Linear Elastic Waves

JOHN G. HARRIS University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign



PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, VIC 3166, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 2001

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2001

Printed in the United States of America

Typeface Times Roman 10/13 pt. *System* LATEX $2_{\mathcal{E}}$ [TB]

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Harris, John G. Linear elastic waves / John G. Harris. p. cm. – (Cambridge texts in applied mathematics) Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 0-521-64368-6 – ISBN 0-521-64383-X (pb.) 1. Elastic waves. I. Title. II. Series. QA935 .H227 2001 531'.1133 – dc21 00-065074

> ISBN 0 521 64368 6 hardback ISBN 0 521 64383 X paperback

Contents

Pref	face	page xiii	
1	Simpl	e Wave Solutions	1
1.1	Mode	1	
	1.1.1	One-Dimensional Models	3
	1.1.2	Two-Dimensional Models	4
	1.1.3	Displacement Potentials	5
	1.1.4	Energy Relations	5
1.2	The F	ourier and Laplace Transforms	6
1.3	A Wa	ve Is Not a Vibration	11
1.4	Dispersive Propagation		13
	1.4.1	An Isolated Interaction	13
	1.4.2	Periodic Structures	15
Ref	erences		18
2	Kiner	natical Descriptions of Waves	20
2.1	Time-	Dependent Plane Waves	20
2.2	Time-	Harmonic Plane Waves	22
2.3	Plane-Wave or Angular-Spectrum Representations		24
	2.3.1	A Gaussian Beam	24
	2.3.2	An Angular-Spectrum Representation of a	
		Spherical Wave	26
	2.3.3	An Angular-Spectrum Representation of a	
		Cylindrical Wave	28
2.4	Asymptotic Ray Expansion		28
	2.4.1	Compressional Wave	29
	2.4.2	Shear Wave	33

Х	Contents	
App	endix: Spherical and Cylindrical Waves	34
Refe	prences	35
3	Reflection, Refraction, and Interfacial Waves	37
3.1	Reflection of a Compressional Plane Wave	37
	3.1.1 Phase Matching	39
	3.1.2 Reflection Coefficients	40
3.2	Reflection and Refraction	41
3.3	Critical Refraction and Interfacial Waves	44
3.4	The Rayleigh Wave	48
	3.4.1 The Time-Harmonic Wave	49
	3.4.2 Transient Wave	50
	3.4.3 The Rayleigh Function	51
	3.4.4 Branch Cuts	52
Refe	prences	55
4	Green's Tensor and Integral Representations	56
4.1	Introduction	56
4.2	Reciprocity	57
4.3	Green's Tensor	58
	4.3.1 Notes	61
4.4	Principle of Limiting Absorption	62
4.5	Integral Representation: A Source Problem	64
	4.5.1 Notes	65
4.6	Integral Representation: A Scattering Problem	65
	4.6.1 Notes	66
4.7	Uniqueness in an Unbounded Region	68
	4.7.1 No Edges	68
	4.7.2 Edge Conditions	69
	4.7.3 An Inner Expansion	71
4.8	Scattering from an Elastic Inclusion in a Fluid	72
Refe	erences	76
5	Radiation and Diffraction	77
5.1	Antiplane Radiation into a Half-Space	77
	5.1.1 The Transforms	78
	5.1.2 Inversion	79
5.2	Buried Harmonic Line of Compression I	82
5.3	Asymptotic Approximation of Integrals	86

		Contents	xi
	5.3.1	Watson's Lemma	87
	5.3.2	Method of Steepest Descents	90
	5.3.3	Stationary Phase Approximation	94
5.4	Buried	Harmonic Line of Compression II	96
	5.4.1	The Complex Plane	96
	5.4.2	The Scattered Compressional Wave	98
	5.4.3	The Scattered Shear Wave	99
5.5	Diffra	ction of an Antiplane Shear Wave at an Edge	101
	5.5.1	Formulation	102
	5.5.2	Wiener–Hopf Solution	104
	5.5.3	Description of the Scattered Wavefield	108
5.6	Match	ed Asymptotic Expansion Study	112
App	endix:	The Fresnel Integral	116
Refe	erences		119
6	Guide	d Wayas and Dispersion	121
61	1 Harmonic Wayes in a Closed Wayeguide		121
0.1	611	Partial Wayes and the Transverse Resonance Principle	121
	612	Dispersion Relation: A Closed Waveguide	123
6.2	Harmo	onic Waves in an Open Waveguide	121
	6.2.1	Partial Wave Analysis	129
	6.2.2	Dispersion Relation: An Open Waveguide	131
6.3	Excita	tion of a Closed Waveguide	134
	6.3.1	Harmonic Excitation	134
	6.3.2	Transient Excitation	135
6.4	Harmo	onically Excited Waves in an Open Waveguide	139
	6.4.1	The Wavefield in the Layer	140
	6.4.2	The Wavefield in the Half-Space	145
	6.4.3	Leaky Waves	146
6.5	A Late	erally Inhomogeneous, Closed Waveguide	146
6.6	Dispersion and Group Velocity		150
	6.6.1	Causes of Dispersion	150
	6.6.2	The Propagation of Information	151
	6.6.3	The Propagation of Angular Frequencies	153
Refe	erences		157

