THEORY OF SOLIDIFICATION

STEPHEN H. DAVIS

Northwestern University



PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, VIC 3166, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

© Cambridge University Press 2001

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2001

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Times Roman 10/13 pt. System LATEX $2_{\mathcal{E}}$ [TB]

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Davis, Stephen H., 1939– Theory of solidification / Stephen H. Davis. p. cm. – (Cambridge monographs on mechanics) Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 0-521-65080-1 1. Solidification. I. Title. II. Series. QC303 .D38 2001 530.4'14 – dc21 2001018487

ISBN 0 521 65080 1 hardback

Contents

P	reface	

page xiii

1	Intro	duction	n	1
2	Pure Substances		7	
	2.1	Plana	r interfaces	7
		2.1.1	Mathematical model	7
		2.1.2	One-dimensional freezing from a cold	
			boundary	9
		2.1.3	One-dimensional freezing from a cold	
			boundary: Small undercooling	13
		2.1.4	One-dimensional freezing into an	
			undercooled melt	15
		2.1.5	One-dimensional freezing into an	
			undercooled melt: Effect of kinetic	
			undercooling	18
	2.2	Curve	d interfaces	21
		2.2.1	Boundary conditions	21
		2.2.2	Growth of a nucleus in an undercooled melt	26
		2.2.3	Linearized instability of growing nucleus	32
		2.2.4	Linearized instability of a plane front	
			growing into an undercooled melt	35
		2.2.5	Remarks	39
3	Binary Substances		42	
	3.1	Mathematical model		
	3.2	Direct	tional solidification	45

	3.3 3.4	Basic state and approximate models	46	
	5.4	directional solidification	48	
	35	Mechanism of morphological instability	56	
	3.6	More general models	57	
	3.7	Remarks		
4	Non	Nonlinear theory for directional solidification		
	4.1	Bifurcation theory	62	
		4.1.1 Two-dimensional theory	62	
		4.1.2 Two-dimensional theory for wave number se-		
		lection	66	
		4.1.3 Three-dimensional theory	72	
	4.2	Long-scale theories	76	
		4.2.1 Small segregation coefficient	77	
		4.2.2 Small segregation coefficient and large		
		surface energy	78	
		4.2.3 Near absolute stability	80	
	4.3	Remarks	82	
5	Anis	Anisotropy		
	5.1	Surface energy and kinetics	86	
	5.2	Directional solidification with "small" anisotropy	91	
	5.3	Directional solidification with "small" anisotropy:		
		Stepwise growth	97	
	5.4	Unconstrained growth with "small" anisotropy	105	
		5.4.1 Two-dimensional crystal and		
		one-dimensional front	110	
		5.4.2 Three-dimensional crystal and		
		two-dimensional front	111	
	5.5	Unconstrained growth with "large" anisotropy -		
		One-dimensional interfaces	121	
	5.6	Unconstrained growth with "large" anisotropy –		
		Two-dimensional interfaces	135	
	5.7	Faceting with constant driving force	139	
	5.8	Coarsening	152	
	5.9	Remarks	156	
6	Dise	quilibrium	162	
	6.1	Model of rapid solidification	164	
	6.2	Basic state and linear stability theory	167	

	6.3	Thermal effects	171	
	6.4	Linear-stability theory with thermal effects	172	
		6.4.1 Steady mode	173	
		6.4.2 Oscillatory mode	173	
		6.4.3 The two modes	177	
	6.5	Cellular modes in the FTA: Two-dimensional		
		bifurcation theory	181	
	6.6	Oscillatory modes in the FTA: Two-dimensional		
		bifurcation theory	183	
	6.7	Strongly nonlinear pulsations	189	
		6.7.1 Small β	190	
		6.7.2 Large β	198	
		6.7.3 Numerical simulation	203	
	6.8	Mode coupling	204	
		6.8.1 Pulsatile–cellular interactions	204	
		6.8.2 Oscillatory–cellular interactions	205	
		6.8.3 Oscillatory–pulsatile interactions	206	
	6.9	Phenomenological models	208	
	6.10	Remarks	211	
7	Dendrites		215	
	7.1	Isolated needle crystals		
	7.2	Approximate selection arguments		
	7.3	Selection theories	229	
	7.4	Arrays of needles		
	7.5	Remarks	251	
8	Eute	Eutectics		
	8.1	Formulation	256	
	8.2	Approximate theories for steady growth		
		and selection	261	
	8.3	Instabilities	267	
	8.4	Remarks	270	
9	Micr	oscale Fluid Flow	274	
	9.1	Formulation	276	
	9.2	Prototype flows	279	
		9.2.1 Free convection	279	
		9.2.2 Bénard convection	280	
	9.3	Directional solidification and volume-change		
		convection	283	

