CONGREGATIONS IN CONFLICT

There are more than 300,000 religious congregations in the United States; these communities have more members and generate more participation than any other set of voluntary organizations in American society. This picture of dynamism belies recent, prevailing scholarship that perceives a decline in traditional religious authority, in Americans' commitment to organized religion, and in religious institutions' level of public engagement. Penny Edgell Becker, in this pioneering comparative study of local congregations, argues that the case for decline – in community, in commitment, in public engagement – does not stand up to closer scrutiny.

Congregations in Conflict: Cultural Models of Local Religious Life examines the nature of American congregations as institutions, looking in particular at how they deal with conflict within their ranks, to gain insight into religious culture, or the moral order of local religious life. In detailed and well documented case studies of conflict in twenty-three congregations - including Protestant churches, Catholic parishes, and Jewish synagogues - Becker examines such factors as organizational processes, the extent and types of ties between church members, their shared understandings about mission and identity, and level of public commitment. From these factors, the author develops four models of local religious cultures, each of which emphasizes different aspects of the mission imperatives that broadly characterize American religion - to reproduce a historic faith, to provide a caring community of believers, and to witness. Contrary to many academic accounts of general trends in American religion, at the local level Becker finds vital "public religion": congregations that provide caring and support for members, service to the local community, and important arenas for moral debate and public activism.

Penny Edgell Becker is Assistant Professor of Sociology at Cornell University. She is co-editor of *Contemporary American Religion: An Ethnographic Reader* (1997), is a contributing author of *Sacred Companies: Organized Aspects of Religion and Religious Aspects of Organization* (1997), and has published in the journals *Religion and American Culture* and *Social Problems*.

CONGREGATIONS IN CONFLICT

Cultural Models of Local Religious Life

PENNY EDGELL BECKER Cornell University



CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 0521594448 - Congregations in Conflict: Cultural Models of Local Religious Life Penny Edgell Becker Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

> > Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521594448

© Penny Edgell Becker 1999

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1999

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-59444-8 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-59444-8 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-59462-2 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-59462-6 paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2005

> To my parents, June and Tom Edgell, and my husband, David Edgell Becker, for all that matters

CONTENTS

| Tables | | ix |
|--------|--|-----|
| Ack | Acknowledgments | |
| Ι. | "Who We Are" and "How We Do Things Here": Local | |
| | Understandings of Mission and Identity | I |
| 2. | The Congregations of Oak Park, River Forest, and Forest Park | 26 |
| 3. | Houses of Worship | 53 |
| 4. | Family Congregations | 77 |
| 5. | Community Congregations | IOI |
| 6. | Leader Congregations | 126 |
| 7. | Mixed Congregations | 149 |
| 8. | An Institutional Approach to Local Culture | 172 |
| 9. | American Congregational Religion | 206 |
| Ap | Appendix A. Data and Methods | |
| Ap | Appendix B. The Interview Questions | |
| Ref | References | |
| Index | | 265 |

TABLES

| The core tasks of the four congregational models | 15 |
|--|---|
| Choosing the congregations | 36 |
| Conflict issues | 38 |
| Congregational models and other congregational characteristics | 48 |
| Conflicts in the six family congregations | 91 |
| Conflicts in the six community congregations | 118 |
| Conflicts in the five leader congregations | 141 |
| Conflicts in the four mixed congregations | 164 |
| Comparing conflict in congregations with different | |
| models (including mixed) | 176 |
| "Bundles" associated with each model | 184 |
| Cultural logics in each congregational model | 196 |
| | Choosing the congregations Conflict issues Congregational models and other congregational characteristics Conflicts in the six family congregations Conflicts in the six community congregations Conflicts in the five leader congregations Conflicts in the four mixed congregations Conflicts in the four mixed congregations Comparing conflict in congregations with different models (including mixed) "Bundles" associated with each model |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Formally acknowledging support and help is one of my favorite scholarly conventions. It belies the romantic image of the lone scholar and reminds us that research is a social process, done in the shaping context of specific communities and networks.

My deepest thanks go to those people in Oak Park, River Forest, and Forest Park, Illinois, who cooperated so generously in this research, sharing their time and their stories with me, an outsider.

This research was supported in part by a dissertation fellowship from the Louisville Institute for the Study of Protestantism in American Culture, funded by the Lilly Endowment. I was able to extend my fieldwork and take part in an enjoyable period of collaborative research while employed as a research assistant for the Congregations in Changing Communities Project, directed by Nancy Ammerman at Emory University and funded by the Lilly Endowment through the Institute for the Study of Economic Culture at Boston University.

The personal and intellectual debts that accrue over several years of work are too numerous to count. I have always felt that personal debts are best repaid in kind, but I do want to thank publicly my husband, David, for all of the practical ways he showed his love and support, like proofreading the manuscript, and all of the less instrumental but equally important ways.

The encouragement of good friends made the long process of research and writing less lonely; thanks to Shoshanah Feher, Nancy Eiesland, Elfriede Wedam, Bonnie Lindstrom, and Anne Mini, all fellow travelers through graduate school and beyond.

Many colleagues took the time to give me helpful feedback on all or part of the manuscript, including Dan Olson, Rhys Williams, Charles Bidwell, Steve Tipton, Fred Kniss, Christian Smith, Heather Haveman,

Acknowledgments

David Strang, Sid Tarrow, Larry Moore, Robert Wuthnow, and Nancy Ammerman. Steve Warner, Elisabeth Clemens, and Kevin Christiano generously took the time to give me detailed comments on the entire manuscript. Ed Laumann reminded me early in the research to think not only about what tears groups apart but also about what brings them together. Grant Blank, Steve Ellingson, Harriet Morgan, Dick Flory, and Pamela Quiroz were all members of a faithful dissertation study group and supportive friends who suffered through many iterations of these ideas, including the most clumsy early ones. My sincere thanks to all of these people for helping me to achieve some critical distance and pushing me to answer inconvenient questions. Presenting at the Culture and Society Workshop at the University of Chicago has greatly enhanced this project and many others.

Some have served in the important role of mentor over the years. First thanks to Bob Wuthnow, who encouraged a love of ideas and research at a critical turning point in my life, providing an important example of scholarly excellence and commitment. To Martin Riesebrodt and Martin Marty, my appreciation for the constructive critique, goodwill, and encouragement that you have brought to all of our interactions. To Nancy Ammerman and Phyllis Moen, my deepest respect and gratitude for everything. Finally and most of all, to Wendy Griswold: My heartfelt thanks for your unfailing integrity, for your support and encouragement through early years of "finding my voice," for your infectious love of ideas, and for your deep commitment to excellence. Working with you was always exciting and challenging.

May I someday be half as gracious and knowledgeable as those who have helped along the way. To them goes the credit for many of the work's strengths; any oversights are mine alone.

> Penny Becker Ithaca, NY November 1998