

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-64143-2 - Fiscal Decentralization in Developing Countries

Edited by Richard M. Bird and Francois Vaillancourt

Index

[More information](#)


---

## Index

- agency theory 23  
 Ahmad, J. 5, 14, 25, 34, 253, 256  
 All India Financial Institutions 97  
 apartheid 239, 239–46  
   Black Local Authorities (BLAs) 240,  
     242–43, 246, 253, 257, 260  
   consequences 239–46  
   homelands 241, 246  
   Johannesburg 244, 253, 257–63, 268  
   local governments 241–42  
   national context 240–41  
   regional governments 241  
   Regional Service Councils (RSCs) 240,  
     245–46, 260  
   Self-Governing Territories (SGTs) 240,  
     241, 246, 247  
   spatial policies 239, 241–46  
   TBVC (Transkei, Bophutswana, Venda,  
     and Ciskei) 240, 241, 246, 247  
   transfers 241  
   White Local Authorities (WLAs) 240, 242,  
     243–45, 253, 257, 260, 261  
 Argentina 5, 8, 21, 26, 31, 192, 206–32  
   Buenos Aires 208, 220  
   decentralization 214–21  
   delegation 225  
   devolution 232  
   equalizing criteria 232  
   federal fiscal arrangements 214–21  
   fiscal decentralization, 206–08  
   Fiscal Disequilibria Fund 223  
   fiscal gap 221–24  
   fiscal imbalance: horizontal 224–25;  
     vertical 221  
   Regional Disequilibria Fund 223  
   revenue-sharing 213, 215  
   principal–agent model 230  
   public choice approach 230  
   revenue-sharing system 231  
   setting 208–14  
   Stabilization Program 221  
 Australia 18, 21, 33, 34, 249  
 Bahl, R. 2, 5, 16  
 Bird, R. 1, 4, 5, 8, 14, 17, 18, 25, 26, 33, 37, 72,  
   169, 170, 206, 207, 209, 276  
 Bosnia-Herzegovina 5, 21, 25, 27, 271–98  
   break-up 276–77  
   centrifugal forces 271, 275  
   cross-subsidies 275, 279  
   Dayton system 271, 272, 277–88  
   debt policy 286–87  
   delegation 289  
   expenditure functions 291–92  
   expenditure policy 281–82  
   expenditure rules 280–81  
   Federation: Bosniac-majority area 272,  
     273, 279, 281, 286, 288; Croat-majority  
     area 272, 278, 279, 281, 288, 289  
   finance 282–87  
   fiscal equalization 273–74  
   government size 290–91  
   lessons 277  
   Serbia-Montenegro 272  
   Serb Republic (*Republika Srpska*) 272, 278,  
     279, 280, 281, 282, 288, 289  
   tax administration 282–87  
   tax assignment 292–97  
   vertical balance 293  
   Yugoslavia 272, 274–79, 283, 288  
 bottom-up approach, *see* demand-driven  
   approach  
 borrowing ability 6  
 Brazil 5, 18, 33, 192  
 Britain 23  
   British rule, in Pakistan 116, 127, 145  
 Canada 18, 249  
 Chile 31  
 China 5, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 49–74, 134  
   central control 63  
   centralization 72–74  
   declining tax ratio 57–58  
   division of revenues 59  
   expenditure variations 68

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-64143-2 - Fiscal Decentralization in Developing Countries

