

Index

- action-responsibility, 73, 80–4, 87–8, 91, 94
 advertence-based theory (of responsibility),
 84–7, 91, 102, 106, 119
 agency, 14, 28, 82–7, 92–3, 104, 160–1, 190,
 198–9, 204–8
 aggregative liability, 240
 at-fault-pool, 17, 117–18, 226–31
 avoidability conception (of responsibility), 81,
 85–8, 91–7, 103, 119, 122, 129
- bi-polarity, 149–50; *see also* tort law, bilateral
 structure of,
 blameworthiness, 72, 84, 87–8, 91–2, 95–6,
 107
 burden of proof, 215–6, 232–7, 240–4; *see
 also* evidentiary standards
- capacity/opportunity principle, 88, 91
 causation requirement, 16–17, 162–3, 193,
 175, 176, 214–22, 229, 236, 245–6, 258
 common law, 1, 89, 96, 113, 176, 191–2, 214,
 246, 253–5, 271, 311–13, 318–19, 341;
 history of torts in, 253–6
 compensation, as aim of tort law, 8, 32–42,
 116–18, 134–7, 143–4, 150–3, 226, 145,
 278, 302–8, 313–16
 convention, 11, 44, 64
 corrective justice, 7, **9–20**, 65, 92, 101, 108,
 116–17, 120, 122–3, 130, 131–4, 138,
152–72, 173–5, 177, 179–82, 183–5, 192,
 194–5, **197–208**, 209–13, 214–16,
221–237, 246–7, 252–4, 302–8, 310–16,
 319, 321
 culpability, 3–4, 6, 8, 76–9, 121, 161, 222,
 249
 custom, 44–5, 48–51, 64–5
- deontological, 18, 75–81, 121–2
 DES cases, 215, 221, 226, 234–9, 244
 descriptive jurisprudence, vs. normative
 jurisprudence, 183, 192–4
 deterrence, as an aim of tort law, 18–19, 116,
 132, 143–53, 265–8, 277–8, 304–6, 308
 distributive justice, relation to corrective
 justice, 8–9, 14, 90, 168–9, 197–200, 208
 due care standard, 3–4, 11, 30–7, 42–65, 102,
 108, 116, 216, 220, 323
 duty, of due care, 42, 219–20; of non-
 injuriousness, 216, 218–21; of non-injury,
 216, 222–31, 236–7; qualified vs.
 unqualified, 218–20; relational vs. non-
 relational, 217–18, 223, 231
- economic analysis of law, 4–5, 86, 186,
 189–97, 201–2, 210–14, 251, 260–70,
 273–5
 enterprise liability, 6, 31, 33, 39–42; *see also*
 market-share liability
 equality, 12, 89–90, 152–7, 159–61, 250–2,
 266; moral, 12, 261; transactional, 169–70
 evidentiary standards, 216, 226, 232–5, 240–4,
 248
 excuse, 57, 106, 309–10, 320
- foreseeability, 48, 51, 88–101, 138;
 reasonable, 48, 51, 94, 98, 102–05, 162–4
 functional explanation, 3, 201–2, 211; *see also*
 functionalism
 functionalism, 131–4, 139–52, 168–9, 279
- Hand formula, 7, 19, 43–5, 49, 52, 64–5, 70,
 105, 113–14, 181, 205–6, 263–4, 273,
 311–12

- harm, accidental 24, 27–8, 31, 37, 40; causing
 vs. failing to prevent, 195; foreseeability
 of, 88–101, 103–6; intentional, 85;
 magnitude of, 37, 45, 49–50; non-
 negligent, 39–41; proximity of, 116;
 reciprocity of, 33–8; unintentional, 72–81,
 85, 93–6
- impossibility theorem (Arrow), 281–4
 interpretive theory, 23–45, 193–4
- joint liability, 239–40
- Kantian theory, 22–33, 44–55, 66, 274
- liberalism (liberal ideal), 24, 206–8
 libertarian, 8, 10, 22; conception of
 responsibility 82–90, 110, 119, 121–2,
 198–201
- liberty interests, 6–7, 55, 264–7
- majority voting paradox, 285, 290–8
 market-share liability, 17, 215, 226, 233–40,
 248
- mass tort, 17, 214–17, 226, 231–2, 245, 248
 moral luck, 94–5, 139, 162, 221–2, 229
 moral responsibility, 6, 86, 103, 200
- negligence liability, 2–6, 30–42, 137, 143,
 261–3
 no-fault compensation schemes, 210, 286
- objective standards, 55, 135, 161–2, 260–2
 outcome responsibility, 73–4, 79–97,
 103–110, 114–130, 198–202, 206
- Pareto principle, 268–9, 283, 287, 304
 path dependence, 19–20, 290–1
- pluralism, *see* plurality of values
 plurality of values, 15, 150, 277–80, and ch.8
passim
 political conception (of responsibility), 90
 positive analysis (of tort law), 18, 250–70
 proximate cause, 95–6, 99, 118, 136–9,
 162–3, 166–7, 277
- reasonable foreseeability, *see* foreseeability
 reasonable person standard, 3, 6, 10, 51–4,
 60–2, 71, 102, 127–8, 136–8, 161–2, 173,
 274, 309, 311–12
- reciprocity, 14, 115, 206
 recourse, 222–3
- risk, creation, 54, 74, 77, 111; imposition,
 25–34, 37–9, 42; ownership, 223; jointly
 created, 109–15; reciprocity of, 33–8
- security interests, 6, 23–4, 41–8, 50–8, 62–3,
 266–9, 271
- sequenced argument, 280, 291, 301–2,
 308–17; *see also* sequenced choice
 sequenced choice, 296–301
- social insurance compensation schemes, 118,
 139, 144, 150, 169, 223, 227–8, 231,
 302–3; *see also* No-fault compensation
 schemes
- strict liability, 2, 6, 30–42, 66, 72, 81, 89, 115,
 128, 185, 199, 219
- subjective standards, 261–2; *see also* objective
 standards
- theory, relation to practice, 163–72, 184
 tort law, bilateral structure of, 1–8, 182, 188,
 196, 201–2; *see also* bi-polarity; core or
 basic features of, 1–2, 15–16, 134–7, 185,
 210