

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

VOLUME 106

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)*Volumes published under the title:***ANNUAL DIGEST AND REPORTS
OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW CASES**

- Vol. 1 (1919-22) Edited by Sir John Fischer Williams, K.C.,
and H. Lauterpacht, LL.D.
- Vol. 2 (1923-24)
- Vol. 3 (1925-26) Edited by Arnold D. McNair, C.B.E., LL.D.,
and H. Lauterpacht, LL.D.
- Vol. 4 (1927-28)
- Vol. 5 (1929-30)
- Vol. 6 (1931-32)
- Vol. 7 (1933-34)
- Vol. 8 (1935-37)
- Vol. 9 (1938-40)
- Vol. 10 (1941-42)
- Vol. 11 (1919-42) Edited by H. Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D., F.B.A.
- Vol. 12 (1943-45)
- Vol. 13 (1946)
- Vol. 14 (1947)
- Vol. 15 (1948)
- Vol. 16 (1949)

*Volumes published under the title:***INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS**

- Vol. 17 (1950)
- Vol. 18 (1951)
- Vol. 19 (1952)
- Vol. 20 (1953) Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D.,
F.B.A.
- Vol. 21 (1954)
- Vol. 22 (1955)
- Vol. 23 (1956)
- Vol. 24 (1957) Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D.,
F.B.A., and E. Lauterpacht
- Vol. 25 (1958-I)
Edited by E. Lauterpacht, Q.C.
- Vol. 26 (1958-II)
- Vols. 27—68 *and* Consolidated Tables and Index to Vols. 1—35 *and* 36—45
Edited by E. Lauterpacht, Q.C.
- Vols. 69—106 *and* Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and
Treaties to Vols. 1—80 *and* Vols. 81—100
Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C.B.E., Q.C.,
and C. J. Greenwood

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

*Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law
University of Cambridge*

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

VOLUME
106

Edited by

E. LAUTERPACHT, CBE QC

Honorary Professor of International Law, University of Cambridge

Bencher of Gray's Inn

C. J. GREENWOOD

Professor of International Law

London School of Economics and Political Science

and

A. G. OPPENHEIMER

Associate Editor: Civil Law Jurisdictions

Fellow of the Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge

GROTIUS PUBLICATIONS



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

©

E. LAUTERPACHT

1997

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

International Standard Book Number: 0 521 58068 4

Printed in Great Britain on acid-free paper by
Gomer Press, Llandysul, Dyfed

Published by the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne, 3166, Australia

First published 1997

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	vii
EDITORIAL NOTE	ix
TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical)	xiii
TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries)	xv
DIGEST (main headings)	xvii
DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 106	xix
TABLE OF TREATIES	xxxix
REPORTS OF CASES	1
INDEX	737

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

PREFACE

The present volume contains a wide range of decisions from international and municipal tribunals. These include the decisions of the International Court of Justice on New Zealand's request regarding French nuclear testing in the Pacific and Cameroon's request for the indication of provisional measures of protection in the case of *Cameroon v. Nigeria*, the ICSID arbitration awards and decisions in *Southern Pacific Properties v. Arab Republic of Egypt and Asian Agricultural Products v. Sri Lanka*, and decisions from the courts of Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands and the United States.

The translation into English of the German decisions has been made possible by a generous grant from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany to enable us to continue with our programme of publishing German jurisprudence on international law. We are most grateful to the Government and to HE Ambassador Dr Tono Eitel and Dr Harmut Hillgenberg, Director-General of Legal Affairs of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, for this grant which has made possible the inclusion in this volume of a number of most important decisions and which will ensure the appearance of many more decisions of the German courts in subsequent volumes.

We are also indebted to the following persons, who contributed to the preparation of this volume in various ways. Mr Andrew Oppenheimer, the Associate Editor for Civil Law Jurisdictions, selected, translated and prepared the decisions from France and Belgium and, with the assistance of Mrs Margaret Borowski, those from Italy. The German decisions were selected and summarized by Mr Oppenheimer. The translation of the *Cruise Missiles (Danger to Life)* case was taken from the *Notre Dame International and Comparative Law Journal*, by kind permission of the Dean of the Notre Dame Law School and of Mr Christopher B. Kuner, who prepared the translation. The remaining decisions were translated by Mrs Irene Snook, Mr Geoffrey Thomas, Ms Sylvia Voss and Mr Andrew Oppenheimer.

Dr Patrick Kinsch provided the text of the Luxembourg decision. The English translation of the Netherlands case was extracted from the *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law* by kind permission of the TMC Asser Institute in The Hague and the Editors of the *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law*. Concise statements entitled "The Facts" appear in the *Netherlands Yearbook* before the text of the relevant part of each judgment and these statements have formed the basis of the summary prepared for this volume.

