POST-COMMUNIST PARTY SYSTEMS

Competition, Representation, and Inter-Party Cooperation

Post-Communist Party Systems examines democratic party competition in four postcommunist polities in the mid-1990s: Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland. Legacies of pre-communist rule turn out to play as much a role in accounting for differences as the institutional diversity incorporated in the new democratic rules of the game. The book demonstrates various developments within the four countries with regard to different voter appeal of parties, patterns of voter representation, and dispositions to join other parties in legislative or executive alliances. The authors also present interesting avenues of comparison for broader sets of countries.

Herbert Kitschelt is a Professor of Political Science at Duke University. The European party system, social movements, and technology policy have been the focus of Professor Kitschelt's numerous publications. Kitschelt received the 1996 Woodrow Wilson Award for his book, *The Radical Right in Western Europe* (University of Michigan Press, 1995). His other recent books include *The Transformation of European Social Democracy* (Cambridge University Press, 1994) and his co-edited volume *Continuity and Change in Contemporary Capitalism* (Cambridge University Press, 1999).

Zdenka Mansfeldova is Senior Research Fellow for the Institute of Sociology at the Czech Academy of Sciences. Mansfeldova's primary interests are political parties, interest groups, and institutionalization of interest representation. She is author of a number of articles and book chapters about the Czech party system, civil society, and neo-corporatist forms of interest representation in Czech, German, and English.

Radoslaw Markowski is Head of the Electoral Studies Division for the Institute of Political Studies at the Polish Academy of Sciences. Since 1997 Markowski has also been Principal Investigator and Director of the Polish National Election Survey. Markowski publishes regularly in *Studia Polityczne*, discussing the topics pertaining to political behavior, party systems, and East Central European comparative politics. His recent publications include his co-edited book, *Predictions and Elections: Polish Democracy '95*, and his article "Political Parties and Ideological Spaces in East Central Europe," in *Communist and Post-Communist Studies* (September 1997).

Gábor Tóka is Assistant Professor of Political Science at the Central European University, Budapest. Professor Toka has published numerous articles on electoral behavior, political parties, and democratic consolidation in Eastern Europe. He is the editor of *The 1994 Elections to the Hungarian National Assembly* (Berlin: Sigma, 1995).

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN COMPARATIVE POLITICS

General Editor PETER LANGE Duke University

Associate Editors

ROBERT H. BATESHarvard UniversityELLEN COMISSOUniversity of California, San DiegoPETER HALLHarvard UniversityJOEL MIGDALUniversity of WashingtonHELEN MILNERColumbia UniversityRONALD ROGOWSKIUniversity of California, Los AngelesSIDNEY TARROWCornell University

OTHER BOOKS IN THE SERIES

Carles Boix, Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy Catherine Boone, Merchant Capital and the Roots of State Power in Senegal, 1930–

1985

Michael Bratton and Nicolas van de Walle, Democratic Experiments in Africa: Regime Transitions in Comparative Perspective

Donatella della Porta, Social Movements, Political Violence, and the State

Roberto Franzosi, The Puzzle of Strikes: Class and State Strategies in Postwar Italy

Geoffrey Garrett, Partisan Politics in the Global Economy

Miriam Golden, Heroic Defeats: The Politics of Job Loss

Frances Hagopian, Traditional Politics and Regime Change in Brazil

J. Rogers Hollingsworth and Robert Boyer, Contemporary Capitalism: The Embeddedness of Institutions

Ellen Immergut, Health Politics: Interests and Institutions in Western Europe

Thomas Janoski and Alexander M. Hicks, eds., The Comparative Political Economy of the Welfare State

- Robert O. Keohane and Helen B. Milner, eds., Internationalization and Domestic Politics
- Herbert Kitschelt, The Transformation of European Social Democracy

David Knoke, Franz Urban Pappi, Jeffrey Broadbent, and Yutaka Tsunjinaka, eds., Comparing Policy Networks

Allan Kornberg and Harold D. Clarke, Citizens and Community: Political Support in a Representative Democracy

David D. Laitin, Language Repertories and State Construction in Africa

The series list continues after the Index.