Index

159

1

Simple Wave Solutions

Synopsis

Chapter 1 provides the background, both the model equations and some of the mathematical transformations, needed to understand linear elastic waves. Only the basic equations are summarized, without derivation. Both Fourier and Laplace transforms and their inverses are introduced and important sign conventions settled. The Poisson summation formula is also introduced and used to distinguish between a propagating wave and a vibration of a bounded body.

A linear wave carries information at a particular velocity, the group velocity, which is characteristic of the propagation structure or environment. It is this transmitting of information that gives linear waves their special importance. In order to introduce this aspect of wave propagation, propagation in one-dimensional periodic structures is discussed. Such structures are dispersive and therefore transmit information at a speed different from the wavespeed of their individual components.

1.1 Model Equations

The equations of linear elasticity consist of (1) the conservation of linear and angular momentum, and (2) a constitutive relation relating force and deformation. In the linear approximation density ρ is constant. The conservation of mechanical energy follows from (1) and (2). The most important feature of the model is that the force exerted across a surface, oriented by the unit normal n_j , by one part of a material on the other is given by the traction $t_i = \tau_{ji}n_j$, where τ_{ji} is the stress tensor. The conservation of angular momentum makes the stress tensor symmetric; that is, $\tau_{ij} = \tau_{ji}$. The conservation of linear momentum, in

differential form, is expressed as

$$\partial_k \tau_{ki} + \rho f_i = \rho \partial_t \partial_t u_i. \tag{1.1}$$

The term f is a force per unit mass.

Deformation is described by using a strain tensor,

$$\epsilon_{ij} = (\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i)/2, \tag{1.2}$$

where u_i is *i*th component of particle displacement. The symmetrical definition of the deformation ensures that no rigid-body rotations are included. However, the underlying dependence of the deformation is upon the ∇u . For a homogeneous, isotropic, linearly elastic solid, stress and strain are related by

$$\tau_{ij} = \lambda \epsilon_{kk} \delta_{ij} + 2\mu \epsilon_{ij}, \qquad (1.3)$$

where λ and μ are Lamé's elastic constants. Substituting (1.2) in (1.3), followed by substituting the outcome into (1.1), gives one form of the equation of motion, namely

$$(\lambda + \mu)\partial_i\partial_k u_k + \mu\partial_i\partial_i u_i + \rho f_i = \rho\partial_t\partial_t u_i .$$
(1.4)

Written in vector notation, the equation becomes

$$(\lambda + \mu)\nabla(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) + \mu\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u} + \rho \boldsymbol{f} = \rho \partial_t \partial_t \boldsymbol{u}.$$
(1.5)

When the identity $\nabla^2 u = \nabla(\nabla \cdot u) - \nabla \wedge \nabla \wedge u$ is used, the equation can also be written in the form

$$(\lambda + 2\mu)\nabla(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) - \mu\nabla \wedge \nabla \wedge \boldsymbol{u} + \rho \boldsymbol{f} = \rho \partial_t \partial_t \boldsymbol{u}.$$
(1.6)

This last equation indicates that elastic waves have both dilitational $\nabla \cdot u$ and rotational $\nabla \wedge u$ deformations.

If $\partial \mathcal{R}$ is the boundary of a region \mathcal{R} occupied by a solid, then commonly t and u are prescribed on $\partial \mathcal{R}$. When t is given over part of $\partial \mathcal{R}$ and u over another part, the boundary conditions are said to be mixed. One very common boundary condition is to ask that t = 0 everywhere on $\partial \mathcal{R}$. This models the case in which a solid surface is adjacent to a gas of such small density and compressibility that it is almost a vacuum. When \mathcal{R} is infinite in one or more dimensions, special conditions are imposed such that a disturbance decays to zero at infinity or radiates outward toward infinity from any sources contained within \mathcal{R} .