Ms Donata Rugarabamu contributed a number of summaries. Mr Tony Morgan prepared the summary of the *Asian Agricultural Products*

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

viii

PREFACE

case and Ms Rosemary Rayfuse, Lecturer in Law at the University of New South Wales, the summary of the complex proceedings in the *Southern Pacific Properties* case. HE Miss Maureen MacGlashan, CMG, prepared the Index and Tables of cases and treaties. Ms Rugarabamu and Ms Karen Lee were responsible for seeing the volume through the press. Mrs Diane Ilott, Mrs Marie Pepper and Mrs Rebecca Drew all gave valuable assistance at different stages of the production process. To all the above, we express our deepest gratitude.

In addition, we wish to record with appreciation our indebtedness to the Registrar of the International Court of Justice for allowing us to reproduce the decisions from the *International Court of Justice Reports* and to thank the following publishers who kindly permitted us to reproduce decisions from the series of reports which appear after their names: the West Publishing Company (*Federal Reporter*) and the Cambridge University Press (*ICSID Reports*).

Finally, we owe a debt of gratitude to Mr Robin Pirrie, Miss Finola O'Sullivan, Ms Jayne Matthews and Ms Caro Drake of Cambridge University Press and our printers, the Gomer Press, for their contributions.

E. LAUTERPACHT

LAUTERPACHT RESEARCH CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

C. J. GREENWOOD

LAW DEPARTMENT
LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL SCIENCE

June 1997

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of “political offences” or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, e.g. the International Court of Justice, or *ad hoc* arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of the European Communities). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

Human rights cases. The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as “views” of the United Nations Committee on Human Rights. Selected decisions of the European Commission of Human Rights will be printed, chosen by reference to the importance of the points at issue and their interest to public international lawyers generally. (All reports of decisions of the European Commission of Human Rights are published in an official series, the *Official Collection of Decisions of the European Commission of Human Rights*, as well as in the *European Human*

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

x

EDITORIAL NOTE

Rights Reports). Decisions of national courts on the application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public international lawyers such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

International arbitrations. The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Pieter Sanders, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration)). But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editor is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is no part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

EDITORIAL NOTE

xi

either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

The material in this volume is of two kinds, material reproduced photographically and material which has been freshly set for this volume.

Material photographically reproduced. This consists exclusively of reports originally printed in the English language. The material can usually be recognized by the differences between its type-style and the Baskerville type otherwise used in these *Reports*. The source of the material is identified by the reference to “Report” in square brackets at the end of the case. Where more than one citation is given, the report used is the one first listed. The bold type figures in square brackets in the inner margin of each page refer to the pagination of the original report. The smaller figures in square brackets in the margins of these cases are the indicators of footnotes which have been editorially introduced.

Other material. The remaining material in the volume has been typeset for this volume. This includes all material specially translated into English for these *Reports* as well as some material in English which in its original form was not suitable for photo-reproduction. The source of all such material is indicated by the reference to the “Report” in square brackets at the end of the case. The language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text indicate the pagination of the original report. Small figures in square brackets within the text are indicators of footnotes which have been editorially introduced.

NOTES

Footnotes. Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

Other notes. References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at page xvii.

CONSOLIDATED INDEX AND TABLES

A Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 1-80 was published in two volumes in 1990 and 1991. A further volume containing the Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 81-100 was published in 1996.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word "note" in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

- AAPL *v.* Sri Lanka. *See* Asian Agricultural Products Ltd *v.* Sri Lanka Asian Agricultural Products Ltd *v.* Republic of Sri Lanka 416
 Association Greenpeace France 231
- Cameroon *v.* Nigeria. *See* Case Concerning the Land and Maritime Boundary Between Cameroon and Nigeria (Cameroon *v.* Nigeria) (Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures)
- Case Concerning the Land and Maritime Boundary Between Cameroon and Nigeria (Cameroon *v.* Nigeria) (Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures) 144
- Chemical Weapons Deployment (Danger to Life) Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 624, 1080, 2029/83) 389
- Consarc Corporation and Others *v.* Iraqi Ministry and Others 408
- Cruise Missiles (Danger to Life) Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 1160, 1565 and 1714/83) 353
- Cruise Missiles Deployment (German Approval) Case (Case No 2 BvE 13/83) 364
- GISTI and MRAP 198
- International Military Operations (German Participation) Case (Case Nos 2 BvE 3/92, 5/93, 7/93 and 8/93) 319
- Iraq *v.* Dumez 284
- Kaba-Camara *v.* Fonds National de Solidarité 316
 Kryla *v.* Kappy 226
- Ministre du budget *v.* N'guyen Van Gio 225 (note)
- NATO AWACS Bosnia Case (Interim Measures) (Case No 2 BvQ 11/93) 352 (note)
- New Zealand *v.* France. *See* Request for an Examination of the Situation in Accordance with Paragraph 63 of the Court's Judgment of 20 December 1974 in the *Nuclear Tests* (New Zealand *v.* France) Case
 N'guyen Duy Thong 229
- Prefect of La Gironde *v.* Mahmedi 204
- Rafidain Bank and Iraqi Ministry for Industry *v.* Consarc Corporation 274
Re Hawkins 310
Re Lane (Case No 2266) 305
 Rekhov 222
- Request for an Examination of the Situation in Accordance with Paragraph 63 of the Court's Judgment of 20 December 1974 in the *Nuclear Tests* (New Zealand *v.* France) Case (Order) 1
- SA Avions Marcel Dassault Bréguet Aviation *v.* Association Européenne Droit contre Raison d'Etat 216
- Société Robatel SLPI 192
- Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd *v.* Arab Republic of Egypt (Award) 501
- Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd *v.* Arab Republic of Egypt (Jurisdiction) 501
- Stichting Verbiidt de Kruisraketten (SVK) and Others 400
- Swiss Confederation 256
- Turkish National Extradition Case (Case No 4 ARs 22/86) 298
- United Kingdom and Governor of Hong Kong 233

