

The years between 1790 and 1830 saw over a hundred and fifty million people brought under British imperial control, and one of the most momentous outbursts of British literary and artistic production, announcing a new world of social and individual traumas and possibilities. This book traces the emergence of new forms of imperialism and capitalism as part of the culture of modernization in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth century, and looks at the ways in which they were identified with and contested in romanticism. Saree Makdisi argues that this process has to be understood in global terms, beyond the British and European viewpoint, and that developments in India, Africa, and the Arab world (up to and including our own time) enable us to understand more fully the texts and contexts of British romanticism. New and original readings of texts by Wordsworth, Blake, Byron, Shelley, and Scott emerge in the course of this searching analysis of the origins of the cultural process of globalization.



### CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN ROMANTICISM

General editors

PROFESSOR MARILYN BUTLER
University of Oxford

PROFESSOR JAMES CHANDLER
University of Chicago

Editorial board
John Barrell, University of York
Paul Hamilton, University of London
Mary Jacobus, Cornell University
Kenneth Johnston, Indiana University
Alan Liu, University of California, Santa Barbara
Jerome McGann, University of Virginia
David Simpson, University of California, Davis

This series aims to foster the best new work in one of the most challenging fields within English literary studies. From the early 1780s to the early 1830s a formidable array of talented men and women took to literary composition, not just in poetry, which some of them famously transformed, but in many modes of writing. The expansion of publishing created new opportunities for writers, and the political stakes of what they wrote were raised again by what Wordsworth called those "great national events" that were "almost daily taking place": the French Revolution, the Napoleonic and American wars, urbanization, industrialization, religous revival, an expanded empire abroad and the reform movement at home. This was an enormous ambition, even when it pretended otherwise. The relations between science, philosophy, religion and literature were reworked in texts such as Frankenstein and Biographia Literaria; gender relations in A Vindication of the Rights of Women and Don Juan; journalism by Cobbett and Hazlitt; poetic form, content and style by the Lake School and the Cockney School. Outside Shakespeare studies, probably no body of writing has produced such a wealth of response or done so much to shape the responses of those notions of "literature" and of literary history, especially national literary history, on which modern scholarship in English has been founded.

The categories produced by Romanticism have also been challenged by recent historicist arguments. The task of the series is to engage both with a challenging corpus of Romantic writings and with the changing field of criticism they have helped to shape. As with other literary series published by Cambridge, this one will represent the work of both younger and more established scholars, on either side of the Atlantic and elsewhere.

For a complete list of titles published see end of book



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN ROMANTICISM 27

ROMANTIC IMPERIALISM





William Blake, *Michael binding Satan*, c. 1805. The Fogg Art Museum, Cambridge, Massachusetts.



# ROMANTIC IMPERIALISM

Universal Empire and the Culture of Modernity

SAREE MAKDISI

University of Chicago





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 IRP, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, United Kingdom
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Saree Makdisi 1998

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1998

Typeset in Baskerville 11/121 pt [VN]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

#### Makdisi, Saree.

Romantic imperialism: universal empire and the culture of modernity / Saree Makdisi. p. cm.—(Cambridge Studies in Romanticism; 27)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ısвn o 521 58438 8 (hardback) — Isвn o 521 58604—6 (paperback)

1. English literature — 19th century – History and criticism. 2. Imperialism in literature.

3. Great Britain – Colonies – History – 19th century. 4. Great Britain – Colonies – History 18th entury. 5. Literature and society – Great Britain. 6. Modernism (Literature) –

Great Britain. 7. Romanticism – Great Britain. 8. Colonies in literature. I. Title. II. Series.

PR468.149M35 1998

820.9'007-dc21 97-20599 CIP

ISBN 0 521 58438 8 hardback ISBN 0 521 586046 paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2003



For my parents



### **Contents**

Preface and acknowledgments		X1
I	Introduction: Universal Empire	I
2	Home imperial: Wordsworth's London and the spot of time	23
3	Wordsworth and the image of Nature	45
4	Waverley and the cultural politics of dispossession	70
5	Domesticating exoticism: transformations of Britain's Orient, 1785–1835	100
6	Beyond the realm of dreams: Byron, Shelley, and the East	122
7	William Blake and the Universal Empire	154
8	Conclusions	173
Note	Notes	
Bibi	Bibliography	
Inde	Index	



## Preface and acknowledgments

Where today are the Pequot? Where are the Narragansett, the Mohican, the Pokanoket, and many other powerful tribes of our people? They have vanished before the avarice and the oppression of the White Man, as snow before a summer sun.

