

THE HOLY BLOOD

King Henry III and the Westminster Blood Relic

NICHOLAS VINCENT



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Nicholas Vincent 2001

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2001

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Baskerville Monotype 11/12.5 pt. *System* L^AT_EX 2_ε [TB]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

Vincent, Nicholas.

The Holy Blood: King Henry III and the Westminster blood relic / Nicholas Vincent.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0 521 57128 6

1. Jesus Christ – Relics – History of doctrines. 2. Henry III, King of England,
1207–1272. 3. England – Church history. 1. Title.

BT465.v56 2001
232.96'6 –dc21 2001 025595

ISBN 0 521 57128 6 hardback

Contents

<i>List of illustrations</i>	<i>page</i> viii
<i>List of maps</i>	x
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xiii
1 Introduction	1
2 The ceremony of 1247	7
3 The patriarch's letters	20
4 The Holy Blood	31
5 The scholastic debate	82
6 Two commentaries: John Hus and William Sudbury	118
7 The rivals: Hailes, Ashridge, Glastonbury	137
8 The indulgences and the reliquary	154
Epilogue	186
Appendix 1: Letters of Robert patriarch of Jerusalem 1247	202
Appendix 2: An account of the restoration to life of William, son of Thomas le Brown	205
Appendix 3: The annals of Hailes for 1267–1270	206
Appendix 4: An account of the Holy Blood of Norwich	209
<i>Bibliography</i>	211
<i>Index</i>	240

Illustrations

1	King Louis IX of France displaying the True Cross and the Crown of Thorns (Parker Library, Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, by permission of the Master and Fellows)	<i>page 8</i>
2	Stone imprinted with Christ's footstep (British Library, London, by permission of the Trustees)	13
3	The Round Church, Cambridge, <i>c.</i> 1150 (Conway Library, Courtauld Institute of Art, London)	26
4	The 'charter of Christ' (British Library, London, by permission of the Trustees)	33
5	The Papal Mass, from A. Rocca, <i>Opera omnia</i> , 1719 (Cambridge University Library)	36
6	The reliquary cross, Reichenau Abbey (© Walter Berschin and Theodor Kuppel, <i>Die Reichenauer Heligblut-Reliquie</i> , 1999, Jan Thorbecke Verlag, Stuttgart)	55
7	The Holy Blood relic (Confraternity of the Holy Blood, Bruges)	73
8	Neuvy-St-Sépulchre, the basilica <i>c.</i> 1080 (Conway Library, Courtauld Institute of Art, London)	76
9	Medical diagram of the veins, <i>c.</i> 1280 (Bodleian Library, Oxford)	96
10	Medical diagram of the arteries, <i>c.</i> 1280 (Bodleian Library, Oxford)	97
11	St-Maximin, retable showing the Crucifixion (attributed to Antonio Ronzen, <i>c.</i> 1520) (photo: James King)	116
12	The castle of Trifels (Bildarchiv Foto Marburg)	142
13	The 'Talisman' of Charlemagne (Conway Library, Courtauld Institute of Art, London)	144
14	The high altar of Westminster Abbey (by permission of the Dean and Chapter of Westminster)	168

- 15 Matthew Paris' drawing of the *vasculum sanguinis*, c. 1250 (British Library MS Royal 14.c.vii fo. 142r, London, by permission of the Trustees) 172
- 16 The seal of the fraternity of Hailes Abbey, c. 1400 (British Library, London, by permission of the Trustees) 173
- 17 Image of the wounded Christ, c. 1450 (Cambridge University Library MS Add. 5944/11) 177
- 18 Bas-relief showing the relic of the Holy Blood, Venice, thirteenth century (photo: Osvaldo Böhm, Fotografo Editore, Venice) 178
- 19 The reliquary of the Holy Blood by Jan Crabbe, 1617 (Confraternity of the Holy Blood, Bruges) 180
- 20 The reliquary of the Holy Blood by Franz Wech, 1746 (© Walter Berschin and Theodor Kuppel, *Die Reichenauer Heligblut-Reliquie*, 1999, Jan Thorbecke Verlag, Stuttgart) 182
- 21 The Fécamp tapestry, c. 1910, showing the deposition from the Cross (© Broderie du Précieux-Sang, Fécamp, Musée des Arts et de l'Enfance) 183
- 22 Lincoln Cathedral, Christ displaying the wound in his right side (Conway Library, Courtauld Institute of Art, London) 184
- 23 The tomb of Henry III, Westminster Abbey (by permission of the Dean and Chapter of Westminster) 195