Another common situation is that in which $\partial \mathcal{R}_{12}$ is the boundary between two regions, 1 and 2, occupied by solids having different properties. Contact between solid bodies is quite complicated, but in many cases it is usual to assume that the traction and displacement, *t* and *u*, are continuous. This models welded contact. One other simple continuity condition that commonly arises is that between a solid and an ideal fluid. Because the viscosity is ignored, the tangential component of *t* is set to zero, while the normal component of traction and the normal component of displacement are made continuous.

These are only models and are often inadequate. To briefly indicate some of the possible complications, consider two solid bodies pressed together. A (linear) wave incident on such a boundary would experience continuity of traction and displacement when the solids press together, but would experience a traction-free boundary condition when they pull apart (Comninou and Dundurs, 1977). This produces a complex nonlinear interaction.

The reader may consult Hudson (1980) for a succinct discussion of linear elasticity or Atkin and Fox (1980) for a somewhat more general view.

1.1.1 One-Dimensional Models

We assume that the various wavefield quantities depend only on the variables x_1 and t. For *longitudinal strain*, u_1 is finite, while u_2 and u_3 are assumed to be zero, so that (1.2) combined with (1.3) becomes

$$\tau_{11} = (\lambda + 2\mu)\partial_1 u_1, \qquad \tau_{22} = \tau_{33} = \lambda\partial_1 u_1,$$
 (1.7)

and (1.1) becomes

$$(\lambda + 2\mu)\partial_1\partial_1u_1 + \rho f_1 = \rho \partial_t \partial_t u_1. \tag{1.8}$$

For *longitudinal stress*, all the stress components except τ_{11} are assumed to be zero. Now (1.3) becomes

$$\tau_{11} = E \partial_1 u_1, \qquad E = \mu \frac{3\lambda + 2\mu}{\lambda + \mu}, \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\partial_2 u_2 = \partial_3 u_3 = -\nu \partial_1 u_1, \qquad \nu = \frac{\lambda}{2(\lambda + \mu)}.$$
 (1.10)

Now (1.1) becomes

$$E\partial_1\partial_1 u_1 + \rho f_1 = \rho \partial_t \partial_t u_1. \tag{1.11}$$

Note that (1.8) and (1.11) are essentially the same, though they have somewhat different physical meanings. The longitudinal stress model is useful for rods having a small cross section and a traction-free surface. Stress components that vanish at the surface are assumed to be negligible in the interior.

1.1.2 Two-Dimensional Models

Let us assume that the various wavefield quantities are independent of x_3 . As a consequence, (1.1) breaks into two separate equations, namely

$$\partial_{\beta}\tau_{\beta3} + \rho f_3 = \rho \partial_t \partial_t u_3, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\partial_{\beta}\tau_{\beta\alpha} + \rho f_{\alpha} = \rho \partial_t \partial_t u_{\alpha}. \tag{1.13}$$

Greek subscripts α , $\beta = 1, 2$ are used to indicate that the independent spatial variables are x_1 and x_2 . The case for which the only nonzero displacement component is $u_3(x_1, x_2, t)$, namely (1.12), is called *antiplane shear* motion, or SH motion for shear horizontal.

$$\tau_{3\beta} = \mu \partial_{\beta} u_3, \tag{1.14}$$

giving, from (1.12),

$$\mu \partial_{\beta} \partial_{\beta} u_3 + \rho f_3 = \rho \partial_t \partial_t u_3. \tag{1.15}$$

Note that this is a two-dimensional scalar equation, similar to (1.8) or (1.11).

The case for which $u_3 = 0$, while the other two displacement components are generally nonzero, (1.13), is called *inplane motion*. The initials P and SV are used to describe the two types of inplane motion, namely compressional and shear vertical, respectively. For this case (1.3) becomes

$$\tau_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda \partial_{\gamma} u_{\gamma} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \mu (\partial_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta} u_{\alpha}), \qquad (1.16)$$

and

$$\tau_{33} = \lambda \partial_{\gamma} u_{\gamma}. \tag{1.17}$$

The equation of motion remains (1.4); that is,

$$(\lambda + \mu)\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}u_{\beta} + \mu\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\beta}u_{\alpha} + \rho f_{\alpha} = \rho\partial_{t}\partial_{t}u_{\alpha}.$$
(1.18)

This last equation is a vector equation and contains two wave types, compressional and shear, whose character we explore shortly. It leads to problems of some complexity. These two-dimensional equations are the principal models used. The scalar model, (1.14), allows us to solve complicated problems in detail without being overwhelmed by the size and length of the calculations needed, while the vector model, (1.18), allows us enough structure to indicate the complexity found in elastic-wave propagation.

