

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Class Counts: Student Edition

This book provides students with a lively and penetrating exploration of the concept of class and its relevance for understanding a wide range of issues in contemporary society. What unites the topics is not a preoccupation with a common object of explanation, but rather a common explanatory factor: class. Three broad themes are explored: class structure, class and gender, and class consciousness. Specific empirical studies include such diverse topics as class variations in the gender division of labor in housework; friendship networks across class boundaries; transformations of the American class structure since 1960; and cross-national variations in class structure and class consciousness. The author evaluates these studies in terms of how they confirm certain expectations within the Marxist tradition of class analysis and how they pose challenging surprises. This Student Edition of *Class Counts* thus combines Erik Olin Wright's sophisticated account of central and enduring questions in social theory with detailed empirical analyses of social issues.

Erik Olin Wright is Vilas Research Professor and C. Wright Mills Professor of Sociology at the University of Wisconsin, Madison. He is the author of eight books, most recently *Reconstructing Marxism* (with Elliott Sober and Andrew Levin, 1992), *Interrogating Inequality* (1995), and *Class Counts* (1997).

Cambridge University Press
 0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
 Erik Olin Wright
 Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Studies in Marxism and Social Theory

Edited by G. A. COHEN, JON ELSTER AND JOHN ROEMER

The series is jointly published by the Cambridge University Press and the Editions de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme, as part of the joint publishing agreement established in 1977 between the Fondation de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme and the Syndics of the Cambridge University Press.

The books in the series are intended to exemplify a new paradigm in the study of Marxist social theory. They will not be dogmatic or purely exegetical in approach. Rather, they will examine and develop the theory pioneered by Marx, in the light of the intervening history, and with the tools of non-Marxist social science and philosophy. It is hoped that Marxist thought will thereby be freed from the increasingly discredited methods and presuppositions which are still widely regarded as essential to it, and that what is true and important in Marxism will be more firmly established.

Also in the series

JON ELSTER *Making Sense of Marx*
 ADAM PRZEWORSKI *Capitalism and Social Democracy*
 JOHN ROEMER (ed.) *Analytical Marxism*
 JON ELSTER AND KARL MOENE (eds.) *Alternatives to Capitalism*
 MICHAEL TAYLOR (ed.) *Rationality and Revolution*
 DONALD L. DONMAN *History, Power, Ideology*
 DAVID SCHWEICKART *Against Capitalism*
 PHILIPPE VAN PARIJS *Marxism Recycled*
 JOHN TORRANCE *Karl Marx's Theory of Ideas*
 G. A. COHEN *Self-ownership, Freedom, and Equality*
 ERIK OLIN WRIGHT *Class Counts*

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Class Counts

Student Edition

Erik Olin Wright



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Maison des Sciences de l'Homme

Cambridge University Press
 0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
 Erik Olin Wright
 Frontmatter
[More information](#)

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
 The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
 The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK www.cup.cam.ac.uk
 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA www.cup.org
 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia
 Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain and Editions de la Maison des Sciences de
 l'Homme, 54 Boulevard Raspail, 75270 Paris Cedex 06

© Maison des Sciences de l'Homme and Cambridge University Press 2000

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of
 relevant collective licensing agreements no reproduction of any part may take place
 without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1997

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Set in Palatino 10/13 [cE]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

Wright, Erik Olin.

Class Counts: Student Edition / Erik Olin Wright.

p. cm.

ISBN 0 521 66309 1 (hardback) – ISBN 0 521 66394 6 (paperback)

1. Social classes. 2. Social mobility. 3. Class consciousness. I. Title.

HT609.W699 2000

305.5–dc21 99–42113 CIP

ISBN 0 521 66309 1 hardback

ISBN 0 521 66394 6 paperback

ISBN 2 7351 0863 5 hardback (France only)

ISBN 2 7351 0866 X paperback (France only)

Contents

<i>Preface to student edition</i>	ix
<i>Preface to original edition</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xx
1 Class analysis	1
Part I Structural analyses of classes	41
2 Class structure	43
3 The transformation of the American class structure, 1960–1990	56
4 The fall and rise of the American petty bourgeoisie	67
5 The permeability of class boundaries	79
Part II Class and gender	113
6 Conceptualizing the interaction of class and gender	115
7 Individuals, families and class analysis	125
8 The noneffects of class on the gendered division of labor in the home	146
9 The gender gap in workplace authority	159
Part III Class structure and class consciousness	183
10 A general framework for studying class consciousness and class formation	185
11 Class consciousness and class formation in Sweden, the United States and Japan	216
	vii

