

Index

- Advisory Committee of Jurists 1920,
 position on the question of the
 precedential authority of decisions of
 the Court, 48 ff., 76–77
- Advisory opinions: whether ‘judicial
 decisions’, 165; precedential authority
 of, 167 ff.
- Analogy, basic principle of a system of
 precedent, 120
- Arbitral awards, whether ‘judicial
 decisions’, precedential value of, 15,
 35, 44
- Arguments, importance of, 124, 136
- Case law of Court
 codification of international law,
 incorporation of Court’s case law, 209
 comparison with other legal systems, 1,
 240–241
 litigation strategy of parties, can be
 inspired by case law of Court, 213
 State practice, can be influenced by case
 law of Court, 209
- Casting vote of President, 142
- Central American Court of Justice,
 decisions of, 39
- Chamber: decisions of chamber cited by
 full Court, 173; differences between
 holdings of chamber and holdings of
 full Court, 147, 173; precedential
 authority of decisions of *ad hoc*
 chambers, 175; relationship between
 chamber and full Court, 171–172;
 generally, 171 ff.
- Chose jugée*, 143
- Common law courts of last resort:
 generally, 240–244; power to depart
 from previous decisions, 3, 10, 239
- Conseil d’Etat, 10
- Counsel, duty to cite adverse authority,
 140
- Customary international law, effect of
 precedent on, 69 ff.
- Departure
 argument, absence of, effect, 136
 composition of bench, changes in,
 possible effects, 145
 caution in departing, necessity for, 131
 departure *sub silentio*, undesirability of,
 130
 extent to which power to depart is
 exercisable, 134 ff.; in procedural
 cases, 135; in cases of a constitutional
 nature, 135
 generally, 3, 10, 128
 judicial policy of not impairing authority
 of previous holdings, preference for
 distinguishing over departing, 129,
 131 ff., 149, 239
 overrule, power to, whether included in
 power to depart, 128–129, 147–148
 possible cases of departure, 149
 power to depart, existence of, 128
 the standing of a particular bench,
 relevance, 141
 unanimity, significance, if any, 142
- Distinguishing
 case law is not statute law, 122
 contextual differences do not always
 ground a distinction, 119
 generally, 110
 limits to utility of distinguishing, 125
 the case may not have established the
 principle for which it is cited, 112
 the character of the Court may have
 changed, 116–117

Index

- the legal or factual framework may be significantly different, 117
 later legal developments may have qualified the principle of the cited case, 114
- European Court of Human Rights, 39
 European Court of Justice, 240
- False consensus in holdings of the Court, possibility of, 144, 180
- House of Lords, 3, 7, 106, 149, 239–240
- Individual opinions, 177 ff.
 collegiate aspect of individual opinions, 195 ff., 200 ff.
 Court's view of role of individual opinions, 200 ff.
 criticism of judgment in individual opinions, 181
 drafting committee, member of, separate opinion by, 190
 false consensus, holdings resting on, 144, 180
 generally, 177
 joint dissenting opinion, permissibility of, 185–186
 justification of system of individual opinions, 178–179
 legal cultures of Members of Court, relationship to individual opinions, 203 ff.
 limits to right to express variant views, 188–189
 majority view, dissent may not be opposed to substance of, 186 ff.
 prolixity, 193
 reading of individual opinions from bench, earlier practice, 198–199
 utility of individual opinions, 191 ff.
- International Court of Justice
 authority, jurisprudential, practical recognition of, 8–9, 93 ff.
 bench, changes in composition of, possible effects, 145
 character of, may change over time, 116–117
 civilisations of the world, representation of, 57, 175, 207–208
 collegiate character of Court, 195 ff., 200 ff.
 court of justice, essential character of the World Court, 3–6
 developmental function of Court: scope of, 221 ff.; liberal view of, 224 ff.; Court is not a legislature, 229 ff.
- independence of, 4–5
 juridical basis of, 3–6
 legal cultures of Members of Court, 203 ff.
 organ of international law, Court is, 83 ff.
 other international tribunals, comparison with, 67, 92 ff.
 public hearings, 34
 reality of a situation, duty of Court to consider, 5
 recourse to, another form of friendly settlement but can exacerbate relations, 14, 230
 representative character of, 57, 175, 207–208
 scientific institute, Court is not, 223
 United Nations, relationship to, 117
- International law
 Article 38, para. 1 (*d*), of the Court's Statute, whether exhaustive of international law, 80–81
 continuity of jurisprudence of PCIJ and that of ICJ, 22–23
 customary international law, 69 ff.
 development and creation of, 54, 57, 67 ff.
 development outside of courts, 15, 44
 duty of Court to decide in accordance with international law, 73–76, 80
 major Powers, traditional leading role in development of international law, 57–58
 non-international law, power of Court to decide in accordance with, 74
 sources of international law, 69
- International Law Commission, Article 24 of Statute of, effect on question of law-making competence of Court, 71
- International tribunals other than the Court, difficulty of attributing law-making competence to any particular one, 67, 92 ff.
- intervention, right of, 55 ff., 61 ff.
- Judge-made law
 consolidation of developing law, 11
 development and creation of law, 54, 67 ff.
 developmental function of Court, scope of, 221 ff.
 future course of international law-making, Court cannot anticipate, 75
 generally, 3, 49–50, 53, 55 ff., 64–5, 67, 76 ff., 84 ff., 105, 148, 232, 238