1.1.3 Displacement Potentials

When (1.4)–(1.6) are used, a boundary condition, such as t = 0, is relatively easy to implement. However, in problems in which there are few boundary conditions, it is often easier to cast the equations of motion into a simpler form and allow the boundary condition to become more complicated. One way to do this is to use the Helmholtz theorem (Phillips, 1933; Gregory, 1996) to express the particle displacement u as the sum of a scalar φ and a vector potential ψ ; that is,

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \nabla \varphi + \nabla \wedge \boldsymbol{\psi}, \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi} = 0. \tag{1.19}$$

The second condition is needed because u has only three components (the particular condition selected is not the only possibility). Assume f = 0. Substituting these expressions into (1.6) gives

$$(\lambda + 2\mu)\nabla \left[\nabla^2 \varphi - \left(1/c_L^2\right)\partial_t \partial_t \varphi\right] + \mu \nabla \wedge \left[\nabla^2 \psi - \left(1/c_T^2\right)\partial_t \partial_t \psi\right] = 0.$$
(1.20)

The equation can be satisfied if

$$\nabla^2 \varphi = \left(1/c_L^2\right) \partial_t \partial_t \varphi, \qquad c_L^2 = (\lambda + 2\mu)/\rho, \qquad (1.21)$$

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \left(1/c_T^2\right) \partial_t \partial_t \psi, \qquad c_T^2 = \mu/\rho.$$
(1.22)

The terms c_L and c_T are the compressional or longitudinal wavespeed, and shear or transverse wavespeed, respectively. The scalar potential describes a wave of compressional motion, which in the plane-wave case is longitudinal, while the vector potential describes a wave of shear motion, which in the plane-wave case is transverse. Knowing φ and ψ , do we know u completely? Yes we do. Proofs of completeness, along with related references, are given in Achenbach (1973).

1.1.4 Energy Relations

The remaining conservation law of importance is the conservation of mechanical energy. Again assume f = 0. This law can be derived directly from

(1.1)–(1.3) by taking the dot product of $\partial_t u$ with (1.1). This gives, initially,

$$\partial_i \tau_{ii} \partial_t u_i - \rho(\partial_t \partial_t u_i) \partial_t u_i = 0.$$
(1.23)

Forming the product $\tau_{kl}\epsilon_{kl}$, using (1.3), and making use of the decomposition $\partial_j u_i = \epsilon_{ji} + \omega_{ji}$, where $\omega_{ji} = (\partial_j u_i - \partial_i u_j)/2$, allows us to write (1.23) as

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t(\rho\partial_t u_i\partial_t u_i + \tau_{ki}\epsilon_{ki}) + \partial_k(-\tau_{ki}\partial_t u_i) = 0.$$
(1.24)

The first two terms become the time rates of change of

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2}\rho\partial_t u_k \partial_t u_k, \qquad \mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{2}\tau_{ij}\epsilon_{ij}. \tag{1.25}$$

These are the kinetic and internal energy density, respectively. The remaining term is the divergence of the energy flux, \mathcal{F} , where \mathcal{F} is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_j = -\tau_{ji}\partial_t u_i. \tag{1.26}$$

Then (1.24) can be written as

$$\partial \mathcal{E}/\partial t + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F} = 0, \qquad (1.27)$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{U}$ and is the energy density. This is the differential statement of the conservation of mechanical energy. To better understand that the energy flux or power density is given by (1.26), consider an arbitrary region \mathcal{R} , with surface $\partial \mathcal{R}$. Integrating (1.27) over \mathcal{R} and using Gauss' theorem gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) \, dV = -\int_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, dS. \tag{1.28}$$

Therefore, as the mechanical energy decreases within \mathcal{R} , it radiates outward across the surface $\partial \mathcal{R}$ at a rate $\mathcal{F} \cdot \hat{n}$.

1.2 The Fourier and Laplace Transforms

All waves are transient in time. One useful representation of a transient waveform is its Fourier one. This representation imagines the transient signal decomposed into an infinite number of time-harmonic or frequency components. One important reason for the usefulness of this representation is that the transmitter, receiver, and the propagation structure usually respond differently to the different frequency components. The linearity of the problem ensures that we can work out the net propagation outcomes for each frequency component and then combine the outcomes to recreate the received signal. The Fourier transform is defined as

$$\bar{u}(x,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} u(x,t) dt.$$
(1.29)

The variable ω is complex. Its domain is such as to make the above integral convergent. Moreover, \bar{u} is an analytic function within the domain of convergence, and once known, can be analytically continued beyond it.¹ The inverse transform is defined as

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} \bar{u}(x,\omega) \, d\omega.$$
(1.30)

Thus we have represented *u* as a sum of harmonic waves $e^{-i\omega t} \bar{u}(x, \omega)$. Note that there is a specific sign convention for the exponential term that we shall adhere to throughout the book.