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

viii	<i>Contents</i>	
	Part IV Conclusion	249
12	Confirmations, surprises and theoretical reconstructions	251
	<i>References</i>	277
	<i>Index</i>	282
	<i>Index of subjects</i>	284

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Preface to student edition

The original edition of *Class Counts*, published in 1997, was intended as a research study oriented to technically sophisticated social scientists. The central ideas of the book, however, were potentially of interest to a much wider audience. The central objective of this abridged edition of *Class Counts* is thus to make the book more accessible and useful for students without advanced statistical training and without a specialist's interests in the details of the research literature and methodologies on each of the topics. To accomplish this, I have tried to follow four guiding principles in deciding what to cut, what to leave in and what to rewrite. First, I wanted none of the cuts to undermine the clarity and interest of the theoretical ideas and substantive arguments in the original book. As a result I have eliminated relatively little from the more theoretical sections of the book. Second, I wanted to eliminate virtually all technical statistical and methodological material. I have replaced this with simpler, graphical representations of results wherever possible. Where the technical details are important for specific arguments and analysis, I have included footnotes directing the reader to the pages in the original edition of *Class Counts* where the technical material can be found. Third, I have tried to eliminate most of the digressions and peripheral plots in the story. In many of the original empirical chapters I included extended discussions of empirical issues that were outside the main thrust of analysis. These I have mostly removed. I have also eliminated most of the footnotes which explored secondary themes and implications. Finally, I have eliminated most citations to the research literature on specific topics except in places where a discussion of a specific piece of work is needed to develop an idea or argument. One of the hallmarks of scholarly sociological research is the inclusion of long lists of citations for specific points being made. Often these serve mainly a ritualistic

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

x *Preface to the student edition*

purpose, showing to the world that one has read the right stuff but not contributing anything to the substantive exposition of ideas. For readers of this abridged edition who wish to explore the broader literature linked to any specific topic in this book, they can consult the citations in the corresponding chapter of the original edition.

Even with all of these cuts I was unable to reduce the 576 pages of the original book to a reasonable length for this edition. It was therefore necessary to completely eliminate two of the chapters from the original edition: chapter 15 on the relationship between state employment and class consciousness, and chapter 16, on the relationship between class mobility and class consciousness. While I do think there are valuable ideas in these two chapters, in many ways the empirical investigations which accompanied them are less conclusive than in most of the rest of the book.

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)



Elsie: "WHAT'S THAT, DADDY?"

Father: "A COW."

Elsie: "WHY?"

Punch

Preface to original edition

Like Elsie wondering why a cow is a “cow”, I have spent an inordinate amount of time worrying about what makes a class a “class”. Here is the basic problem. The Marxist concept of class is rooted in a polarized notion of antagonistic class relations: slave masters exploit slaves, lords exploit serfs, capitalists exploit workers. In the analysis of developed capitalist societies, however, many people do not seem to neatly fit this polarized image. In everyday language, many people are “middle class”, and, even though Marxists generally do not like that term, nevertheless, most Marxist analysts are uncomfortable with calling managers, doctors and professors, “proletarians.” Thus, the problem is this: how can the social categories which are commonly called “middle” class be situated within a conceptual framework built around a polarized concept of class? What does it mean to be in the “middle” of a “relation”? The diverse strands of research brought together in this book are all, directly or indirectly, ramifications of struggling with this core conceptual problem.

My empirical research on these issues began with my dissertation on class and income, completed in 1976. In that project, I used data gathered by the Michigan Panel Study of Income Dynamics, the Quality of Employment Survey and several other sources. None of these had been gathered with Marxist concepts in mind. When the data analysis failed to generate anticipated results I could therefore always say, “of course, the data were gathered in ‘bourgeois categories’ and this may explain why the hypotheses were not confirmed.” It was therefore a natural next step to generate new data, data that would be directly tailored to quantitatively “testing” hypotheses on class and its consequences within the Marxist tradition, data that would leave me no excuses. This was the central idea behind my first grant proposal for this project to the National Science Foundation in 1977.