Maps

1	The distribution of relics of the Holy Blood before 1204	<i>page</i> 52
2	The distribution of relics of the Holy Blood after 1204	68

CHAPTER I

Introduction

In his *Chronica majora*, Matthew Paris supplies us with an eye-witness account of a ceremony conducted by King Henry III at Westminster on Sunday, 13 October 1247, the feast of the translation of the relics of St Edward the Confessor. Falling midway between Henry's birthday on 1 October, and the anniversary of his accession on 28 October, the feast of St Edward's translation had long been celebrated as one of the high-points of the royal year, coinciding conveniently with the Michaelmas sessions of exchequer and the Bench, a busy time for King and courtiers, drawing many hundreds of people to attend at Westminster. The Confessor himself was a saint for whom Henry III felt keen, even fanatical, devotion. The pious, demilitarized Edward of legend served as a model for Henry's own preferred style of kingship. There were more personal resonances too, between the Confessor's early life, deprived of both father and mother, and the insecurities of Henry III's own orphaned childhood.¹ For many years past Henry had lavished money and attention upon the Confessor's shrine and upon the monks of Westminster who served it. In 1228 he had written to the Pope requesting Edward's inclusion in the Roman calendar of saints, and in 1239 he had named his first-born son Edward, in the Confessor's memory.² In 1245, he had set about the demolition of the east end of the Abbey church in order that the whole might be sumptuously rebuilt. As a result, the relics of St Edward had been removed to a temporary site and Henry had taken the opportunity to obtain further support from the Pope, soliciting a papal indulgence that offered a year and forty days' remission of enjoined penance to all who attended the proposed movement of

¹ P. Binski, *Westminster Abbey and the Plantagenets* (New Haven 1995), 3–6, 52–89; P. Binski, 'Reflections on "La Estoire de Seint Aedward le Rei": Hagiography and Kingship in Thirteenth-Century England', *Journal of Medieval History* 16 (1990), 333–50; N. Vincent, 'Isabella of Angoulême: John's Jezebel', *King John: New Interpretations*, ed. S. D. Church (Woodbridge 1999), 215–16.

² Binski, *Westminster Abbey*, 52.

Edward's bones.³ A year later he had established an independent financial office at Westminster for the furtherance of his building projects there.⁴ More recently still, in October 1246, he had decreed that his own body was to rest at Westminster after his death; the clearest sign of devotion that a king could bestow upon any religious house.⁵ At much the same time he had obtained licence for the abbots of Westminster to offer pontifical blessing to the congregation during the celebration of Mass, Matins and Vespers.⁶ Hence, in the autumn of 1247, when he ordered his nobles to assemble at Westminster on 13 October, 'to hear the most agreeable news of a holy benefit recently conferred upon the English', the summons may have been greeted with lively anticipation. Certainly, it was sufficient to draw the chronicler Matthew Paris and at least three of his fellow monks from St Albans to Westminster, possibly at the King's own invitation, to record whatever events might unfold.⁷

