

Cambridge University Press  
052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition  
Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

## Analysis of Evidence

This is an enjoyable and rigorous introduction to the construction and criticism of arguments about questions of fact, and to the marshalling and evaluation of evidence at all stages of litigation. It covers the principles underlying the logic of proof; the uses and dangers of story-telling; standards for decision and the relationship between probabilities and proof; the chart method and other methods of analyzing and ordering evidence in fact-investigation, in preparing for trial, and in connection with other important decisions in legal processes and in criminal investigation and intelligence analysis. Most of the chapters in this new edition have been rewritten; the treatment of fact investigation, probabilities and narrative has been extended; and new examples and exercises have been added. Designed as a flexible tool for undergraduate and postgraduate courses on evidence and proof, students, practitioners and teachers alike will find this book challenging but rewarding.

**Terence Anderson** is Professor of Law at the University of Miami. He is an experienced litigator and teacher of courses on methods of analysis, evidence and trial practice. His writings include articles developing and illustrating topics covered in this book.

**David Schum** is Professor of Law and of Systems Engineering at George Mason University and Honorary Professor of Evidence Science, University College London.

**William Twining** is Quain Professor of Jurisprudence Emeritus, University College London, and a regular Visiting Professor at the University of Miami School of Law. His writings on evidence include *Rethinking Evidence* (2nd edn., Cambridge University Press).

Cambridge University Press  
 052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition  
 Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining  
 Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

### Law in Context

The series is a vehicle for the publication of innovative scholarly books that treat law and legal phenomena critically in their social, political and economic contexts from a variety of perspectives. The series particularly aims to publish scholarly legal writings that bring fresh perspectives to bear on new and existing areas of law taught in universities. A contextual approach involves treating legal subjects broadly, using materials from other social sciences, and from any other discipline that helps to explain the operation in practice of the subject under discussion. It is hoped that this orientation is at once more stimulating and more realistic than the bare exposition of legal rules. The series includes original books that have a different emphasis from traditional legal textbooks, while maintaining the same high standards of scholarship. They are written primarily for students of law and of other disciplines, but most also appeal to a wider readership. Recent publications include books on globalization, transnational legal processes, and comparative law. In the past, most authors have come from, or been based in, Europe or the Commonwealth. In the future, we also expect to publish authors from, or based in, the United States or Canada, particularly those who adopt a clear transatlantic perspective. The books will include subject areas that have a transnational significance, drawing on European as well as North American scholarship.

### Series Editors

William Twining, University College London  
 Christopher J. McCrudden, University of Oxford

### Books in the series

Anderson, Schum & Twining: *Analysis of Evidence*  
 Ashworth: *Sentencing and Criminal Justice*  
 Barton & Douglas: *Law and Parenthood*  
 Bell: *French Legal Cultures*  
 Bercusson: *European Labour Law*  
 Birkinshaw: *European Public Law*  
 Birkinshaw: *Freedom of Information: The Law, the Practice and the Ideal*  
 Cane: *Atiyah's Accidents, Compensation and the Law*  
 Clarke & Kohler: *Property Law: Commentary and Materials*  
 Collins: *The Law of Contract*  
 Davies: *Perspectives on Labour Law*  
 de Sousa Santos: *Toward a New Legal Common Sense*  
 Diduck: *Law's Families*  
 Elworthy & Holder: *Environmental Protection: Text and Materials*  
 Fortin: *Children's Rights and the Developing Law*  
 Glover-Thomas: *Reconstructing Mental Health Law and Policy*  
 Gobert & Punch: *Rethinking Corporate Crime*  
 Harlow & Rawlings: *Law and Administration: Text and Materials*  
 Harris: *An Introduction to Law*  
 Harris: *Remedies in Contract and Tort*

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

---

Harvey: *Seeking Asylum in the UK: Problems and Prospects*

Hervey & McHale: *Health Law and the European Union*

Lacey & Wells: *Reconstructing Criminal Law*

Lewis: *Choice and the Legal Order: Rising above Politics*

Likosky: *Transnational Legal Processes*

Maughan & Webb: *Lawyering Skills and the Legal Process*

Moffat: *Trusts Law: Text and Materials*

Norrie: *Crime, Reason and History*

O'Dair: *Legal Ethics*

Oliver: *Common Values and the Public-Private Divide*

Oliver & Drewry: *The Law and Parliament*

Picciotto: *International Business Taxation*

Reed: *Internet Law: Text and Materials*

Richardson: *Law, Process and Custody*

Roberts & Palmer: *Dispute Processes-ADR and the Primary Forms of Decision Making*

Scott & Black: *Cranston's Consumers and the Law*

Seneviratne: *Ombudsmen: Public Services and Administrative Justice*

Stapleton: *Product Liability*

Turpin: *British Government and the Constitution: Text, Cases and Materials*

Twining: *Globalisation and Legal Theory*

Twining & Miers: *How to do Things with Rules*

Ward: *A Critical Introduction to European Law*

Ward: *Shakespeare and Legal Imagination*

Zander: *Cases and Materials on the English Legal System*

Zander: *The Law-Making Process*

Cambridge University Press  
052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition  
Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

# Analysis of Evidence

Second edition

Terence Anderson

*Professor of Law, University of Miami*

David Schum

*Professor of Systems Engineering and Law, George Mason University*

William Twining

*Quain Professor of Jurisprudence Emeritus, University College London*

with online appendices at  
[www.cambridge.org/9780521673167](http://www.cambridge.org/9780521673167)  
by Philip Dawid, University College London



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition  
Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press  
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)  
Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9780521673167](http://www.cambridge.org/9780521673167)

© Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining 2005

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1991 by Little, Brown & Co., Boston and by Little, Brown & Co. (Canada) Ltd., Toronto.

