

Contents

Preface	<i>page</i> ix
Frequently Cited Sources	xi
1 Justification Defenses: The Issues	1
1.1 The Theoretical Debate about the General Category of Justification Defenses	2
1.1.1 The Context	2
1.1.2 Justified Conduct as Right or as Permissible	4
1.1.3 Unknowingly Justified Defendants	5
1.1.4 Putative Justification	6
1.1.5 The Social Matrix and the Incompatibility Thesis	7
1.1.6 Duress as a Problematic Classification	10
1.1.7 Summary	10
1.2 Self-defense as a Problematic Specific Justification Defense	11
1.2.1 General Self-defense	11
1.2.2 Battered Women and Self-defense	12
1.3 Necessity, Nullification, and Crimes of Conscience	13
1.4 Summary and Plan	14
2 Justification Defenses and the Conventional Public Morality	16
2.1 Right or Permissible	16
2.2 Knowledge and Justification	21
2.3 Moral Condemnation and Criminal Punishment	22
2.3.1 Five Types of Condemnation in Criminal Punishment	22
2.3.2 Hard Cases for Moral Condemnation	25
2.3.3 Moral Condemnation and Justification Defenses	26
2.4 Knowledge and Justification Revisited	29
2.4.1 Moral Condemnation, Prohibitory Norms, and Unknowingly Justified Defendants	29
2.4.2 Justification Defenses with Subjective Elements	31
2.4.3 Hard Cases and the Knowledge Requirement	37

vi	<i>Contents</i>	
2.5	The Social Matrix and the Incompatibility Thesis	40
2.5.1	Assisting Justified Acts	40
2.5.2	The Duty Not to Interfere and the Incompatibility Thesis	42
2.5.3	Hard Cases and the Revised Matrix of Social Responsibility	47
2.6	Conclusion	52
3	Self-defense	55
3.1	Contemporary Theories	56
3.1.1	Self-defense and the Social Order	56
3.1.2	Self-defense and Distributive Justice	60
3.2	Legal Defenses and Individual Interests	62
3.3	The Normative Structure	64
3.3.1	Political Liberalism	65
3.3.2	The Criminal Justice System in the Liberal Society	71
3.3.3	Justification Defenses	73
3.4	Self-defense as Justified Conduct in a Liberal Society	75
3.5	Self-defense as Morally Justifiable Law	83
3.6	Conclusion	87
4	Self-defense and Battered Women	89
4.1	Self-defense Doctrine	90
4.2	The Battered Woman Syndrome and Self-defense	92
4.2.1	The Battered Woman Syndrome	92
4.2.2	The Putative Relevance of the Battered Woman Syndrome to Self-defense	93
4.3	Battered Woman Syndrome Research	94
4.4	The Battered Woman Syndrome and Conventional Self-defense Law	98
4.4.1	Imminence or Immediacy	98
4.4.2	Imminent or Immediately Necessary: The Justificatory Foundation	99
4.4.3	Learned Helplessness as Disordered Thought and Special Capacity	102
4.4.4	Necessary Force: Battered Woman Syndrome or the Pattern of Battering	104
4.4.5	Reasonable Belief in the Necessity of Deadly Force	106
4.4.6	Retreat as a Legal Alternative to Defensive Force	108
4.4.7	Institutional Legal Alternatives	109
4.4.8	The Pattern of Battering as Support for Reasonable Belief	111
4.4.9	Credibility and the Failure to Leave Previously	111
4.5	Self-defense by Battered Women as Justification and Excuse	114
4.5.1	Reasonable Belief	114

<i>Contents</i>		vii
4.5.2	Battered Woman Syndrome and Mental Illness	116
4.5.3	Reasonable Belief: Justification and Excuse	120
4.5.4	Culpability and Battered Women as Justified or Excused	126
4.6	Conclusion	134
5	Duress and Systemically Complete Mitigation	136
5.1	The Theoretical Interpretation	138
5.2	Systemically Complete Mitigation	142
5.3	Two Potential Criticisms	146
5.4	Conclusion	151
6	The Limits of Justification: Necessity and Nullification	153
6.1	Crimes of Conscience	154
6.2	Jury Nullification	156
6.2.1	The Central Explicit Debate	156
6.2.2	The Arguments	157
6.2.3	Deception and the Moral Force of the Criminal Law	163
6.3	Necessity and Nullification in a Liberal Society	167
6.3.1	Deception and the Integrity of a Liberal Criminal Justice System	167
6.3.2	Necessity and Nullification: The Conceptual Puzzle	168
6.3.3	Necessity	170
6.3.4	Nullification as Necessity: Intrasystemic Appeals to Justice	177
6.3.5	Nullification beyond Necessity: Intrasystemic Appeals to Mercy	180
6.3.6	Nullification beyond Necessity: Extrasystemic Nullification	183
6.3.7	Summary	185
6.4	The Responsibilities of Persons in a Liberal Society	187
6.4.1	The Zenger Trial and Jurors as Persons	187
6.4.2	Judicial Nullification	193
6.4.3	Conflicting Obligations: Tension Rather than Contradiction	197
6.5	Conclusion	198
7	Conclusions	199
	Index	205