

## Index

---

- abortion
  - as sensitive behaviors/topics, 49
- ACASI (Audio Computer Assisted Self-Interviewing), 5, 45
  - sensitive behaviors/topics and, 49
- anomalous cases
  - ethnographic data collection and, 88–92
  - population sampling of, 83–87
- archival sources, 9–10, 11
  - drawbacks of, 9
  - for TFRP, 62, 63
  - unstructured, 9
- attrition, 163–165
  - biases from, 164
  - in IPS, 167, 168
  - in longitudinal data collection methods, 171
  - in longitudinal research designs, 163–165, 177
  - mobility as factor in, 164, 165
  - mortality as factor in, 164
  - participant refusal and, 164
  - time requirements and, for respondents, 165
- Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interviewing. *See* ACASI
- autobiographical recall
  - in LHCs, 139
- biases
  - from attrition, 164
  - from data collection methods, 26
  - in longitudinal research designs, 177
  - migration related, 172
  - response, 99
- boundaries
  - in NHCs, 112–113
- CAI (computer-assisted interviewing), 44
- Caldwell, John, 20
- CAPI (Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing), 44, 194
  - LHCs and, 139, 158
  - standardized questions as part of, 5
- case studies
  - causation theories and, 33
  - information saturation from, 35
  - investigator caseload for in research design, 32–35
  - sample population for, 32, 34
  - vignette, 48
- CATI (Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing), 44
  - causation theories, 15–18, 183, 190
  - case studies and, 33
  - in data collection methods, 2
  - evidence sources for, multiple, 17
  - identification procedures within, 16
  - instrumental variable approaches, 16
  - language errors and, 15
  - measurement strategies and, 17
  - natural experiments and, 16
  - observational methods in, 15
  - research design constructions and, 17
  - selections corrections and, 16
- Chitwan Valley Family Study. *See* CVFS
- coding
  - for NHCs, 115
- community
  - community studies. *See* micro-demographic community study approach
- comparison groups, 31–32
  - in TFRP, 55–58
- computer-assisted interviewing. *See* CAI
- Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing. *See* CATI
- Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing. *See* CAPI
- confidentiality
  - for sensitive behaviors/topics, 49
- contextual characteristics, 104
  - dynamic individual-level measurements and, 104

## 224 Index

- contextual event-history data, 105, 133
  - collection of, 105
  - in CVFS, 105, 107
- contextual history calendars, 127
  - for banking services, 127
  - for government programs, 127
- Coombs Scale, 83, 94, 98
  - in CVFS, scores, 85, 92
  - OLS models for
  - outliers scores, 93
- CVFS (Chitwan Valley Family Study), 81
  - aims of, 85
  - contextual event-history data in, 105, 107
  - Coombs Scale scores in, 85, 92
  - error sources in, 96–100
  - ethnographic data collection in, 144
  - family size preference estimates, 84, 90
  - health service history calendar as part of, 117, 118, 121
  - informant access in, 100
  - interviewer effects on, 99–100
  - item validity in, 96–97
  - less structured data collection for, 87–88
  - LHCs for, 144
  - neighborhood definition in, 112
  - non-time-recording populations in, 149
  - registry systems as part of, 172–173
  - reliability in, 98–99
  - school history calendar as part of, 116, 118, 121, 153
  - unstructured interviews in, 87
- data collection methods. *See also* archival sources; data collection methods, ethnographic; data collection methods, mixed; data collection methods, qualitative; data collection methods, quantitative; focus groups; interviews; interviews, unstructured; introspection; surveys
  - archival/historical, 9–10, 11
  - biases as result of, 26
  - CAI, 44
  - CAP, 44
  - CATI, 44
  - causation theories in, 2
  - comparisons of, 3–10
  - costs of, 196
  - distinctions between, 10–13
  - empirical records for, 2
  - focus groups, 7–8, 11, 48
  - information sources for, multiple, 1
  - introspection as part of, 2
  - IVR/Internet, 45–46
  - longitudinal, 101
  - mixed method, 1–2, 23–27, 196
  - for NHCs, 111–115, 116–119, 122–123, 132, 136
  - observation, 8–9, 11
  - pretesting, 38
  - quantitative v. qualitative, 2–3, 13, 24
  - for research designs, 38
  - statistical v. interpretive analysis of, 13–14
  - study design under, 28
  - surveys, 4–5
  - technology and, 19
  - for TFRP, 62–63
  - themes for, 2
  - unequal probability sampling in, 100
  - unstructured interviews, 5–7
- data collection methods, ethnographic
  - for anomalous cases, 88–92
  - in CVFS, 144
  - integration in, 73
  - interviews, 5, 48
  - for NHCs, 119
  - research design for, 74
  - sample population size for, 74
  - in TFRP, 62
- data collection methods, longitudinal, 101
  - attrition as factor in, 171
- data collection methods, mixed, 1–2, 23–27, 196
  - balance within, 25–26, 185–187
  - flexibility within, 26, 186
  - introspection as part of, 188–189
  - investigator involvement as part of, 186
  - language as factor in, 189–191
  - for longitudinal research designs, 173–177, 180, 195
  - measurement strategies and, 18, 24, 26–27
  - micro-demographic community study approach, 54
  - Participatory Rural Appraisal, 43
  - principles of, 185–188
  - redundancies as part of, 191–192
  - RRA, 43
  - sequential integration of, 177–179, 182
  - study scale as factor for, 192–195
  - “triangulation” methods and, 25, 186
  - unstructured v. structured interviews in, 194–195
- data collection methods, qualitative, 48
  - hypothesis testing v. generation
  - interview formats in, 3
  - interviews for, 5
  - quantitative vs., 2–3, 13, 24
  - sample population size in, 3
  - software programs for, 46