Re-issued 1998 by Northwestern University Press.

This edition published in 2005 by Cambridge University Press.

Printed in the United States of America

*A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library*

ISBN-13 978-0-521-67316-7 paperback  
ISBN-10 0-521-67316-X paperback

---

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

---

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

---

To our children and grandchildren  
To Anne, Carolyn, and Penelope

---

## Contents: summary

<i>Preface</i>	xvii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxv
<i>Tables of cases and statutes</i>	xxvii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xxxii
1 Evidence and inference: some food for thought	1
2 Fact investigation and the nature of evidence	46
3 Principles of proof	78
4 Methods of analysis	112
5 The chart method	123
6 Outlines, chronologies, and narrative	145
7 Analyzing the decided case: anatomy of a <i>cause célèbre</i>	159
8 Evaluating evidence	224
9 Probabilities, weight, and probative force	246
10 Necessary but dangerous: generalizations and stories in argumentation about facts	262
11 The principles of proof and the law of evidence	289
12 The trial lawyer's standpoint	315
<i>Glossary of terms and symbols</i>	379
<i>References</i>	388
<i>Index</i>	396

---

# Contents

<i>Preface</i>	xvii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxv
<i>Tables of cases and statutes</i>	xxvii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xxxii
1 Evidence and inference: some food for thought	1
A. Introduction	1
B. Evidence and inference in non-legal contexts	2
1. Whose baby I? The judgment of Solomon	2
2. The intelligence analyst: an intelligence scenario “from the top-down”	3
3. The doctor and the detective: Joseph Bell and Sherlock Holmes	8
a. <i>The doctor</i>	8
b. <i>The detective</i>	9
4. Generalizations and stories: Sam’s party	10
C. Evidence and inference in legal contexts	10
1. Two murders	10
a. <i>The murder of Y</i>	10
b. <i>Bywaters and Thompson</i>	10
2. Imaginative reasoning: The Nine Mile Walk	11
3. Generalizations, stories, and arguments	18
a. <i>Brides in the bath: closing speech</i>	18
b. <i>Huddleston v. United States</i>	19
c. <i>Miller v. Jackson</i>	20
4. Evidence from two <i>causes célèbres</i>	21
a. <i>Commonwealth v. Sacco and Vanzetti</i>	21
b. <i>People v. Simpson</i>	23
5. <i>United States v. Richard Able</i>	23
6. <i>Sargent v. Southern Accident Co.</i>	28
7. Whose baby II? <i>Morrison v. Jenkins</i>	31
8. An investigation: basic concepts in analysis and evaluation	40



Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## xii Contents

2	Fact investigation and the nature of evidence	46
	A. Introduction: connecting the dots	46
	<i>Post 9/11 investigation: an exercise</i>	52
	B. Fact investigation: generating dots and explanations for them	55
	1. Types of logical reasoning and justification	55
	2. Abductive reasoning and the generation of a new idea	56
	3. Generating explanations for dots or trifles	58
	C. On the credentials of evidence	60
	1. Evidential foundations of argument	60
	2. On relevance	62
	3. The credibility of evidence and its sources	63
	a. <i>Tangible evidence</i>	64
	b. <i>The credibility of testimonial evidence</i>	65
	c. <i>Ancillary evidence about testimonial credibility attributes</i>	67
	d. <i>Credibility v. competence</i>	70
	4. On the probative force of evidence	71
	D. A substance-blind approach to evidence	71
3	Principles of proof	78
	A. Introduction: evidence in legal contexts	78
	B. The Rationalist Tradition	78
	1. The tradition described	79
	2. Principles of proof, rules of procedure and evidence, and the Rationalist Tradition	81
	C. Rationale	87
	<i>Notes and questions on rules of evidence concerning relevance</i>	88
	D. Notes on terminology and inferential relationships	90
	<i>A preliminary exercise: State v. Archer (I)</i>	94
	E. Probative processes and logical principles	96
	1. Probative processes	96
	2. The logical principles	98
	3. Application of the principles in legal disputes	103
	<i>The exercise continues: State v. Archer (II)</i>	109
	The Prosecutor's standpoint	109
4	Methods of analysis	112
	A. Introduction	112
	B. The methods and a protocol for their use	113

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

	Contents	xiii
1. Methods of analysis and analytic devices	113	
2. A seven-step protocol for analysis: a generalized account	114	
5 The chart method	123	
A. The chart method: an overview	123	
B. The seven-step protocol for the chart method: a detailed account	124	
C. The symbols and their use	134	
1. The basic Wigmorean palette	134	
2. The chart method illustrated	136	
3. Additional symbols, conventions and their utility	140	
4. Three advantages of symbols and charting	141	
<i>The exercise continues: State v. Archer (III)</i>	143	
1. Defense counsel's standpoint	143	
2. Defense investigation	144	
6 Outlines, chronologies, and narrative	145	
A. The outline method of analysis	145	
B. Analytic devices: chronologies and narratives	147	
C. The litigation context	149	
1. The stages of a case and the methods of analysis	149	
a. <i>The pleading stage</i>	150	
b. <i>Before the close of discovery and investigation</i>	151	
c. <i>Final trial preparation</i>	152	
D. Theories, themes, stories, and situation-types	153	
<i>State v. Archer (IV): the exercise concludes</i>	158	
1. The prosecution standpoint	158	
2. The defense standpoint	158	
7 Analyzing the decided case: anatomy of a <i>cause célèbre</i>	159	
A. Introduction	159	
B. The trial of Bywaters and Thompson	160	
1. Preliminary matter	160	
a. <i>The indictments</i>	160	
b. <i>Dramatis personae</i>	162	
c. <i>Leading dates in the case</i>	163	
2. The judgment on Thompson's appeal: <i>Rex v. Thompson</i> (1922)	164	
3. Evidence from the trial: the Prosecution	170	
a. <i>Extracts from testimony (including statements made by the accused)</i>	170	
b. <i>Index to selected exhibits</i>	178	