³ Westminster Abbey Muniments MS Domesday fo. 386v, letters of Innocent IV, dated 31 July 1245: *Eius licet immeriti . . . idem rex devotionis ardore succensus corpus beati Edwardi regis Anglie gloriosum de loco ad locum honorifice ac sollempniter transferre proponat*, and see also fo. 406r for another papal indulgence, of 26 July 1245, directed to the inhabitants of the dioceses of London, Lincoln and Winchester, offering twenty days' remission of enjoined penance to all contributing to the Abbey's fabric. The best general accounts of Henry's work at the Abbey are those provided by H. M. Colvin in *The History of the King's Works I: The Middle Ages*, ed. R. Allen Brown, H. M. Colvin and A. J. Taylor, 2 vols. (London 1963), I 130–57, and, in much greater detail, by Binski, *Westminster Abbey*.

⁴ *Calendar of Patent Rolls 1232–47* (London 1906), 478, and for the special Westminster exchequer see in general E. F. Jacobs, 'The Reign of Henry III. Some Suggestions', *Transactions of the Royal Historical Society* 4th series 10 (1927), 33–41, esp. 38–9; *King's Works*, I 135–6.

⁵ Westminster Abbey Muniments 6318A, enrolled in *Calendar of Charter Rolls 1226–57* (London 1903), 306. The chancery enrolment survives only in a mutilated version. See also B. Harvey, *Westminster Abbey and its Estates in the Middle Ages* (Oxford 1977), 391, for the King's foundation of chantries in the Abbey in 1245–6 for his father-in-law, Raymond-Berengar count of Provence, and for his mother, Isabella of Angoulême.

⁶ *CM*, IV 589; *Les Registres d'Innocent IV (1243–54)*, ed. E. Berger, 4 vols. (Ecole française de Rome 1884–1921), I no. 1866. The abbots had for many years been allowed to dress themselves in pontificals: *Westminster Abbey Charters 1066–c. 1214*, ed. E. Mason, London Record Society XXV (1988), nos. 173–5.

⁷ For what follows see *CM*, IV 640–5. The gift of the Holy Blood is briefly mentioned in various later chronicles, for the most part derived from Matthew Paris: *De antiquis legibus liber. Chronica maiorum et vicecomitum Londoniarum*, ed. T. Stapleton, Camden Society XXXIV (1846), 13; *Eulogium (historiarum sive temporis) . . . a monacho quodam Malmesburiensi exaratum*, ed. F. S. Haydon, 3 vols., Rolls Series (London 1858–63), III 138 (under the year 1248); *Chronica Johannis de Oxenides*, ed. H. Ellis, Rolls Series (London 1859), 178 (under the year 1247); *Chronicon Henrici Knighton vel Cnitton, monachi Leycestrensis*, ed. J. R. Lumby, 2 vols., Rolls Series (London 1889–95), I 218; *Polychronicon Ranulphi Higden, monachi Cestrensis*, ed. C. Babington and J. R. Lumby, 9 vols., Rolls Series (London 1865–86), VIII 238–9 (misdated 1248); *Flores historiarum*, ed. H. R. Luard, 3 vols., Rolls Series (London 1890), II 343–4, III 241. This last account, although derived from Paris, states that the blood was brought to England by a Hospitaller. In the *Chronica maiora* it is said to have been delivered by a Templar.

