

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-62750-4 - Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in America

Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

- Acker, Joan, 333, 334  
 actuarial tables, 46  
*Adarand Constructors v. Pena*, 349  
 administered efficiency model  
   in AFSCME case, 176, 184, 187, 199  
   of between-job pay inequality, 176, 178  
   in *Sears* case, 240–1  
   wage rate differentials in, 92–3, 176, 177–8  
 administered labor systems defined, 75  
 Agarwal, Naresh, 333, 335  
*American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees v. State of Washington* case (AFSCME case), 120  
 administered efficiency model, 176, 184, 187, 199  
 aftermath, 33  
 background, 171–3  
 compensation system, 176–8, 178–89  
 consultant reports in, 173  
 corporate culture in, 171  
 data analysis, 178–89, 321  
 decision, 1, 12–13, 31, 43–5, 174, 310  
 decision reversal, 1, 31, 171  
 defense stance, 175  
 EEOC response, 174  
 external salary referents in, 176  
 job-level data submitted in, 187  
 lawsuit, 173–5  
 market forces issue, 172–3, 176, 200  
 market tracking in, 313–17  
 organizational bureaucratization in, 172, 200–1  
 organizational politics in, 194, 199, 200–1  
 parties affecting the pay system, 189–92  
 race-based pay discrimination in, 183–4  
 role of DOP in, 190  
 role of employee organizations, 171, 191–2, 194–8  
 role of state agencies, 190–1, 194–8  
 settlement, 171, 175  
 underrepresentation of women, 192–3  
 wage surveys in, 178–81  
*American Nurses' Association v. State of Illinois*, 33n, 34–5, 120, 285, 353–4, 356  
 Anderson, Cynthia, 91  
 antidiscrimination laws, 8  
   effect on gender inequality, 320–1  
   failures of, 361–3  
   in free market paradigm, 328  
   internal logic, 13  
   necessity of, 17  
   recommendations regarding, 347–52  
 A. T. Kearney Company, 139  
 Baron, James N., 6, 70, 77, 78, 80, 88, 113n, 149–50  
*Bazemore v. Friday*, 348, 349  
 benchmark jobs  
   defined, 176  
   discretionary choice of, 177  
   and earnings differentials, 181–9  
   relationship to market, 176  
   salary determination, 176, 345–6  
 best practice model of gender-neutral wage administration, 339  
 between-job pay inequality  
   administered efficiency model, 176, 178  
   in AFSCME case, 312  
   benchmark v. indexed jobs, 181–9  
   central questions concerning, 81  
   in *Christensen* case, 312  
   in *Coastal Bank* case, 275, 283–6, 301  
   conventional market models, 67–9  
   decline in cases, 40  
   defined, 2  
   difference from within-job pay inequality, 59–62  
   effect of internal labor markets, 76–7, 317  
   effect of job classification systems, 62  
   empirical studies of, 81–8, 310

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-62750-4 - Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in America

Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges

Index

[More information](#)386 *Index*

- between-job pay inequality (*cont.*)
  - employers' responsibility, 3, 13–14, 15, 29, 40, 83, 339–47
  - from gender discrimination, 33–4, 46, 47
  - historically based, 198–200, 201, 309, 337
  - through inaction, 198, 201
  - international trends, 56–9
  - legal remedies, 330–1
  - in lower-level positions, 318
  - and managerial discretion, 79–80, 177, 185–6, 200
  - market determinants, 89–90, 325
  - market justification, 65–74, 309–10
  - measurement, 54–9, 62–3, 64
  - nondiscriminatory sources, 64–5, 178, 187–8
  - organizational determinants, 87–90, 325
  - persistence of, 53
  - recent trends, 56
  - in *Sears* case, 223–4, 225, 228
  - sources, 13, 198–200, 201
  - tainted market model, 69–74
  - under Title VII, 34, 309
- Bielby, William T., 6
- Blau, Francine, 58
- Board of Regents plan, 139, 168–70
- Bourdieu, Pierre, 358
- Bradwell v. State*, 309, 310, 361
- Brennan v. Victoria Bank & Trust Co.*, 46
- Bridges, William P., 7, 77, 78, 113n, 327
- Briggs v. City of Madison*, 41, 42, 45
- Bumiller, Kristin, 20, 330
- bureaucratic personnel systems
  - compensation schemes, 78–81
  - and free market paradigm, 79–80
  - job evaluations in, 79, 314–15
  - market tracking by, 313–17
  - pay practices, 79
  - wage surveys, 79, 314
- California State Employees' Association v. State of California*, 44–5, 348–9
  - decision, 42
- Christensen, Pauline, 122, 165, 358
- Christensen v. State of Iowa* case
  - bureaucratization of personnel functions, 129–30
  - compensation system, 125, 137–42, 139–50, 154, 156, 157, 166, 168–70
  - complaint, 122
  - conclusions regarding, 121, 166–8
  - context, 127–39
  - corporate culture, 121, 165–6, 167
  - court opinions, 150
  - data analysis, 142–50, 168–70, 321
  - decision, 119, 167
  - defense in, 119–20
  - EEOC brief, 125–6
  - effect of judge's perceptions, 153–4
  - expert witnesses, 151
  - external market factors, 120, 132–9, 144, 257
  - internal labor market in, 120, 130, 160–2
  - job classification scheme, 133
  - job evaluations, 119, 122
  - labor relations, 130–2, 139, 162–6, 167
  - litigation described, 122–6
  - male-female earnings difference, 137–9, 147, 162, 168–70
  - market defense, 120, 123, 150–60
  - market tracking in, 313–17
  - merit pay system, 125, 129–30, 142, 168–70
  - organizational politics in, 155–6, 162–6, 167
  - precedent set, 120
  - turnover rates, 143, 158–60
  - university's perceptions, 152, 156–60
  - wage comparisons, 119
  - wage gap explanations, 147–50
  - wage surveys, 152–6, 160
- City of Richmond v. J. A. Croson, Co.*, 349
- Civil Rights Act of 1964, 12
  - in *Christensen* case, 119
- Civil Rights Act of 1991
  - effect on disparate impact theories, 33n
  - impact on equal work and similar work cases, 40
  - mandates, 30n
- Coastal Bank* case, *see Glass v. Coastal Bank* case
- Cohn, Samuel, 9
- Comaroff, John L., 360
- community values, 95–8
- comparable work cases
  - decline in, 39–40
  - defined, 39
  - private sector skew, 47
  - success rates, 37–40
- comparable worth, 2, 3, *see also* CW/pay equity
  - criticisms of, 29–33, 328–30
  - defined, 29, 331
  - and devaluation of women, 50, 72, 95, 336
  - difference from pay equity, 331
  - implementation, 339–47
  - job evaluation argument, 25

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-62750-4 - Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in America

Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges

Index

[More information](#)