xi

	9.4	Directional solidification and buoyancy-driven	
		convection	287
	9.5	Directional solidification and forced flows	292
	9.6	Directional solidification with imposed	
		cellular convection	304
	9.7	Flows over Ivantsov needles	311
	9.8	Remarks	319
10	Meso	oscale Fluid Flow	324
	10.1	Formulation	325
	10.2	Planar solidification between horizontal planes	326
	10.3	Mushy-zone models	331
	10.4	Mushy zones with volume-change convection	336
	10.5	Mushy zones with buoyancy-driven convective	
		instability	341
	10.6	An oscillatory mode of convective instability	349
	10.7	Weakly nonlinear convection	356
	10.8	Chimneys	357
	10.9	Remarks	363
11	Phase-Field Models		
	11.1	Pure materials – A model system	367
	11.2	Pure materials – A deduced system	372
	11.3	Pure materials – Computations	374
	11.4	Remarks	376

Index

379

Introduction

The processes of freezing and melting were present at the beginning of the Earth and continue to affect the natural and industrial worlds. These processes created the Earth's crust and affect the dynamics of magmas and ice floes, which in turn affect the circulation of the oceans and the patterns of climate and weather. A huge majority of commercial solid materials were "born" as liquids and frozen into useful configurations. The systems in which solidification is important range in scale from nanometers to kilometers and couple with a vast spectrum of other physics.

The solidification of a liquid or the melting of a solid involves a complexinterplay of many physical effects. The solid–liquid interface is an active free boundary from which latent heat is liberated during phase transformation. This heat is conducted away from the interface through the solid and liquid, resulting in the presence of thermal boundary layers near the interface. Across the interface, the density changes, say, from ρ^{ℓ} to ρ^{s} . Thus, if $\rho^{s} > \rho^{\ell}$, so that the material shrinks upon solidification, a flow is induced toward the interface from "infinity."

If the liquid is not pure but contains solute, preferential rejection or incorporation of solute occurs at the interface. For example, if a single solute is present and its solubility is smaller in the (crystalline) solid than it is in the liquid, the solute will be rejected at the interface. This rejected material will be diffused away from the interface through the solid, the liquid, or both, resulting in the presence of concentration boundary layers near the interface. The thermal and concentration boundary layer structures determine, in large part, whether morphological instabilities of the interface exist and what the ultimate microstructure of the solid becomes. Many a solidification problem of interest couples the preceding purely diffusive effects with effects of thermodynamic disequilibrium, crystalline anisotropy, and convection in the melt.

1. Introduction

On the coarsest level of understanding, freezing is of concern only as a heat or mass transfer process. Thus, one cools a glass of bourbon by inserting ice cubes that extract heat by melting. Likewise, one places salt on icy roads in Evanston to facilitate melting because salt water has a lower melting temperature than pure water.

On a finer level of understanding, freezing can create solids whose microstructures are determined by the process parameters and the intrinsic instabilities of the solid–liquid front. Figure 1.1 shows a longitudinal section of a Zn–Al alloy casting. Notice the dendritic structures that extend inward from the cold boundary and a core region in which no microstructure is visible. At later times, spontaneous nucleation in the core can cause "snowflakes" to grow in the core. The coarseness or fineness of the microstructure helps determine whether mechanical and thermal reprocessing can be accomplished without the appearance of cracks.

Under certain conditions of freezing, the moving solidification front can be susceptible to traveling-wave instabilities, giving structural patterns that can be made visible; see Figure 1.2.

When a eutectic alloy is frozen, the solid can take the form of a lamellar structure, alternate plates of two alloys spatially periodic perpendicular to the freezing direction. Under certain conditions this mode of growth is stable, giving rise to the more complex modes of growth, an example of which is shown in Figure 1.3.

Under conditions of rapid solidification, the microstructure can take on metastable states and patterns inconsistent with equilibrium thermodynamics. Figure 1.4 shows a banded structure in an Al–Cu alloy consisting of alternate layers of structured and unstructured material spatially periodic in the freezing direction. The structured layers may contain cells, dendrites, or eutectic material, whereas the alternate layers seem to have no visible microstructure.