POST-COMMUNIST PARTY SYSTEMS

Competition, Representation, and Inter-Party Cooperation

HERBERT KITSCHELT

ZDENKA MANSFELDOVA

RADOSLAW MARKOWSKI

GÁBOR TÓKA



CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-65890-4 - Post-Communist Party Systems: Competition, Representation, and Inter-Party Cooperation Herbert Kitschelt, Zdenka Mansfeldova, Radoslaw Markowski and Gabor Toka Frontmatter More information

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521652889

© Herbert Kitschelt, Zdenka Mansfeldova, Radoslaw Markowski, Gábor Tóka 1999

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1999

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Post-communist party system : competition, representation, and interparty cooperation / Herbert Kitschelt . . . [et al.]. p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in comparative politics) Includes bibliographical references. ISBN 0-521-65288-X (hc.). – ISBN 0-521-65890-X (pbk.) 1. Political parties – Europe, Eastern. 2. Post-communism – Europe, Eastern. 3. Europe, Eastern – Politics and government – 1989– I. Kitschelt, Herbert. II. Series. JN96.A979P67 1999 324.247 – DC21 98-46760 CIP

ISBN 978-0-521-65288-9 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-65890-4 paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2008

CONTENTS

List of Figures and Tables Acknowledgments	<i>page</i> ix xiii
Introduction: Democracy and Party Competition	1
Part I Theory: Party Systems and the Procedural Quality of Post-Communist Democracy	
1 Historical Legacies and Strategies of Democratization:	
Pathways toward Post-Communist Polities	19
2 The Quality of Post-Communist Democracy: Patterns of Party Competition, Representation, and Inter-Party Collaboration	43
Part II Setting and Research Strategy	
3 From Communist Rule to Democracy: Four Central and	
East European Countries	95
4 Empirical Research Strategy	133
Part III The Structuring of Party Competition	
5 Programmatic Citizen-Elite Linkage Strategies across	
Post-Communist Polities	157
6 Linkage Strategies within Party Systems:	
Diversity among Parties	195
	vii

d

viii		CONTENT
Par	t IV Political Alignments and Dimensions of Compe	etition
7	Political Divides and Alignments: The Politicians' Perspective	22
8	Electoral Constituency Alignments: Emerging Political Cleavages?	26
	t V Political Representation and the Quality of Dem	ocratic
Gov	remance	
9	Political Representation	30
10	The Governability of Post-Communist Democracies:	
	Coalition Politics between Passions and Policy Interests	34
Cor	clusion	
11	The Diversity of Post-Communist Democratic Governance	ce 38
App	endix I: List of Political Parties and Electoral Alliances	40
	endix II: Questionnaire for the Elite Study	41
App	endix III: Population Survey Questions (Policy Opinions)	42
Bibl	iography	42

FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURES

2.1	Models of representation	page 82
5.1	Configurations of party competition: Countries and issue	
	areas	178
6.1	Types of party competition: Comparison of countries by	
	issue areas	201
6.2	Types of competition in Bulgaria: Parties and issue areas	202
6.3	Types of competition in Hungary: Parties and issue areas	205
6.4	Types of competition in Poland: Parties and issue areas	209
6.5	Types of competition in the Czech Republic: Parties and	
	issue areas	212
7.1	Political divides in the Czech Republic	230
7.2	Political divides in Poland	233
7.3	Political divides in Hungary	238
7.4	Political divides in Bulgaria	243
7.5	The distribution of parties in the four nations on key	
	economic issues	245
7.6	The distribution of parties in the four nations on key socio-	
	cultural issues	247
7.7	The party positions on the left-right scale	251
9.1A	Party representation on the policy of social protection	311
9.1B	Party representation on the policy of state enterprise	312
9.2A	Party representation on the policy of socio-cultural issues:	
	abortion	318
9.2B	Party representation on the policy of church-state relations	319

ix

х

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-65890-4 - Post-Communist Party Systems: Competition, Representation, and
Inter-Party Cooperation
Herbert Kitschelt, Zdenka Mansfeldova, Radoslaw Markowski and Gabor Toka
Frontmatter
More information
Frontmatter

FIGURES AND TABLES

9.3 A	Party representation on the policy toward civic norms of	
	tolerance and individual autonomy	323
9.3B	Party representation on policies toward international	
	integration	324
10.1	Parties' policy distances and dispositions to collaborate	349
10.2	Configurations of policy proximity and dispositions toward	
	mutual collaboration in party systems	351
10.3	Polish inter-party relations: The left-right distance between	
	the SLD politicians and competitors and the SLD	
	politicians' willingness to cooperate with competitors	359
10.4	Dispositions to collaborate with rival parties and their	
	determinants	366