Index 387

- Title VII basis, 175
  - as a wage policy, 63, 175, 330, 331
  - comparable worth litigation, 12–13, 25–33, 37
  - bases for, 201
  - decline in, 39–40
  - importance of testimony in, 331
  - issues in, 29–30
  - job evaluation studies in, 29–30, 31, 33, 201
  - against private sector firms, 47
  - private sector organizations, 317
  - private vs. public sector, 20
  - public sector, 29, 119–69, 171–201
  - public sector skew, 47
  - risks inherent in, 36
  - success rates, 38–40
  - tainted market theories in, 73
- comparable worth paradigm
  - and devaluation of women, 50, 71–2, 95, 336
  - and inequalities in particular organizations, 50
  - interventionist legal approach, 50
  - job evaluation in, 16, 50
  - pay practices regulation in, 16
  - and the public sector, 50
  - summarized, 48
- consultants
  - in *AFSCME* case, 173
  - at Coastal Bank, 276, 288, 289–90
  - in *Sears* case, 211–15
  - usefulness of, 320, 324
- contingent work arrangements, 78
- Cook, Alice, 333–4
- Corning Glass Works v. Brennan*, 46
- County of Washington v. Gunther*, 12, 13, 28–9, 330–1, 353
  - effect on subsequent litigation, 36–40, 285
  - Supreme Court ruling, 25, 26, 28–9, 124, 309
- courts
  - market stance, 41–7, 73, 356–8
  - role in legalizing gender inequality, 1–3, 311, 358–60
  - treatment of pay discrimination cases, 14–15, 41–7, 353–8
- crowding theory, 69
- cultural bias and rational choice theory, 73
- cultural capital tainted market theory, 71–3
- cultural devaluation of women, 50, 71–2, 95, 336
- CW/pay equity
  - cultural devaluation focus, 336
  - defined, 331
  - implementation, 333–6
  - influence of external markets, 331
  - legislative directives, 331–3
  - and markets, 336–8
  - and neoclassical model of wage determination, 337–8
  - subjective solutions, 336
- Dalton, Melville, 90, 96
- damages assessment, 46–7
- Department of Personnel (DOP)(*AFSCME* case)
  - goals, 190
  - internal equity considerations, 185–6
  - pay system analysis, 178–89
  - political pressures on, 190
  - report on wage disparities, 173
  - turnover data, 187
  - wage determination system, 176–8
- derived demand, 72
- Devereaux-Jennings, P., 77, 113n
- Diebold, Francis W., 78
- DiMaggio, Paul J., Jr., 5
- DiPrete, Thomas, 78
- discrimination mode of within-job differences, 70–1
- disparate impact cases
  - nature of, 30
  - proof required in, 347
  - recent decisions, 32–3
- disparate treatment cases
  - nature of, 30
  - proof required in, 347–8
- Dobbin, F. R., 77, 113n
- Doeringer, Peter, 187
- Donnelly v. Rhode Island Board of Governors for Higher Education*, 33, 347–8
- Donohue, John J., III, 112, 321, 330
- Dothard*, 32
- Duboff, Mark, 37n
- Dunworth, Terence, 112
- Dutton, David, 122
- Dworkin, Ronald, 101n
- Edelman, Lauren B., 91, 241, 327
- employers, *see also* organizations
  - legal responsibility for between-job pay inequality, 3, 13–14, 15, 29, 40
  - as locus of reform, 83, 339–47
  - low-wage, 63, 83
- England, Paula, 50, 71–2, 76, 77, 87, 326
- Epstein, Richard, 49, 328–9
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-62750-4 - Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in America

Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges

Index

[More information](#)388 *Index*

- Equal Employment Opportunity (*cont.*)  
 approach to market issue, 125–6  
 role in *AFSCME* case, 174
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.*  
 case  
 administered efficiency explanation, 240–1  
 affirmative action program, 205, 231  
 analysis of compensation system, 216–30, 235–8, 242–3  
 background, 205–8  
 basis for, 220, 233–5  
 between-job pay inequality in, 223–4, 225, 228  
 checklist employees, 205, 209–15  
 compensation system, 205, 206, 208, 209–15  
 conclusions regarding, 238–42  
 conflict of interest questions, 231–2, 319  
 consultants in, 211–15  
 corporate culture in, 207–8, 210–11, 236  
 decision, 206  
 EEOC focus in, 205–6, 209, 216  
 expert witnesses, 215  
 initial complaint, 232  
 internal equity considerations, 213  
 job evaluation studies in, 220  
 job segregation, 218–19  
 lawsuit, 206, 231–2  
 managerial discretion in, 205–6, 208, 209, 212, 220  
 market issue in, 206, 239–40  
 market tracking in, 313–17  
 opinion, 234–6  
 and the organizational inequality model, 241–2  
 organizational politics in, 240  
 statistical evidence, 216–30, 228–30, 232–5  
 timecard employees, 205
- Equal Pay Act of 1963, 12, 70  
 cases based upon, 35, 36–40, 46–7  
 case types, 36–40  
 extra credentials argument under, 46  
 factors other than sex in, 26, 45–6  
 and the free market paradigm, 49  
 limitations, 26, 60–1  
 provisions, 26, 309
- equal work cases  
 defined, 38  
 increases in, 40  
 private sector skew, 47  
 success rates, 37–9  
 types, 37, 38, 222
- ethnicity-based discrimination, 302, 323
- Evans, Dan, 173
- Evans, Sara M., 332, 334n
- expert witnesses, 151, 215
- external legitimacy, 90, 95
- factors other than sex, 26, 45–6, 86
- favoritism, 90
- Ferber, Marianne A., 85
- Filer, Randall K., 85–6
- Fischel, Daniel R., 49, 329, 330
- fixed-effects methodology, 87
- free market paradigm, 1, 2–3, 4  
 allowable discrimination in, 49  
 antidiscrimination laws in, 328  
 and between-job pay inequity, 65–74  
 and bureaucratic personnel systems, 79–80  
 crowding theory within, 69  
 economic marginalization approach, 5  
 efficiency wage theory in, 49  
 empirical support for, 328–9  
 and the Equal Pay Act, 49  
 and internal labor markets, 75–6  
 noneconomic reasoning within, 69  
 structural approaches, 5  
 summarized, 48  
 and worker protests, 15
- Friedman, Lawrence, 361
- Gardner, Jennifer M., 78
- gender inequality, *see also* pay inequality  
 efficiency justification, 92–3, 176, 178, 310  
 gender relationships and, 4  
 historically based, 198–200, 201, 215, 309, 337, 348–9  
 in job assignments, 96–7  
 in job evaluations, 98–9  
 legalized by courts, 1–3, 311, 358–60  
 market justification, 309–10  
 organizational dimensions, 3, 4–11, 13–14, 15, 310, 311–27  
 and organizational politics, 18–19, 91, 94–5, 320  
 patterns of, 312
- gender relationships  
 effect on pay inequality, 4  
 effect on working conditions, 99–100, 329–30
- Glass v. Coastal Bank* case  
 affirmative action plan, 251  
 analytic limitations, 246  
 background, 245–8  
 between-job pay inequality, 275, 283–6, 301

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-62750-4 - Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in America

Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges

Index

[More information](#)