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xiv

TABLE OF CASES

United Nations Somalia Peace-Keeping Force (Interim Measures) (Case No 2 BvQ 17/93) 352 (note)	Zaire <i>v.</i> D’Hoop and Another 294
--	--

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS
AND TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES)
AND COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

<i>International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunals</i>	<i>International Court of Justice</i>
1985	1995
Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd <i>v.</i> Arab Republic of Egypt (Jurisdiction) 501, 512	Request for an Examination of the Situation in Accordance with Para- graph 63 of the Court's Judgment of 20 December 1974 in the <i>Nuclear Tests</i> (<i>New Zealand v. France</i>) Case (Order) 1
1988	1996
Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd <i>v.</i> Arab Republic of Egypt (Jurisdiction) 501, 531	Case Concerning the Land and Maritime Boundary Between Cameroon and Nigeria (<i>Cameroon v. Nigeria</i>) (Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures) 144
1990	
Asian Agricultural Products Ltd <i>v.</i> Republic of Sri Lanka 416	
1992	
Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd <i>v.</i> Arab Republic of Egypt (Award) 501, 589	

II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

<i>Belgium</i>	1987
1993	Ministre du budget <i>v.</i> N'guyen Van Gio 225 (note)
Rafidain Bank and Iraqi Ministry for Industry <i>v.</i> Consarc Corporation 274	1988
1995	Société Robatel SLPI 192
Iraq <i>v.</i> Dumez 284	1990
Zaire <i>v.</i> D'Hoop and Another 294	SA Avions Marcel Dassault Bréguet Aviation <i>v.</i> Association Européenne Droit contre Raison d'Etat 216, 217
<i>France</i>	1992
1981	GISTI and MRAP 198
Rekhou 222	Prefect of La Gironde <i>v.</i> Mahmedi 204
1984	SA Avions Marcel Dassault Bréguet Aviation <i>v.</i> Association Européenne Droit contre Raison d'Etat 216, 221
Kryla <i>v.</i> Kappy 226	

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xvi

TABLE OF CASES

1993 United Kingdom and Governor of Hong Kong 233	United Nations Somalia Peace-Keeping Force (Interim Measures) (Case No 2 BvQ 17/93) 352 (note)
1994 N'guyen Duy Thong 229 Swiss Confederation 256	1994 International Military Operations (German Participation) Case (Case Nos 2 BvE 3/92, 5/93, 7/93 and 8/93) 319
1995 Association Greenpeace France 231 <i>Germany, Federal Republic of</i>	<i>Italy</i> 1986 <i>Re Lane</i> (Case No 2266) 305
1983 Cruise Missiles (Danger to Life) Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 1160, 1565 and 1714/83) 353	1992 <i>Re Hawkins</i> 310
1984 Cruise Missiles Deployment (German Approval) Case (Case No 2 BvE 13/83) 364	<i>Luxembourg</i> 1994 <i>Kaba-Camara v. Fonds National de Solidarité</i> 316
1987 Chemical Weapons Deployment (Danger to Life) Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 624, 1080, 2029/83) 389 Turkish National Extradition Case (Case No 4 ARs 22/86) 298	<i>The Netherlands</i> 1989 <i>Stichting Verbiedt de Kruisraketten (SVK) and Others</i> 400
1993 NATO AWACS Bosnia Case (Interim Measures) (Case No 2 BvQ 11/93) 352 (note)	<i>United States of America</i> 1994 <i>Consarc Corporation and Others v. Iraqi Ministry and Others</i> 408

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics. For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. xi.)

Air	Jurisdiction
<i>Aliens</i>	Lakes and Landlocked Seas
<i>Arbitration</i>	Nationality
Canals	Recognition
Claims	<i>Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law</i>
Comity	Reprisals and Countermeasures
Conciliation	Rivers
Consular Relations	Sea
<i>Damages</i>	<i>Sources of International Law</i>
<i>Diplomatic Relations</i>	Space
<i>Economics, Trade and Finance</i>	<i>State Immunity</i>
<i>Environment</i>	<i>State Responsibility</i>
<i>Expropriation</i>	<i>State Succession</i>
<i>Extradition</i>	<i>States</i>
Governments	<i>Territory</i>
Human Rights	<i>Treaties</i>
<i>International Court of Justice</i>	<i>War and Armed Conflict</i>
International Criminal Law	
<i>International Organizations</i>	
International Tribunals	