Edited by Richard M. Bird and Francois Vaillancourt

Index

[More information](#)302 *Index*

- China (*cont.*)  
 earmarked grants 55–56  
 enterprise income tax 50–51  
 Finance Commission 82  
 fiscal disparities 66  
 indirect taxes 51–53  
 local government 63–65; autonomy of 65  
 macroeconomic policy 59–62  
 National Tax Service 53  
 redistribution 68–69  
 reform 62–72; objective of 57–62  
 revenue capacity 66  
 revenue-sharing 53–57  
 revenue structure 49–52  
 shared taxes 54–55  
 tax effort 69–71
- Colombia 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 21, 25, 30, 34, 172–98, 265  
 Antioquia (Medellin) 174  
 Bogotá 174  
 Commission on Intergovernmental Finance 173  
 deficits 191–94  
 earmarking and expenditure grants 186–89, 195  
 education 181–83  
 fiscal effort 189–91  
 fiscal flexibility 189–91  
 general purpose grant 184–86  
 health 181–83  
 infrastructure 183–84  
 intergovernmental transfers 177–79  
 lessons 195  
*participaciones municipales* (PM) 177, 178, 186  
 policy objectives 179–86  
*sistema nacional de cofinanciación* (SNC) 177, 179  
*situado fiscal* (SF) 177, 178, 192  
 subnational governments 175–77  
 transfers, 191–94; design of 179–86  
 Valle (Cali), 174  
 competitive equality 87  
 competitive federalism 81  
 “coparticipation” system (Colombia) 9  
 cost-benefit appropriability 87  
 Czechoslovakia 28
- decentralization theorem (Oates’) 24, 80, 218, 220  
 deconcentration 3, 23, 207  
 in Indonesia 126  
 in Pakistan 127  
 delegation 3, 13, 23, 207  
 in Argentina 206, 215, 225  
 in Bosnia-Herzegovina 289  
*see also* principal-agent model
- demand-driven (bottom-up) approach 3, 5, 10  
 in Bosnia-Herzegovina 276  
 in Indonesia 126  
 Denmark 31  
 devolution 3, 12, 207  
 in Argentina 206, 215, 232  
 in Morocco 169  
 in Tunisia 169  
*see also* public choice approach
- equalization, horizontal 31  
 in India 95  
 equalizing criteria, in Argentina 232  
 European Union 5, 78, 207
- federal finance 23–28  
 “federal-provincial diplomacy,” in Canada 25  
 fiscal decentralization 1–40, 206–08  
 conditions for success 12–14  
 definition and assessment 3–5  
 federal finance 23–28  
 fiscal federalism 23–28  
 international comparisons 16–22  
 lessons 34–40  
 local capacity and taxation 8–12  
 macroeconomic aspects 5–8  
 patterns 22–28  
 transfers, 28–34  
 fiscal dependency 91  
 fiscal effort 189–91  
 “fiscal effort hypothesis” 190  
 fiscal federalism 23–28  
 fiscal flexibility 189–91  
 fiscal imbalance  
 horizontal 11; in Argentina 224–25; in India 92–94, 109  
 vertical 11, 28; in Argentina 221; in India 81, 89–92; in Pakistan 140–41  
 “fiscal laziness,” *see* substitution effect  
 Fiszbein, A. 4, 14, 265  
 “flypaper effect” 190, 192  
 France 23  
 French model 152, 155  
 Fox, W. 5, 25
- “gap-filling” approach 100–02  
 Germany 18, 21, 25, 31
- hard budget constraint 13, 14  
 in Colombia 196  
 horizontal fiscal balance, *see* equalization, horizontal  
 horizontal fiscal imbalance, *see under* fiscal imbalance

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-64143-2 - Fiscal Decentralization in Developing Countries

Edited by Richard M. Bird and Francois Vaillancourt

Index

[More information](#)

Index 303

- India 5, 18, 78–110
  - assignment question 80–86
  - central sector 103–05
  - economic rationale for transfers 94
  - equalization 95
  - equalizing effects 105–08
  - expenditure assignments 80–86
  - federal fiscal arrangements 108–10
  - Finance Commission 97–108, 110; transfers 100–02
  - fiscal disharmony 89–94
  - fiscal gap 95
  - fiscal harmony 86–87
  - fiscal imbalances: horizontal 92–94; vertical 81, 89–92
  - Indian National Congress 79
  - intergovernmental competition 86–87
  - intergovernmental transfers, 96–108
  - interstate competition 87–89
  - plan transfers 102–03
  - Planning Commission 79, 97–108, 110
  - spillovers 96
  - tax and expenditure overlapping 86–89
  - transfers 94–108
- Indonesia 9, 21, 23, 24, 115–26, 144–51
  - budgeting 126
  - bureaucratic factors 145–46
  - expenditure assignment 118–20
  - external participants 147
  - fiscal system 116–26
  - institutional factors 146–47
  - Instruksi Presiden* (INPRES) 123, 125, 126
  - intergovernmental loan finance 123–25
  - Jakarta 18
  - lessons 148–61
  - local borrowing 125
  - local user charges 122–23
  - monitoring 126
  - planning 126
  - political factors 144–45
  - reform 144–51
  - regional taxes 121–22
  - tax base assignment 120–21
  - transfers 123–26
- International Monetary Fund 53, 286, 287
- Linn, J. 2, 16
- moral hazard problem 264, 266
- Morocco 6, 7, 8, 21, 23, 25, 31, 152–71
  - communal system 155
  - funding mechanism 156–60
  - institutional setting 152–56
  - intergovernmental finance 156–66
  - municipalities 154, 155–56
  - sociodemographic characteristics 152–53
  - subnational governments 153–55
  - tax revenues 156–67
  - transfers 157–60, 162–71
- “need–revenue” gap 96
- Netherlands: Dutch rule in Indonesia 116, 145
- New Zealand 255
- Oates’ theorem, *see* decentralization theorem
- OECD 17
- “own-source” revenues 11
- Pakistan 10, 18, 79, 115–16, 126–51
  - bureaucratic factors 145–46
  - decision-making 131
  - economic evaluation of transfers 139–40
  - external participants 147
  - federal–provincial transfers 136–39
  - fiscal imbalance, vertical 140–41
  - institutional factors 146–47
  - Karachi Metropolitan Corporation 127
  - lessons 148–51
  - National Finance Commission 134, 137
  - political factors 144–45
  - private sector 129
  - provincial structure 128–29
  - provincialization 126–44
  - public sector accountability 132
  - public sector strength 132
  - public service efficiency 131–32
  - public service equity 131–32
  - reform 144–51
  - subnational government 143–44
  - taxing powers 132–36
  - transfers 136–43
- “post-welfare state” 1
- principal–agent model 25, 206, 207
  - in Argentina 230
  - see also* delegation
- public choice approach 208; *see also* devolution
- Rao, G. 5, 14, 18, 86, 89
- rent-seeking 115
  - in Indonesia 115
  - in Pakistan 115
- revenue-sharing system 231, 232
- Rezk, E. 18
- Russia (*see also* Soviet Union) 5, 18, 21, 23, 28, 134, 283
- Shah, A. 4, 18, 25, 115, 116
- South Africa 5, 6, 8, 18, 21, 25, 26, 239–68
  - apartheid 239, 239–46
  - Black Local Authorities (BLAs) 240, 242–43, 246, 253, 257, 260