Index 389

- compensation consultants in, 276, 288, 289–90
- compensation system, 244, 252–6, 264–7, 275–99
- computer programming jobs, 282, 286, 303, 315
- conclusions regarding, 299–304
- corporate culture in, 244–5, 247–8, 301–2
- cost of discrimination, 245, 303
- data analysis, 256–75, 264–75, 304–6, 321
- efficiency model usefulness, 264, 275, 287, 299–301
- employee performance evaluations, 281–2
- ethnicity-based discrimination, 244–5, 302, 323
- evidence, 249–51
- exceptions to the pay system, 282, 286, 295–8, 303, 315, 322
- incentive pay system, 293, 295
- internal market in, 289
- job evaluation systems, 277–82, 287–90
- job preference study, 251
- job segregation, 257–63, 266, 279, 281
- job structure characteristics, 260–2, 269, 275
- lawsuit, 248–52
- liability under Title VII, 285
- male cultural advantage in, 244–5, 278–9, 295–8, 301, 322–3
- market influence, 264, 269–75, 276–7, 281–93, 294–5, 300–1, 303
- market tracking in, 313–17
- nonofficer compensation, 276–88
- officer compensation system, 288–99
- organizational inequality model usefulness, 264
- organizational politics, 278, 301
- outside influence in, 248–9
- performance evaluation in, 293–4
- policies regarding women, 247–8, 250
- race-based discrimination in, 244–5, 249, 250, 300, 323
- settlement, 251–2
- statistical analysis, 304–6
- trial court opinion, 249–51
- turnover rates, 286–7, 298–9
- wage survey data, 277
- within-job pay inequality, 301
- workforce composition, 257–63
- Gohman, Phyllis, 122, 129, 165
- Granovetter, Mark S., 305
- Green v. Bettinger Co.*, 45n
- Griggs, 32
- Hartmann, Heidi, 76
- Hay Associates, 211
- Hayes System, 125, 139–50, 154, 166, 168–70
- Hay Point Factor System, 80, 98
- head of household rules, 32–3
- Heckman, James, 337
- Higginbotham, Leon, 27–8
- Hildebrand, George, 91–2, 93
- hiring bias, 65, 71, 81
- Hodgson v. Brookhaven General Hospital*, 46
- Hughes, James, 150
- incomes
  - female/male ratios over time, 55–9
  - male/female differentials, 323–4
  - male/female ratios within firms, 84
- indexed jobs
  - defined, 176
  - discretionary pay practices and, 177, 185–6
  - disproportionately female, 188–9
  - and earnings differentials, 181–9
  - internal equity considerations, 185–6
  - market influences, 185
  - salary determination, 345–6
  - structure determination, 176
- intentional discrimination cases
  - evidence, 47
  - jury trials in, 40
  - proof in, 32, 33
  - punitive damages in, 40
- interest group model, 354
- internal equity, 82, 90, 93, 95, 185–6, 340
- internal labor markets, 74
  - defined, 75–6
  - effect of contingent work arrangements, 78
  - effect on between-job pay inequality, 76–7, 317
  - effect on pay levels, 317
  - equity in, 83, 90, 93, 95, 185–6, 340
  - and free market paradigm, 75–6
  - and organizational politics, 317
  - prevalence of, 77–8
  - relationship to external occupational systems, 75, 317
- International Brotherhood of Teamsters v. United States*, 30
- International Union, UAW v. State of Michigan*, 33n
- decision, 42–3
- I.U.E. v. Westinghouse*, 27–8, 317
- Jacobs, Jerry, 74n, 329
- job classification systems

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-62750-4 - Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in America

Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges

Index

[More information](#)390 *Index*

- job classification systems (*cont.*)
  - bias-free, 341–3
  - data collection recommendations, 345
  - effect on between-job pay inequality, 62
- job cluster method, 346
- job complexity, 98
- job definitions, 62–3
- job evaluations
  - bias-free, 340
  - in bureaucratic personnel systems, 79, 314–15
  - comparable worth litigation, 29–30, 31, 33
  - comparable worth paradigm, 16, 25, 50
  - failure to act on, 31, 32
  - job cluster method, 345–6
  - salary line methods, 345–6
  - sexism in, 71–2, 98–100
- job families
  - bias-free, 341
  - defined, 176
  - gender composition, 181
  - importance of, 147
- job ladders, 317
- job placement influences, 81
- job productivity characteristics, 64–5
- job segregation
  - effect on pay inequality, 61
  - locus of, 81
  - measurement, 62
  - patterns, 312–13
  - and seniority systems, 129
  - by sex, 96–7
- job series defined, 176
- job size defined, 144
- Johnson v. Transportation Agency*, 352
  
- Kahn, Lawrence, 58
- Katz, Donald R., 208n
- Kennedy, Anthony, 1, 2, 15, 174, 201, 310, 356
- Keohane, Robert O., 102n
- Kerr, Clark, 75
- Kilbourne, Barbara, 87
- Killingsworth, Mark, 70
- King, Gary, 102n
- Kouba v. Allstate Insurance Co.*, 46
  
- Lazear, Edward P., 49, 329, 330
- Lee, Yong, 37–40
- Lemons v. City and County of Denver*, 120
- Levi, Edward H., 101n
- Los Angeles Dept. of Water and Power v. Manhart*, 357
  - decision, 46
- Lowry, Helen M., 85
  