A closely related transform is the Laplace one. It is usually used for initialvalue problems so that we imagine that for t < 0, u(x, t) is zero. This is not essential and its definition can be extended to include functions whose *t*-dependence extends to negative values. This transform is defined as

$$\bar{u}(x, p) = \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} u(x, t) \, dt.$$
 (1.31)

As with ω , p is a complex variable and its domain is such as to make $\bar{u}(x, p)$ an analytic function of p. The domain is initially defined as $\Re(p) > 0$, but the function can be analytically continued beyond this. Note that $p = -i\omega$ so that, when $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\Im(\omega) > 0$ gives the initial domain of analyticity for $\bar{u}(x, \omega)$. The inverse transform is given by

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\epsilon-i\infty}^{\epsilon+i\infty} e^{pt} \bar{u}(x,p) \, dp, \qquad (1.32)$$

where $\epsilon \ge 0$. The expressions for the inverse transforms, (1.30) and (1.32), are misleading. In practice we define the inverse transforms on contours that are designed to capture the physical features of the solution. A large part of this book will deal with just how those contours are selected. But, for the present, we shall work with these definitions.

¹ Analytic functions defined by contour integrals, including the case in which the contour extends to infinity, are discussed in Titchmarsh (1939) in a general way and in more detail by Noble (1988).

Consider the case of longitudinal strain. Imagine that at $t = -\infty$ a disturbance started with zero amplitude. Taking the Fourier transform of (1.8) gives

$$\left(d^2\bar{u}_1/dx_1^2\right) + k^2\bar{u}_1 = 0, \tag{1.33}$$

where $k = \omega/c_L$ and c_L is the compressional wavespeed defined in (1.21). The parameter k is called the wavenumber. Here (1.33) has solutions of the form

$$\bar{u}_1(x_1,\omega) = A(\omega)e^{\pm ikx_1}.$$
 (1.34)

If we had sought a time-harmonic solution of the form

$$u_1(x_1, t) = \bar{u}_1(x_1, \omega) e^{-i\omega t}, \qquad (1.35)$$

we should have gotten the same answer except that $e^{-i\omega t}$ would be present. In other words, taking the Fourier transform of an equation over time or seeking solutions that are time harmonic are two slightly different ways of doing the same operation.

For (1.35), it is understood that the real part can always be taken to obtain a real disturbance. Much the same happens in using (1.30). In writing (1.30) we implicitly assumed that u(x, t) was real. That being the case, $\bar{u}(x, \omega) = \bar{u}^*(x, -\omega)$, where the superscript asterisk to the right of the symbol indicates the complex conjugate. From this it follows that

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Re \int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega t} \bar{u}(x,\omega) \, d\omega.$$
(1.36)

The advantage of this formulation of the inverse transform is that we may proceed with all our calculations by using an implied $e^{-i\omega t}$ and assuming ω is positive. The importance of this will become apparent in subsequent chapters. Now (1.36) can be regarded as a generalization of the taking of the real part of a time-harmonic wave (1.35).

Problem 1.1 Transform Properties

Check that $\bar{u}(x, \omega) = \bar{u}^*(x, -\omega)$ and derive (1.36) from (1.30). The reader may want to consult a book on the Fourier integral such as that by Papoulis (1962).

When the plus sign is taken, (1.35) is a time-harmonic, plane wave propagating in the positive x_1 direction. We assume that the wavenumber k is positive, unless otherwise stated. The wave propagates in the positive x_1 direction because the term $(kx_1 - \omega t)$ remains constant, and hence u_1 remains constant, only if x_1 increases as t increases. The speed with which the wave propagates is c_L . The term ω is the angular frequency or $2\pi f$, where f is the frequency. That is, at a fixed position, 1/f is the length of a temporal oscillation. Similarly, k, the wavenumber, is $2\pi/\lambda$, where λ , the wavelength, is the length of a spatial oscillation.

Note that if we combine two of these waves, labeled u_1^+ and u_1^- , each going in opposite directions, namely

$$u_1^+ = Ae^{i(kx_1 - \omega t)}, \qquad u_1^- = Ae^{-i(kx_1 + \omega t)},$$
 (1.37)

we get

$$u_1 = A e^{-i\omega t} 2 \cos(kx_1). \tag{1.38}$$

Taking the real part gives

$$u_1 = 2|A|\cos(\omega t + \alpha)\cos(kx_1). \tag{1.39}$$

We have taken A as complex so that α is its argument. This disturbance does not propagate. At a fixed x_1 the disturbance simply oscillates in time, and at a fixed t it oscillates in x_1 . The wave is said to stand or is called a standing wave.