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## xiv Contents

	c. <i>A selection of Thompson's earlier letters</i>	179
	d. <i>Thompson's later letters</i>	189
4.	Evidence from the trial: the Defense	203
	a. <i>Extracts from cross-examination of Bywaters</i>	203
	b. <i>Further extracts from the cross-examination of Bywaters</i>	209
	c. <i>Extracts from the examination of Thompson</i>	209
	d. <i>Further extracts from the examination of Thompson</i>	211
	e. <i>Extracts from cross-examination of Thompson</i>	213
C.	Comments	219
D.	<i>Notes and questions on Rex v. Bywaters and Thompson</i>	220
8	Evaluating evidence	224
	A. Introduction	224
	B. Evaluating the weight and probative force of evidence	226
	1. No rules of weight	226
	2. Traditional modes of expressing weight and probative force	227
	C. Standards for decision	230
	1. Lawyering standards	231
	a. <i>Standards for lawyer-client decisions</i>	232
	b. <i>Standards for lawyers' decisions</i>	234
	2. Standards for decisions in adjudication	237
	a. <i>Standards for decisions disposing of a case as a matter of law</i>	237
	b. <i>Standards for decisions on admissibility</i>	238
	c. <i>The case as a whole: burdens of proof and the civil and criminal standards</i>	242
	d. <i>Appellate review: standards for limiting discretion</i>	244
9	Probabilities, weight, and probative force	246
	A. Introduction	246
	B. Flirtations involving law and probability	247
	C. Probability and the force or weight of evidence	250
	1. Conventional probability and Bayes's Rule	251
	2. Evidential support and evidential weight: non-additive probabilistic beliefs	253
	3. Baconian probability and completeness of evidential coverage	257
	4. Wigmore and the fuzzy weight of evidence	260
10	Necessary but dangerous: generalizations and stories in argumentation about facts	262
	A. Generalizations	262
	1. Reprise and introduction	262

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

	Contents	xv
2. Degrees of certainty	263	
3. Types of generalizations	265	
a. <i>Case-specific generalizations</i>	266	
b. <i>Background generalizations</i>	269	
c. <i>Scientific knowledge and expertise</i>	270	
d. <i>General knowledge</i>	270	
e. <i>Experience-based generalizations</i>	271	
f. <i>Synthetic-intuitive generalizations</i> <i>(belief generalizations)</i>	271	
4. Judicial notice and cognitive consensus	273	
a. <i>Judicial notice</i>	273	
b. <i>Cognitive consensus</i>	273	
5. Dangers of generalizations	276	
6. Generalizations: the practitioner's standpoint	277	
a. <i>Case-specific generalizations</i>	278	
b. <i>Experience-based and synthetic-intuitive generalizations</i>	278	
c. <i>Formulation and appraisal</i>	279	
<i>Protocol for assessing the plausibility and validity of a generalization in the context of an argument</i>	279	
B. Stories necessary, but dangerous	280	
<i>Protocol for assessing the plausibility, coherence, and evidentiary support for a story</i>	281	
C. The relationship between stories and generalizations	282	
D. Generalizations, stories, and themes: questions and exercises	285	
11 The principles of proof and the law of evidence	289	
A. Introduction	289	
B. What is the law of evidence? A Thayerite overview	290	
C. One law of evidence?	294	
D. Linking the principles of proof and the law of evidence: relevance as the main bridge	295	
E. Analyzing for admissibility	295	
F. Analysis under the United States Federal Rules of Evidence	299	
1. Rules codifying the principles of proof and regulating their applications in judicial trials	299	
2. Analysis and the rules designed to regulate the probative processes	301	
3. Analysis and mandatory exclusionary rules	304	
4. A Wigmorean protocol for analyzing problems in the use and admissibility of evidence under the Federal Rules and its application	305	
a. <i>The hearsay problem</i>	306	
b. <i>The protocol applied</i>	309	

xvi Contents

---

12	The trial lawyer's standpoint	315
A.	A Wigmorean lawyer prepares for trial	315
1.	Of charts and other analytic devices	315
2.	The trial book: an organizational device	317
3.	The trial book: an art form	323
B.	Two simple cases	325
1.	Suggested format	325
2.	Materials for <i>Police v. Weller</i>	326
3.	Materials for <i>Police v. Twist</i>	329
C.	The art of plausible proof: theory, story, and theme revisited	333
1.	Introduction	333
2.	More food for thought	335
a.	<i>K. Llewellyn: Who are these men?</i>	335
b.	<i>Bywaters and Thompson: Who is this woman?</i>	336
c.	<i>Is Ford Motor Company guilty of killing girls with a Pinto?</i>	337
D.	Two more complex trial problems	341
1.	Introduction	341
2.	The criminal case: <i>United States v. Wainwright</i>	343
3.	The civil case: <i>The Estate of James Dale Warren</i>	356
	<i>Glossary of terms and symbols</i>	379
	<i>References</i>	388
	<i>Index</i>	396

---

## Preface

### The why, what, and how of this book

#### *The why*

Inferential reasoning, analyzing and weighing evidence, forming judgments about what has happened in the past or what is likely to happen in the future are a necessary part of coping with the problems of everyday living. They are basic human skills that form part of ordinary practical reasoning. Historians, detectives, doctors, engineers, and intelligence analysts have to develop and apply these skills with rigor and precision in specialized professional contexts. So do lawyers.