- Mack, Raymond, 96
- managerial discretion
  - in *AFSCME* case, 177, 185–6, 200
  - in *Christensen* case, 136, 157, 162
  - at Coastal Bank, 248, 278, 286, 289–90, 293, 297, 299, 315, 316
  - in pay practices, 79–80, 324
  - in private sector organizations, 318
  - Sears* case, 205–6, 208, 209, 212, 220, 315, 316
- Marini, Margaret Mooney, 68
- market argument
  - in *AFSCME* case, 172–3, 176, 200
  - and antidiscrimination laws, 328
  - and between-job pay inequality, 65–74, 89–90, 309–10, 325
  - in *Christensen* case, 120, 123, 150–60
  - in *Coastal Bank* case, 264, 269–75, 276–7, 281–93, 294–5, 300–1, 303
  - by courts, 41–7, 73, 356–8
  - necessity of, 126
  - and pay inequality, 1, 2–3, 4, 40–5, 65–74, 89–90, 309–10, 325
  - pitfalls in, 124
  - in *Sears* case, 206, 239–40
- market justification cases, 40–5
- Matilla, J. Peter, 334
- Maucker, J. W., 131
- McCann, Michael, 14, 18, 33n, 318–19, 359–60, 362
- men
  - cultural advantage of, 95–6, 96–100, 244–5, 278–9, 295–8, 301, 312, 322–3
  - earnings differential, 137–9, 147, 162, 168–70, 323–4
  - incomes over time, 54–9, 323–4
  - occupational earnings patterns, 137–9
  - wage premiums for, 70, 84
- merit pay systems, 26, 125, 129–30, 142
- Meyer, John, 5
- Milkman, Ruth, 96–8
- monopsony, 73
- Morgan, Laurie A., 83–4
  
- National Organization for Women, 206, 231, 319
- Nelson, Barbara J., 332, 334n
- neo-institutional theory, 88, 90
- nepotism, 45n
- Newman, Andrew E., 70, 88–9
- Newman, Winn, 175
  
- Orazem, Peter F., 334
- organizational culture effect on salary structure, 90

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-62750-4 - Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in America

Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges

Index

[More information](#)

