

River mechanics

PIERRE Y. JULIEN



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain

Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Cambridge University Press 2002

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2002

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Times Roman 10/13 pt. *System* L^AT_EX 2_ε [TB]

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Julien, Pierre Y.

River mechanics / Pierre Y. Julien.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-521-56284-8 (pc.)

1. River engineering. I. Title.

TC405 .J85 2002

627'.12-dc21

2001035678

ISBN 0 521 56284 8 hardback

ISBN 0 521 52970 0 paperback

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> xi
<i>Notation</i>	xiii
1 Introduction to river mechanics	1
2 Physical properties and equations	9
2.1. Dimensions and units	9
2.2. Properties of water	10
2.3. Properties of sediment	13
2.4. River flow kinematics	22
2.5. Conservation of mass	24
2.6. Equations of motion	25
2.7. Hydraulic and energy grade lines	27
3 River basins	31
3.1. River-basin characteristics	31
3.2. Rainfall precipitation	35
3.3. Interception and infiltration	46
3.4. Excess rainfall	51
3.5. Surface runoff	53
3.6. Upland-erosion losses	63
3.7. Sediment source and yield	72
4 Steady flow in rivers	79
4.1. Steady river flow	79
4.2. Steady-nonuniform river flow	97
4.3. Sediment transport in rivers	111
5 Unsteady flow in rivers	122
5.1. River continuity equation	122
5.2. River momentum equations	126
5.3. River floodwaves	130

5.4.	Loop-rating curves	136
5.5.	River flood routing	139
5.6.	River flow and sediment-duration curves	142
6	River equilibrium	158
6.1.	Particle stability	158
6.2.	Channel stability	163
6.3.	Regime relationships	165
6.4.	Equilibrium in river bends	166
6.5.	Downstream hydraulic geometry	170
6.6.	Bars in alluvial rivers	177
6.7.	River meandering	179
6.8.	Lateral river migration	184
7	River dynamics	199
7.1.	River dynamics	199
7.2.	Riverbed degradation	204
7.3.	Riverbed aggradation	214
7.4.	River confluences and branches	221
7.5.	River databases	226
8	River stabilization	234
8.1.	Riverbank stability	234
8.2.	Riverbank riprap revetment	238
8.3.	Riverbank protection	253
8.4.	River flow-control structures	267
8.5.	Riverbank engineering	282
9	River engineering	286
9.1.	River flood control	286
9.2.	River closure	297
9.3.	Canal headworks	306
9.4.	Bridge scour	310
9.5.	Navigation waterways	316
9.6.	Dredging	325
10	Physical river models	334
10.1.	Hydraulic similitude	334
10.2.	Rigid-bed model	337
10.3.	Mobile-bed river models	342

11 Mathematical river models	352
11.1. Finite-difference approximations	353
11.2. One-dimensional river models	365
11.3. Multidimensional river models	376
12 Waves and tides in river estuaries	385
12.1. Surface waves	385
12.2. Tides in river estuaries	396
12.3. Saline wedges in river estuaries	401
<i>Bibliography</i>	409
<i>Index</i>	427

1

Introduction to river mechanics

It has long been understood that water flows downhill. This maybe the only statement to be remembered until a river dries out and crops wilt. Droughts unfortunately threaten humanity with the constraint that, without water, life cannot be sustained. On the other hand, the devastating consequences of excess water through floods stem from the fact that humanity, crops, and cattle are not well adapted to submerged life. Although nomadic tribes coped with the continuously changing pulses of fluvial systems, sedentary conditions forced humanity to protect against floods and droughts. In arid lands, perennial streams with regulated flow and a year-round supply of water are so much more valuable to humanity and wildlife than are natural sequences of short floods that succeed long droughts in dry ephemeral streams. River engineers are facing the daunting challenge of optimizing the urban and environmental resources pertaining to rivers while minimizing the damages caused by floods and droughts.

Perhaps the origin of river engineering started with Yu (4000 B.C.) who was selected to be emperor of China on the premise of long-lasting dikes for the protection of fertile Chinese plains against floods. For centuries, Chinese emperors were classified into “good dynasties” or “bad dynasties” depending on whether or not they succeeded in their struggle to harness large rivers. At approximately the same time, in Mesopotamia, an extensive irrigation system was developed between the Tigris and the Euphrates Rivers. Flood-control levees were constructed to protect fertile lands from destructive inundations. In these early periods of civilization, humanity’s cultural development was dominated by fear of thunder, lightning, rain, floods, storms, cyclones, and earthquakes. The lack of understanding of cause-and-effect relationships to explain natural phenomena such as floods has characterized earlier civilizations. Nonetheless, humanity was compelled to develop hydraulic engineering and tame rivers in order to prevent famine and to survive. Today, several hydraulic structures from past civilizations serve as landmarks of excellence.

