, 303
- principles of proof
  - application to legal disputes, 103–9
  - Federal Rules of Evidence, 299–300
  - generally, 78–111
  - and law of evidence, 289, 295
  - rationalist tradition, 83–7, 88, 98–103
  - Wigmore, 78, 82, 88, 289, 293
- probability
  - Baconian probability, 257–9, 261
  - Bayes's Rule, 250, 251–3, 256, 261
  - conventional probability, 251–3
  - debates, 249
  - Dempster's Rule, 257
  - fuzzy logic, 260, 261
  - generally, 228–30, 246–61
  - history of probability theory, 247–50
  - issues, 246–7
  - likelihood ratios, 251–3, 261
  - meaning, 229, 384
  - non-additive probabilistic beliefs, 253–7
  - posterior probability, 251
  - prior probability, 251
  - and probative force, 250–61
  - tables, 229, 230
  - theories, 229, 246–7
- probanda
  - examples, 40, 93
  - formulation, 114, 117–18, 125–6
  - interim probanda, 61–3, 96, 383
  - levels, 61
  - meaning, 60, 90, 92, 384–5
  - penultimate probanda, 61–3, 91, 118, 126, 384
  - ultimate probandum, 60–3, 90–1, 117–18, 125–6
- probans 92, 383
- probative force
  - absence of rules, 226–7
  - evaluating, 54, 226–30, 291, 292, 303–4, 306, 313–14
  - gradations, 247
  - meaning, 45, 71, 224, 384–5
  - and probability theories, 250–61
  - traditional modes, 227–30
  - weight, 60, 224–9, 246–7, 253–4, 386
- probative processes, 94–8, 103, 135, 301–4
- probative value. *See* prejudicial effect *and* probative force
- proof
  - and inferences, 94
  - meaning, 88, 94, 256, 385
  - plausible proof, 333–41
  - principles. *See* principles of proof
- propensity evidence, 139, 298
- proponents' assertion, 96, 98
- proponent's corroboration, 96, 98
- propositions
  - compound (or complex) propositions, 104–6
  - defined, 385
  - formulation, 128–9
  - general propositions, 43
  - integration, 108–9
  - key-lists. *See* key-lists
  - ordering, 131–2
  - rival propositions, 96, 98
  - selection, 129–31
  - simple, 91, 104–5, 385
- protocol
  - admissibility of evidence, 305–14
  - general analysis, 112–22
  - chart method analysis, 124–34
  - generalization analysis, 279–80
  - story assessment, 281–3
- psychology, 78, 282
- public interest, 235, 236

- quantitative rules, 385  
 quantum of evidence. *See* evidence
- rationalist tradition  
   aspirational rationalism, 83  
   complacent rationalism, 81, 83  
   and generalizations, 269  
   generally, 78–87  
   and law of evidence, 294  
   prescriptive rationalism, 81  
   rules of procedure, 83–90
- real evidence. *See* evidence
- redundancy. *See* evidence
- relevance  
   and admissibility, 295–8  
   direct and indirect relevance, 62, 63, 72, 73, 77, 382  
   Federal Rules of Evidence, 62, 299, 303, 311–12  
   generally, 62–3, 290–2, 295, 306  
   meaning, 62–3, 86, 88–90, 290, 385
- reliability, 64–5, 385
- rival propositions, 96, 98
- Roberts, Paul, xxiii
- role, 116
- Root, Elihu, 317
- Root-Stimson system, 317
- rules of procedure  
   admissibility, 87–8  
   English reform, 84–5, 86  
   exclusion of evidence, 87  
   objectives, 85  
   rationalist tradition, 83–7  
   relevant evidence, 86, 88–90  
   speed and efficiency, 84–5  
   United States, 84, 85, 86. *See also* Federal Rules of Evidence
- Rumsfeld, Donald, 47
- Rwanda, 47, 265
- Schum, David, xxi, xxii, xxiii, 48, 76, 100, 253, 257, 259, 263
- scientific evidence, xxi, 270
- self-contradictions, 69
- September 11 attacks, 47. *See also* 9/11
- seven-step protocol, 305–14  