Index 391

- organizational inequality model, 5, 13–14, 16
    - bureaucratic rules in, 94–5
    - community values reflected in, 95–8
    - decision-making principles in, 94–5
    - and efficiency argument, 2, 311
    - evidence for, 321–3
    - external legitimacy concerns in, 95
    - female cultural disadvantage in, 312
    - internal equity in, 95
    - male cultural advantage in, 95–6, 96–100, 312
    - and market processes, 51, 311
    - organizational politics in, 18–19, 91, 94–5
    - patronage in, 95
    - and pay practices regulation, 16, 51, 350–1
    - summarized, 48
  - organizational pay systems, 152, *see also* pay practices
    - empirical investigations, 326
    - gender-based pay inequality, 321
  - organizational politics
    - and gender inequality, 16, 91, 94–5, 194, 199, 200–1, 320
    - and internal labor markets, 317
    - in organizational inequality model, 18–19, 91, 94–5
  - private sector organizations, 10, 240, 278, 301, 319–20
  - public sector organizations, 10, 155–6, 162–6, 167, 190–2, 194, 199, 200–1, 319–20
  - organizational power theory, 94
  - organizations
    - environmental influences on, 88, 90–1
    - gender-based pay inequality, 321
    - gender inequality in, 4–11
    - importance of age, 88
    - importance of size, 74–5, 88, 184
    - institutionalized values within, 5–6, 90
    - responsibility for gender-based pay differences, 3, 13–14, 15, 321–3
  - Parcel, Toby, 84
  - particularism, 90, 129, 316
  - patronage, 90, 95
  - pay equity, *see also* CW/pay equity
    - difference from comparable worth, 331
    - as a legal reform, 361
    - popular interest in, 1–2, 175
    - reexamination of, 310
    - roadblocks to implementation, 351–2
    - and social policy, 16–17, 327
  - pay equity audits, 350–1
  - pay equity legislation
    - implementation, 333–6
    - limitations, 65
    - variations by locale, 331–3
  - pay equity litigation
    - as a consciousness-raising device, 359
    - failures of, 359–63
    - legal strategies, 36
    - polarities in, 35
    - risks inherent in, 35–6
    - settlements, 355
    - stance of courts, 1–3, 14–15, 309–11
    - use of historical patterns, 349
  - pay inequality, *see also* between-job pay inequality; gender inequality; within-job pay inequality
    - empirical investigations, 326–7
    - as the exception, 324–5
    - and gender relationships, 4
    - market justification, 1, 2–3, 4, 40–5, 309–10
  - organizational dimensions, 3, 9, 13–14, 15, 311–27
  - patterns of, 312
  - private sector organizations, 317–19
  - public sector organizations, 317
  - significance of organizational component, 325–7
- Payner, Brook, 337
- pay practices
  - agents of organizational change, 17
  - arbitrary nature, 324
  - in bureaucratic personnel systems, 78–81
  - and business necessity, 30
  - effect of job segregation, 61
  - employer conformity, 66
  - gender inequality in, 95, 97–8, 322–3
  - gender-neutral, 339–47
  - internal equity in, 82, 185–6
  - in large organizations, 74–5, 184
  - and managerial discretion, 79–80, 177, 185–6, 200, 205–6, 208, 209, 212, 220, 248, 278, 286, 289–90, 293, 297, 299, 315, 316, 322–3, 324
  - market effect on, 5, 16, 32
  - need for regulation, 16, 51, 350–1
  - organizational politics in, 16, 91, 94–5, 194, 199, 200–1, 320
  - sexism in, 6, 16, 95, 97–8
  - special exemptions, 316–17
- Peltier v. City of Fargo*, 46
- Pendleton, Clarence, Jr., 2
- Petersen, Trond, 83–4
- Pettersen, Stephen, 357
- piece work systems, 26
- Piore, Michael, 187
- point factor techniques, 80

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-62750-4 - Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in America

Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges

Index

[More information](#)392 *Index*

- population ecology, 88  
 Posner, Richard, 34–5, 353–4, 356  
 Powell, Walter W., 5  
 private sector organizations  
   comparable worth litigation, 317  
   employee power in, 319  
   gender inequality in pay, 205, 317–19  
   managerial discretion in pay practices, 318  
   pay structure politics, 10, 240, 278, 301  
   within-job inequality, 318  
 promotion bias, 81  
 public sector organizations  
   employee power in, 319  
   gender inequality in pay, 317  
   pay structure politics, 10, 162–6, 167, 190–2, 194, 199, 200–1
- race-based pay discrimination, 11, 183–4  
   in *Coastal* case, 249, 250, 300, 323  
 Ragin, Charles C., 102n  
 rational choice theory and cultural bias, 73  
 Ray, Dixie Lee, 173  
 Rehnquist, William, 29, 46, 353  
 resource dependence, 88  
 reverse discrimination, 351  
 Rhoads, Steven E., 338n  
 Rogers, Joel, 112  
 Rosenbaum, James, 314
- salary line methods, 346  
 Scheppele, Kim, 15, 356–7  
 Schultz, Vicki, 357  
*Sears* case, *see Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Sears, Roebuck & Co. case*  
 Selznick, Philip, 5, 7  
 seniority systems, 26, 30, 129, 312, 318  
 sexism  
   and hostile environments, 99–100, 329–30  
   in job assignments, 96–7  
   in job evaluations, 71–2, 98–9  
   organizational, 96–100  
   in pay practices, 6, 16, 95, 97–8, *see also* pay inequality  
 Shamir, Ronen, 362  
 Shapiro, Martin, 101n  
 Siegelman, Peter, 112, 330  
 similar work cases  
   increases in, 40  
   success rates, 37–9  
 SKEW (Stevenson, Kellogg, Ernst, and Whinney) plan, 98  
*Smith v. Virginia Commonwealth University*, 351
- social policy and pay equity, 16–17, 327  
 Sorensen, Elaine, 76, 77, 81, 84, 108, 317  
*Spaulding v. University of Washington*, 13, 32, 347  
   decision, 41–2  
 Steinberg, Ronnie J., 6, 18, 50, 98–9  
 Stolzenberg, Ross, 74n  
*Strecker v. Grand Forks County Social Services Board*, 46  
 Supreme Court rulings  
   on comparable worth, 28–30  
   *County of Washington v. Gunther*, 25, 26, 28–9, 124, 286, 309–10, 353  
   on disparate impact, 30–3  
   on disparate treatment, 30–2, 348  
   on Title VII, 28–9, 30  
 Swinnerton, Kenneth A., 78
- tainted market models of between-job gender differences, 69–74  
 tainted market theories, 71–3  
 Tanner, Jack, 1, 31, 174  
 technical efficiency, 89, 176  
 Thompson, E. P., 361  
 Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 12  
   AFSCME case, 175  
   Bennett amendment, 27, 28–9  
   between-job pay inequality under, 34, 309  
   *Christensen* case, 119–69  
   *Coastal Bank* case, 285  
   provisions, 26, 27  
   Supreme Court rulings, 28–9  
   wage discrimination provisions, 27–9  
 Title VII pay discrimination cases, 46–7, 119–69, 171–201  
 Tomaskovic-Devey, Donald, 91  
 Treiman, Donald J., 76  
 turnover data, 143, 158–60  
   indications gleaned from, 187, 315
- unionization, 86, 130–2, 139, 162–6, 167  
*United States v. City of Milwaukee*, 46  
*United Steelworkers v. Weber*, 352
- Verba, Sidney, 102n  
 Villemez, Wayne J., 7, 77, 113n, 327
- wage levels  
   conventional market models, 67–9  
   female/male ratios over time, 55–9, 323–4  
   for firm-specific jobs, 325–6  
   international trends, 56–9  
   market-mediating influences, 89–90



Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-62750-4 - Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in America

Robert L. Nelson and William P. Bridges

Index

[More information](#)

Index 393

- tainted market models, 69–74
- true market rates, 325–6
- wage rate differentials
  - in administered efficiency model, 92–3, 176, 177–8
  - in *Christensen* case, 323
  - in *Coastal* case, 323
  - dollar amounts, 323
- wage surveys, 73
  - in *AFSCME* case, 178–81
  - in bureaucratic personnel systems, 79, 314
  - in *Christensen* case, 152–6
  - limitations, 343–4
  - and managerial discretion, 79–80, 177, 185–6
  - political pressures in, 155–6, 194, 199, 200–1
- Wambheim v. J.C. Penney*, 33n
- Wards Cove Packing Co. v. Atonio*, 13, 347
  - decision, 30n
- Warren, Elizabeth, 37n
- Washington Federation of State Employees, 173
- Wear v. Webb*, 45n
- Weiler, Paul, 50, 63–5, 80, 338n–339n
- Whitsett, David, 124, 151, 152
- Wial, Howard, 78
- Willis, Norman, 173, 174
- within-job pay inequality
  - at Coastal Bank, 312
  - defined, 2
  - difference from between-job pay inequality, 59–62
  - discrimination model of, 70–1
  - historically based, 215
  - prevalence of, 83
  - in private sector organizations, 215, 216, 220–1, 222–8, 312, 318
  - in *Sears* case, 215, 216, 220–1, 222–8, 312
- women
  - cultural devaluation of, 50, 71–2, 95, 336
  - earnings patterns, 54–9, 137–9, 147, 162, 168–70, 323–4
  - employment patterns, 54, 68–9
  - in low-wage establishments, 83
  - underrepresentation, 192–3