Index

- Judge-made law (*cont.*)
 judicial self-restraint, 215 ff.
 legislature, Court is not, 49, 84–85, 93, 229–230
 over-crystallisation of law, danger of, 220, 228–229
 possible instances of judge-made international law, 90
 principles and rules, distinction between, 228
 scientific institute, Court is not, 223
 tribunals of international law, several, possibility of conflicting holdings, 67, 92
- Judges: different legal cultures, 203; conservative or progressive, 146
- Judgment: preparation of, collegiate character, 196; formal and substantive authority of, 179
- Judicial self-restraint, 215
- Jura novit curia*, meaning, 137–138
- League of Nations, position on the question of precedent, 55 ff.
- Legal systems, major ones, comparison with, 1, 240–241
- Litigation: another form of friendly settlement, 11; but can exacerbate relations, 14, 230
- Majority decision: whether precedentially inferior to unanimous decision, 144; may conceal false consensus on a particular point, 144, 180
- Motifs* and *dispositif*, relationship between, 161 ff.
- National courts, decisions of, precedential authority, 14, 38
- Obiter dictum*: in the case of the Court, 152 ff., 238; in the case of individual opinions, 160; precedential authority of, 157 ff., 238; generally, 3, 152 ff., 238
- Permanent Court of International Justice: establishment of, 48 ff., 55 ff.; relationship with League of Nations, 117; continuity of jurisprudence of, 22 ff.; European audience, 207
- Pleadings: relevance to use of precedent, 35, 125; publication of, 34; omission of irrelevant parts of pleadings from published version, 34
- Precedent
 abbreviation of references to precedent, tendency, 11–12
 academic legal opinion, differences with judicial opinion, 42–43
 Advisory Committee of Jurists, position as regards possible precedential authority of Court's decisions, 48 ff., 77
 arbitral decisions, precedential status and use, 35 ff., 44
 Article 38, para. 1 (*d*), of the Statute of the Court, drafting and interpretation, 47, 52–53, 76 ff., 100
 Article 59 of the Statute of the Court, drafting and interpretation, 56 ff., 63 ff., 99 ff.
 authoritative character of Court's holdings, 2–3, 12, 238 ff.
 bases of Court's system of precedents, 40 ff.; general jurisprudential basis, 3–7, 40 ff., 235–236; specific statutory basis, 47; suggested United Nations basis, 40
 comparison with other systems, 1–2, 240–241; common law systems, 9–10, 238; Continental systems, 9–10, 240
 conditions for development of a system of precedent, 8–9
 departure, power of, 3, 10, 128
 different ways in which a system of precedents operates, 9–10
 distinguishing, 110 ff.
 growth of the Court's system of precedents, 1, 13 ff.
 holding rejected by majority, 144, 180
 ICJ, experience in use of case law, 23 ff., 26 ff.
 importance attached by Court to its case law, 29 ff.
 jurisprudence compared with precedent, 2, 10–11, 53–54, 236–237
 League of Nations and the question of precedent, 55 ff., 77
 national courts, more elaborate rules of precedent may be required, 7, 237
obiter dictum, 3, 152 ff., 238
 paucity of decisions of World Court, highlights value, 14, 235
 PCIJ, experience in use of case law, 16 ff.
 permanence of World Court, precedential importance of, 45, 53
 precedent, use of term 2, 237
 precedential continuity between PCIJ and ICJ, 22 ff.
 publication of decisions: importance to precedential development, 14, 20, 32 ff., headnotes, 33

Index

- range of precedential resources,
 32 ff.
- rules of precedent, non-formalisation by
 World Court, 7, 237
- stare decisis*, inapplicability of, 12, 54, 66,
 97 ff., 116, 238
- substantive and procedural law,
 precedent applies in relation to both,
 18, 72
- various ways in which Court uses its
 case law, 28
- Privy Council, 7, 106, 231
- Publicists, opinions of, precedential
 authority, 42–43, 80
- Ratio decidendi*: *see generally* under *obiter
 dictum*; dictum in judgment in
 response to individual opinion,
 whether *ratio decidendi* or *obiter dictum*,
 155–156
- Res judicata*, distinguished from
 precedential authority, 30, 168
- Stare decisis*: Anglo-American opposition to,
 66–67; development in English law
 106 ff.; generally 2, 97 ff., 106, 238
- Supreme Court of India, 146, 149; of
 Ireland, 149; of the United States,
 106, 146, 149