- data collection methods, quantitative
  - hypothesis testing vs. generation
  - interview formats in, 3
  - qualitative v., 2–3, 13, 24
  - sample population size in, 3
- data entry. *See* coding
- demography
  - mixed method data collection and social, 190–191
  - for TFRP, 65, 69
- deviant case analysis, 79, 88
- digitizers, 130, 131
- dynamic measurements
  - of contextual characteristics, 104
- ecological characteristics, 104
- errors. *See also* measurement errors
  - in causation theories, 15
  - interview, in focus groups, 7
  - non-observation, 64–66
  - non-sampling, 22
- ethnographic data, 62
- event history. *See* contextual event-history data
- event modeling, 104
- focus groups, 7–8, 11, 48
  - drawbacks of, 7
  - interview errors in, 7
  - in research design, 44
  - sample population size for, 7–8
- “fold out” questions, 50
- Fragile Families study, 77
- Geographic Information System. *See* GIS
- geography
  - longitudinal research designs and, influence on, 176, 193
- GIS (Geographic Information Systems), 130–132, 175, 197
  - CVFS, 131
  - digitizers and, 130, 131
  - GPS and, 130
  - spatial data in, 132
- Global Positioning System. *See* GPS
- GPS (Global Positioning System), 130
- health service history calendars, 126–127
  - CVFS and, 117, 118, 121
  - design of, 126–127
- history calendars. *See also* contextual history calendars; health service history calendars; LHCs; NHCs; school history calendars
  - contextual, 127
  - health service, 117, 118, 121, 126–127
  - informant diversity and, 201
  - LHCs, 103, 104, 132, 138–160
  - in longitudinal research designs, 173–176, 195
  - mobility histories in, 133–134
  - NHCs, 103–121, 123, 132
  - school, 115, 116, 118, 121
  - supplemental, 110
- hypothesis testing. *See* testing, hypothesis
- income measurement
  - in Nepal, 39
  - in theoretical constructs, 40
- “in-depth” interviews, 5, 42
- informants
  - in CVFS, 100
  - for history calendars, diversity of, 201
  - Internet access for, 45
  - interview privacy for, 42
  - IVR access for, 45
  - for NHCs, 113
  - reports on others by, 52–53
- instrumental variable approaches, 16
- Interactive Voice Response. *See* IVR
- Intergenerational Panel Study. *See* IPS
- Internet
  - data collection methods from, 45–46
  - informant access level for, 45
  - as text-based, 45
- interviewer effects, 99–100
  - response bias from, 99
- interviewers
  - focus group errors by, 7
  - for IPS, 167
  - surveys and, 4
  - in TFRP
  - training for, 61–62, 66
- interviews
  - ACASI, 5, 45
  - CAI, 44
  - CAPI, 44
  - CATI, 44
  - face-to-face, 42
  - fatigue from, 42
  - “in-depth,” 5, 42
  - informant privacy as part of, 42
  - length of, 41–42
  - in longitudinal research designs, 163
  - in mixed method data collection strategies, 194–195
  - for NHCs, 107, 122
  - by proxy, in TFRP, 65
  - qualitative, 5
  - for school history calendars, 126