If the solidification process occurs in a gravitational field, the thermal and solutal gradients may induce buoyancy-driven convection, which is known to affect the interfacial patterns greatly and, hence, the solidification microstructures present in the solidified material. The coupling of fluid flow in the melt with phase transformation at the interface can result in changes of microstructure scale and pattern due to alterations of frontal instabilities and the creation of new ones.

When an alloy is frozen at moderate speeds and dendritic arrays are formed, interesting dynamics occur in the dendrite–liquid mixture – the mushy zone. Here, solutal convection can be localized, creating channels parallel to the freezing direction, as shown in Figure 1.5. The channels frozen into the solid are called freckles, and their presence can significantly weaken the structure of the solid.



Figure 1.1. Longitudinal section of the quenched interface of the Zn–27%Al alloy. From Ayik et al. (1986).



Figure 1.2. Etched longitudinal section of a Ga-doped Ge single crystal showing traveling waves on the interface. The *arrow* indicates the growth direction. From Singh, Witt, and Gatos (1974).



Figure 1.3. TEM micrographs of laser rapidly solidified Al–40 wt % Cu alloy oscillatory instabilities. V = 0.03 m/s. From Gill and Kurz (1993).

Given that the solid has crystalline structure, intrinsic symmetries in the material properties help define the continuum material. The surface energy and the kinetic coefficient on the interface as well as the bulk transport properties inherit the directional properties of the crystal, and thus anisotropies are often significant in determining the cellular or dendritic patterns that emerge. If the anisotropy is strong enough, the front can exhibit facets and corners.

1. Introduction



Figure 1.4. Enlarged view of the banded structure in Al–Cu 17 wt %. The dark bands have a dendritic structure, whereas the light bands are microsegregation free. DB = dark band, LB = light band, TW = total bandwidth, LW = light bandwidth, and $V_s = growth$ rate. From Zimmermann et al. (1991).



Figure 1.5. A photograph of mushy layer chimneys during an experiment with an ammonium chloride solution. In this system, pure ammonium chloride crystals are formed when the solution is cooled below its freezing temperature, leaving behind a diluted solution with a density lower than that of the bulk fluid. In the present case, the mushy layer is growing away from a fixed cold base that is at a temperature below the eutectic point, and thus both the solid—mush and mush—liquid interfaces are advancing at a decreasing rate. At the time the photograph was taken the distance between the base of the tank and the eutectic front was about 3 cm. Notice that the chimney walls and the mush–liquid interface are flat to a good first approximation. From Schulze and Worster (1998).

Finally, single crystals can be grown having, one would hope, uniform properties as long as the growth rate is very small. However, even in such cases the structure can be interrupted by defects or striations. In Figure 1.6, thermal fluctuations have created solute variations in the form of concentric rings, making the crystal inhomogeneous. If the crystal were rotated to remove azimuthal



Figure 1.6. Transverse section of a $Ba_2NaNb_5O_{15}$ crystal whose rotational striations form concentric closed loops. The striations are caused by temperature fluctuations in the melt. From Hurle (1993).

thermal variations, rotational striations could occur having the form of spirals emanating from the center of rotation.

The challenge to the scientist is to understand the sources of such inhomogeneities, quantify the phenomena at work, and learn to control the processes so as to create desired microstructures in situ on demand. Significant progress has been made in these directions, though the end point is not at hand. Clearly, this is a huge field, and inevitably an author must make subjective choices of what material to include. The view taken here is that one should delve into a "core" of the field. A grasp of the physics is developed by using examples of increasing complexity intended to create a deep physical insight applicable to more complex problems.

References

- Ayik, O., Ghoreshy, M., Sahoo, M., and Smith, R. W. (1986). Solidification and foundry studies of Zn/Al alloys, J. Crystal Growth 79, 594–603.
- Gill, S. C., and Kurz, W. (1993). Rapidly solidified Al–Cu alloys I. Experimental determination of the microstructure selection map, *Acta Metall. Mater.* 41, 3563– 3573.
- Hurle, D. T. J. (1993). Crystal Pulling from the Melt, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Schulze, T. P., and Worster, M. G. (1998). A numerical investigation of steady convection in mushylayers during directional solidification of binary alloys, J. Fluid Mech. 356, 199–220.
- Singh, R., Witt, A. F., and Gatos, H. C. (1974). Oscillatory interface instability during Czochralski growth of a heavily doped germanium, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 121, 380–385.
- Zimmermann, M., Carrard, M., Gremaud, M., and Kurz, W. (1991). Characterization of a banded structure in rapidly solidified Al–Cu alloys, *Mater. Sci. Eng.* A134, 1279–1282.