These skills have not traditionally formed part of professional training. Perhaps this is because they are perceived to be “mere common sense”; or because it has been felt that they can only be learned by practical experience “on the job”; or because of a belief that these are matters of “intuition” or that great lawyers or historians or detectives or diagnosticians are “born and not made.”<sup>1</sup>

This book starts from a different premise. Building on the work of the American legal scholar John Henry Wigmore (1863–1943), we believe that skills in analyzing and marshaling evidence and in constructing, criticizing and evaluating arguments about disputed questions of fact are intellectual skills that can and should be taught effectively and efficiently in law schools. They are as essential a part of “legal method” as legal analysis and reasoning about questions of law. Common sense, intuition, and practical experience all have a part to play in exercising these skills, but they are not adequate substitutes for a systematic grounding in what Wigmore called “the principles of proof.” This book is designed to enable students to lay a foundation and to develop the basic skills to a high degree before they enter practice as lawyers or in other spheres of activity that involve practical reasoning.

Between us we have accumulated more than fifty years of experience in teaching analysis of evidence in a variety of courses in several different countries. This book builds on that experience. It is designed as a flexible tool to lay a foundation for mastering a necessary set of basic intellectual and professional skills in fact analysis. They include techniques for structuring a problem and organizing a mass of data (macroscopic analysis) and techniques for detailed analysis and evaluation of

<sup>1</sup> For a detailed account see *Rethinking* Ch. 2.

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

particular data and phases of complex arguments (microscopic analysis). Our main purpose is to present a vehicle for learning certain usable basic skills of analysis, argument, and practical problem-solving. The primary audience is law students, especially in courses on evidence and trial practice, but the early chapters and many of the examples can be used to learn about and develop skills of inferential reasoning in other contexts.

### *The what*

Chapter 1, “Evidence and inference: some food for thought,” is a series of materials, cases, questions, and exercises. These are designed to achieve three objectives. First, to engage the interest of students and other readers, we have included some familiar and not so familiar examples illustrating the range of contexts in which inferential reasoning is necessary or useful. Second, we have provided examples that introduce concepts and issues that are developed in the remainder of the book so that readers can actively think about them from the outset. Third, these materials include concrete examples and exercises that are used as the basis for explaining and illustrating materials presented in later chapters. We have deliberately presented a wide variety of materials so that teachers can select which examples to use to introduce the subject and which can be studied later or omitted altogether. All of these examples have been used in the classroom, none of the authors use them all in one course, and each has his favorites. This is not a reading chapter; rather the idea is to encourage readers to engage actively with some concrete examples before moving on to the more abstract material that follows. Some teachers have used selected examples to illustrate concepts in subsequent chapters that students have been assigned to read later. Others may choose to recommend that their students begin by reading Chapters 2 and 3, referring back to particular examples as they appear in the text.

Chapter 2, “Fact investigation and the nature of evidence,” introduces basic concepts and considerations that apply to evidence and inference across many contexts, with particular reference to the generation and testing of hypotheses in the process of any kind of factual investigation. This is illustrated vividly by the problem of “connecting the dots” in intelligence analysis. It deals specifically with the idea of “a substance-blind approach,” which considers the basic inferential characteristics or credentials of evidence (relevance, credibility, and probative force) without regard to the substance or content of the evidence or to the context of the inquiry. This classification of evidence allows us to say general things about evidence regardless of its substance.

Chapter 3, “Principles of proof,” develops these ideas in a legal context. It describes the “Rationalist Tradition” that has been the foundation of Anglo-American evidence scholarship and explains why it is relevant to contemporary legal practice. It identifies the forms of logic that must be used in analyzing evidence or in justifying conclusions based upon evidence and demonstrates how they can be applied to legal disputes, using the final exercise in Chapter 1, “An investigation.”

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Chapter 4, “Methods of analysis,” introduces the main methods of analysis used in preparation for trial and their relations to each other: chronologies, the outline method, narrative, and the chart method. It presents a general seven-step protocol that fits all of them, using the material from the *O. J. Simpson* case from Chapter 1 to illustrate its application. We have included this generalized account as a separate chapter for two reasons: first, some teachers may wish to provide an overview of approaches without going into detail about the chart method. Second, in our experience we have found this an effective way of easing students into the rigors of the chart method.

Chapter 5, “The chart method,” is the heart of the book for those who wish to master the most rigorous method of analysis. It is a substantially revised version of the method that Wigmore developed for the analysis of mixed masses of evidence early in the last century. It is an intellectual procedure for analyzing and organizing a complex body of evidential data and demonstrating precisely how the inferences from that data can be marshaled in support of and in opposition to the ultimate proposition that must be proved. It also makes it possible to subject selected phases of a complex argument to rigorous microscopic analysis. Such analysis can be used to identify and construct arguments about whether evidence should be admitted or its use restricted, as well as to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the particular phase of the argument based upon that data. Each step of the method is illustrated using *United States v. Able* and the *O. J. Simpson* example from Chapter 1.

Chapter 6, “Outline, chronologies, and narratives,” considers other methods of analysis in the context of litigation. The outline method is a familiar device. Variations of it are common. It is, on its face, less difficult to grasp and easier to use than the chart method. Chronologies and narratives are other devices commonly used in practice to organize the available evidence and to develop and test arguments based on that evidence. Part C of that chapter, “The litigation context,” describes which of the methods is best suited to the various stages of a case.