According to Matthew Paris, on the day appointed, the King announced that he had come into possession of a most precious relic; a portion of the blood of Jesus Christ, sent to him under the seals of the patriarch of Jerusalem, the masters of the Templars and the Hospitallers and various bishops from the Holy Land. From the time of its arrival in England, the relic is said to have been kept a closely guarded secret, stored at the London church of the Holy Sepulchre.⁸ Having spent the previous night in fasting and prayer, early in the morning of 13 October Henry led a solemn procession from St Paul's Cathedral to Westminster. Dressed in a simple cloak, he carried the crystal vase containing Christ's blood in his own hands, supported by two attendants and walking beneath a pall borne upon four spears. For the two miles of his journey he is said to have kept his gaze fixed upon heaven and the relic he held in his hands. At the bishop of Durham's house in Whitehall, he was met by the monks of Westminster and by a great congregation of bishops, abbots and other prelates. The King then continued on his way, carrying the relic in procession around the church, the palace and the royal apartments of Westminster, before presenting it to the monks and to their patron saints, St Peter and St Edward. Mass was then celebrated and a sermon preached by the bishop of Norwich, who extolled the virtues of the relic, comparing it in flattering terms to the relics of Christ's Passion that had been acquired a few years earlier by the French King Louis IX. The bishop announced that indulgences totalling six years, one hundred and sixteen days had been granted to all who should come in future to venerate the Holy Blood. After this, crowned and dressed in cloth of gold, the King bestowed knighthood upon his half-brother, William de Valence, and upon a number of William's associates. Matthew Paris tells us that he himself was then summoned to the throne and questioned by the King on what he had seen. The King commanded Matthew 'to write a plain and full account of all these events, and indelibly to insert them in writing in a book, that the recollection of them may be in no way lost to posterity'. The chronicler and three of his companions were invited to dine with the King, whilst a splendid feast was arranged in the monks' refectory for the whole convent of Westminster.

⁸ The secret storage of the relic is not mentioned by Paris, but appears in the London chronicle printed in *Chronicles of the Reigns of Edward I and Edward II*, ed. W. Stubbs, 2 vols., Rolls Series (London 1882–3), I 44 *sub anno* 1246: *ante festum sancti Michaelis venit sanguis domini nostri Iesu Christi Londonias et occulte depositus in hospitali Sancti Sepulchri*. For the reference here to a hospital of St Sepulchre, see below p. 28.

Not surprisingly these events have found a place in most accounts of the reign of Henry III. The procession from St Paul's to Westminster was later depicted by Matthew Paris in one of his better known drawings, whilst his interview with the King has been justly regarded as an indication of the close relations that bound the chronicler to the court.⁹ However, to date no attempt has been made to investigate the background to the gift of the Holy Blood to Westminster. Historians have been content to recite Paris' description, without searching for further evidence.¹⁰ As will become apparent, the archives of Westminster Abbey yield important new material relating to the affair, including a letter from the patriarch of Jerusalem that describes the relic of the Holy Blood in some considerable detail. The present study is intended both as a commentary upon this rediscovered letter, and as an attempt to provide an overview of the history of relics of Christ's blood, their origin, distribution and place in popular devotion, essential if we are to understand the particular blend of scepticism and reverence with which the Holy Blood of Westminster was regarded from the moment of its arrival in England. Remarkable as it may seem, there exists no comprehensive account of the history of such relics, a fact that is all the more extraordinary given the attention that historians have lavished upon relics in general, and in particular upon Corpus Christi, the more solid counterpart to relics of the Holy Blood.¹¹

The present study begins with the immediate circumstances behind the gift of the blood to Westminster. This in turn will carry us on to the letter from the patriarch of Jerusalem and an attempt to explain its rather peculiar contents by reference to the wider relations between England and the church of Jerusalem. Thereafter we shall turn back to investigate the history of blood relics prior to 1247, posing one question above all others: why was it so difficult for the Westminster relic to find acceptance as a genuine relic of Christ's blood? This question requires an answer, since, as we shall see, the Holy Blood of Westminster, unlike similar relics elsewhere in Europe, was not destined to serve as the object of

⁹ The drawing is variously reproduced by S. Lewis, *The Art of Matthew Paris in the Chronica Majora* (Aldershot 1987), plate 10 between pp. 290–1; M. E. Roberts, 'The Relic of the Holy Blood and the Iconography of the Thirteenth-Century North Transept Portal of Westminster Abbey', *England in the Thirteenth Century: Proceedings of the 1984 Harlaxton Symposium*, ed. W. M. Ormrod (Harlaxton 1985), figure 8; *The Illustrated Chronicles of Matthew Paris: Observations of Thirteenth-Century Life*, ed. R. Vaughan (Stroud 1993), 38.