Tecumseh, in Dee Brown, Bury my Heart at Wounded Knee

All Love is lost Terror succeeds & Hatred instead of Love And stern demands of Right & Duty instead of Liberty. William Blake, *The Four Zoas* 

In the years between 1790 and 1830, over one hundred and fifty million people were brought under British imperial control. During those same years, one of the most momentous outbursts of British literary and artistic production took place in romanticism, announcing the arrival of a whole new age, a new world of social and individual traumas and possibilities. The starting point of the research project that resulted in this book was an intuitive assumption that these events were somehow related to each other; what I realized by the end was that an adequate understanding of either event requires an understanding of both – at one and the same time – if it is not to be seriously flawed.

Romanticism cannot be understood properly without reference to modern imperialism and modern capitalism: perhaps this seems clear enough. Modern imperialism and modern capitalism cannot be properly understood without reference to romanticism: this may not seem quite so clear at all. In this book, I will argue both these points at once. To understand British romanticism as more than merely a random collection of literary texts – as a specific cultural formation – requires us to locate it as an event within the historical map of modern imperialism and modern capitalism. Moreover, to understand modern imperialism and modern capitalism as more than merely socio-economic practices – as cultural processes – requires us to locate those practices on the



xii

Cambridge University Press
0521586046 - Romantic Imperialism: Universal Empire and the Culture of Modernity
Saree Makdisi
Frontmatter
More information

### Preface and acknowledgments

literary-historical map precisely where we have customarily found romanticism. What I want to propose is that the dynamics between these discourses and practices constituted an overall *cultural revolution* called modernization, which is most adequately grasped at the multiple horizons where the economic and the literary, the poetic and the imperial, the social and the philosophical converge.

While the primary reference point of this book will be British romanticism, I believe that modern capitalism and modern imperialism – and modernization itself - must ultimately be understood as global processes, and not (for all their Eurocentrism) as specifically British or European phenomena. Much, therefore, of what I will propose here as an account of British romanticism should help us to understand the transformative processes of imperialism and capitalism in places and times far removed from late eighteenth- and early nineteenth-century Britain. However, one of the central and underlying hypotheses of this book is that those other developments - in India, Africa, the Arab world, and elsewhere, up to and including our own time – should also enable us to understand more fully what was happening in romantic Britain. They should do so not only in that they would force us to broaden our perspective away from a dangerously myopic concern with Britain, or even with Europe, and their literatures, but precisely because modernization has always been, and continues to be, a worldly and a global process, in which the so-called margins and peripheries have always played a central role.

When all is said and done, romanticism will turn out to be not only worldly, but also global, and to have been so all along — marking the beginning of a process that has only in recent years come to be recognized as "globalization." Our contemporary experience of globalization will certainly make more sense to us if we understand it as part of a broader historical process rather than as a freak occurrence or as something marking the inevitable victory of one way of living in the world. It will also make much more sense if we can understand it as a *cultural* process and not merely as a socio-economic development. What I want to contribute in this book is an attempt to map out the origins of that process in the cultural politics of imperial modernization during the romantic period.

This book is burdened (but also supported) by many intellectual and political debts to scholars and writers working in a number of languages and traditions, as well as to teachers and friends from different parts of the world. However, this project occupies a particular position with



### Preface and acknowledgments

xiii

regard to the work of two of these scholars, writers, and teachers – Edward Said and Fredric Jameson – and I want to reflect briefly on this position because its significance has to do with the nature of the questions I have been investigating, and not just with the ways in which I have approached those questions. Jameson's work (which is primarily concerned with capitalism) and Said's work (which is primarily concerned with imperialism) helped to define the political and intellectual forcefield into which I have ventured, and which I hope to have transformed in some way.

