> Cultures of Inquiry From Epistemology to Discourse in Sociohistorical Research

Cultures of Inquiry provides a unique overview of research methodologies in social scientific, historical, and cultural studies. Facing Kant's proposition that pure reason cannot contain social inquiry, John R. Hall uses a method of hermeneutic deconstruction to produce a "critique of impure reason," thereby charting a "Third Path" to knowledge. Inquiries conventionally allocated to science or interpretation, modern or postmodern, he argues, depend upon interconnected methodologies that transcend present-day disciplinary and interdisciplinary boundaries. Cultures of Inquiry identifies four formative discourses and eight methodological practices of inquiry, and explores new possibilities for translation between different types of knowledge. Its analysis neither exoticizes academic subcultures nor essentializes Culture as the spirit of Academe. Instead, it addresses workaday issues of research via a sociology of knowledge that speaks to controversies concerning how inquiry is and ought to be practiced under conditions of epistemological disjuncture.

JOHN R. HALL is Director of the Center for History, Society, and Culture and Professor of Sociology at the University of California, Davis. His publications include *Culture: Sociological Perspectives* (1993), with Mary Jo Neitz, and *Gone from the Promised Land: Jonestown in American Cultural History* (1987). He has also published widely on topics in sociological theory and sociohistorical research.

Cultures of Inquiry

From Epistemology to Discourse in Sociohistorical Research

John R. Hall



PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, United Kingdom http://www.cup.cam.ac.uk 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA http://www.cup.org 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© John R. Hall 1999

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1999

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeset in Plantin 10/12 pt. [wv]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0 521 64220 5 hardback ISBN 0 521 65988 4 paperback

> The fox knows many things, but the hedgehog knows one big thing. Archilochus, quoted by Isaiah Berlin 1970: 1

> Pragmatism, pending the final empirical ascertainment of just what the balance of union and disunion among things may be, must obviously range herself upon the pluralistic side. Some day, she admits, even total union, with one knower, one origin, and a universe consolidated in every conceivable way, may turn out to be the most acceptable of all hypotheses. Meanwhile the opposite hypothesis, of a world imperfectly unified still, and perhaps always to remain so, must be sincerely enter-tained. This latter hypothesis is pluralism's doctrine. Since absolute monism forbids its being even considered seriously, branding it as irrational from the start, it is clear that pragmatism must turn its back on absolute monism, and follow pluralism's more empirical path.

William Jones 1908: 161

Cambridge University Press
0521642205 - Cultures of Inquiry: From Epistemology to Discourse in Sociohistorical
Research
John R. Hall
Frontmatter
More information

Contents

List of tables and figures Acknowledgments				
Prologue				
1	Introduction: the Third Path	6		
	PART I FORMATIVE DISCOURSES			
2	Value discourse and the object of inquiry Coda 2 How values consolidate projects of explanation	33 63		
3	Narrative cultures and inquiry Coda 3 The explanatory and interpretive potential of narrative accounts	72 98		
4	The conceptual possibilities of social theoretical discourse Coda 4 The uses of theory in explanatory and interpretive accounts	104 137		
5	The core of explanation and interpretation as formative discourse	150		
PART II PRACTICES OF INQUIRY				
6	Discursive hybrids of practice: an introductory schema	169		
7	Generalizing practices of inquiry Totalizing theory as universal history The application of social theory to cases Analytic generalization Contrast-oriented comparison	180 182 188 193 198		

vii

viii

Contents

Cambridge University Press	
0521642205 - Cultures of Inquiry: From Epistemology to Discourse in Sociohistorica	1
Research	
John R. Hall	
Frontmatter	
Moreinformation	

204
205
210
216
220
229
262
285
308

Cambridge University Press		
0521642205 - Cultures of Inquiry: From	Epistemology to Discourse in Sociol	nistorical
Research		
John R. Hall		
Frontmatter		
More information		