Problem 1.2 Fourier Transform

Continue with the case of longitudinal strain and consider the following boundary, initial-value problem. Unlike the previous discussion in which the disturbance began, with zero amplitude, at $-\infty$, here we shall introduce a disturbance that starts up at $t = 0^+$. Consider an elastic half-space, occupying $x_1 \ge 0$, subjected to a nonzero traction at its surface. The problem is one dimensional, and it is invariant in the other two so that (1.8), the equation for longitudinal strain, is the equation of motion. At $x_1 = 0$ we impose the boundary condition $\tau_{11} = -P_0 e^{-\eta t} H(t)$, where H(t) is the Heaviside step function and P_0 is a constant. As $x_1 \to \infty$ we impose the condition that any wave propagate outward in the positive x_1 direction. Why? Moreover, we ask that, for t < 0, $u_1(x_1, t) = 0$ and $\partial_t u_1(x_1, t) = 0$. Note that, using integration by parts, the Fourier transform, indicated by F, of the second time derivative is

$$F[\partial_t \partial_t u_1] = -\omega^2 \bar{u}_1(x_1, \omega) + i\omega u_1(x_1, 0^-) - \partial_t u_1(x_1, 0^-).$$
(1.40)

In deriving this expression we have integrated from $t = 0^-$ to ∞ so as to include any discontinuous behavior at t = 0. Taking the Fourier transform of (1.8) gives (1.33). Show that the inverse transform of the stress component τ_{11} is given by

$$\tau_{11}(x_1,t) = \frac{P_0}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i(kx_1 - \omega t)}}{\omega + i\eta} \, d\omega. \tag{1.41}$$

In the course of making this step you will need to chose between the solutions to the transformed equation, (1.33). Why is the solution leading to (1.41) selected? Note that, if the disturbance is to decay with time, η must be positive. Next show that

$$\tau_{11}(x_1, t) = -P_0 H(t - x_1/c_L) e^{-\eta(t - x_1/c_L)}.$$
(1.42)

Explain how the conditions for convergence of the integral, as its contour is closed at infinity, give rise to the Heaviside function. Note how the sign conventions for the transform pair, by affecting where the inverse transform converges, give a solution that is causal.

Problem 1.3 Laplace Transform

Solve *Problem 1.2* by using the Laplace transform over time. Why select the solution e^{-px_1/c_L} ? How does this relate to the demand that waves be outgoing at ∞ ?

The solution of *Problem 1.2* suggests how we shall define the Fourier transform over the spatial variable x. Suppose we have taken the temporal transform obtaining $\bar{u}(x, \omega)$. Then its Fourier transform over x is defined as

$${}^{*}\bar{u}(k,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} u(x,t) \, dx, \qquad (1.43)$$

and its inverse transform is

$$\bar{u}(x,\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx *} \bar{u}(k,\omega) dk . \qquad (1.44)$$

Again note the sign conventions for the transform pair. *This will remain the convention throughout the book*. Moreover, note that

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(kx - \omega t)*} \bar{u}(k,\omega) \, d\omega \, dk \,.$$
(1.45)

This shows that quite arbitrary disturbances can be decomposed into a sum of time-harmonic, plane waves and *thereby indicates that the study of such waves is very central to the understanding of linear waves*.

1.3 A Wave Is Not a Vibration

A continuous body vibrates when a system of standing waves is established within it. Vibration and wave propagation can be explicitly linked by means of the Poisson summation formula. This formula might better be termed a transform and is quite useful, especially for asymptotically approximating sums.

Proposition 1.1. Consider a function f(t) and its Fourier transform $\overline{f}(\omega)$. Restrictions on f(t) are given below. The Poisson summation formula states that

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f(m\lambda) = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\lambda}\right), \qquad (1.46)$$

where λ is a parameter.

This formula relates a series to one comprising its transformed terms. If we want to approximate the left-hand side of (1.46) for λ that is small, then knowing the Fourier transform of each term enables us to use an approximation based on the fact that λ^{-1} is large. The left-hand side of (1.46) may converge only slowly for a small λ .