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 106

	<i>Page</i>
Aliens	
Refugees—Treatment—Discrimination—Social security—Right to guaranteed minimum income under municipal law—Conditions—Requirement of ten years' minimum residence—Whether constituting de facto discrimination against refugees lawfully resident in State of refuge—Geneva Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, 1951, Article 23—Luxembourg, Court of Cassation (Civil)	
<i>Kaba-Camara v. Fonds National de Solidarité</i>	316
 Arbitration	
Agreement to arbitrate—State investment law providing for recourse to ICSID jurisdiction in certain circumstances—Whether clause in investment law constitutes consent to arbitrate—ICSID Convention, Article 25—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal	
<i>Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>	501
Applicable law—Joint venture agreement between State and foreign investor entered into pursuant to State's municipal investment law—Whether clause in investment law constitutes consent to ICSID jurisdiction—Absence of specifically agreed choice of law—Law of Contracting State together with applicable principles of international law — ICSID Convention, Article 42(1)—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal	
<i>Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>	501
Jurisdiction—ICSID Convention—Bank guarantee for benefit of joint venture company—Loss of investment—Whether tribunal empowered to interpret scope of ongoing liability—Procedure—Evidence—Burden of proof on claimant—Sri Lanka–United Kingdom Agreement for the Promotion and Protection of Investments, 1980—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal	
<i>Asian Agricultural Products Ltd v. Republic of Sri Lanka</i>	416

	<i>Page</i>
Arbitration (<i>cont.</i>)	
Jurisdiction—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID)—Egyptian investment law providing for recourse to ICSID arbitration — Joint venture agreement entered into between State and foreign investor pursuant to State’s municipal investment law—Joint venture project cancelled by State—Foreign investor not waiving right to continue pursuit of other remedies prior to instigation of ICSID arbitration proceedings—Whether failure to waive other remedies impairs consent to ICSID arbitration—ICSID Convention, Article 26—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal	
<i>Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>	501
Procedure—Stay of proceedings—Power of tribunal to order—Same parties and dispute before other forum—Need to preserve international judicial order and avoid clashes between competing jurisdictions—Findings of fact—Dispute submitted to International Chamber of Commerce arbitration—ICC tribunal rendering award—ICC award annulled on basis that ICC tribunal lacked jurisdiction to hear the dispute — Same dispute subsequently submitted to ICSID arbitration—Whether ICSID tribunal has power to adopt findings of fact made by previous tribunal hearing the same dispute—Costs of arbitration—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal	
<i>Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>	501
 Damages	
For destruction of property—Measure of damages—Valuation of equity—Methods of assessment—Test of reasonable price which a willing investor would offer—Going concern value—Short operating history—Lack of goodwill—No reasonable anticipation of future profits—Interest—Requirement of reasonable rate of interest—Date from which interest payable—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal	
<i>Asian Agricultural Products Ltd v. Republic of Sri Lanka</i>	416
 Diplomatic Relations	
Diplomatic agent—Immunity—Scope—Lease of premises as private residence for diplomat—Failure to disclose diplomatic status—Whether constituting tacit waiver of immunity—Belgium, Civil Court of Brussels (Attachments)	
<i>Zaire v. D’Hoop and Another</i>	294

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxi

Page

Immunity—Property—Embassy bank account—Whether enjoying automatic immunity from execution—Funds allegedly allocated for diplomatic functions—Burden of proof—Whether courts of accrediting State entitled to verify nature of funds deposited by embassy—Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961—Belgium, Civil Court of Brussels (Attachments)

Zaire v. D’Hoop and Another

294

Immunity—Property—Embassy bank account—Whether enjoying automatic immunity from execution—Funds allegedly allocated for diplomatic functions—Burden of proof—Whether courts of receiving State entitled to verify nature of funds deposited by embassy—Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961—Belgium, Civil Court of Brussels (Attachments)

Iraq v. Dumez

284

Economics, Trade and Finance

Bilateral investment treaty—Interpretation—*Renvoi* technique—Most-favoured-nation/national treatment clause—Whether rule of customary international law to pay compensation for breach of due diligence obligation incorporated into treaty—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal

Asian Agricultural Products Ltd v. Republic of Sri Lanka

416

Economic sanctions—Freezing of foreign State’s assets within jurisdiction — Iraqi Government property and interests in property in the United States frozen pursuant to Executive order—Whether funds held in frozen bank account in United States can be used to satisfy judgment debt—Whether court order permitting execution against such judgment violates Executive order—United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit

Consarc Corporation and Others v. Iraqi Ministry and Others

408

Export ban—Sale of nuclear equipment by private company to foreign State entity—Refusal of Executive authorization—Claim for compensation against French State — Whether municipal courts having jurisdiction—France, *Conseil d’Etat*