Tables and figures

Table 1.1: Types of meaning produced via alternative frames of reference	18
Table 2.1: Projects of explanation according to value assump- tions concerning the constructed object of inquiry and criteria for adjudication of alternative accounts concerning it	70
Table 4.1: Hypothetical case patterns and ideal types specified as unique combinations of values on three binary analytic- element concepts	110
Figure 4.1: Hypothetical case-pattern models based on combi- nations of values on binary analytic-element concepts indi- cated in table 4.1, with transitional possibilities to each of the three most similar case-pattern models specified by con-	
necting lines	113
Table 4.2: Types of analytic-element relations according to sub- jective meaning adequacy and basis of concept formation	123
Table 4.3: Four approaches to theoretical discourse with associ- ated types of case-pattern concepts, according to subjective meaning adequacy and basis of concept formation, with type of analytic-element relation used to specify case-pattern con- cept listed in brackets	127
Table 6.1: Practices of inquiry, specified in terms of dominant discourse that orders the four forms of discourse in the practice, and in terms of orientation of the practice toward inquiry	127
Table 7.1: Four generalizing practices of inquiry, described by how formative discourses contribute to each practice, with the discourse ordering each practice capitalized	181
or a construct of provide complementation	
	ix

Cambridge University Press
0521642205 - Cultures of Inquiry: From Epistemology to Discourse in Sociohistorical
Research
John R. Hall
Frontmatter
More information

x Tables and Figures

Table 8.1: Four particularizing practices of inquiry, described by how formative discourses contribute to each practice, with the discourse ordering each practice capitalized	206
Figure 9.1: Generalizing and particularizing practices of inquiry arrayed according to value/theory criteria of adjudication and value/theory basis of the construction of the object of inquiry	244
Table 9.1: Possibilities of translation according to whether prac- ticed standards of evidence and objects of inquiry are shared by two or more projects of inquiry	248

Acknowledgments

On the argument of the present book, foreshadowed in the prologue below, the analysis of cultures of sociohistorical inquiry contained herein can only be the product of a particular perspective. It is neither a view from nowhere nor objective truth: it is an account shaped by my own experiences. I grew up in an academic family. My interest in C. P. Snow's famous "two cultures" – science and the humanities – goes back to formative influences of my father, an embryologist, and my mother, a student of the relation between old French epics and medieval English literature. From the 1960s to the present, I have studied and worked under the institutional conditions of public and private universities in the United States.

Along the way, I received much in the way of useful directions, vital encouragement, thought-provoking criticisms, and important support. Among organizations, thanks go to the Graduate Research Council at the University of California-Davis for a graduate research fellowship; and to New College, Oxford University, and the Centre d'Analyse et d'Intervention Sociologique, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales, Paris – both for the opportunities for reflection they provided during a 1996-97 sabbatical year. I also wish to thank publishers for permission to reprint from two previously published essays which served as working papers for the present book. First, "Epistemology and sociohistorical inquiry," Annual Review of Sociology 16 (1990): 329-51, is reproduced, with permission, © 1990 by Annual Reviews, Inc.; revised portions of this essay were incorporated into chapters 1 and 2. Second, from "The problem of epistemology in the social action perspective," pp. 253-289 in Randall Collins, ed., Sociological Theory 1984 (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1984), revised portions were incorporated into chapter 4.

Important as organizational support has been, people have made the more crucial contributions. My appreciation of my parents, Edmund K. Hall and Marian Ross Hall, is beyond words. More directly, I am particularly grateful to Guenther Roth, H. Stith Bennett, Leonard Hochberg, and Doug Mitchell for inspiring and encouraging the project

xii Acknowledgments

as a whole, and to William Brustein, Gary Hamilton, Michael Hechter, and Margaret Somers for timely counsel at important points along the way. As I completed drafts of the book's sections, I presented them at colloquia, seminars, and professional meetings, and asked people to read chapters. These activities have been immensely important to refining the analysis. In particular, I have benefited from the comments of Robert Alford, Ron Aminzade, Benjamin Bratton, Elaine Hoye, Edgar Kiser, Alan Sica, Charles Tilly, and Stephen Turner. I am especially grateful for the generosity of the anonymous reviewers and other individuals who commented on drafts of the entire manuscript – Richard Biernacki, Jenny Broome, Craig Calhoun, Jennifer Dunn, Steve Fuller, John Martin, Maureen Sullivan, and Ksenija Vidmar. At Cambridge University Press, the publishing of this book has been graced by the editorial wisdom of Catherine Max, whom I thank for her vision of the project and her efforts toward its fulfillment.

As anyone will understand who knows some of the people I thank, they are diverse in their thinking. It will be surprising if any of them agree with all of what follows. What I appreciate most is their willingness to engage me on issues of mutual interest. Yet for all the thanks that they richly deserve, responsibility for the shortcomings that seem inevitable to intellectual ambition is wholly my own. I dedicate this book to the woman who has led me to so much of value in life beyond it, Jenny Broome.