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

xiv *Preface to the original edition*

The original NSF proposal was framed as an attempt to generate a set of data in which the Marxist and Weberian traditions of class analysis could directly engage each other. I argued in the proposal that there was a tremendous gap between *theoretical debates* in class analysis – which largely revolved around a dialogue between Marx and Weber – and *quantitative research* – which largely ignored Marxism altogether. To close this gap required two things: first, generating systematic data derived from a Marxist conceptual framework, and, second, gathering the data comparatively. Since Marxist class analysis is, above all, rooted in the concept of class structure (rather than simply individual class attributes), we needed a sample of countries which varied structurally in certain ways in order to seriously explore Marxist themes.

As often occurs in research proposals, because of the need to frame issues in ways which the reviewers of the proposals will find compelling, this way of posing the agenda of the research did not really reflect my core reasons for wanting to do the project. Adjudication between general frameworks of social theory can rarely be accomplished in the form of head-to-head quantitative combat, since different theoretical frameworks generally are asking different questions. Furthermore, the gaps between concepts, questions and measures are nearly always too great for a direct adjudication between rival frameworks to yield robust and convincing results. The Marx/Weber debate, therefore, was always a somewhat artificial way of justifying the project, and it certainly has not (in my judgment) proven to be the most interesting line of empirical analysis. My theoretical motivations had much more to do with pushing Marxist class analysis forward on its own terrain – exploring problems such as cross-national variation in the permeability of class boundaries, the effects of class location and class biography on class consciousness, the variations across countries in patterns of ideological class formation, and so on.

Nevertheless, from the start a disproportionate amount of energy in the project in the United States as well as in many of the other countries has been devoted to the problem of *adjudicating conceptual issues* rather than *empirically investigating theoretical problems*. I have worried endlessly about the optimal way of conceptualizing the “middle class” which would be both coherent (i.e. be consistent with more abstract principles of Marxist theory) and empirically powerful. This preoccupation has sometimes displaced substantive theoretical concerns and it has been easy to lose sight of the real puzzles that need solving. Rather than delve deeply into the problem of trying to explain why workers in different

countries display different degrees of radicalism, I have often worried more about how properly to define the category “working class” to be used in such an investigation. It was as if I felt that if only I could get the *concepts* right, then the theoretical issues would fall into place (or at least become more tractable). It now seems to me that often it is better to forge ahead and muddle through with somewhat less certain concepts than to devote such an inordinate amount of time attempting to reconstruct the concepts themselves. To paraphrase a comment once made about Talcott Parsons, it is a bad idea to keep repacking one’s bags for a trip that one never takes. It is better to get out the door even if you may have left something important behind.

The initial plan when I began the comparative class analysis project was to do a survey of class structure and class consciousness in the US and Italy jointly with a close friend from graduate school, Luca Perrone. In fact, one of the initial motivations for the project was our mutual desire to embark on a research project that would make it easy for us to see each other regularly. By the time the final NSF grant was awarded, Sweden had been added to the project as the result of a series of lectures I gave in Uppsala in 1978. Soon, scholars in other countries learned of the project, and, through a meandering process, asked if they could replicate the survey. By 1982, surveys were completed or underway in the United Kingdom, Canada and Norway, and shortly thereafter additional surveys were carried out in Australia, Denmark, Japan, New Zealand and West Germany. Tragically, Luca Perrone died in a skin-diving accident in 1981 and so an Italian project was never completed. In the early 1990s, an additional round of projects were organized in Russia, South Korea, Spain, Taiwan and, most recently, Portugal. A second US survey was fielded in 1991 and a new Swedish survey in 1995.

Without really intending this to happen, the US project became the coordinating node of a rapidly expanding network of class analysis projects around the world. Originally, this was meant to be a focused, short-term project. In 1977 I had absolutely no intention of embarking on a megaproject that would eventually involve more than fifteen countries and millions of dollars. I thought that the project would take a few years, four or five at the most, and then I would return to other issues. It is now almost two decades later and the end is just now in sight.