Société Robatel SLPI

192

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxii

DIGEST OF CASES

	<i>Page</i>
Economics, Trade and Finance (<i>cont.</i>)	
International arms sales—Private company concluding contract for sale of military aircraft to foreign State—Requirement of Executive authorization—Whether municipal courts having jurisdiction to examine legality of such contracts—France, Court of Appeal of Versailles and Court of Cassation (First Civil Chamber)	
<i>SA Avions Marcel Dassault Bréguet Aviation v. Association Européenne Droit contre Raison d'Etat</i>	216
State contracts — Judicial award of damages for breach of contract—Liability of foreign State—State-controlled bank with independent legal personality providing finance for contract—Whether award of damages enforceable against bank—Belgium, Court of Appeal of Brussels (Eighth Chamber)	
<i>Rafidain Bank and Iraqi Ministry for Industry v. Consarc Corporation</i>	274
State contracts—Liability for debts—Enforcement of judgment against foreign State — Belgium, Civil Court of Brussels (Attachments)	
<i>Iraq v. Dumez</i>	274
 Environment	
Marine environment—Alleged radioactive contamination arising from French underground nuclear weapons tests in South Pacific — Request to International Court of Justice by New Zealand to resume proceedings begun in 1973 challenging legality of France's nuclear tests—Court's ruling in 1974 that object of dispute had disappeared because of France's undertaking in 1974 to cease atmospheric tests—Assumption in 1974 that no risk of contamination from underground testing—Whether earlier proceedings and judgment limited to atmospheric nuclear testing or concerning all radioactive contamination caused by whatever means of testing—Developments in scientific knowledge and international environmental law since 1974—Precautionary principle—Environmental impact assessment—Convention for the Protection of the Natural Resources and Environment of the South Pacific Region, 1986—Whether relevant considerations for Court in determining whether New Zealand has established continuity of proceedings—International Court of Justice	
<i>Request for an Examination of the Situation in Accordance with Paragraph 63 of the Court's Judgment of 20 December 1974 in the Nuclear Tests (New Zealand v. France) Case</i>	1

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxiii

Page

Expropriation

Compensation—Requirement of fair compensation—Valuation of expropriated property—Investment in joint venture company—Capital expenditure—Loss of opportunity—Effective date of assessment—Costs—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal

Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd v. Arab Republic of Egypt 501

Taking of property—Joint venture agreement between State and foreign investor for tourist development—Project encountering domestic political opposition due to presence of antiquities on development site—State cancelling project—Exercise of right of eminent domain—Nationalization or confiscation pursuant to municipal law of State requiring fair compensation—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal

Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd v. Arab Republic of Egypt 501

Extradition

Capital offences—Offence carrying death penalty in requesting State but not in requested State—European Convention on Extradition, 1957, Article 11—Requirement for requesting State to give assurance that death penalty will not be carried out—Nature of assurance required—Whether courts or Executive in requested State responsible for determining adequacy of assurance given—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Supreme Court (*BGH*)

Turkish National Extradition Case (Case No. 4 ARs 22/86) 298

Capital offences—Offence carrying death penalty in requesting State but not in requested State—Italy–United States Extradition Treaty, 1983, Article IX—Requirement for requesting State to give assurance that death penalty will not be carried out—Nature of assurance required—Whether courts or Executive in requested State responsible for determining adequacy of assurance given—Italy, Court of Cassation (Criminal)

Re Lane (Case No. 2266) 305

Capital offences—Offence carrying death penalty in requesting State but not in requested State—Italy–United States Extradition Treaty, 1983, Article IX—Requirement for requesting State to give assurance that death penalty will not be imposed or carried

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxiv

DIGEST OF CASES

	<i>Page</i>
Extradition (<i>cont.</i>)	
out—Nature of assurance required—Whether solemn undertaking by United States Government sufficient or whether undertaking from prosecuting authority in State of California also required—Italy, Court of Cassation (Criminal)	
<i>Re Hawkins</i>	310
Duty to extradite—European Convention on Extradition, 1957, Article 1—Extent to which any discretion left to requested State—Withdrawal of extradition decree—Failure by requested State to give reasons for decision—Whether violating Convention where conditions laid down for extradition in Convention fulfilled—France, <i>Conseil d'Etat</i>	
<i>Swiss Confederation</i>	256
Refusal by requested State—Whether subject to judicial review in courts of requested State—Whether refusal constituting an <i>acte de gouvernement</i> —Whether requesting State having locus standi to challenge refusal before French <i>Conseil d'Etat</i> — France, <i>Conseil d'Etat</i> (Assembly)	
<i>United Kingdom and Governor of Hong Kong</i>	233
Refusal by requested State—Withdrawal of extradition decree—Decision based on “superior interests of State”—Whether subject to judicial review in courts of requested State—Whether such withdrawal constituting an <i>acte de gouvernement</i> —France, <i>Conseil d'Etat</i>	
<i>Swiss Confederation</i>	256

International Court of Justice

Jurisdiction — Terminated jurisdiction — Application by New Zealand to resume proceedings in *Nuclear Tests Case* commenced against France in 1973—Provision in Court's Judgment of 1974 permitting return to Court where “basis” of Judgment “affected” —Denunciation by France of General Act for the Pacific Settlement of Disputes following commencement of proceedings in 1973 — Scope of Court's jurisdiction — Whether Court's examination of application limited to scope of earlier proceedings and dispute—International Court of Justice