Chapter 7 uses an edited version of the record of *R. v. Bywaters and Thompson* to illustrate how the chart method can be applied to a complex decided case. The questions at the end have been organized to reflect the seven-step protocol. In our experience, if students immerse themselves in the detail and then are guided through the case using these questions step by step they readily grasp the basics of Wigmorean analysis. However, other cases involving mixed masses of evidence about which there is scope for reasoned disagreement, such as Sacco and Vanzetti, or *O. J. Simpson*, or the Lindbergh Baby (Bruno Hauptman), or any other complex case, can also be used for this purpose, provided that a detailed record is available and there is a historical doubt about the event.

Wigmore’s presentation of the principles of reasoning and methods of analysis falls squarely within the mainstream of Anglo-American scholarship, but he did not satisfactorily address a class of problems that are important for lawyers and that have emerged in recent debates as central issues for scholars. How is the strength of an inference to be determined? How is the net persuasive value of a mass of evidence



Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

to be assessed? How are judgments about the probative force of different items of evidence to be combined? How can the lawyer (or the trier of fact) determine whether a mass of evidence, which logically supports the truth of the proposition ultimately to be proved, satisfies the applicable standard of proof? What do we mean when we say a proposition has been proven to be “more probable than not,” proven by “clear and convincing evidence,” or proven “beyond a reasonable doubt”? We confront these problems in Chapters 8 and 9.

Chapter 8, “Evaluating evidence,” first presents the traditional vocabularies that lawyers and others use in arguing about these issues in court. The next part, “Standards for decision,” introduces the distinction between standards intended to guide the decision-makers’ exercise of discretion, such as the standards defining the burden of proof, and standards designed to define the limits of discretion, such as the standards that appellate courts apply in deciding whether the decision below exceeded those limits. That part moves beyond the familiar standards of proof to consider standards for other decisions that are involved in the total process of litigation from the first interview of a client to pre-trial decisions, through the trial process and beyond, including standards for lawyers’ decisions, decisions to prosecute, and other standards for decision in litigation and adjudication.

Chapter 9, “Probabilities, weight, and probative force,” provides a basic introduction to probability theory. It outlines the debates about the application of different theories of probability in legal contexts and elucidates some basic concepts. These debates mainly focus upon whether probability theory should be used in evaluating evidence for cases-as-a-whole – i.e. arguments to a judge or jury. As a practical matter, practitioners, judges, and most legal academics have rejected the use of Bayes’s Theorem and other axioms of probability for these purposes, but have recognized that they should play a role in specific contexts – for example, in paternity suits, or disparate impact cases, as the basis for many scientific or expert opinions, or in wrongful death or total disability cases. There are further reasons why lawyers should be familiar with these concepts. Probability assessments have an important role as an aid to making many pre-trial decisions. The decision to prosecute or to contest a case requires analysis of the probability that liability or guilt will be established and, in a civil case, an estimate of the probable quantum of damages. Negotiations to settle a case or to reach a plea agreement are often argued in terms of probability assessments made by each of the parties.<sup>2</sup> Lawyers also need to be equipped to recognize fallacies and misuses of statistics that may be made by their opponents.

Chapter 9 provides the theoretical background to the separate appendix on Probabilities and Proof by Philip Dawid, which is included on the website for this book.<sup>3</sup> The appendix is a basic practical introduction to statistical method applied to

2 A simple formula for negotiating a settlement is discussed in Ch. 8 with an exercise based on *Sargent v. General Accident Co.*, a case presented for other purposes in Ch. 1.

3 Appendix I at [www.cambridge.org/9780521673167](http://www.cambridge.org/9780521673167). There is a second Website for the book at <http://analysisofevidence.law.miami.edu/>.

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

legal examples. It explores the theoretical and practical problems posed by the use of mathematical probabilities in evaluating evidence. It introduces some basic axioms of probabilistic analysis and, through a series of problems and exercises, illustrates their application in contexts such as DNA, paternity suits, discrimination cases, and actuarial analysis.

Chapter 10, “Necessary but dangerous,” explores at greater length the roles of generalizations and stories in argumentation about questions of fact and the relations between them. This is mainly a theoretical chapter, but it includes two simple protocols that a lawyer might use in testing key generalizations or potential stories in preparing for trial.

Chapter 11, “The principles of proof and the law of evidence,” explores the intimate relationship between the principles of proof and the law of evidence, recapping on points where the connections have been touched on previously, especially in relation to basic concepts and exploring these in more detail in relation to hearsay.

Chapter 12, “The trial lawyer’s standpoint,” integrates the materials and methods introduced in Chapters 2 to 11 into the practical context of preparation for trial. This chapter includes two simple traffic cases that have been adapted from exercises used at the Inns of Court School of Law in London and two more complex problems drawn from the oldest National Trial Competition in the United States. We have found that these cases work well either as a basis for class discussion or as problems for simulated mini-trials on either side of the Atlantic.

### *Changes in this edition*

First, David Schum has joined us as a co-author. Trained in probability and psychology, he has in recent years been concerned with evidence as a multi-disciplinary subject. In *Evidential Foundations of Probabilistic Reasoning* (1994) he argued that other disciplines had a lot to learn about evidence from law, but that lawyers could also benefit by considering those features of evidence that cross all or most disciplines. This “substance-blind” approach to relevance, credibility, and probative force is introduced in Chapter 2, with particular reference to investigation and inquiry in both legal and non-legal contexts.