Has it really been worth it to spend this amount of time and resources on a single research enterprise? If twenty years ago, when I was finishing my dissertation and contemplating whether or not to launch the class analysis project, I had been told that I would still be working on it in

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

xvi *Preface to the original edition*

1995, I would have immediately dropped the project in horror. Certainly there have been times during the years of this project when I was fed up with it, tired of worrying endlessly about the minutiae of measurement and only asking questions that could be answered with coefficients. Nevertheless, in the end, I do think that it has been worthwhile sticking with this project for so long. This is not mainly because of the hard “facts” generated by the research. If you simply made a list of all of the robust empirical discoveries of the research, it would be easy to conclude that the results were not worth the effort. While I hope to show in this book that many of these findings are interesting, I am not sure that by themselves they justify nearly two decades of work.

The real payoff from this project has come, I think, from the effects of thinking about the same ideas, concepts and puzzles for so long. I have returned countless times to the problem of the difference between Marxist and Weberian ideas about class, the meaning of exploitation and domination as analytical and normative issues in class analysis, the conceptual status of the “middle” class in a relational class framework, and so on. It is not that the simple “facts” generated by the regression equations directly inform these issues, but repeatedly grappling with the data has forced me to repeatedly grapple with these ideas. The long and meandering class analysis project has kept me focused on a single cluster of ideas for much longer than I would have otherwise done, and this has led – I hope – to a level of insight which I otherwise would not have achieved.

There are several limitations in the analyses of this book which should be mentioned. First, even though this is a book about class written from a Marxist perspective, there are no empirical analyses of two important segments of the class structure: substantial owners of capital, and the more marginalized, impoverished segments of population, often loosely labeled the “underclass”. When I refer to the “capitalist class” in the empirical analyses I am, by and large, referring to relatively small employers, not to wealthy owners of investment portfolios. There is certainly no analysis of anything approaching the “ruling class”. Similarly, the analysis of the working class largely excludes the unemployed and people who are outside of the labor force (discouraged workers, people on welfare, etc.). The irony, of course, is that within the Marxist tradition the critique of capitalism is directed above all against the wealthiest segments of the capitalist class, and the moral condemnation of capitalism is grounded to a significant extent on the ways it perpe-

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Preface to the original edition xvii

tuates poverty. The limitations of sample surveys simply make it impossible to seriously explore either of these extremes within the class structure with the methods we will use in this study.

Second, aside from relatively brief sections in chapter 2 and chapter 11, there is almost no discussion of the problem of race and class in the book. Given how salient the problem of race is for class analysis in the United States, this is a significant and unfortunate absence. However, the relatively small sample size meant that there were too few African-Americans in the sample to do sophisticated analyses of the interactions of race and class. What is more, even if we had had a significantly larger sample, the restriction of the American sample to the labor force and housewives would have precluded investigation of the crucial race/class issue of the “underclass”. Given these limitations, I felt I would not be able to push the empirical analysis of race and class forward using the data from the Comparative Class Analysis Project.

Third, there is a methodological problem that affects the book as a whole. Most of the data analyses reported in this book were originally prepared for journal articles. The earliest of these appeared in 1987, the last in 1995. As often happens when a series of quite different analyses is generated from the same data over an extended period of time, small shifts in variable construction and operational choices are made. In preparing the book manuscript, therefore, I had to make a decision: should I redo most of the previously completed analyses in order to render all of the chapters strictly consistent, or should I simply report the findings in their original form and make note of the shifts in operationalizations? There is no question that, in the absence of constraints, the first of these options would be the best. But I figured that it would probably delay the completion of the book by a minimum of six months and probably more, and, given that there would be no substantive improvement in the ideas and insights of the research, this just did not seem worthwhile. So, in Ralph Waldo Emerson’s spirit that “foolish consistency is the hobgoblin of small minds”, I have retained nearly all of the original analyses (except in a few cases where I discovered actual errors of one sort or another).

This project would not have been possible without the financial support from the National Science Foundation, which funded the initial gathering and public archiving of the data and much of the data analysis. The Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation also provided generous research support for data analysis throughout the research. In the late

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

xviii *Preface to the original edition*

1980s, grants from the Spencer Foundation and the MacArthur foundation made it possible to conduct the second US survey in conjunction with the Russian class analysis project.