## 226 Index

- interviews (*cont.*)  
 self, 45  
 from surveys, 3  
 unstructured, 5–7, 185
- interviews, unstructured, 5–7, 185. *See also*  
 data collection methods, ethnographic  
 in CVFS, 87  
 empirical documentation from  
 flexibility of, 6  
 investigators and, 25  
 for longitudinal research designs, 163  
 sample population size for, 6
- introspection  
 in data collection, 2, 18–23  
 direct involvement as part of, 22  
 drawbacks to, 20–21  
 in mixed method data collection, 188–189  
 of secondary analysts, 21  
 technology and, 19
- IPS (Intergenerational Panel Study), 167–169  
 attrition in, 167, 168  
 demographics for, 167  
 interviewer choice for, 167  
 longitudinal research designs and, 167–169,  
 173  
 re-contact information for, 167–168  
 refusal conversion in, 167  
 “Report to Respondents” in, 167  
 tracking measures for, 167
- item validity  
 in CVFS, 96–97
- IVR (Interactive Voice Response), 45–46  
 informant access to, 45  
 instrument development for, 45
- “landmarks”  
 local level, 145  
 national event, 144, 145
- language  
 in causation theories, 15  
 data collection integration and, 190  
 international research and, 191  
 measurement errors from, 67, 190  
 measurement strategies and, translations,  
 46–48  
 in mixed method data collection, role of,  
 189–191  
 Tamang, 61  
 in TFRP, 60
- less-structured interviews. *See* interviews,  
 unstructured
- LHCs (life history calendars), 103, 104, 132,  
 138–160  
 age range expansion for, 140–149  
 applications for, 159  
 autobiographical recall in, 139  
 CAPI and, 139, 158  
 for CVFS, 144  
 design structure of, 138–140, 144  
 domain cue design for, 148–149  
 longitudinal research designs and, 173–176,  
 180  
 measurement errors in, 153  
 for non-time-recording populations,  
 149–150  
 question order in, 149, 201  
 recording techniques for, 140, 150–155,  
 158  
 sequence analysis and, 159  
 standardized recall period in, 141  
 substantive domains as part of, 140,  
 151–153  
 time units as part of, 138, 140, 144,  
 153–155, 201  
 timing cues as part of, 139, 144–148, 149  
 “top-down retrieval” in, 139  
 visual cues as part of, 139, 150  
 Lieberson, Stanley, 15
- life history calendars. *See* LHCs  
 “long interviews.” *See* interviews,  
 unstructured
- measurement errors  
 language as factor in, 67, 190  
 in LHCs, 153  
 in NHCs, 122  
 in prospective/retrospective research  
 designs, 36  
 redundancies and, 191  
 in TFRP, 64–67, 200
- measurement strategies. *See also*  
 measurement errors  
 abbreviated, 42–44  
 causation theories and, 17  
 comprehensive, 17–18, 24, 26–27, 187  
 errors, in prospective research design  
 language translation as part of, 46–48  
 for longitudinal research designs, 165–167,  
 171–173, 174  
 measurement aims vs., 28, 37  
 mixed method data collection and, 18, 24,  
 26–27  
 potential mechanism documentation and,  
 18  
 problems with, 46–53  
 redundancy in, 24  
 replication within, 18  
 for research designs, 40–41  
 in retrospective/prospective research  
 designs, 36