   admissibility of evidence, 305–14  
   methods of analysis, 113, 114–22, 124–34
- Shafer, G., 253–7, 258, 261
- Sidgwick, Alfred, 262
- similar fact evidence, 18–20, 298
- simple proposition. *See* proposition
- situation sense, 155–6, 284–5
- Solomon, Judgment of, 2, 99, 286
- South Africa, 47
- standards for decision  
   adjudication decisions, 237–45  
   admissibility of evidence, 238–42  
   appeals, 238, 2–5  
   burden of proof. *See* standards of proof  
   categories, 231  
   defined, 385  
   disposal of cases, 237–8  
   filing complaints, 234–5  
   generally, 230–45  
   issues, 225  
   lawyer-client decisions, 232–3  
   lawyers' decisions, 234–7  
   pre-trial decisions, 236  
   prosecution decisions, 235–6  
   rectitude, 79, 82
- standards of proof  
   appeals, 2  
   categories, 230–1, 242–4  
   defined, 385  
   England and US, 243  
   legal status, 242–3
- standpoint, 115–17, 124–5, 225
- statistical evidence, 78
- Stephen, J. F. L., 290
- stereotypes, 281
- stock of knowledge, 273–6. *See also* cognitive consensus
- stories  
   assessing, 157, 281–2  
   choice, 334  
   dangers, 280–1, 285  
   examples, 21, 23, 27  
   and generalizations, 282–5  
   generally, 156–8, 281–3, 287–8  
   meaning, 155, 386  
   purpose, 262
- substance-blind approach, xxi, 54, 71–7, 253, 386
- symbols, 113, 134–6, 139–42, 387
- Talmud, 247
- tangible evidence, 63–5, 73–7, 135, 147, 386
- Tenet, George, 47
- terminology, 90–4
- terrorism, 4–6, 47–9, 52–3
- testimonial evidence  
   ancillary evidence, 67–70  
   bias, 68–9  
   credibility, 65–71, 74  
   defined, 386  
   equivocal testimony, 75  
   hearsay, 66, 139, 305, 306–9  
   inconsistent statements, 69  
   objectivity, 66–7, 68–9  
   observational sensitivity, 67, 68, 69  
   opinions, 66, 300  
   symbol for, 135  
   unequivocal testimony, 75  
   veracity, 66, 68, 69
- Thayer, James Bradley, 226, 289, 290–4, 295
- themes, 153–6, 319, 334, 386

- theory of a case, 118–20, 126–8, 153–5, 318–19, 334, 386
- Thorp, Edward, 249–50
- Tillers, Peter, 249
- ‘top-down’ reasoning, 4–7
- Toulmin, S., 62
- trial books, 317–24
- trial preparation  
 closing statements, 323  
 complex examples, 341–78  
 drama, 324  
 evidence, 322–3  
 jury selection, 321  
 law, 320  
 opening statements, 321–2  
 preliminary memoranda, 318–20  
 scripts, 322  
 simple examples, 325–33  
 strategy, 320  
 themes, 319  
 trial books, 317–24  
 Wigmorean approach, 315–24
- Tribe, Lawrence, 250
- truth, rationalist tradition, 79–82, 86, 87
- Twining, William, xxii, xxiii, 45, 46, 249, 275
- ultimate probandum. *See* probanda
- Victoria, Queen, 8
- Wagenaar, W. A., 280
- warnings: indications and warnings, 4
- warrants, 62, 262, 269, 386. *See also* generalization
- weapons of mass destruction, 47
- weight. *See* probative force
- White, James Boyd, 283
- Wigmore, John Henry, xvii, xix, xxii, xxiii  
 autoptic preferences, 92  
 chart method. *See* chart method  
 evaluation of evidence, 115, 226–7  
*factum probans*, 92  
 principles of proof, 48, 78, 82, 88  
 probative processes, 94–6, 98  
*Science of Judicial Proof*, 87–8  
 symbols. *See* symbols  
 weight of evidence, 226–7
- Williams, Glanville, 249
- wills, validity, 356–78
- Wisdom, John, 285
- witnesses. *See* testimonial evidence
- Woolf, Lord, 84
- Young, Filson, 160, 219
- Yugoslavia, 265
- Zadeh, Lotfi, 260
- Zangwill, Israel, 46
- Zuckerman, Adrian, 249