

Cambridge University Press

0521573319 - The Map-Building and Exploration Strategies of a Simple Sonar-Equipped Robot: An Experimental,
Quantitative Evaluation

David Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

**The Map-Building and Exploration Strategies of a
Simple Sonar-Equipped Mobile Robot**

Distinguished Dissertations in Computer Science

Edited by
C.J. van Rijsbergen, University of Glasgow

The Conference of Professors of Computer Science (CPCS), in conjunction with the British Computer Society (BCS), selects annually for publication up to four of the best British PhD dissertations in computer science. The scheme began in 1990. Its aim is to make more visible the significant contribution made by Britain – in particular by students – to computer science, and to provide a model for future students. Dissertations are selected on behalf of CPCS by a panel whose members are:

C.B. Jones, Manchester University (Chairman)
S. Abramsky, Imperial College, London
D.A. Duce, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory
M.E. Dyer, University of Leeds
G. Nudd, University of Warwick
V.J. Rayward-Smith, University of East Anglia
Ian Wand, University of York
M.H. Williams, Heriot-Watt University

Cambridge University Press

0521573319 - The Map-Building and Exploration Strategies of a Simple Sonar-Equipped Robot: An Experimental, Quantitative Evaluation

David Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

THE MAP-BUILDING AND EXPLORATION STRATEGIES OF A SIMPLE SONAR-EQUIPPED ROBOT

An Experimental, Quantitative Evaluation

DAVID LEE

*Department of Engineering Science
University of Oxford*



Cambridge University Press
0521573319 - The Map-Building and Exploration Strategies of a Simple Sonar-Equipped Robot: An Experimental,
Quantitative Evaluation
David Lee
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011-4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Cambridge University Press 1996

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1996
First paperback edition 2003

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0 521 57331 9 hardback
ISBN 0 521 54215 4 paperback

Contents

Preface	xi
1 Question, Context and Method	1
1.1 The Question - What is This Thesis About?	1
1.2 The Context - Why Make Maps?	1
1.3 The Method - How Will the Question be Addressed?	6
1.4 Contributions	9
I Starting Points	11
2 Maps Used in Previous Research	13
2.1 Is an Environment Model Necessary?	14
2.1.1 What is a model?	14
2.1.2 The Significance of State	14
2.1.3 Robustness and Flexibility	16
2.2 Model Types - The Strength Hierarchy	17
2.2.1 Recognisable Locations	18
2.2.2 Topological Maps	19
2.2.3 Metric Topological Maps	20
2.2.4 Full Metric Maps	21
2.3 Biologically-Inspired Models	24
2.4 Conclusions	26
3 The Maps Used in This Research	27
3.1 The Application	27
3.2 The Impact of the Application on the Choice of Map	28
3.2.1 The Robot <i>Does</i> Need a World Model	28
3.2.2 The Robot Needs a Metric Map	29
3.2.3 The Robot Needs a Free-Space Map	29
3.2.4 The Robot Will Use a 2-Dimensional Map	29
3.2.5 The Free-Space Map Will Use a Regular Grid	29

3.2.6	The Grid-Based Map will be Derived from a Feature-Based Map . . .	30
3.3	Probabilistic Grid Maps and Feature Maps	30
3.3.1	Ambiguity About The Use of Probability	30
3.3.2	Premature Use of Probability	31
3.3.3	No Modelling of Data Dependence	33
3.3.4	Premature Loss of Precision	34
3.4	Why Build Maps?	34
4	Approaches to Exploration	37
4.1	The Wall-Following Boom	38
4.2	Go Where It's Interesting	40
4.3	The Approach in this Thesis	45
II	System Components	47
5	The Robot	49
5.1	Hardware	50
5.2	Software	51
5.2.1	Communications Controller	51
5.2.2	Sonar Controller	52
5.2.3	Movement Controller	52
5.2.4	Memory-Mapped Interface	54
5.2.5	Collision Detection	54
6	Modelling the Sonar Sensor	55
6.1	The Polaroid Ultrasonic Sensor	56
6.2	Experimental Evaluation	57
6.3	Proposed Sonar Model	59
6.4	Other Types of Object	61
6.5	Summary	69
7	Map Construction	71
7.1	Detecting Potential Features	72
7.2	Clustering Potential Features	76
7.3	Updating Existing Features	78
7.4	Free Space	79
7.4.1	Unknown Cells	79
7.4.2	Occupied Cells	79
7.4.3	Free Cells	80
7.4.4	Dangerous Cells	82
7.5	Map Construction in Practice	83
7.6	Conclusion	83