*Proof.*² This proof follows that of de Bruijn (1970). Consider the function $\varphi(x)$ given by

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} f[(m+x)\lambda], \qquad (1.47)$$

where the sum converges uniformly on $x \in [0, 1]$. The function $\varphi(x)$ has period 1. We assume that f(t) is such that $\varphi(x)$ has a Fourier series, $\varphi = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ik2\pi x}$. Its *k*th Fourier coefficient equals

$$\int_0^1 e^{-ik2\pi x} \varphi(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty e^{-ik2\pi x} f[(m+x)\lambda] \, dx$$
$$= \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty \int_m^{(m+1)} e^{-ik2\pi x} f(x\lambda) \, dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-ik2\pi (x/\lambda)} f(x) \, dx. \tag{1.48}$$

² A minimum amount of analysis is used, both here and elsewhere, and no attempt at rigorous proofs is made. The conclusions are usually valid under more general conditions than those cited.

Note that the integral $\int_{m}^{(m+i)} e^{-ikx} f(x\lambda) dx \to 0$ as $m \to \pm \infty$, uniformly in $x \in [0, 1]$, as the sum (1.47) converges uniformly.

These conditions are more restrictive than needed. Lighthill (1978), among others, indicates that the Poisson summation formula holds for a far more general class of functions than assumed here.

Consider the impulsive excitation of a rod of finite length 1. The governing equation is (1.11). Assume $f_1 = 0$, set c_b and $\rho = 1$ ($c_b^2 = E/\rho$), and assume that for t < 0, $u_1(x_1, t) = \partial_t u_1(x_1, t) = 0$. The boundary conditions are

$$\tau_{11}(0,t) = -T\delta(t), \qquad \tau_{11}(1,t) = 0. \tag{1.49}$$

By using a Fourier transform over *t* and solving the boundary-value problem in x_1 , we get³

$$\tau_{11} = iTH(t) \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{-in\pi t} \frac{\sin[n\pi(1 - x_1)]}{\cos(n\pi)}.$$
 (1.50)

Thus the rod is filled with standing waves. One usually considers a solution in this form as a vibration. This is a very useful way to express the answer, assuming the pulse has reverberated within the rod for a time long with respect to that needed for one echo from the far end to return to x = 0. But the individual interactions with the ends have been obscured. To find these interactions we apply the Poisson summation formula to (1.50). Break up the $sin[n\pi(1-x_1)]$ term into exponential ones and apply (1.46) to each term. The crucial intermediate step is the following, where we have taken one of these terms.

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\cos m\pi} e^{-im\pi[t-(1-x_1)]} = (\pi |t+x_1-2|)^{-1} \\ \times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega \left(1-\frac{2n}{|t+x_1-2|}\right)} d\omega \\ = 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(|t+x_1-2|-2n).$$
(1.51)

The outcome to our calculation is

$$\tau_{11} = T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta(t + x_1 - 2k) - T \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta(t - x_1 - 2k).$$
(1.52)

³ The reader should check that this is the solution.

This is a wave representation. It is very useful for times of the order of the echo time. For example, if $t \in (1, 2)$, then $\tau_{11} = T\delta[(t - 1) + (x_1 - 1)]$. We have thus isolated the pulse returning from its first reflection at the end $x_1 = 1$. However, the representation is not very useful for *t* that is large because it becomes tedious to work out exactly at what reflection you are tracking the pulse. Moreover, the representation (1.52) would have been awkward to work with if, instead of delta-function pulses, we had had pulses of sufficient length that they overlapped one another. Nevertheless, the representation captures quite accurately the physical phenomena of a pulse bouncing back and forth in a rod struck impulsively at one end.

A vibration therefore is defined and confined by its environment. It is the outcome of waves reverberating in a bounded body. A period of time, sometimes a long one, is needed for the environment to settle into a steady oscillatory motion. In contrast, a wave is a disturbance that propagates freely outward, returning to its source perhaps only once, experiencing only a finite number of interactions. Understanding how an individual wave interacts with its environment and tracking it through each of its interactions constitute the principal problems of wave propagation. Moreover, while one works frequently with time-harmonic propagating waves, one usually assumes that at some stage a Fourier synthesis will be carried out, mapping the unending oscillatory motion into a disturbance confined both temporally and spatially.