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-64143-2 - Fiscal Decentralization in Developing Countries

Edited by Richard M. Bird and Francois Vaillancourt

Index

[More information](#)304 *Index*

- South Africa (*cont.*)  
 capacity and decentralized responsibility 265  
 Cape Town 257–63  
 central fiscal transfers 260  
 cooperative governance 263–64  
 efficiency considerations 254  
 expenditure assignment 248  
 expenditure responsibilities 258–60  
 Financial and Fiscal Commission 14, 249, 250–51, 263  
 fiscal balance: horizontal 251; vertical 251  
 fiscal imbalance: horizontal 246; vertical 246  
 fiscal stability 255  
 homelands 241, 246  
 horizontal inequality 249–50  
 income redistribution 254–55  
 Johannesburg 244, 253, 257–63, 268  
 local governments 241–42  
 KwaZulu 247  
 municipal services surcharges 261–63  
 Natal 247  
 national context 240–41  
 payroll tax 260  
 political considerations 253–54  
 provinces, new 246–52; and metropolitan governments 267–68  
 property tax 260–61  
 public financial intermediary (PFI) 255  
 racial jurisdictions 246–48  
 regional governments 241  
 Regional Service Councils (RSCs) 240, 245–46, 260  
 Self-Governing Territories (SGTs) 240, 241, 246, 247  
 spatial policies 239, 241–46  
 TBVC (Transkei, Bophutswana, Venda, and Ciskei) 240, 241, 246, 247  
 tax assignment 260  
 transfers 241  
 urban governance 252–57  
 White Local Authorities (WLAs) 240, 242, 243–45, 253, 257, 260, 261  
 Soviet Union (*see also* Russia) 28, 78  
 Spain 34  
 “stimulative effect” 192  
 “substitution effect” 192  
 supply-driven (top-down) approach 4, 5, 10, 23  
   in Indonesia 126  
   Sweden 34  
   Switzerland 18, 21, 25  
 Tanzi, V. 207, 274  
 tax  
   principle of concurrence 85  
   principle of separation 82, 85  
 Tishkov, V. 292, 297  
 top-down approach, *see* supply-driven approach  
 transfers  
   in Colombia 177–86, 191–94  
   in India 94–108  
   in Indonesia 123–26  
   in Morocco 157–60, 162–71  
   in Pakistan 136–43  
   role and design 28–34  
   in Tunisia 162–71  
 Tunisia 6, 18, 21, 23, 152–71  
   allocation formulas 165  
   communal system 155  
   direct taxes 160–61  
   envelope 164  
   funding mechanism 160–62  
   institutional setting 152–56  
   indirect taxes 161–62  
   intergovernmental finance 156–66  
   local governments 162–64, 169–70  
   local investment 166–68  
   municipalities 154, 155–56  
   own revenues 165–66  
   sociodemographic characteristics 152–53  
   subnational governments 153–55  
   transfers 162–71  
 United States 18, 249, 255  
 Vaillancourt, F. 2, 87, 89, 170  
 vertical fiscal imbalance, *see under* fiscal imbalance  
 Vietnam 18, 22, 23, 26  
 Wallich, C. 1, 5, 26, 276  
 Wiesner, E. 191, 208  
 Wildasin, D. 1, 266, 267  
 World Bank 8, 23, 25, 26, 52, 53, 64, 176, 178, 182, 184, 185, 189, 191, 195, 273, 278, 286, 287  
 Yugoslavia 28, 272, 274–79, 283, 288