TABLES

1.1	Antecedents and consequences of three types of communist rule	36
1.2	Communist rule, mode of transition, and post-communist	
	regime form	39
2.1	Conduciveness of post-communist political settings to	
	programmatic competition	61
2.2	Regime legacies and political alignments in new post-	
	communist democracies	78
2.3	Regime legacies and modes of representation in new post-	
	communist democracies	86
2.4	Conditions favoring effective governance	91
3.1	Elections in Poland	112
3.2	Elections in Hungary	117
3.3	Elections in the Czech Republic	119
3.4	Elections in Bulgaria	122
3.5	Institutional and structural change in East Central Europe	127
3.6	Performance of East Central European economies	128
4.1	Issues and ideological scales in the politicians' survey	139
4.2	Relevant parties in the four countries	142
4.3	The sample of politicians in the four countries	147
4.4	Demonstration of the judging process with fictitious data	150
5.1	Salience of the political issues (averages for all parties/	
	country)	162
5.2	Programmatic diffuseness of the political issues (averages for	
	all parties/country)	166
5.3	Spread/polarization on the political issues (averages for all	
	parties/country)	170

FIGU	JRES AND TABLES	xi
5.4	Asymmetry of the parties' issue positions (averages for all parties/country)	176
5.5	Relations between salience, diffuseness, polarization, and	- , -
	systematic asymmetries	181
5.6	The structuring of party competition: Issue configurations	
	in four post-communist democracies	184
5.7	Cluster analysis of issue competition: Results of a solution	
	with eight clusters	189
5.8	Party system format and programmatic crystallization	193
6.1	Patterns of party competition: The perspective of the	
	individual party	198
6.2	Patterns of party competition by country and issue area	217
6.3	Size of party, internal division, and insider-outsider	
	differential	219
7.1	Political divides in the Czech party system	228
7.2	Political divides in the Polish party system	232
7.3	Political divides in the Hungarian party system	236
7.4	Political divides in the Bulgarian party system	240
7.5	Issue dimensions of party stances as predictors of parties'	
	left-right placements by the politicians' juries	253
7.6	Are political divides among issues also dimensions of party	
	competition?	257
8.1	Issue items corresponding in elite and population	
	questionnaires	264
8.2	Factor analysis of Czech voters' issue opinions: Social divides	
	in the electorate	268
8.3	Factor analysis of Polish voters' issue opinions: Social	
	divides in the electorate	270
8.4	Factor analysis of Hungarian voters' issue opinions: Social	
	divides in the electorate	272
8.5	Factor analysis of Bulgarian voters' issue opinions: Social	a= (
~ (divides in the electorate	274
8.6	Czech Republic: The party constituencies' mean positions	277
0 7	on the issue dimensions	277
8.7	Poland: The party constituencies' mean positions on the	270
0.0	issue dimensions	278
8.8	Hungary: The party constituencies' mean positions on the	200
0.0	issue dimensions	280
8.9	Bulgaria: The party constituencies' mean positions on the	201
0.10	issue dimensions The distribution of left and right self-placements in four	281
8.10		205
	East Central European countries	285

xii

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-65890-4 - Post-Communist Party Systems: Competition, Representation, and
Inter-Party Cooperation
Herbert Kitschelt, Zdenka Mansfeldova, Radoslaw Markowski and Gabor Toka
Frontmatter
More information

FIGURES AND TABLES

8.11	Ideological determinants of left-right self-placements	287
8.12	From social issue divides via political party divides to	
	dimensions of competition?	290
8.13	Popular preferences for economic liberalism	297
8.14	Determinants of the population's left-right self-placements	299
8.15	Support for more market-liberal parties	301
8.16	The electorate's ability to discriminate programmatic party	
	positions	305
9.1	Modes of representation: Economic issues	314
9.2	The position of Hungarian party constituencies on the	
	economic liberalism versus socio-economic protection issues	
	over three years	315
9.3	Modes of representation: Socio-cultural issues	320
9.4	Modes of representation: Civic norms and collective	
	identities	326
9.5	Average distance between party politicians' mean position	
	on issues and the mean position of their electoral	
	constituencies	328
9.6	Opportunities for responsible party government or non-	
	partisan universalist representation	331
9.7	Governments' central policy tendency and voters' mean and	
	median positions	334
9.8	Absolute and relative representation on the left-right scale	337
9.A1	Party elite and electorates' scores on six policy issue	
	domains in Bulgaria	341
9.A2	Party elite and electorates' scores on six policy issue	
	domains in the Czech Republic	342
9.A3	Party elite and electorates' scores on six policy issue	
	domains in Hungary	343
9.A4	Party elite and electorates' scores on six policy issue	
	domains in Poland	344
10.1	Average sympathy scores for each party	353
10.2	Post-communist parties and collaboration with competitors:	
	The assessment of competitors and the assessment of post-	
	communist politicians and electorates	356
10.3	Predicting sympathy differentials between parties: Policy	
	distances and affective relations	362
10.4	Elasticity of sympathy differentials to changes in the	
	distance between parties on policies and the regime divide	363
10.5	Policy distances, regime divides, and sympathy differentials:	
	The regression equations	368
10.6	Coalition potential among parties	370
11.1	The procedural quality of party competition, representation,	
	and cooperation in East Central Europe	390