- self-reporting as, 179–180
- for sensitive behaviors/topics, 48–51, 52
- temporal order in, 17, 27
- micro-demographic community study
  - approach. *See also* TFRP (Tamang Family Research Project)
  - collaboration as part of, 75, 76
  - flexibility in, 54
  - investigator involvement in
  - as mixed method data collection method, 54
  - multiple data types in, 54
  - principles for, 77–78
  - temporal order in, 78
  - TFRP, 55–63
- migration histories. *See also* mobility histories
  - biases from, 172
  - in Nepal, 201
- mobility histories
  - attrition and, 164, 165
  - in history calendars, 133–134
- mortality
  - attrition from, 164
- National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Wave), 2, 45
- National Study of Youth and Religion, 14, 33, 42, 77, 193
- “natural” experiments, 183. *See also* twin studies
- neighborhood history calendars. *See* NHCs
- Nepal. *See also* CVFS; TFRP
  - CVFS in, 81
  - income measurement in, 39
  - migration histories in, 201
  - mixed method data collection strategies in national event “landmarks” in, 144, 145
  - NHCs for, 106, 135
  - schools in, 58
- Nepal Fertility, Family Planning and Health Survey, 21
- New Hope project, 14
  - welfare reform studies and, 14
- NHCs (neighborhood history calendars), 103–121, 123, 132, 159
  - applications for, 135–136
  - boundary issues in, 112–113
  - coding for, 115
  - contextual event-history data in, 105, 133
  - data collection for, 111–115, 116–119, 122–123, 132, 136
  - data quality evaluation in, 119–121
  - design advantages of, 121–123
  - domain choice for, 107–110
  - ethnographic interviews and, 119
  - exposure measures for, 117–118
  - historical change and, measures of, 116–117
  - informant choice in, 113
  - infrastructure construction as factor in, 114
  - longitudinal research designs and, 175–176, 180
  - measurement errors in, 122
  - media influence on
  - multiple-interview strategies for, 107, 122
  - Nepalese, 106, 135
  - questionnaire design for, 110–111, 132
  - recording techniques for, 114–115
  - redundant information in, 114
  - respondent recall as result of, 121
  - supplemental calendars and, 110
  - time unit choice in, 106–107
  - time-varying covariate measures for, 118–119
  - timing cues in, 106–107
- non-observation errors, 64–66
- observational methods, 8–9, 11
  - causation theories and, 15
  - direct, 8
  - drawbacks from, 8
  - participant, 8
- outliers
  - Coombs scale scores for, 93
- participants. *See* study participants
- Participatory Rural Appraisal, 43, 112
- physical scientists, 188
- Project on Devolution and Urban Change, 14
- proxy interviews
  - in TFRP, 65
- qualitative interviews. *See* interviews, unstructured
- qualitative methods. *See* data collection methods, qualitative
- quantitative methods. *See* data collection methods, quantitative
- random shocks, 98, 195, 196
- randomized experiments
  - standardized questions and, 48
- random/nonrandom assignments
  - in longitudinal research designs, 161
- rapid rural appraisal. *See* RRA
- rapport-building techniques
  - during TFRP, 200
- re-contact information
  - for IPS, 167–168

## 228 Index

- redundancies  
 in empirical documentation  
 measurement errors and, 191  
 in measurement strategies, 24  
 in mixed method data collection,  
 191–192  
 in NHCs, 114
- refusal conversion  
 in IPS, 167
- registry systems  
 in CVFS, household, 172–173
- regression diagnostics, 100, 101
- reliability  
 in CVFS, 98–99
- “Report to Respondents,” 167
- research designs, 29–46. *See also* case studies;  
 research designs, longitudinal; units of  
 analysis  
 case study choice and, 32–35  
 comparison groups and, 31–32  
 data collection, preliminary, 38  
 for ethnographic data collection, 74  
 focus groups within, 44  
 measurement strategies for, 40–41  
 research for, preliminary, 37–38  
 retrospective vs. prospective, 36–37  
 for social science studies, 28  
 theoretical construct definitions in, 38–40  
 units of analysis as part of, 29–31
- research designs, longitudinal. *See also*  
 attrition  
 alternative, 169–171  
 applications for, 181  
 attrition as part of, 163–165, 177  
 bias reduction in, 177  
 calendar integration within, 173–176,  
 195  
 comparability threats as part of, 180  
 design for, 163  
 geography as factor in, 176, 193  
 group membership changes in, 176  
 for households, 169–170  
 for individuals, 163–169, 176  
 IPS, 167–169, 173  
 LHCs and, 173–176, 180  
 measurement strategies for, 165–167,  
 171–173, 174  
 mixed method data collection in, 173–177,  
 180, 195  
 multidisciplinary teams for, 181  
 National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent  
 Health, 45  
 NHCs and, 175–176, 180  
 participant age and, 162  
 question order and, 166  
 random/nonrandom assignment in, 161  
 registration systems as part of, 171  
 sample populations for, 162, 193  
 self-report measures in, 179–180  
 social context changes for, 166  
 survey interviews as part of, 163  
 testing effects for, 163  
 tokens of appreciation as part of  
 unstructured interviews for, 163  
 in US, 165
- research designs, prospective, 36  
 measurement errors in, 36  
 retrospective vs., 36–37
- research designs, retrospective, 36, 201  
 measurement errors in, 36  
 prospective vs., 36–37
- response biases, 99
- RRA (rapid rural appraisal), 43  
 welfare programs and, 43
- sample populations  
 of anomalous cases, 83–87  
 for case studies, 32, 34  
 costs for, 33  
 for ethnographic data collection, 74  
 for focus groups, 7–8  
 for longitudinal research designs, 162,  
 193  
 national vs. non-national, 32–33  
 in qualitative/quantitative research, 3  
 regression diagnostics and, 100, 101  
 for unstructured interviews, 6  
 variation within, 33–34
- school history calendars, 115, 123–126  
 CVFS and, 116, 118, 121, 153  
 design of, 126  
 interview process for, 126
- secondary analysts, 23  
 direct involvement and, 23  
 in introspection methods, 21  
 in surveys, 22–23
- selections corrections, 16
- self-reports  
 diary keeping as, 179  
 in longitudinal research designs,  
 179–180  
 technology for, 180
- sensitive behaviors/topics  
 abortion, 49  
 ACASI and, 49  
 confidentiality for, 49  
 cultural beliefs, 49  
 “fold out” questions for, 50  
 gender roles, 51  
 income level, 49

