STRINDBERG AND MODERNIST THEATRE

Despite the profound influence exerted by August Strindberg on the development of modernist theatre and drama, the myth has persisted that his plays – particularly such later works as *A Dream Play*, *To Damascus*, and *The Ghost Sonata* – are somehow "unperformable." Nothing could be farther from the truth, as this book sets out to demonstrate by providing, for the first time in English, a detailed performance analysis of the major works created after the psychic upheaval Strindberg called his Inferno. Ranging from the early productions of Max Reinhardt and Olof Molander to the reinterpretations of Robert Lepage, Robert Wilson, and Ingmar Bergman in our own day, this study explores the crucial impact that this writer's allusive (and elusive) method of playwriting has had on the changing nature of the theatrical experience. Each chapter ends with a section devoted to innovative Strindberg performances on the contemporary stage.

As a team, the authors have published a wide range of books that include A History of Scandinavian Theatre (1996), Ingmar Bergman: a Life in the Theatre (1992), Ibsen's Lively Art (1989), Ingmar Bergman: Four Decades in the Theatre (1982), Edward Gordon Craig and "The Pretenders" (1981), and others. Frederick Marker's other books include studies of Hans Andersen, Kjeld Abell, and several editions and translations. Lise-Lone Marker is the author of David Belasco: Naturalism in the American Theatre and many articles and chapters on subjects ranging from Elizabethan acting to Swedish cinema.

STRINDBERG AND MODERNIST THEATRE

Post-Inferno Drama on the Stage

FREDERICK J. MARKER and LISE-LONE MARKER





University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521623773

 $^{\odot}$ Frederick J. Marker and Lise-Lone Marker 2002

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2002

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-62377-3 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-03939-0 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	
PREFACE	
I Before Inferno: Strindberg and nineteenth-century theatre	I
2 Toward a new theatre: <i>To Damascus</i>	13
Strindberg and the New Stagecraft	24
The Molander alternative	32
Scenes from a marriage	40
To Damascus in the contemporary theatre	51
3 A theatre of dreams: A Dream Play	56
Dreams fantastical, worlds apart	61
From allegory to autobiography: Reinhardt and Molander	68
Actors in an empty space	93
A Dream Play in the contemporary theatre	104
4 Chamber theatre: <i>The Ghost Sonata</i>	117
From experiment to renewal	129
The Bergman variations	140
The Ghost Sonata in the contemporary theatre	157
NOTES	164
NOTES	
SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY	170
INDEX	

ILLUSTRATIONS

Photograph of the Asylum scene in the world premiere $\int T D = \int D P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P$	
	page 20
	22
	30
Setting by Sven-Erik Skawonius for the opening scene	
of To Damascus I, directed by Olof Molander, 1937	
Courtesy of Dramaten's library	34
By the Sea: setting by Skawonius for the 1937 production	
Courtesy of Dramaten's library	36
The opening scene in Ingmar Bergman's production	
of To Damascus I–II, Dramaten, 1974	
Photograph by Beata Bergström	44
Staging of the Kitchen scene in Bergman's production of	
To Damascus I–II	
Photograph by Beata Bergström	46
The Café scene in Bergman's production of	_
To Damascus I–II	
Photograph by Beata Bergström	50
Erwin Axer's staging of the Kitchen and the Asylum	Ū
scenes in To Damascus at the Residenztheater, Munich,	
1983	
Photographs by Eva Titus	54
	51
Courtesy of Drottningholms Theatermuseum	58
	of <i>To Damascus I</i> , Royal Dramatic Theatre, Stockholm (Dramaten), 1900 Courtesy of Drottningholms Theatermuseum By the Sea: photograph from the 1900 production of <i>To Damascus I</i> Courtesy of Drottningholms Theatermuseum Design sketch by Knut Ström for <i>To Damascus III</i> (1922) Courtesy of Drottningholms Theatermuseum Setting by Sven-Erik Skawonius for the opening scene of <i>To Damascus I</i> , directed by Olof Molander, 1937 Courtesy of Dramaten's library By the Sea: setting by Skawonius for the 1937 production Courtesy of Dramaten's library The opening scene in Ingmar Bergman's production of <i>To Damascus I–II</i> , Dramaten, 1974 Photograph by Beata Bergström Staging of the Kitchen scene in Bergman's production of <i>To Damascus I–II</i> Photograph by Beata Bergström The Café scene in Bergman's production of <i>To Damascus I–II</i> Photograph by Beata Bergström Erwin Axer's staging of the Kitchen and the Asylum scenes in <i>To Damascus</i> at the Residenztheater, Munich, 1983 Photographs by Eva Titus The Growing Castle: design by Carl Grabow for the world premiere of <i>A Dream Play</i> , Svenska teatern, 1907