Request for an Examination of the Situation in Accordance with Paragraph 63 of the Court's Judgment of 20 December 1974 in the Nuclear Tests (New Zealand v. France) Case

1

DIGEST OF CASES

xxv

Page

Procedure—Application by State to reopen earlier proceedings—Paragraph 63 of 1974 Judgment in *Nuclear Tests (New Zealand v. France) Case*—Paragraph 63 permitting New Zealand to request examination of situation if “basis” of 1974 Judgment “affected”—Conduct of underground nuclear weapons tests by France—Whether procedure for access to the Court independent from Articles 60 and 61 of Statute of the Court—Whether within Court’s inherent power to regulate own procedure—Whether basis of 1974 Judgment affected or limited to atmospheric testing—Standard of proof applicable—Request for indication of provisional measures—International Court of Justice

Request for an Examination of the Situation in Accordance with Paragraph 63 of the Court’s Judgment of 20 December 1974 in the Nuclear Tests (New Zealand v. France) Case

1

Provisional measures of protection—Requirement of prima facie basis for jurisdiction on the merits—Whether Application must also be prima facie admissible—Criteria for indicating measures—Risk of irreparable damage to rights—Risk of damage to evidence—Urgency—Hostilities between armed forces of the Parties in disputed border area—Role of the Court—Power to indicate provisional measures *proprio motu*—Article 41 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice—Article 75 of the Rules of Court—International Court of Justice

Case Concerning the Land and Maritime Boundary Between Cameroon and Nigeria (Cameroon v. Nigeria)

144

International Organizations

Definition—Whether such organizations must be endowed with power to enact directly applicable rules—Whether transfer of powers from Member States must be irrevocable—Whether founding treaty must expressly provide for a clearly defined process of integration—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (*BVerfG*)

Cruise Missiles Deployment (German Approval) Case (Case No. 2 BvE 13/83)

364

North Atlantic Treaty Organization—Legal status—Whether constituting an international organization under Article 24(1) of Basic Law of Federal Republic of Germany—North Atlantic Treaty, 1949, Article 5(1)—Effect of provisions preserving certain powers of decision to Member States—Whether Treaty providing for integration of common defence forces—Deployment of

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxvi

DIGEST OF CASES

	<i>Page</i>
International Organizations (<i>cont.</i>)	
medium-range nuclear weapons by Member States—Whether falling within previously agreed framework for integration—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (<i>BVerfG</i>)	
<i>Cruise Missiles Deployment (German Approval) Case (Case No. 2 BvE 13/83)</i>	364
United Nations — Security Council — Enforcement action — Economic sanctions—Iraq—United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit	
<i>Cosarc Corporation and Others v. Iraqi Ministry and Others</i>	408
United Nations—Security Council—International Court of Justice—Maintenance of international peace and security—Indication of provisional measures of protection by Court in situation of armed conflict—Court supporting action by Security Council—Fact-finding mission by Secretary-General—International Court of Justice	
<i>Case Concerning the Land and Maritime Boundary Between Cameroon and Nigeria (Cameroon v. Nigeria)</i>	144
United Nations—Security Council—Powers to maintain international peace and security—Security Council Resolutions—Whether capable of having direct effect in municipal law—Resolution No. 687 (1991)—Imposition of levy on Iraqi petroleum exports to compensate victims of Gulf War—Whether depriving Iraq of general immunity from execution—Belgium, Civil Court of Brussels (Attachments)	
<i>Iraq v. Dumez</i>	284
 Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law	
Effect of breach by State of its requirements of municipal law—State failing to comply with publication and registration requirements of its municipal law relating to joint venture contracts and State decrees—Whether exempting State from liability under international law for failure to perform contractual obligations—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal	
<i>Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>	501

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxvii

Page

Treaties — Whether creating directly applicable rights for individuals — Geneva Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, 1951, Article 23—Luxembourg, Court of Cassation (Civil)

Kaba-Camara v. Fonds National de Solidarité

316

Sources of International Law

Jus cogens—State practice—Requirements for emergence of rule of customary international law—Evidence from treaty practice—Whether any rule of customary international law prohibiting deployment of nuclear weapons for deterrent purposes—Whether any rule of customary international law prohibiting use of nuclear weapons under all circumstances—The Netherlands, Supreme Court

Stichting Verbiedt de Kruisraketten (SVK) and Others

400

Opinio juris—State practice—Requirements for emergence of rule of customary international law—Whether any rule prohibiting deployment of nuclear weapons for deterrent purposes—Basic Law of Federal Republic of Germany, Article 25—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (*BVerfG*)

Cruise Missiles (Danger to Life) Case (Case Nos. 2 BvR 1160, 1565 and 1714/83)