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our project would not have been possible without the financial support of a number of institutions. In 1993, a German Marshall Fund fellowship and a leave from Duke University permitted Herbert Kitschelt to devise a questionnaire and conduct an initial round of more than one hundred pilot interviews with politicians in the four East Central European countries. The International Research and Exchange Board (IREX) generously funded the main survey among more than five hundred politicians in the four countries that we conducted in 1994, and helped with travel expenses associated with our collaborative research and writing. Thanks are also due to Duke University and Humboldt University Berlin for providing travel funds to bring the co-authors of this study together repeatedly and to disseminate our findings at workshops with colleagues and graduate students.

Our project relies on the admirable cooperation of hundreds of members of parliament, party secretaries, and municipal councilors in the many Bulgarian, Czech, Hungarian, and Polish parties we cover in our study. In addition to filling out and commenting on our questionnaires, some of them set aside long hours to meet with Herbert Kitschelt both in 1993 and 1994 in order to discuss changes in their countries' domestic politics. For a foreigner whose lack of local language skills limited access to firsthand observation of the political process, these conversations were indispensable to gain a better understanding of the emerging democratic polities.

We also would like to thank our colleagues and graduate students in Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland, who were involved in the collection, coding, and statistical analysis of our data. We owe special gratitude to Dobrinka Kostova, who is a research associate at the Institute of Sociology of the Bulgarian Academy of Science. Dr. Kostova managed our Bulgarian survey, but was unable to participate in the comparative analysis of our data. On the Czech

xiii

xiv

A C K N O W L E D G M E N T S

side, we are grateful to Lubomir Brokl for a continuous stream of critical suggestions over the entire duration of our project and to Milan Tucek for directing the coding of the Czech data and helping with the data analysis. In Hungary, we are indebted to Péter Guba, who served as Herbert Kitschelt's research assistant in the first round of interviews in 1993 and later conducted a number of interviews in the main survey, as well as to Gabriella Tarjányi (TARKI, Budapest) who transferred the data to electronic files. Zoltán Kárpáti and members of the Institute for Social Conflict Research of the Hungarian Academy of Science supervised the interviewers in the main survey in 1994. In Poland, we owe thanks to the Institute of Political Studies of the Polish Academy of Sciences for logistic support and use of facilities. Above all, our gratitude is due to Pawel Grzelak who provided indispensable and tireless help in preparing our data set and participating in the statistical data analysis. The survey data on the Bulgarian public were generously made available to us by Geoffrey Evans and Stephen Whitefield, and the Czech, Hungarian, and Polish mass surveys were collected and shared with us by the Department of Political Science at the Central European University, Budapest.

Over the years, a large number of colleagues read papers that eventually fed into chapters of this study and listened to presentations on initial findings at various annual meetings of the American Political Science Association and more specialized conferences on post-communist politics in the Czech Republic, England, Germany, Hungary, Poland, and the United States. We particularly acknowledge the support of colleagues at our home institutions, the Central European University in Budapest, the Institute of Political Studies at the Polish Academy of Sciences, the Institute of Sociology at the Czech Academy of Sciences, Duke University, and Humboldt University Berlin. Among our colleagues who work on overlapping research issues, we would especially like to highlight the critical support we received from our friends at Oxford University, Geoffrey Evans at Nuffield College and Stephen Whitefield at Pembroke College. Both share our enthusiasm for the comparative analysis of post-communist democracies and encouraged the progress of this project, although they disagree with some of its propositions. In addition to their always insightful comments, we greatly appreciate their willingness to share their own survey of the Bulgarian population with us. Finally, three friends and colleagues deserve special mention and thanks because they read and extensively commented on the entire penultimate draft of this study: Peter Lange, Scott Mainwaring, and Denise Powers. Their critical remarks made the printed version of the book leaner and (we hope) sharper - but thus also more vulnerable to objections. We certainly were unable to remedy all the flaws they discovered in our work, but we hope to have addressed at least some of their concerns.

As always with complex research projects, the months and years we dedicated to this study took their toll on our families and friends. At the same time, our cooperation on this project has created new friendships that have enriched our lives.