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	vii
11 Design by Svend Gade for A Dream Play (1916) Courtesy of Drottningholms Theatermuseum	65
12 Design by Alfred Roller for the Cathedral scene in Max Reinhardt's production of <i>A Dream Play</i> , Dramaten, 1921 Courtesy of Dramaten's library	70
13 Photograph of the Roller setting for the Lawyer's chamber in the Reinhardt production	70
Courtesy of Dramaten's library 14 Photograph of the setting for Foulstrand in the Reinhardt	72
production Courtesy of Dramaten's library 15 Scene in the Lawyer's chamber in the Reinhardt	74
production Courtesy of Dramaten's library	76
16 Outside the Castle: the setting for the final scene in the Reinhardt production of <i>A Dream Play</i> Courtesy of Dramaten's library	80
17 Multiple settings by Skawonius for the Molander production of <i>A Dream Play</i> , Dramaten, 1935	00
Courtesy of Dramaten's library 18 Setting by Skawonius for Foulstrand in the	86
Molander production Courtesy of Dramaten's library 9 Setting and projection by Arne Walentin for <i>A Dream Play</i> ,	88
Oslo, 1965 Photograph courtesy of Det Norske Teatret	92
20 The Theatre Corridor in Bergman's production of <i>A Dream Play</i> , Dramaten, 1970 Photograph by Beata Bergström	00
 21 Scene from Bergman's production of A Dream Play, Dramaten, 1986 	99
Photograph by Bengt Wanselius; courtesy of Dramaten 22 The Theatre Corridor scene in Robert Lepage's	108
production of <i>A Dream Play</i> , Dramaten, 1994 Photograph by Bengt Wanselius; courtesy of Dramaten 23 The final scene in Robert Wilson's production of <i>A Dream</i>	III
<i>Play</i> , Stockholm City Theatre, 1998 Photograph by Lesley Leslie Spinks; courtesy of	
Stockholms Stadsteater	114

viii

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

24	Hummel and the Mummy in Reinhardt's production	
	of <i>The Ghost Sonata</i> , 1916 Sketch by Gerda Plough-Sarp in <i>Teatret</i> (1920–21)	126
25	The Ghost Supper in <i>The Spook Sonata</i> at the Provincetown Playhouse, New York, 1924	
	Photograph by Francis Bruguière in Theatre Arts Monthly	131
26	Setting by Skawonius for the first scene in Molander's production of <i>The Ghost Sonata</i> , Dramaten, 1942	
	Courtesy of Dramaten's library	134
27	Setting for the third scene in Molander's 1955 revival of <i>The Ghost Sonata</i>	
	Courtesy of Dramaten's library	138
28	The Ghost Supper in Bergman's 1973 revival of <i>The Ghost</i>	
	Sonata at Dramaten Photograph by Beata Bergström	I44
29	Setting and projection by Marik Vos for the third scene of	11
	<i>The Ghost Sonata</i> , Dramaten, 1973 Courtesy of Dramaten's library	150
30	Scene from the Bergman revival of <i>The Ghost Sonata</i> ,	190
	Dramaten, 2000	- C
	Photograph by Bengt Wanselius; courtesy of Dramaten	162

PREFACE

The formative influence of August Strindberg on the development of both modern drama and modern theatre holds the status of a critical truism that no one familiar with the subject would presumably dispute. Yet, paradoxically, one of the sturdiest myths that has attached itself to Strindberg's work, both in his own time and in ours, has been the notion that his plays – particularly the great experimental masterpieces of his post-Inferno period - are somehow "unperformable." Although Strindberg scholarship has flourished in recent years, comparatively little attention has been devoted to the critical analysis of his plays in performance and the consequent relationship between dramaturgy and mise-en-scène. One of the more useful efforts to restore a more balanced understanding of Strindberg's inherent theatricality was made in the monograph on Miss Julie and its performance "transcriptions" published some years ago by Egil Törnqvist and Barry Jacobs. Until now, however, no detailed performance study of Strindberg's major post-Inferno works – by which we chiefly mean A Dream Play, To Damascus, and The Ghost Sonata - has been available in English, despite the compelling impact these plays can be said to have had on modernist and postmodernist theatre and theatrical theory. Hence, the present study represents an attempt to fill that gap and, in the process, to dispel the myth of unperformability that has fostered it.

