

The Globalisation of Crime
Understanding Transitional Relationships in Context

On a contracting world stage, crime is a major player in globalisation and is becoming as much a feature of the emergent globalised culture as are other forms of consumerism. *The Globalisation of Crime* charts crime's evolution. It analyses how globalisation has enhanced material crime relationships such that they must be understood on the same terms as any other significant market force. Trends in criminalisation, crime and social development, crime and social control, the political economy of crime, and crime in transitional cultures are all examined in order to understand the role of crime as an agent of social change. In this first book to challenge existing analyses of crime in the context of global transition, crime is shown to be as much a force for globalisation as globalisation is a force for crime, and an integrated theory of crime and social context is presented.

Mark Findlay is Deputy Director of the Institute of Criminology at the University of Sydney, where he is also Head of the Department of Law. Between 1996 and 1998 he was the Foundation Professor of Law at the University of the South Pacific and he is an Adjunct Professor in the School of Criminology at Simon Fraser University. Professor Findlay has written widely on comparative criminal justice themes and frequently acts as a consultant for international and governmental agencies.



The Globalisation of Crime

Understanding Transitional Relationships in Context

Mark Findlay





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, United Kingdom http://www.cup.cam.ac.uk
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA http://www.cup.org
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Mark Findlay 1999

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published in 1999

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeset in Plantin 10/12pt [VN]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

Findlay, Mark
The globalisation of crime / Mark Findlay.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0 521 62125 9 (hardback)
1. Criminology. 2. Social change. I. Title.
HV6025.F46 1998
364 – dc21 98–24884 CIP

ISBN 0521 62125 9 hardback



Contents

Preface	page vii
Acknowledgements	X
Introduction: Notions of context and globalisation	1
1 (Mis)representing crime	20
2 Crime and social development	58
3 Crime and social dysfunction	94
4 Marginalisation and crime relationships	115
5 Crime economies	138
6 Crime as choice	167
7 Integrating crime control	186
Epilogue	221
Bibliography	226
Index	236



Preface: Some themes of method

Crime cannot be understood outside its social context. For the analysis which follows context is viewed as physical space, institutional process, patterns of relationships and individual variation. Context is a transitional state within which crime influences, and is influenced by, a variety of social, cultural, political and economic determinants.

Contextual analysis is essentially interactive. As an object of such analysis crime is not limited to people or situations or reactions. Crime is more effectively understood as relationships which develop along with the dynamics of its selected context. Essential for the motivation of these relationships is the representation of crime as choice.

In order to appreciate crime beyond its localised manifestations, a contextual analysis needs to be comparative at many levels. The identified interest in globalisation suggests several dualities (local/global; custom/modernisation; market/enterprise) which dominate the comparative contextual analysis to follow. Initially the comparison will be within context (e.g. crime as a feature of social development internal to a particular transitional culture). Concurrently the comparison of context with context (e.g. locality and globe) will evolve. The latter holds out much for critically appreciating the representations of crime and the interests which promote them.

To achieve its fullest potential within the theme of globalisation, comparative research should, therefore, concentrate within a nominated cultural context; across two or more contexts within the same culture; across time and space within a culture in transition; culture to culture; and (not or) simultaneously at the local and global levels.

Crime assumes a variety of social functions dependent on context. These may co-exist while contradicting or challenging any single understanding of crime. With crime being culturally relative, it has the potential

vii

¹ Culture here is preferred to notions such as society and community because, while culture is a relative concept, it relies on common forms and functions which allow for comparisons of civilisation and social development. In the comparative exercise, referent cultures are a useful locator when examining social relationships and behaviours in transition.



viii Preface

within any particular culture to fragment social order. However, crime's existence and representation at a global level may argue for the unity and generalisation of social problems.

Globalisation is a feature of the current social and cultural condition. As much as any other social entity, crime, its representations and its impact are part of globalisation. However, this has led to further distortion of the representation and utility of crime and control. With the implosion which produces and proves globalisation, crime is moving further away from conventional explanations of criminality.

As with many emergent themes in social science, globalisation has both simple and complex representations. Put simply, it is the collapsing of time and space – the process whereby, through mass communication, multinational commerce, internationalised politics and transnational regulation, we seem to be moving inexorably towards a single culture. One proof is in modern, universal cultural iconography, though this is more likely to represent Coca Cola than universal human rights protection.

The more complex interpretation of globalisation is as paradox, wherein there are as many pressures driving us towards the common culture as those keeping us apart. The resultant move towards globalisation is due to the prevailing nature and influence of internationalised politics and economics.

Globalisation is a reflexive concept. It means modernisation and the marketing of predominant consumerist values. In this respect the influence of modernisation over developing cultures in transition initially destabilises custom and tradition. The benefits and detriments of relentless globalisation, such as crime, should be both important and natural objects for comparative research.

Essential to globalisation and crime is the internationalisation of capital, the generalisation of consumerism and the unification of economies. If crime is to be understood as a market condition, then its place within globalisation becomes more vital as an analytical context for contemporary appreciations of crime and control.

The conventional wisdoms of crime as a product of social dysfunction and marginalisation may be challenged and refined through comparative contextual analysis. Globalisation as a focus for this provides the potential to position crime as a natural consequence of many modernisation paradigms previously considered to be subverted by crime.

Crime is power. Relationships of power and domination which become criminogenic are enlivened through comparative contextual analysis.

An appreciation of crime within globalisation is only partial unless control is considered. Control is more than a response to crime. The



Preface ix

globalised strategies of crime control tend also to reveal the impetus for globalisation.

The crime/globalisation nexus will be explored so as to challenge contemporary representations of crime, engage popular wisdom about the causes of crime, expose the influence of crime over social and cultural transitions, and demystify both crime and globalisation, thereby offering the potential to rationalise control, diminish crime and reconstruct crime relationships and crime choice.



Acknowledgements

I simply wish to thank: the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, University of London, and the Benchers of the Middle Temple for the Inns of Court Fellowship during which the formative work for this project was achieved; the United Nations Research Institute for Social Development, which funded the production of a discussion paper drawing together the preliminary themes of this work; the Law Foundation of New South Wales and the Law Faculty of the University of Sydney which financed the revision of the manuscript; the University of the South Pacific which supported the research behind several of the case-studies used in the analysis; my colleagues and good friends, Peter Duff, Ernesto Savona and Ugi Zvekic, who read and commented on various drafts and encouraged me to continue with the work; the American Society of Criminology, the Pacific History Conference and the International Symposium on Economic Crime at whose meetings portions of this book were presented and criticised; to Wayne my meticulous reader, and Mariella Ienna, my muse and indefatigable associate – this work would not be between two covers without your help. Lastly, my thanks go to my family who watched and waited through the long days and nights of writing: I give this book to you.