Second, scientific evidence, such as DNA, and the bearing of probability theory and practical statistics on evidence in legal contexts have increased in importance in recent years. Chapter 9 contains a brief introduction to probability theory; the Appendix provides a practical introduction to the application of statistical methods to legal issues as an optional extra. Placing this on the website has made it possible to shorten the hard copy of the book, while substantially expanding the treatment of statistics.

Third, Wigmorean analysis has become much better known outside legal circles as well as within law. Specialists in decision theory, artificial intelligence, and in other areas have taken great interest in assisting intelligence analysts in “connecting

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

the dots” or trying to make sense out of masses of evidence. Wigmore’s methods are now being routinely applied in such efforts. They have also been applied to investigation of multiple crimes and insurance fraud (Schum (1987), Leary (2003), Twining (2003)). We have expanded the scope of this edition to take account of such developments, especially in relation to intelligence analysis post 9/11.

Fourth, there have been many developments in the law of evidence, civil and criminal procedure, and in scientific evidence.<sup>4</sup> Evidence scholarship has continued to be a lively and pluralistic field. It is now a well-established area in comparative law. Evidence is becoming increasingly recognized as an exciting multidisciplinary subject of great importance in many spheres of practical activity (Schum (1994); Twining and Hampsher-Monk (2003); Twining (2003)). The first edition did not deal in detail with how the principles of proof and the law of evidence interact. We have added Chapter 11 in order to make this relationship clear and to facilitate the integration of the logic of proof and the rules of evidence in teaching.<sup>5</sup>

All of these developments have been taken into account in revising this edition. However, the principles of inferential reasoning, the basic concepts, and the skills involved in analyzing and marshaling mixed masses of evidence are quite stable. We have retained examples that we have found work well in teaching, even though some of them are quite old. We have dropped others and streamlined the presentation. We have tried to make the book more flexible and accessible to a variety of users, by giving clearer signposts.

Throughout this period the authors have continued to think, write, and teach in this area. Our ideas have continued to develop and we have learned from the experience of using the first edition in teaching and from the critical feedback of hundreds of students and some colleagues. Almost all our students have found the process of learning the method challenging and hard work (the motto of our courses has been “tough, but fun”); nevertheless, the vast majority have succeeded in mastering the basic techniques and many have produced work of outstanding quality. Interestingly, the subject has worked best with first year law students in Miami, where it is a popular elective in the second semester. Many of our students have reported that they have found the approach very helpful in practice, some claiming that it was the most useful course that they had in law school. Of course, they

4 For England these developments are surveyed in Zander (2003), Dennis (2004), and Roberts and Zuckerman (2004).

5 Throughout this edition we indicate important points of contact between the principles of proof and the law of evidence. For this purpose, we have used the Federal Rules of Evidence (as amended up to Dec. 1, 2002). This is a coherent, accessible, and important code that falls four-square within the Rationalist Tradition. In respect of English law we make regular reference to Ian Dennis, *The Law of Evidence* (2nd edn, 2002), especially Chs. 1–4, which is generally in tune with our approach. So is Roberts and Zuckerman, *Criminal Evidence* (2004). Michael Zander’s *Cases and Materials on the English Legal System* (9th edn, 2003) contains useful discussions of debates and reforms concerning evidence and procedure in recent years. The main points of direct connection between the principles of proof and the law of evidence concern matters such as the basic concepts, relevance, standards of proof, and judicial notice, topics in respect of which there are not great differences between common law jurisdictions.

do not spend time drawing elaborate charts in straightforward cases, but the basic techniques of evidence marshaling and argument construction can become habits of mind that are invaluable and efficient in handling both simple and complex cases. This is hardly surprising because Wigmore's method is essentially a systematization of the "best practice" of good lawyers.

Most of our students and some colleagues are converts. Moreover, the type of analysis involved in the chart method has in recent years attracted interest in a number of fields, including police investigation, intelligence analysis, and various other spheres of practical decision-making (Schum (1987); Leary (2003), Twining (2003)). There are, however, still some skeptics, not least among teachers of the law of evidence (Roberts (2002), Murphy (2001); response by Twining (2005)). We have tried to address their central criticism that the first edition was too substantial and complex to use in an ordinary law of evidence course, and we hope that this edition is more accessible and user friendly.

### *How to use this book*

Our main purpose is to present a vehicle for learning certain usable basic skills of analysis, argument, and practical problem-solving; hence this book can be used as core or supplemental material in a variety of ways and in a variety of courses. Chapter 1 contains a number of concrete examples and exercises that can be used selectively for different purposes.

First, the book can be used as the basis for a self-standing course on analysis of evidence. All three authors have used it in this way for over a decade in a postgraduate course in London, in first degree courses for lawyers and non-lawyers at George Mason University, and, most successfully, as a popular first year elective at the University of Miami Law School.

Second, this edition has been designed so that it can also be used as part of orthodox evidence courses. Anderson has regularly used it during the first three weeks of a standard four-credit course on the Law of Evidence in Miami; Twining teaches it as the first third of the year-long course on Evidence and Proof in the London LLM, the second half of which is devoted to selected topics in the Law of Evidence, the remainder being devoted to a brief introduction to statistical analysis. Other law teachers who have tried to introduce this approach at the start of their courses on evidence have tended to find the first edition too substantial and too dense to use in three to four weeks. With this in mind we have reorganized the book, shortened several chapters, and indicated more clearly how the principles of proof underpin and are integrated into evidence doctrine. We have also provided some guidance to teachers who wish to take some short cuts in order to fit this subject into a few weeks.