<i>Contents</i>	vii
8 Path Planning	87
8.1 The Basic Idea	88
8.2 Implementation	90
8.3 Path Smoothing	93
8.4 Conclusion	94
9 Localisation	97
9.1 An Outline of the Process	98
9.2 The Plant Model	99
9.3 The Measurement Model	100
9.4 Applying the Extended Kalman Filter	102
9.5 Conclusion	103
10 Map Quality Metrics	105
10.1 Requirements of a Metric	106
10.1.1 The Metric Must Be Clearly-Defined	106
10.1.2 The Metric Must Be Multi-Valued	107
10.1.3 The Metric Must Reflect the Purpose of the Map	107
10.1.4 The Metric Must be Applicable <i>During</i> Exploration	107
10.1.5 The Metric Must Balance Coverage and Detail	108
10.2 Do We Need an Omniscient Observer?	108
10.3 Quality Metrics used In Previous Research	109
10.4 The Measure to Be Used in This Work	111
10.4.1 How Are The Test Journeys Selected?	111
10.4.2 How Are The Paths Evaluated?	112
10.4.3 How Are The Results Summarised And Used?	113
10.5 How Good Is This Metric?	114
10.5.1 Does This Metric Satisfy Our Criteria?	114
10.5.2 Why Not Just Measure Free Space?	114
10.5.3 What About False Positives?	116
10.6 Conclusion	117
III Experiments	119
11 Experimental Evaluation	121
11.1 The Choice of Exploration Cost Measure	122
11.2 Tests for Statistical Significance	124
11.2.1 Paired Readings	124
11.2.2 Unpaired Readings	125
12 Wall-Following	127
12.1 Implementation	127

12.2 Experiments	130
12.3 Conclusions	133
13 The Results of Localisation	135
13.1 Setting the Uncertainty Parameters	136
13.2 Experimental Results	138
13.3 Wall-Following in More Cluttered Environments	140
13.3.1 Map Quality and Environmental Complexity	142
13.4 Tuning the Confirmation Threshold	145
13.5 Conclusion	145
14 Supervised Wall-Following	147
14.1 Shortcomings of Wall-Following	147
14.2 Implementation	150
14.2.1 Avoiding Traps	150
14.2.2 Skipping Past Known Objects	151
14.2.3 Avoiding Fruitless Examinations	151
14.2.4 The Algorithm	153
14.3 Experiments	153
14.4 Conclusions	158
15 Can a Human Do Any Better?	159
15.1 Motivation	159
15.2 Procedure	159
15.3 Experiments	160
15.4 Conclusions	162
16 Longest Lines of Sight	163
16.1 Motivation	163
16.2 Implementation	163
16.3 Experiments	164
16.4 Conclusions	171
17 Free Space Boundaries	173
17.1 Motivation	173
17.2 Implementation	173
17.3 Experiments	176
17.4 Conclusions	184
18 Summary of Experimental Results	187
18.1 The Sensor Model	187
18.2 The Feature Map	188
18.3 Exploration Strategies	189

Cambridge University Press

0521573319 - The Map-Building and Exploration Strategies of a Simple Sonar-Equipped Robot: An Experimental, Quantitative Evaluation

David Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

<i>Contents</i>	ix
19 Conclusions	197
19.1 The Ultrasonic Sensor Model	197
19.2 The Feature-Based and Area-Based Maps	198
19.3 Localisation	198
19.4 The Map Quality Metric	198
19.5 Model-Based and Sensor-Based Navigation	199
20 Directions for Further Research	201
20.1 Mixing Planning and Reactive Navigation	201
20.2 An Evolving Exploration Strategy	202
20.3 Different Sensors	202
20.4 Eliminating Ambiguities and Inconsistencies	204
A The Feature-Map Data Structure	205
B Test Rooms	210
C Finding the Best-Fit Line	215
D ARNE's Standard Dialogue	217
Bibliography	219
Index	226

Preface

This book is the product of my PhD research at University College London. I am grateful to the many people and organisations that have made my research both possible and enjoyable. I have benefited greatly from the companionship and support of all of my colleagues in the Computer Science and Anatomy departments during the course of this work. The following paragraphs can only recognise some of the more direct contributions.

Many thanks are due to Michael Recce for his enthusiastic and constructive supervision. Michael has been generous with his time, his ideas, and his lab space. I am also indebted to Michael for his careful reading of draft versions of this document and his valuable suggestions about its style and content.

Jim Donnett has made many much-appreciated contributions to this work, ranging from hardware design and debugging through to a detailed reading of the thesis. Jim's breadth and depth of knowledge have been invaluable, and his friendship and sense of humour have helped me through some trying moments.

I owe a great deal to Clive Parker for the construction of my robot, ARNE. Thanks to Clive's electronic and mechanical skills, a loose collection of components was transformed into an effective research tool.

David Brown of the Statistics Department kindly took the time to advise me about the statistical analysis of my results, despite having been 'volunteered' for the job. His insight and suggestions were most welcome.

John Greenwood contributed greatly to this work by forcing me to set deadlines during my writing-up and then carefully reading the chapters I produced.

Financial support is, of course, always welcome and I would like to thank the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council for their funding during this work.

This thesis is being published as part of the 1995 Distinguished Dissertations Scheme. I am very grateful to all of the judges for making this possible. My thanks are also due to Professor Keith van Rijsbergen, the series editor, and to David Tranah at Cambridge University Press for leading me by the hand through the preparations for publication.

Above all, I thank Melanie for more types of support and encouragement than I could possibly list here. Without her, this work would never have happened.