- measurement strategies for, 48–51, 52
- racial stereotyping, 49
- sexual behavior, 49
- social desirability and, 51–52
- underreporting as result of, 48
- sequence analysis, 159
- sexually transmitted diseases. *See* STDs
- SFDP (Small Farmers Development Program), 70, 78
  - membership in, 70
- Small Farmers Development Program. *See* SFDP
- social science studies. *See* studies, social science
- sociological theory
  - community vs. individual in
  - contextual characteristics in, 104
  - ecological characteristics in, 104
  - event modeling for, 104
- software programs
  - for qualitative data analysis, 46
- spatial data
  - in GIS, 132
- standardized questions
  - in ACASI, 5
  - in CAPI, 5
  - pre-testing for, 48
  - randomized experiments and, 48
  - respondent behavior coding for, 48
  - structure from, 4
  - in surveys, 4
  - in TFRP, 58, 61
- standardized recall periods
  - censored data in, 141
  - in LHCs, 141
- STDs (sexually transmitted diseases)
  - research on, 29
- studies, social science
  - Fragile Families, 77
  - National Study of Youth and Religion, 14, 33, 42, 77, 193
  - New Hope project, 14
  - in qualitative/quantitative research, formats
  - research design choice for, 28
  - U.S. Intergenerational Panel Study
  - welfare reform, 14
- study participants
  - age of, in longitudinal research designs, 162
  - attrition from, 164
  - investigator observation of
  - observational methods and, 8
  - survey errors, 22
    - in TFRP, reduction of, 64–67
  - surveys, 4–5, 18
    - benefits of, 4
    - design, 22
    - empirical documentation from
    - interviewers and, 4
    - interviews from, 3
    - Nepal Fertility, Family Planning and Health Survey, 21
    - non-sampling errors in, 22
    - secondary analysts and, 22–23
    - standardized questions as part of, 4
- Tamang
  - calendar cycle for, 66
  - as language, 61
- Tamang Family Research Project. *See* TFRP
- temporal order
  - in measurement strategies, 17, 27
  - in micro-demographic community study approach, 78
- testing effects, 163
- TFRP (Tamang Family Research Project), 55–63
  - archival sources for, 62, 63
  - census/geneology sheets as part of, 58, 62
  - data collection techniques for, 62–63
  - demographics for, 65, 69
  - development projects from, 70–72
  - ethnographic data collection in, 62
  - investigator knowledge and
    - linguistic fluency in, 60
  - logistic regression estimates for, 71
  - measurement errors in, 64–67, 200
  - proxy interviews in, 65
  - question design as part of, 58, 61
  - rapport-building techniques during, 200
  - research flexibility as factor in, 69–72
  - response rates in, 64
  - SFDP and, 70
  - survey error reduction in, 64–67
  - survey instrument design for, 58–61
  - units of analysis in, 55
  - village context comparison in, 55–58
- time units, 106–107
  - in LHCs, 138, 140, 144, 153–155, 201
  - years as, 144, 145, 155
- time-varying covariates, 118
  - for NHCs, 118–119
- timing cues, 106–107. *See also* “landmarks”
  - events, major, 106
  - events, neighborhood-specific, 107
  - “landmarks” and, 144, 145
  - in LHCs, 139, 144–148, 149
  - respondent age and, 145

230 Index

- tracking measures
  - for IPS, 167
- training
  - for interviewers, 61–62
- “triangulation” methods, 25, 186
- twin studies, 183
  - causation theories and, 16
- unequal probability sampling, 100
- units of analysis, 29–31
  - aggregate level data for, 30
  - reports on others as part of, 52–53
  - STD research and, 29
  - in TFRP, 55
  - theory investigation for, 30
- unstructured interviews. *See* interviews, unstructured
- U.S. (United States)
  - IPS in
  - longitudinal research designs in, 165
- vignette studies, 48
- visual cues
  - in LHCs, 139, 150
- “Welfare, Children, and Families: A Three-City Study,” 14, 77, 193
- welfare reform, 14
  - New Hope project, 14
  - Project on Devolution and Urban Change, 14
  - RRA and, 43
  - “Welfare, Children, and Families: A Three-City Study,” 14, 77, 193
- World Fertility Surveys, 20