We would emphasize, however, that there are no short cuts to learning the basic skills involved. If the learning objectives include mastering the basic techniques of evidence marshaling and the construction and criticism of rigorous

Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

---

xxiv Preface

---

arguments about disputed questions of fact, these can only be acquired by repeated practice involving exercises that are inevitably time consuming for students. However, it is our experience that a student who has acquired these skills can much more rapidly and efficiently understand the law of evidence and its practical applications. In short, studying analysis of evidence takes time, but it also saves time. In our view, the basic approach can be taught in a minimum of eight to ten contact hours together with at least two written exercises.

Third, while the obvious and tested uses are in basic or advanced courses in evidence and trial or pre-trial advocacy, we believe that some chapters can also be usefully employed in any skills course that seeks to develop the intellectual component of practical lawyering skills (and indeed in pre-law and other undergraduate courses concerned with rigorous reasoning about disputed questions of fact). Handling evidence is a basic human skill and a neglected aspect of “thinking like a lawyer.” Wigmorean analysis is beginning to feature in the training of intelligence analysts, police investigators, and others. It deserves to be a regular part of the curriculum of first degrees in law.

---

## Acknowledgments

This book has been in gestation for over thirty years. During this period we have become indebted to so many people that it is impossible to name them all. In addition to those to whom inadequate acknowledgment was made in the first edition, we have since incurred many further debts. In preparing this edition, special thanks are due to colleagues, librarians, and deans in the law schools of George Mason University, the University of Miami and University College London; to Philip Dawid, who has prepared Appendix I on Probabilities and Proof for the website; to our students who have continued to be our most persistent critics and supporters; to Christopher Allen, Kola Abimbola, Ricardo Bacusas, Erica Becher-Monas, Philip Dawid, Ian Dennis, Jason Goldsmith, Michael Graham, Susan Haack, Richard Leary, Donald Nicolson, Mike Redmayne, Paul Roberts, Peter Tillers, and Bill Widen for many useful comments and suggestions; to Deborah Burns, Colette Hanna, Eileen Russell, Erna Stoddart, and, especially, Gloria Lastres, for unstinting assistance with word-processing, scanning, preparation of charts, and much else; to Noah Cox and Sisi Tran for research assistance; and, as ever, to our families, especially Anne, Carolyn, and Penelope for their tolerance, support, help, and love.

We are grateful to the sources identified below for permission to reprint or reproduce parts of the following works.

In Chapter 1, Harry Kemelman, *The Nine Mile Walk*, with copyright Harry Kemelman, is reproduced with permission of the author's agents. Extracts from *Morrison v. Jenkins*, 80 C.L.R. 626 (Aust. 1969) are reproduced with permission of The Law Book Company Ltd.

In Chapter 2, the cartoon at Figure 2.1 is reproduced with permission of both the artist John Trevor and the *Albuquerque Journal* in New Mexico.

In Chapter 7, the trial record extract from Filson Young, ed., *The Trial of Bywaters and Thompson* (2nd edn., 1951), is reproduced with permission of William Hodge and Company in Edinburgh.

In Chapter 12, the extract from Dart, *Is the Ford Motor Company Guilty of Killing Girls with a Pinto?* copyright 1980 by the Atlanta Constitution, is reproduced with permission. Exhibits and text *In the Matter of James Dale Warren (1981)* and the

Cambridge University Press  
052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition  
Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

xxvi Acknowledgments

---

*United States v. Wainwright (1981)* are reproduced with the permission of the Texas Young Lawyers Association and the National Trial Competition Committee.

Every effort has been made to secure necessary permissions to reproduce copyright material in this work, though in some cases it has proved impossible to trace copyright holders. If any omissions are brought to our notice, we will be happy to include appropriate acknowledgments on reprinting.

---

## Table of cases

### Australia

Morris v Jenkins 80 CLR 626 (Aust 1949) 31–39, 130

### England

Bater v Bater [1951] P 35 243

Candler v Crane Christmas & Co [1951] 2 KB 164 156, 285

H (Minors) (Sexual Abuse: Standard of Proof), Re [1996] AC 563 243

Miller v Jackson [1977] 3 All ER 340 20–1, 287

R v Clark [2003] EWCA Crim 1020 298

R v Hepworth and Fearnley [1955] 2 QB 600 242

R v Sang [1980] AC 402 87

R v Smith (George Joseph) [1914–15] 84 KB 2153 (Brides in the Bath) 18, 286,  
 296–8, 298, 304

R v Turnbull [1977] QB 224 227

### United States

Addington v Texas, 441 US 418 (1979) 243

Anderson v City of Bessemer, 470 US 564 (1970) 245

Bradwell v Illinois, 83 US 130 (1872) 266

Chambers v Mississippi, 410 US 284 (1973) 140

Commonwealth v Sacco, 255 Mass 369, 151 NE 839 (1926) 2, 335

Commonwealth v Sacco, 259 Mass 128, 156 NE 57 (1927) 2, 335

Commonwealth v Sacco, 261 Mass 12, 158 NE 167 (1927) 2, 335

Crawford v Washington, 541 U.S. 36 (2004) 140, 308, 314

Daubert v. Merrel Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 578 (1993) 300

General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 166 (1997) 300

Huddleston v United States, 485 US 681 (1988) 19, 131, 132, 279, 287, 304

Icicle Seafoods Inc v Worthington, 475 US 709 (1986) 245

Kumho Tire Company, Ltd. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1998) 300



