KANT'S DOCTRINE OF TRANSCENDENTAL ILLUSION

This major study of Kant provides a detailed examination of the development and function of the doctrine of transcendental illusion in his theoretical philosophy. The author shows that a theory of "illusion" plays a central role in Kant's arguments about metaphysical speculation and scientific theory. Indeed, she argues that we cannot understand Kant unless we take seriously his claim that the mind inevitably acts in accordance with ideas and principles that are "illusory." Taking this claim seriously, we can make much better sense of Kant's arguments and reach a deeper understanding of the role he allots human reason in science.

Michelle Grier is Associate Professor of Philosophy at the University of San Diego.

MODERN EUROPEAN PHILOSOPHY

General Editor Robert B. Pippin, University of Chicago

Advisory Board Gary Gutting, University of Notre Dame Rolf-Peter Horstmann, Humboldt University, Berlin Mark Sacks, University of Essex

This series contains a range of high-quality books on philosophers, topics, and schools of thought prominent in the Kantian and post-Kantian European tradition. It is nonsectarian in approach and methodology, and includes both introductory and more specialized treatments of these thinkers and topics. Authors are encouraged to interpret the boundaries of the modern European tradition in a broad way and in primarily philosophical rather than historical terms.

Some Recent Titles:

Frederick A. Olafson: What Is a Human Being? Stanley Rosen: The Mask of Enlightenment: Nietzsche's Zarathustra Robert C. Scharff: Comte after Positivism F. C. T. Moore: Bergson: Thinking Backwards Charles Larmore: The Morals of Modernity Robert B. Pippin: Idealism as Modernism Daniel W. Conway: Nietzsche's Dangerous Game John P. McCormick: Carl Schmitt's Critique of Liberalism Frederick A. Olafson: Heidegger and the Ground of Ethics Günter Zöller: Fichte's Transcendental Philosophy Warren Breckman: Marx, the Young Hegelians, and the Origins of Radical Social Theory William Blattner: Heidegger's Temporal Idealism Charles Griswold: Adam Smith and the Virtues of Enlightenment Gary Gutting: Pragmatic Liberalism and the Critique of Modernity Allen Wood: Kant's Ethical Thought Karl Ameriks: Kant and the Fate of Autonomy Alfredo Ferrarin: Hegel and Aristotle Cristina Lafont: Heidegger, Language, and World-Disclosure Nicholas Wolsterstorff: Thomas Reid and the Story of Epistemology Daniel Dahlstrom: Heidegger's Concept of Truth

KANT'S DOCTRINE OF TRANSCENDENTAL ILLUSION

MICHELLE GRIER

University of San Diego



> PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

> > CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, VIC 3166, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

> > > http://www.cambridge.org

© Michelle Grier 2001

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2001

Printed in the United States of America

Typeface New Baskerville 10.25/13 pt. System QuarkXPress 4.01 [AG]

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Grier, Michelle, 1960–

Kant's doctrine of transcendental illusion / Michelle Grier.
p. cm. – (Modern European philosophy)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-521-66324-5 (hb)
1. Kant, Immanuel, 1724–1804 – Contributions in philosophy of illusion.
2. Illusion (Philosophy) – History – 18th century. I. Series.

B2799.I48 G74 2000 193 – dc21

00-034259

ISBN 0 521 66324 5 hardback

For Scott and Marissa

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments		<i>page</i> xi
Not	te on References and Translations	xiii
	Introduction	1
	PART ONE: KANT'S DISCOVERY	
	OF METAPHYSICAL ILLUSION	
1	Metaphysical Error in the Precritical Works	17
	The Early Works	17
	The Delusion of Metaphysical Knowledge and the Dreams	32
	The Transition from the Dreams to the Dissertation	45
2	The Inaugural Dissertation	48
	The Distinction between Sensuality and the Intellect	49
	The Theory of the Intellect	52
	Illusion and the Fallacy of Subreption	57
	The Principles of Harmony	64
	PART TWO: FALLACIES AND ILLUSIONS	
	IN THE CRITIQUE OF PURE REASON	
3	The Transcendental Employment of the Understanding and the Conflation of Appearances and Things in	
	Themselves	69
	Preliminary Remarks	71
	The Transcendental Employment of the Understanding	76
	The Distinction between Appearances and Things	-
	in Themselves	86
	The Pretensions of Sensibility	94

