

CAMBRIDGE CLASSICAL TEXTS AND COMMENTARIES

EDITORS

J. DIGGLE E. W. HANDLEY H. D. JOCELYN M. D. REEVE D. N. SEDLEY R. J. TARRANT

29

EURIPIDES: PHOENISSAE



EURIPIDES

PHOENISSAE

EDITED WITH INTRODUCTION
AND COMMENTARY

BY

DONALD J. MASTRONARDE

Professor of Classics, University of California, Berkeley





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011–4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 1994

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1994 Reprinted 1996, 1999 First paperback edition 2004

Cambridge University Press acknowledges with gratitude the kind permission of B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Stuttgart and Leipzig, to print in this volume a very slightly adapted version of D.J. Mastronarde's text as it appears in his Teubner edition, *Euripides, Phoenissae* (Leipzig, 1988).

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

Euripides.

[Phoenician women] Phoenissae / Euripides; edited with introduction and commentary by Donald J. Mastronarde.

p. cm. – (Cambridge classical texts and commentaries; v. 29)
 Includes bibliographical references (p. 651) and indexes.
 ISBN 0 521 41071 1 (hardback)

 Seven against Thebes (Greek mythology) – Drama.
 Mastronarde, Donald J. II. Title. III. Series PA3973.P6 1993 882.01–dc20 93–3247 CIP

> ISBN 0 521 41071 1 hardback ISBN 0 521 60446 X paperback



CONTENTS

Prefac	e	vii
INTRODUCTION		1
I	The play	3
II	The problem of date and companion plays	II
III	Features of the original production	14
IV	Thebaid myth and Phoenissae	17
V	The Peisander-scholion and Chrysippus	31
VI	The problem of interpolation	39
VII	The text	49
TEXT		53
COMMENTARY		137
APPENDIX: The poetic topography of Thebes		647
ABBREVIATIONS AND BIBLIOGRAPHY		651
INDEXES		66 1



PREFACE

It is over fifteen years since I first decided that I would attempt to write a detailed commentary on *Phoenissae*. In the intervening years there have been detours for study of the textual tradition and then for the Teubner edition, and delays occasioned by other projects, family life, and administrative duties. Now that the project has come to an end, I feel relief mixed with exhaustion, and I am also humbled by the sense that I cannot avoid leaving some questions unanswered, or even unasked, and some insights of fellow scholars unnoticed.

Portions of this work were first drafted as long ago as 1979, a major chunk was completed in 1986, and the commentary itself reached virtual completion at the end of 1991. Many months of 1992 were devoted to a final process of pruning, updating, and adding cross-references as well as to compiling or revising sections of the Introduction. I have in general taken account of bibliography through 1991, although I have been able to make use of, or at least add references to, some later material.

From the beginning it was my goal to produce a commentary on a scale that would allow consideration of a full spectrum of issues, from literary and dramaturgic to stylistic and textual. The literary interpretation of a work like *Phoenissae* is not neatly separable from or posterior to the problem of establishing its text, which for this play includes the difficult attempt to determine the extent of interpolation that the play has suffered. I argue for a relatively conservative position in the interpolation controversy, but hope that I have informed the reader adequately of the main approaches and arguments so that he or she may form a personal judgment or carry on the debate from a secure foundation. Accepting the desirability of not letting an already large book grow even more unwieldy, I have knowingly tolerated some unevenness of



PREFACE

treatment: on some points I give a fuller view of the history of approaches to a problem or quote parallels at length, while on others the comment may be much more condensed and the parallels left for the reader to pursue in other books. Brevity will not, I hope, be taken as a sign of dogmatism or of disrespect for works or views which I have not had room to cite.

I would like to express here my gratitude to the editors of the series for their encouragement and patience. James Diggle, in particular, has been tireless in supplying me with corrections, supplements, and advice of all kinds: only a fraction of these points are actually signalled by the appearance of the initials ID in the commentary. I am all the more grateful for our cordial correspondence because I know that his Phoenissae in volume III of the OCT will differ in many points from mine. I am again indebted to Michael Haslam for sharing with me yet another papyrus scrap of this play. At various points over the years I have had Berkeley graduate students perform some grimly tedious tasks for me (checking collations, compiling conjectures, proof-reading), and I here thank Costas Yialoucas, Nancy Marlowe, James Astorga, and Kate Gilhuly for this help. Earlier phases of my work were supported by fellowships from the American Council of Learned Societies and the John Simon Guggenheim Foundation. From the University of California, Berkeley, I have received assistance with computers and minor expenses, sabbatical leaves, and a Regents Humanities Fellowship, as well as a fine library collection and a wonderful place to work.

Finally, I dedicate this book to my wife Joan: οὐ μὲν γὰρ τοῦ γε κρεῖσσον καὶ ἄρειον . . .

Berkeley, California December 1992 D. J. M.