353

State Immunity

Attachment and execution—Bank accounts—Funds allegedly allocated for diplomatic functions—Criteria for determining whether funds immune from attachment—Whether courts of forum entitled to question statements made by accredited State concerning allocation of funds—Belgium, Civil Court of Brussels (Attachments)

Zaire v. D'Hoop and Another

294

Attachment and execution—Bank accounts—Funds allegedly allocated for diplomatic functions—Criteria for determining whether funds immune from attachment—Whether courts of forum entitled to question statements made by sending State concerning allocation of funds—Belgium, Civil Court of Brussels (Attachments)

Iraq v. Dumez

284

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxviii

DIGEST OF CASES

	<i>Page</i>
State Immunity (<i>cont.</i>)	
Attachment and execution—Scope of immunity—Enforcement of exequatur for foreign judgment—Whether foreign State entitled to invoke immunity from execution to oppose application for enforcement—Whether Belgian courts required to examine whether conditions for service complied with in proceedings before French courts—Belgium, Civil Court of Brussels (Attachments)	
<i>Iraq v. Dumez</i>	284
Attachment and execution—Scope of immunity—Enforcement of foreign judgment—Application for exequatur—Whether foreign State entitled to invoke immunity from execution to oppose application—United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976—Whether Belgian courts required to examine whether conditions of Act complied with in proceedings before United States court—Belgium, Court of Appeal of Brussels (Eighth Chamber)	
<i>Rafidain Bank and Iraqi Ministry for Industry v. Consarc Corporation</i>	274
Jurisdictional immunity—Commercial activity—Agreement with private company for construction of buildings—Enforcement of foreign judgment relating to agreement—Whether foreign State entitled to jurisdictional immunity—Belgium, Civil Court of Brussels (Attachments)	
<i>Iraq v. Dumez</i>	284
Jurisdictional immunity—Commercial activity—Agreement with private company for supply of furnaces—Whether commercial—Whether nature or purpose of activity decisive—Whether possible intended use of furnaces for production of nuclear weapons relevant — Whether foreign State entitled to jurisdictional immunity — Belgium, Court of Appeal of Brussels (Eighth Chamber)	
<i>Rafidain Bank and Iraqi Ministry for Industry v. Consarc Corporation</i>	274
State Responsibility	
Acts and omissions of State organs—Export of nuclear materials—Refusal of ministerial authorization resulting in cancellation of contract—Whether State liable to pay compensation to exporter—France, <i>Conseil d'Etat</i>	
<i>Société Robotel SLPI</i>	192

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxix

Page

For acts of officials—State authorities agreeing to and approving joint venture project between State and foreign investor—Foreign investor relying on State officials in entering into project—Allegations that project lay outside scope of municipal laws—Whether failure to comply with municipal law not opposable to investor in international law—Whether State responsible for unauthorized or ultra vires acts of its officials and agents—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal

Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Ltd v. Arab Republic of Egypt 501

Imputability — Insurgents — Operations against insurgents — Destruction of property—Counter-insurgency action by government forces—Lack of conclusive evidence as to party causing damage—Bilateral investment treaty—Obligation to provide “full protection and security” for foreign investment—Whether imposing standard of strict liability—Conduct of armed forces during civil disturbances—Specific treaty provision of due diligence—Whether excluding further application of due diligence under other provisions of treaty—Whether State has a duty to attempt peaceful removal of suspected insurgents from alien property prior to military operations—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal

Asian Agricultural Products Ltd v. Republic of Sri Lanka 416

State Succession

Acquired rights—Algerian accession to independence—Governmental Declaration on Economic and Financial Cooperation of 19 March 1962, Article 15—Whether applicable to pension rights accrued at date of accession to independence—France, *Conseil d’Etat* (Assembly)

Rekhou 222

States

Conduct of foreign relations — Armed forces — Decisions of Government of Federal Republic of Germany on deployment of armed forces within framework of operations undertaken by United Nations, North Atlantic Treaty Organization and Western European Union—Whether participation of German armed forces in such operations compatible with Articles 24(2) and 59(2) of Basic Law of Federal Republic of Germany—Requirement of

	<i>Page</i>
States (<i>cont.</i>)	
legislative consent — Whether such participation covered by previous legislative incorporation of founding treaties of UN, NATO and WEU—Whether every decision on deployment of armed forces for military or peace-keeping purposes requiring specific parliamentary vote of approval as matter of principle—Whether Federal Government entitled to make provisional deployment of forces in urgent situations, subject to parliamentary recall—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (<i>BVerfG</i>)	
<i>International Military Operations (German Participation) Case (Case Nos. 2 BvE 3/92, 5/93, 7/93 and 8/93)</i>	319
Conduct of foreign relations—Contract for sale of weapons by commercial undertaking to foreign State—Whether justiciable in French courts—Contract subject to authorization of French Government—Whether such contract constituting an <i>acte de gouvernement</i> —Whether severable from conduct of foreign relations by French Government—France, Court of Appeal of Versailles and Court of Cassation (First Civil Chamber)	
<i>SA Avions Marcel Dassault Bréguet Aviation v. Association Européenne Droit contre Raison d'Etat</i>	216
Conduct of foreign relations—Decision by French Minister for Foreign Affairs refusing to grant extradition request—Whether open to challenge by requesting State in courts of requested State—Whether refusal of extradition severable from conduct of foreign relations by French Government—France, <i>Conseil d'Etat</i> (Assembly)	
<i>United Kingdom and Governor of Hong Kong</i>	233
Conduct of foreign relations—Decision by French Minister for Foreign Affairs withdrawing extradition decree—Whether open to challenge by requesting State in courts of requested State—Whether refusal of extradition severable from conduct of foreign relations by French Government—France, <i>Conseil d'Etat</i>	
<i>Swiss Confederation</i>	256
Conduct of foreign relations—Deployment of armed forces—Chemical weapons — Consent by Government of Federal Republic of Germany to deployment of United States chemical weapons on German territory within framework of NATO—Whether violating constitutional right to life and health of German citizens under Article 2(2) of Basic Law of Federal	