1.4 Dispersive Propagation

1.4.1 An Isolated Interaction

A basic interaction of a wave with its environment is scattering from a discontinuity. Continue to consider waves in a rod by using the longitudinal stress approximation. Consider time-harmonic disturbances of the form

$$u_1 = \bar{u}_1(x_1)e^{-i\omega t}, \qquad \tau_{11} = \rho c_b^2 \partial_1 u_1.$$
 (1.53)

We shall not indicate the possible dependence on ω of \bar{u}_1 unless this is needed. The equation of motion (1.11) becomes

$$d^{2}\bar{u}_{1}/dx_{1}^{2} + k^{2}\bar{u}_{1} = 0, \qquad k = \omega/c_{b}.$$
(1.54)

Assume there is a region of inhomogeneity within $x_1 \in (-L, L)$. Incident on this inhomogeneity is the wavefield

$$\bar{u}_{1}^{i}(x_{1}) = \begin{cases} A_{1}e^{ikx_{1}}, & x_{1} < -L, \\ A_{2}e^{-ikx_{1}}, & x_{1} > L, \end{cases}$$
(1.55)

where we have allowed waves to be incident from both directions. Upon striking the inhomogeneity, the scattered wavefield

$$\bar{u}_1^s(x_1) = \begin{cases} B_1 e^{-ikx_1}, & x_1 < -L, \\ B_2 e^{ikx_1}, & x_1 > L \end{cases}$$
(1.56)

is excited. Note that the scattered waves have been constructed so that they are outgoing from the scatterer. The linearity of the problem suggests that we can write the scattered amplitudes in terms of the incident ones as

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(1.57)

or, more compactly, as

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{A}.\tag{1.58}$$

The matrix *S* is called a scattering matrix and characterizes the inhomogeneity.

We next consider a specific example. Imagine that the rod has a crosssectional area 1 and that the inhomogeneity is a point mass M, at $x_1 = 0$. The left-hand figure in Fig. 1.1 indicates the geometry. The conditions across the discontinuity are

$$u_1(0^-, t) = u_1(0^+, t), \qquad M \partial_t \partial_t u_1 = -\tau_{11}(0^-, t) + \tau_{11}(0^+, t).$$
 (1.59)

That is, the rod does not break, but the acceleration of the mass causes the traction acting on the cross section to be discontinuous. Setting $\tan \theta = kM/2\rho$, with $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$, we calculate the matrix *S* to be





Fig. 1.1. One or more point masses M are embedded in a rod of cross-sectional area 1. The left-hand figure shows a single, embedded, point mass. The right-hand figure shows an endless periodic arrangement of embedded point masses, each separated by a distance L. The masses are labeled 0, 1, 2, ..., with the zeroth mass at $x_1 = 0$.

It is also of interest to relate the wave amplitudes on the right to those on the left. This matrix T, called the transmission matrix, gives R = TL, where $L = [A_1, B_1]^T$ and $R = [B_2, A_2]^T$. The matrix T is readily calculated from S and is given by

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1+i\tan\theta & i\tan\theta\\ -i\tan\theta & 1-i\tan\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1.61)

Note that the amplitudes A_1 and B_2 are those of right-propagating waves, while B_1 and A_2 are those of left-propagating ones.

Problem 1.4 Scattering From a Layer

Imagine a layer of width *d* and properties $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{c}_L)$ embedded in a material with properties (ρ, c_L) . Let the x_1 axis be perpendicular to the face of the layer and place the origin at the left face, so that the layer occupies $x_1 \in (0, d)$. A wave of longitudinal strain Ae^{ikx_1} is incident from $x_1 < 0$. Calculate the reflection coefficient B/A and the transmission coefficient C/A, where the reflected wave is Be^{-ikx_1} and the transmitted one is $Ce^{ik(x_1-d)}$. Treat the waves in the layer as a sum of a single right and left propagating wave, each with different amplitudes, and imagine that the amplitudes contain the effects of all the multiple reflections from each side of the layer. Similarly *B* and *C* contain the effects of all the reflections and transmissions from the layer into the outer material. The wavenumber $k = \omega/c_L$. For what values of *d* is the reflection minimized? Can you identify any of the components of the *S* matrix for the layer in terms of these coefficients?

1.4.2 Periodic Structures

One of the more interesting aspects of wave-bearing structures is that they often contain several length scales. Propagation in such a structure often can only take place if the angular frequency ω is linked to the wavenumber – a term we must define a bit more carefully here – in a nonlinear way. To consider this possibility we use the matrix T, (1.61), to analyze propagation in a periodic structure. We imagine an infinite rod, cross-sectional area 1, in which equal point masses, M, are periodically embedded. The right-hand figure of Fig. 1.1 indicates the geometry and the how the masses are labeled. One such mass has the nominal position $x_1 = 0$ and is labeled n = 0. Each mass is separated from its neighbors by a distance L. A cell of length L is thereby formed and is labeled n if the nth mass occupies its left end. There are thus two length scales, the wavelength