¹⁰ The one honourable exception being Harvey, *Westminster Abbey and its Estates*, 44 n. 3, who notes the existence of letters from the patriarch of Jerusalem, treated in greater detail below.

¹¹ For the few general accounts of blood relics which I have been able to trace, see below pp. 51–3 n. 76. For works on related topics, see M. Rubin, *Corpus Christi* (Cambridge 1990).

any popular cult or devotion. In seeking to explain this failure, we shall look to the schools and to scholastic opinion, specifically with regard to the relic of Westminster and more generally in respect to the wider problems associated with all relics of Christ's bodily presence on earth. Finally we shall consider the aftermath of the events of 1247, the grant of indulgences, the pictorial representation of Henry III's relic and the cult that developed, or rather that *failed* to develop, around the blood of Westminster in the later Middle Ages. To appreciate the full extent of this failure, we shall compare the Westminster relic with its rivals elsewhere, and in particular with the cult of the Holy Blood at Hailes. Here too, new evidence will be brought to light, suggesting that the blood of Hailes enjoyed a more respectable pedigree than the Westminster blood, sufficient, perhaps, to explain its greater attractiveness to pilgrims.

In what follows, I have been able to do no more than touch upon some of the more important themes associated with relics of Christ's blood. My enquiry will not please all readers. To certain critics, it will no doubt appear hopelessly 'old-fashioned'. In particular, I have made little or no attempt here to incorporate the findings or to adopt the methods of many of those historians now engaged in the study of the medieval 'body'. The best of such studies are excellent: none better than those of Caroline Walker Bynum, cited frequently below. For the rest, however, I find myself unimpressed by the mixture of crude Freud and over-ripened Derrida that too often passes for 'body history'. The authors of such studies too often appear to be as ignorant of the learned languages of the Middle Ages as they are incapable of coherent expression in the modern vernacular. 'Misdirected' is perhaps the politest term that can be applied to much of this sort of writing. The pursuit of 'mentalities' is a valuable scholarly exercise. Indeed, much of what follows can be read as a study in the medieval mentality – political, religious, cultural and otherwise. I have held back, however, from what I regard as some of the wilder attempts by historians to superimpose modern terminology upon the thought-processes of the past. Such themes as sacrality, 'the holy' and the interplay of scientific and theological belief-systems will be found here in abundance. I plead guilty, however, to a charge of attempting to rob such themes of much of the numinous aura with which they have on occasion been invested.

The students of another variety of 'misdirected' research will likewise be disappointed by my findings. No one who ventures upon the study of relics, and in particular the relics of Christ, can avoid an encounter with the world of esoteric publishing. There are any number of studies,

populist or arcane as the case may be, devoted to such themes as masonic blood sacrifice, or the Druidic mysteries of the Grail. In pursuing the story of the Holy Blood, I have met with more than my fair share of such stuff. Its authors – worthy men and women no doubt – can claim at least one distinction from the gnostics of academe. For the most part they write in pursuit of some private obsession, or merely to put money in their pockets. The gnosis to which they lay claim is of a different, and for the most part more innocent, order to that claimed by tenured university scholars. The writers of esoteria, through personal psychosis or healthy commercialism, are preconditioned to detect conspiracy behind even the most innocent of facts. Academics, by contrast, have no excuse for burdening their readers with that which is sloppy or fraudulent. Those in search of ‘body’ language, or esoteric enlightenment, should look elsewhere. I make no claim here to have discovered the whereabouts of the Holy Grail, or to have unearthed the treasure of the Templars, and I leave it to others to apply ‘bodily’ or ‘mental’ spin to my findings. By drawing attention to a rich new vein of source material I hope none the less, that my enquiry may encourage, rather than pre-empt, further research into a fascinating and altogether remarkable aspect of medieval spirituality.