There are countless people to whom I am deeply indebted for the research embodied in this book. Without the love and comradeship of Luca Perrone, the project would never have been launched in the first place. His quirky spirit is present throughout the book.

Michael Burawoy has been my most steadfast and supportive critic over the years, encouraging me both to be a hard-nosed quantomaniac and to keep the big ideas and political purposes always in mind. In reading the draft of parts of this book he urged me to keep the overblown concept-mongering to a minimum; too much grandiose theorizing, he warned, would distract readers from the empirical message of the research. I am afraid that I have only partially followed his advice: I have not excised metatheoretical and conceptual discussions from the book, but they are generally cordoned off in specific chapters.

My collaborators in the various national projects in the Comparative Class Analysis Project contributed enormously to the development of this research. Göran Ahrne, the principle director of the Swedish project in the 1980s, was especially involved in formulating questions and designing the intellectual agenda of the project from the start and always provided sensible skepticism to my Marxist theoretical impulses. Howard Newby, Gordon Marshall, David Rose, John Myles, Wallace Clement, Markku Kivenen, Raimo Blom, Thomas Colbjornson, Håkon Leilesrud, Jens Hoff, John Western and Chris Wilkes were all involved in the various international meetings where the project was framed and analyses were discussed.

A series of extremely talented graduate student research assistants were directly involved in many of these specific data analyses. In particular, I would like to thank Cynthia Costello, Joey Sprague, David Haken, Bill Martin, George Steinmetz, Donmoon Cho, Kwang-Young Shin, Karen Shire, Cressida Lui and Sungkyun Lee. Two post-doctoral fellows from the Australian project who spent two years in Madison – Mark Western and Janeen Baxter – infused the data analysis with great energy and imagination just at a time when my own enthusiasm was beginning to wane.

A number of colleagues have provided invaluable feedback on specific pieces of the analysis. Robert Hauser, Rob Mare, Michael Hout and Charles Halaby were always generously helpful at rescuing me when I ventured out of my depth in statistical techniques. Joel Rogers has been

Cambridge University Press
0521663091 - Class Counts: Student Edition
Erik Olin Wright
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Preface to the original edition xix

extremely helpful in skeptically asking “so, what’s the main point?” and providing an insightful sounding board for testing out the various punchlines in the book.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife, Marcia, for refusing to let the work on this book and other projects completely take over my life. She has managed with great skill the delicate balancing acts, being supportive of my academic work and yet not letting it get out of hand to encroach on everything else.

Acknowledgments

Some of the chapters in this book partially draw on previously published papers from the Comparative Class Analysis Project. In most cases, these earlier papers were substantially revised for this book: Chapter 3: "Proletarianization in Contemporary Capitalism" (with Joachim Singelmann), *American Journal of Sociology*, supplement to Vol. 83, 1982, and "The Transformation of the American Class Structure, 1960–1980" (with Bill Martin), *American Journal of Sociology*, July 1987. Chapter 4: "The Fall and Rise of the Petty Bourgeoisie" (with George Steinmetz), *The American Journal of Sociology*, March 1989. Chapter 5: "The Permeability of Class Boundaries to Intergenerational Mobility: a Comparative Study of the United States, Canada, Norway and Sweden" (with Mark Western), *American Sociological review*, June 1994, and "The Relative Permeability of Class Boundaries to Cross-Class Friendships: a comparative Analysis of the United States, Canada, Sweden and Norway" (with Donmoon Cho) *American Sociological Review*, February, 1992. Chapter 7: "Women in the Class Structure," *Politics & Society*, March, 1989. Chapter 8: "The Noneffects of Class on the Sexual Division of Labor in the Home: a Comparative Analysis of Sweden and the United States" (with Karen Shire, Shu-Ling Huang, Maureen Dolan and Janeen Baxter), *Gender & Society*, June 1992. Chapter 9. "The Gender Gap in Authority: a Comparative Analysis of the United States, Canada, The United Kingdom, Sweden, Norway and Japan" (with Janeen Baxter), *The American Sociological Review*, June, 1995. Chapter 11. "Class Structure and Class Formation" (with Carolyn Howe and Donmoon Cho), in Melvin Kohn (ed), *Comparative Sociology*, (Beverly Hills: Sage ASA Presidential Volume), 1989.