х	CONTENTS	
4	Transcendental Illusion The Sources of Dialectical Error Reason as the Seat of Transcendental Illusion The Transcendental Concepts of Pure Reason	101 102 117 130
	PART THREE: THE DIALECTICAL INFERENCES OF PURE REASON	
5	Rational Psychology and the Pseudorational Idea of the Soul The Transcendental Idea in the Paralogism The Fallacy of the First Paralogism The B Edition The Second and Third Paralogisms	143 144 152 161 163
6 7	Rational Cosmology and the Pseudoempirical Idea of the World Transcendental Illusion and the Idea of the World The Mathematical Antinomies The Resolution to the Mathematical Antinomies The Dynamical Antinomies Rational Theology and the Pseudorational Idea of God Preliminary Remarks The Idea of the <i>Ens Realissimum</i>	172 174 182 209 214 230 230 234
8	Transcendental Illusion and the Unconditionally Necessary Being The Ontological Argument PART FOUR: ILLUSION AND SYSTEMATICITY The Regulative Employment of Reason	252 256 263
2	Preliminary Remarks The Demand for Systematic Unity The Unity of Reason Kant's Philosophy of Science The Unifying Function of Ideas	264 268 279 288 294
	Conclusion	303
Selected Bibliography Index		307 313

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work began as a doctoral dissertation, written during my studies at the University of California, San Diego. There, I was fortunate to have on my doctoral committee a number of very distinguished philosophers whose work collectively served as an example in my own attempts. Among this group I must mention Robert Pippin, Frederick Olafson, and Patricia Kitcher, each of whom offered invaluable comments on my work and many insights into Kant's *Critique*. I must especially thank Henry Allison, who directed my dissertation and who, more than anyone else, cultivated my interest in Kant studies. I have continued to profit from his work since writing the dissertation on which this book is based. Even in my disagreements with him, my philosophical indebtedness to Henry Allison is apparent throughout this work, except of course in those places where I may have erred in my understanding of Kant.

Other debts have been incurred during the revision of the work. I received a number of Faculty Research Grants from the University of San Diego, which minimized my heavy teaching load, and I am grateful for these. I must also include special thanks to Leeanna Cummings, who assisted in the preparation of this manuscript, and who managed a number of crises in this regard.

On a more personal note, I should like to recognize the support generously provided by my husband, Scott, and my daughter, Marissa. Personal debts are impossible to quantify, and equally impossible to identify adequately in a few sentences. Suffice it to say that, by the age of seven, my daughter was already expressing relief that Kant didn't write a "fifth antinomy" in the *Critique of Pure Reason*. Although I am not entirely certain that Kant did not do so, I must thank my daughter and my husband for their patience with me in my efforts on this book. CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 0521663245 - Kant's Doctrine of Transcendental Illusion Michelle Grier Frontmatter More information

xii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Finally, I am grateful to the editors of a number of journals for permission to reprint revised versions of material previously published. Much new material on Kant's theory of reason and the Dialectic has appeared since I first wrote the dissertation on Kant's doctrine of illusion. I have tried to accommodate some of this material while revising and expanding my work, despite *strong* inclinations to start over entirely from scratch. Material for both my Introduction and some of Chapter 5 appeared in "Illusion and Fallacy in Kant's First Paralogism," Kant-Studien 83 (1993): 257–282. Some of the material from Chapter 8 of this work is contained in "Kant on the Illusion of a Systematic Unity of Nature," History of Philosophy Quarterly 14, no. 1 (1997): 1-28. Similarly, portions of Chapter 6 previously appeared in "Transcendental Illusion and Transcendental Realism in Kant's Second Antinomy," British Journal of the History of Philosophy 6, no. 1 (1998): 47-70. Chapter 7 contains some material presented at the Eighth International Kant Congress, held in Memphis in 1995, and appears in my "Kant's Rejection of Rational Theology," in Proceedings of the Eighth International Kant Congress, ed. Hoke Robinson (Milwaukee: Marquette University Press, 1995), 641-650. I gratefully acknowledge the editors of these journals and collections for their kind permission to reuse this material.

NOTE ON REFERENCES AND TRANSLATIONS

References to the *Critique of Pure Reason* are to the standard A and B pagination of the first and second editions. Quotations in English are from Norman Kemp Smith's translation, *Immanuel Kant's Critique of Pure Reason*, 2nd ed. (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1929). In cases where I have modified or diverged from Kemp Smith's translation, I note this in the footnotes. Passages in German are from Raymund Schmidt's German edition (Hamburg: Felix Meiner Verlag, 1954). All other references to Kant are to the *Gesammelte Schriften* of the Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin and Leipzig: de Gruyter, 1922) and are cited by volume and page. In those cases where a translation has been quoted or consulted, the corresponding English pagination follows the reference to the volume and page of the German text, and the distinction is marked by a semicolon. In such cases, the particular translation used is stated in the footnotes.