At the heart of the issue is the crucial effect that this writer's allusive (and elusive) method has had on the changing nature of the theatrical experience and the spectator's relationship to it. In spite of the undeniable difficulties they present and the innovative thinking they demand in production, the three plays with which this study is mainly preoccupied have never lost their grip on the imaginations of directors, actors, and designers. Our approach is necessarily selective, however, drawing on representative examples of conceptually significant (or occasionally wrongheaded) interpretations that translate the words on Strindberg's

х

PREFACE

page into the visual and verbal language of the living theatre. In this respect, we feel it is important to give the reader the opportunity to become a kind of spectator, and this is why we have often chosen to furnish more detailed and faceted accounts of a few productions of a particular play, rather than attempting to provide (even if we had been able to compile one) a laundry list of all of its major and recent productions. For reasons that should be obvious, we have not sought to achieve any such inclusive coverage.

Nor has the polemical distinction that is sometimes insisted on between the productions of the so-called establishment theatre and those of the fringe seemed to us to have any relevance to our argument. Susan Einhorn's staging of A Dream Play at the Open Space in New York in 1981 was an interesting, provocative conceptualization achieved in a small experimental theatre. But so was Max Reinhardt's 1916 staging of The Ghost Sonata at his Kammerspiele in Berlin. And so, too, was Ingmar Bergman's revisitation of the Sonata last year in Dramaten's chamber theatre. In the end, the significance of all these productions lies in the light each sheds on the text and the choices each makes in order to bring that text to life for a contemporary audience. While we offer no apology for the prominence inevitably accorded the interpretations of Reinhardt, Bergman, and Olof Molander, the three foremost directors of Strindberg's later plays during the past century, our field of inquiry has nonetheless been wide and ranges from early approaches to the post-Inferno work by Per Lindberg, Evgeny Vakhtangov, and the German expressionists to contemporary reinterpretations by Robert Lepage and Robert Wilson.

The work of other scholars has provided us with an important stimulus. The significance of the line of discussion opened up more than twenty years ago by Richard Bark's Swedish dissertation on the dramaturgical and theatrical implications of Strindberg's "dream-play technique" has not diminished, and the direction we have taken in our own research on Strindberg in performance reflects the positive example set by Bark's pioneering study. Similarly constructive has been the influence exerted by other prominent Scandinavian critics in this area, among them Kela Kvam (in her work on Reinhardt and Strindberg) and Gunnar Ollén (in his concise, commented performance calendars for each of Strindberg's plays). It is to Ollén's work and the supplementary production listings that have been published each year by Strindbergssällskapet (The Strindberg Society) that the reader in search of performance calendars for Strindberg's plays must turn.

PREFACE

Anyone writing about Strindberg in English is virtually bound to feel indebtedness both to the criticism and the translations of Michael Robinson, Evert Sprinchorn, Harry Carlson, and Michael Meyer. We are no exceptions in our admiration for their respective contributions. In particular, the scrupulous Robinson edition of the playwright's letters has made the task of scholars or students working only in English immeasurably more rewarding.

In practical terms, our research in primary sources has been greatly aided by the cooperation of a wide range of institutions and archives, a number of which are named in the list of illustrations or in the notes. Among these, we owe a singular debt of gratitude to the Royal Dramatic Theatre in Stockholm – known to its friends and admirers the world over as Dramaten – where Ann-Christine Jernberg, Dag Kronman, and many others have responded to our requests for sources and yet more sources with unfailing forbearance – and tangible results. On the editorial side, we again have the opportunity to express our warm appreciation to Dr. Victoria L. Cooper of Cambridge University Press for her continued help and encouragement. Not least, we are deeply grateful to the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada for research grants awarded in support of this study.

xi