Such a transformation, if it has taken place at all, would have to do with more than merely framing Jameson's concerns with Said's project, or framing Said's concerns with Jameson's project. Nor would it have to do simply with combining both projects (which are primarily concerned with the late nineteenth and twentieth centuries), bringing them simultaneously to bear on what might seem to be a fuzzy gray area, or even blind spot, for both, in the late eighteenth and early nineeteenth centuries. Rather, if I can hope that any transformation takes place in these pages, it would not just concern the primary object of inquiry (romanticism), nor even various approaches to it (in romanticist scholarship), but also the political and intellectual forcefield defined by Said and Jameson. My claim here is not just the banal point that scholars of romanticism have much to learn from those who study imperialism and capitalism, and vice versa. It is that there is something unique about the object of inquiry - the event - called romanticism, which should force us to reconsider the ways in which imperialism and capitalism are historically related to each other, as well the ways in which they have been related to each other in and through scholarship.

This book would not have been possible without the support, encouragement, and guidance of many other friends, colleagues, and teachers. Parts of this project were first developed in my PhD dissertation in the Literature Program at Duke University, and the first rounds of writing what would eventually turn into this book were suggested during and after that process. I will always be deeply indebted to my dissertation committee (Professors Kenneth Surin, Barbara Herrnstein Smith, Marjorie Levinson, and especially Robert Gleckner and Fredric Jameson), who helped me to set this project on its feet and to move through its earliest stages; and to my friends at Duke who helped sustain me and my work, many of whom read and re-read chapters and helped me develop my ideas: John Waters, Amanda Berry, Michael Speaks, Jonathan



xiv

### Preface and acknowledgments

Beller, Neferti Tadiar, Loris Mirella, Carolyn Lesjak, Caren Irr, Richard Dienst, Cesare Casarino, and Rebecca Karl.

This book took its final shape during my first years on the faculty at the University of Chicago, and I am deeply indebted to my friends and colleagues in the departments of English and Comparative Literature, particularly Lauren Berlant, Lisa Ruddick, Miriam Hansen, Ken Warren, W. J. T. Mitchell, Françoise Meltzer, Jay Schleusener, Katie Trumpener, Homi Bhabha, Michael Murrin, and Richard Strier. My colleagues Larry Rothfield, Janel Mueller, Elizabeth Helsinger, James Chandler, and Bill Brown not only read and re-read the whole book, but very patiently sorted through drafts of re-drafts of revisions of new drafts, etc., right up to the blessed end; their encouragements, criticisms, and suggestions were always invaluable to me. I am also grateful to Wolfram Schmidgen, Fiona Robertson, Nigel Leask, Ronald Judy, and Michael Hardt for their comments on particular chapters.

Marilyn Butler and James Chandler, the editors of this series, and Josie Dixon, of Cambridge University Press, offered the support that was necessary to sustain this book over the past couple of years. Their stern criticism was as important as their friendly advice (this is true of the mysterious press readers as well, to whom I am also grateful). However, to James Chandler I am especially thankful: he had faith in this project even from its unsteady beginnings, and not only these chapters, but I myself, have benefited greatly from his patience, learning, and wisdom.

To my friends Richard Dienst, Vincenzo Binetti, and Cesare Casarino I owe more than mere words: their support, their understanding, their seemingly infinite tolerance for my endless phone calls and e-mails, as well as their advice, their criticism, and their suggestions, all helped to nourish the intellectual and political project that this book proposes. But it was that shared experience of deep friendship and community that sustained its author; that sustentance I owe to them, and especially to Cesare, the sharer of telephone consultations in the middle of the day and the middle of the night.

It was and is a different – but equally valuable – kind of community in Chicago that sustained and sustains me here: to Heidi, Vincenzo, Bill, Bernadine, Elizabeth, and above all Rashid and especially Mona I owe an altogether different kind of thanks, for the nourishment of mind, body, and soul.

Last but about as far from least as one can go, I wish to thank my uncle Edward, not only because he first marked out the terrain onto which I have adventured, but because all my adventures have taken



### Preface and acknowledgments

XV

place under his watchful eye; my brothers Ussama and Karim, whose undying fraternity goes beyond any possible acknowledgment; Christina, who made the final months of this process not only bearable but valuable beyond measure; and, above all others, my parents, Samir and Jean Makdisi, to whom this book is dedicated, because they had faith and they never lost it, because they never let the war take that faith away from them, because beneath their faith there was always unfailing love.

An earlier version of chapter 4 was published as "Colonial Space and the Colonization of Time in Scott's *Waverley*," in *Studies in Romanticism* (summer 1995); and a slightly different version of chapter 6 was published as "Versions of the East: Byron, Shelley, and the Orient," in Alan Richardson and Sonia Hofkosh, eds., *Romanticism, Race, and Imperial Culture* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1996).