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-58068-7 - International Law Reports, Volume 106

Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood and A. G. Oppenheimer

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxxi

Page

Republic—Whether risk to life judicially determinable—Whether deployment of such weapons in Federal Republic constituting impermissible transfer of sovereignty contrary to Article 24(1) of Basic Law—Relevance of fact that United States President having final right of decision over use of weapons—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (*BVerfG*)

Chemical Weapons Deployment (Danger to Life) Case (Case Nos. 2 BvR 624, 1080, 2029/83)

389

Conduct of foreign relations—Deployment of armed forces—Nuclear weapons—United States medium-range missiles with nuclear warheads—Declaration by Minister of Foreign Affairs of Federal Republic of Germany consenting to deployment on German territory within framework of NATO — Whether declaration binding under international law — Whether such unilateral international act subject to parliamentary legislative approval under Articles 24(1) and 59(2) of Basic Law of Federal Republic — Whether such deployment covered by previous legislative approval of founding NATO treaties — Whether deployment constituting fundamental change in previously agreed NATO programme for collective defence—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (*BVerfG*)

Cruise Missiles Deployment (German Approval) Case (Case No. 2 BvE 13/83)

364

Conduct of foreign relations—Deployment of armed forces—Nuclear weapons—United States medium-range missiles with nuclear warheads—Declaration of consent by Government of Federal Republic of Germany to deployment on German territory within framework of NATO—Whether such deployment violating constitutional right to life and health of German citizens under Article 2(2) of Basic Law of Federal Republic—Whether such deployment causing increased likelihood of nuclear war—Whether scientific criteria for determining risk ascertainable—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (*BVerfG*)

Cruise Missiles (Danger to Life) Case (Case Nos. 2 BvR 1160, 1565 and 1714/83)

353

Conduct of foreign relations—Deployment of armed forces—Nuclear weapons—United States medium-range missiles with nuclear warheads — Declaration of consent by Netherlands Government to deployment on Dutch territory within framework of NATO—Claim that such deployment violating customary international law and international treaties—Whether justiciable

	<i>Page</i>
States (<i>cont.</i>)	
—Whether deployment constituting danger to life of Dutch citizens violating their fundamental rights—Relevance of arguably increased risk of nuclear accident or nuclear war—Whether such deployment violating European Convention on Human Rights, Articles 2 and 3, or International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Article 6—The Netherlands, Supreme Court	
<i>Stichting Verbiedt de Kruisraketten (SVK) and Others</i>	400
Conduct of foreign relations—Export ban on sale of nuclear equipment by private company to foreign State entity—Whether justiciable by French courts — Whether Executive decision prohibiting export constituting an <i>acte de gouvernement</i> —Whether severable from conduct of foreign relations by French Government—France, <i>Conseil d'Etat</i>	
<i>Société Robatel SLPI</i>	192
Conduct of foreign relations—Foreign and defence policy of Federal Republic of Germany—Whether justiciable—Potential for increased risk of nuclear war caused by deployment of nuclear weapons in Federal Republic—Whether solely a matter for Federal Government to assess—Whether potentially increased risk of war the responsibility of Federal Republic or third State—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (<i>BVerfG</i>)	
<i>Cruise Missiles (Danger to Life) Case (Case Nos. 2 BvR 1160, 1565 and 1714/83)</i>	353
Conduct of foreign relations—Foreign and defence policy of Federal Republic of Germany—Whether subject to judicial review—Stationing of chemical weapons in Federal Republic—Duty of State to protect the lives and health of its citizens—Whether overridden by duty of State to defend itself against foreign military attack—Whether balancing of conflicting public and private interests exclusively a matter for Executive—Limited scope of judicial review—Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (<i>BVerfG</i>)	
<i>Chemical Weapons Deployment (Danger to Life) Case (Case Nos. 2 BvR 624, 1080, 2029/83)</i>	389
Conduct of foreign relations—Ministerial decision during Gulf War ordering de-registration of Iraqi students at French universities—Whether justiciable in French courts—Whether constituting an <i>acte de gouvernement</i> —Whether separable from	