THE IDEOLOGICAL ORIGINS OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE

The Ideological Origins of the British Empire presents the first comprehensive history of British conceptions of empire for more than half a century. David Armitage traces the emergence of British imperial ideology from the middle of the sixteenth to the middle of the eighteenth century, using a full range of manuscript and printed sources. By linking the histories of England, Scotland and Ireland with the history of the British Empire, he demonstrates the importance of ideology as an essential linking between the processes of state-formation and empire-building. This book sheds new light on major British political thinkers, from Sir Thomas Smith to David Hume, by providing novel accounts of the 'British problem' in the early-modern period, of the relationship between Protestantism and empire, of theories of property, liberty and political economy in imperial perspective, and of the imperial contribution to the emergence of British 'identities' in the Atlantic world.

DAVID ARMITAGE is Associate Professor of History at Columbia University. He is the editor of *Bolingbroke: Political Writings* for Cambridge Texts in the History of Political Thought (1997) and *Theories of Empire 1450–1800* (1998), and co-editor (with Armand Himy and Quentin Skinner) of *Milton and Republicanism* (1995).

IDEAS IN CONTEXT

Edited by QUENTIN SKINNER (General Editor), LORRAINE DASTON, DOROTHY ROSS and JAMES TULLY

The books in this series will discuss the emergence of intellectual traditions and of related new disciplines. The procedures, aims and vocabularies that were generated will be set in the context of the alternatives available within the contemporary frameworks of ideas and institutions. Through detailed studies of the evolution of such traditions, and their modification by different audiences, it is hoped that a new picture will form of the development of ideas in their concrete contexts. By this means, artificial distinctions between the history of philosophy, of the various sciences, of society and politics, and of literature may be seen to dissolve.

The series is published with the support of the Exxon Foundation.

A list of books in the series will be found at the end of the volume.

IDEAS IN CONTEXT 59

THE IDEOLOGICAL ORIGINS OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE

THE IDEOLOGICAL ORIGINS OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE

DAVID ARMITAGE

Columbia University



CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 0521590817 - The Ideological Origins of the British Empire - David Armitage Frontmatter/Prelims More information

> PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, United Kingdom www.cup.ac.uk 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA www.cup.org 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain

> > © David Armitage 2000

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2000

Printed in Great Britain at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeset in Baskerville 11/12.5 pt [VN]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Armitage, David, 1965– The ideological origins of the British Empire / David Armitage. p. cm. – (Ideas in context; 59) Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 0 521 59081 7 (hb) I. Great Britain–Colonies–History. 2. Political science–Great Britain–History. I. Title. II. Series.

JV1011 .A75 2000 325'.341-dc21 99-087438

18BN 0 521 59081 7 hardback 18BN 0 521 78978 8 paperback

> For DH, MRA, BGA and CFLA

Contents

Acknowledgements		page	х
I Introduction: state a	and empire in British history		I
2 The empire of Great Ireland <i>c</i> . 1542–1612	at Britain: England, Scotland and		24
3 Protestantism and e	mpire: Hakluyt, Purchas and property		61
4 The empire of the s	eas, 1576–1689	I	100
5 Liberty and empire]	125
6 The political econo	my of empire	I	146
7 Empire and ideolog	y in the Walpolean era]	170
Bibliography Index			199 230

© Cambridge University Press

Acknowledgements

The origins of this book are tangled and extend back over a decade. I have been very fortunate to receive material and moral assistance for it from many generous institutions. For financial support, I am grateful to the British Academy; the Commonwealth Fund of New York; the John Carter Brown Library; Emmanuel College, Cambridge; and the Columbia University Council on Research and Faculty Development in the Humanities. For providing ideal conditions in which to work on the book and a series of associated projects, I thank particularly the staff and Librarian of the John Carter Brown Library; the Master and Fellows of Emmanuel College; the staff and Director of the Institute for Advanced Studies in the Humanities, Edinburgh University; the staff and Director of the National Humanities Center; and the members of the History Department at Columbia University.

Institutional obligations mask a host of personal debts. During my tenure of a Harkness Fellowship at Princeton University, Sir John Elliott, Peter Lake, John Pocock, David Quint and the late Lawrence Stone offered crucial and lasting inspiration; back in Cambridge, Chris Bayly, Peter Burke, Patrick Collinson, Istvan Hont and Anthony Pagden asked essential and abiding questions; later, John Robertson and Blair Worden examined the doctoral dissertation from which this study sprang. All have since provided indispensable support, for which I am deeply grateful.

As the scope of the study, and of my other work, has expanded over subsequent years, I have particularly appreciated the encouragement and assistance of Richard Bushman, Nicholas Canny, Linda Colley, Martin Dzelzainis, Andrew Fitzmaurice, Lige Gould, Jack Greene, John Headley, Karen Kupperman, Elizabeth Mancke, Peter Marshall, Roger Mason, Karen O'Brien, Jane Ohlmeyer and Jenny Wormald. For vital support and confidence at crucial moments, I owe special debts to David Kastan, Darrin McMahon, Nigel Smith and Dror Wahrman.

Acknowledgements

For their friendship and hospitality, over many years and in many places, I cannot adequately thank Catharine Macleod and Frank Salmon, Jennifer McCullough and Peter McCullough or Melissa Calaresu and Joan Pau Rubiés.

For their comments, I am happy to be able to thank a variety of audiences on both sides of the Atlantic who have heard earlier versions of parts of my argument. I must express particular appreciation to the University Seminars at Columbia University for assistance in the preparation of the manuscript for publication. Material drawn from the book was presented to the University Seminars on Early American History and Culture, Irish History and Culture, the Renaissance, Eighteenth-Century European Culture, and Social and Political Thought. For permission to reproduce and revise material which has appeared elsewhere in print, I am also grateful to the editors of The Historical Journal and The American Historical Review; the Past and Present Society; Cambridge University Press; the University of North Carolina Press; Oxford University Press; and K. G. Saur Verlag. Most of all, I must thank the staff at Cambridge University Press for their patience and care at every stage of writing and publishing this book. Richard Fisher has exemplified these virtues, along with generosity and confidence well beyond the reasonable expectations of any author; my thanks also to Nancy Hynes for her excellent copy-editing and to Auriol Griffith-Jones for compiling the index so efficiently.

It is a special pleasure to be able to acknowledge enduring debts to Nick Henshall, without whose example, I should never have become an historian, and to Ruth Smith, without whose lasting confidence, I could not have remained one; her remarkable vigilance also greatly improved this book at a very late stage. More recently, and no less importantly, David Cannadine has been a model of collegiality, commitment and comradeship.

Finally, my greatest debts are to Quentin Skinner and Joyce Chaplin. Quentin has throughout been a reader, critic and interlocutor without peer; many have had cause to thank him, but few can be as grateful as I. Joyce has seen everything of this book and of its author but has not flinched or faltered; for this faith and love, much thanks.

xi