Cambridge University Press

052167316X - Analysis of Evidence, Second Edition

Terence Anderson, David Schum and William Twining

Frontmatter

[More information](#)xxviii Table of cases

---

- Old Chief v United States, 519 US 172 (1997) 87, 147, 241  
 People v Collins, 68 Cal 2d 319, (1968) 249–50  
 Robinson v Diamond Housing Corp, 267 A 2d 833 (DC Ct App 1970) 238  
 Robinson v Diamond Housing Corp, 463 F 2d 853 (DC Cir 1972) 238  
 Sargent v Massachusetts Accident Co, 307 Mass 246, 29 NE 2d 825 (1940) 28  
 Shepard v United States, 290 US 96 (1933) 309  
 Tome v United States, 513 US 150 (1995) 140  
 US v Myers, 550 F 2d 1036 (5th 1977) 101

*Causes célèbres*<sup>1</sup>

- Commonwealth v Woodward, (1997) (Boston Nanny case) 160  
 Commonwealth v Sacco and Vanzetti, (1921) xix, 2, 21–2, 160, 253–4, 285–6,  
 335–6  
 New Jersey v Hauptmann, (1935) (Lindbergh baby kidnapping) xix, 160  
 People v. Simpson (1995) (double murder) xix, 2, 23, 115, 117, 118–20, 122, 129,  
 131, 145–8, 154, 160  
 R v Bywaters and Thompson, 1922 xix, 2, 10, 159–220, 246, 253–5, 262, 264–5,  
 266, 267–8, 278, 288, 336–7  
 State v Frank, 1913 (Leo Frank/Mary Phagen murder) 160  
 Tichborne v Lushington, 1871 (claim to estate) 160

## Hypothetical cases

- Police v Twist 329–34  
 Police v Weller 160, 325–9, 333–4  
 Sargent v. Southern Accident Co., 1, 2, 28–31, 104, 126, 154, 233–4, 286  
 State v Archer 94, 109–11, 142–4, 158, 320  
 United States v Able, xix, 1, 2, 23–8, 74, 125–9, 132, 137, 138, 140, 147, 149,  
 239–42, 278, 303, 309–14  
 United States v Wainwright 160, 341, 343–56  
 Warren, The Estate of James Dale, 288, 341, 356–78

<sup>1</sup> References to these *causes célèbres* are based upon materials in the trial record. Sources where additional information may be found are identified in the References. Additional sources can be found on the internet and at the websites for this book.

---

## Table of legislation and rules

### England

#### Civil Procedure Rules 1999

r 1(1) 84

r 1(2) 84

#### Code for Crown Prosecutors

s 5.1 236

s 6.2 236

#### Construction and Use Regulations 1972

reg 98(i)(b) 329, 332

#### Criminal Appeal Act 1995

s 2 244

#### Criminal Justice Act 2003 281

Part 10 244

s 101 298

s 103 298

#### Human Rights Act 1998 294

##### Road Traffic Act 1972

s 3 326, 327, 329, 330, 333

s 22 326, 327, 329, 332, 333

s 34 329, 332

s 40 329, 332

s 65 329, 332

### United States

#### California Penal Code

§187(a) 117

#### Connecticut General Statutes

§53a–8 18

§53a–54a 17

xxx Table of legislation and rules

---

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	84, 85
R 1	84
R 11	234–5
R 52(a)	245
Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure	84
R 2	84
Federal Rules of Evidence	xxii, 84, 85, 299–314
R 102	84, 300
R 201	300
R 201(b)	273
R 401	62, 86, 88, 252, 261, 299
R 402	86, 89, 299
R 403	87, 89, 90, 240, 241, 303, 304
R 404	87, 89, 90, 281
R 404(b)	303, 304, 321
R 405	87, 281, 300
R 406	281
R 407	301, 302
R 408	301
R 409	301
R 410	301
R 411	301
R 501	87
R 602	300
R 603	300
R 608	300
R 609	87
R 613(b)	312
R 701	87, 300
R 702	87, 300, 359
R 703	87, 300
R 704	87, 300
R 705	300
R 801(1)(B)	147
R 801(a)	312
R 801(d)(2)	313
R 801(d)(2)(D)	312
R 803	309
R 804	309
R 804(b)(1)	309
R 804(b)(2)	309
R 806	308, 309
R 901	64

R 902	87
art IV	89
Internal Revenue Code	
§7201	125
United States Attorneys' Manual	
§9-27.220A	235
United States Code	
Title 18 §1111	343, 352
Title 18 §1112	343, 344, 354
Title 18 §1112(a)	353, 354
Title 18 §1113(a)(4)	354
United States Constitution	
Amendment IV	304
Amendment V	304

---

## Abbreviations

Some of the points and themes in the text are treated at greater length in other writings by the authors. The following abbreviations for the most commonly cited works are used in the text and notes:<sup>1</sup>

<i>Analysis</i>	<i>Analysis of Evidence</i> (1st edn) by Terence Anderson and William Twining (1991)
<i>Bazaar</i>	<i>The Great Juristic Bazaar</i> by William Twining (2002)
<i>Foundations</i>	<i>Evidential Foundations of Probabilistic Reasoning</i> by David Schum (1994/2001)
<i>Generalizations I</i>	On Generalizations I: A Preliminary Exploration by Terence Anderson, 40 S. Texas. L. Rev. 455 (1999)
<i>Rethinking</i>	<i>Rethinking Evidence</i> by William Twining (1990/1994)
Sacco-Vanzetti	<i>A Probabilistic Analysis of the Sacco and Vanzetti Evidence</i> (1996)
<i>Science</i>	<i>The Science of Judicial Proof</i> by J. H. Wigmore (3rd edn, 1937)
<i>Websites</i>	<a href="http://www.cambridge.org/9780521673167">www.cambridge.org/9780521673167</a> ; <a href="http://analysisofevidence.law.miami.edu">http://analysisofevidence.law.miami.edu</a>

1 For full references see References at pages 388–95 below.