Natural philosophy emerged during Greek antiquity. Thales of Miletus (circa 600 B.C.) explored natural laws through philosophical meditation in replacing

mythological traditions with logical thoughts and reflections based on observations of nature. Basic principles underlying natural processes were deduced by rational approaches, including reflection and speculation. Hypotheses and assumptions were formulated by natural philosophers. For instance, Thales believed that “water was the origin of all things” and “the earth rested on water.” Plato (428–348 B.C.) speculated on matters of physics and metaphysics alike, without interest in possible discrepancies between theory and reality. Democritus of Thrace (465 B.C.) believed everything to be inherently mechanical in nature and admitted nothing fortuitous or providential.

In opposition to Plato’s speculative ideas, the philosophy of Aristotle (384–322 B.C.) contemplated nature through facts, and his writings on logic, physics, biology, metaphysics, and ethics promote continuous advances and evolution of knowledge in each field. He recognized two types of action: a motivation, to which the speed of movement is directly proportional, and a resistance, to which motion is inversely proportional. He also believed that the motivation was proportional to the density of the body and that the resistance was proportional to the density of the medium through which it moved. These statements essentially describe the concepts of linear momentum and resistance to motion. Archimedes (287–212 B.C.) was the greatest mathematician of antiquity, with chief interest in geometry, centers of gravity, hydrostatics, a theory of floating bodies, and anticipated foundations for differential and integral calculus.

Another important milestone, achieved by Hippocrates of Cos (460–380? B.C.), is worth mention. He proved the existence of evaporation by weighing a vessel filled with water over a long period of time. The most splendid achievements are twofold: (1) The concept of studying nature through experiments was born; and (2) the concept that quantitative information could be gathered from measurements was developed. It took nearly 2,000 years after Hippocrates before experiments and measurements supplanted speculations and hypotheses. The real treasures of natural philosophy found between 600 and 300 B.C. were highly reputed, but they had few practical effects, and society could hardly benefit from this new knowledge. Humanity had to wait until the Renaissance, circa 1500 A.D., to appreciate the long-lasting values of the Greek civilization.

As much as Greeks were interested in pure rational knowledge, Romans were the true pragmatic engineers of antiquity. Marcus Vitruvius Pollio (first century B.C.) and Sextus Julius Frontinus (40–103 A.D.) were concerned with the construction of the aqueducts that supplied water to Rome. There is still debate as to whether water conduits had been calculated, but colossal aqueduct and water-distribution systems were designed on the basis of only experience and rough estimates. It is intriguing that the simple concept of conservation of mass was not understood at the time of the design. Frontinus could measure

flow depth, and he keenly observed that a steeper slope results in higher flow velocity. However, he incorrectly considered that the discharge corresponds to the cross section given by the measured canal width and flow depth. He observed that velocity increases the discharge, but he could not recognize the quantitative proportionality between velocity and discharge. Correct understanding of the relationship between discharge Q , cross-sectional area A , and velocity V in terms of $Q = AV$ is due to Hero of Alexandria (first century A.D.).

Almost 1,500 years elapsed until the discharge relationship was correctly rediscovered by Leonardo da Vinci (1452–1519) and Benedetto Castelli (1577–1644?). The Renaissance period marks the rebirth of civilization after the Middle Ages. The development of printing contributed to rapid dissemination of knowledge. Leonardo da Vinci understood the principles of experimental science and advocated the necessity of observation: “I will treat of such a subject. But first of all I shall make a few experiments and then demonstrate why bodies are forced to act in this manner. This is the method that one has to pursue in the investigation of phenomena of nature. It is true that nature begins by reasoning and ends by experience; but, nevertheless, we must take the opposite route: as I have said, we must begin with experiment and try through it to discover the reason.”

Flow kinematics became better understood under Benedetto Castelli, who wrote “Sections of the same river discharge equal quantities of water in equal times, even if the sections themselves are unequal. Given two sections of a river, the ratio of the quantity of water which passes the first section to that which passes the second is in proportion to the ratio of the first to the second sections and to that of the first and second velocities. Given two unequal sections by which pass equal quantities of water, the sections are reciprocally proportional to the velocities.”

The seventeenth century brought remarkable advances in mechanics and mathematics. Dynamic concepts of inertia and momentum became clear under René Descartes (1596–1650), who wrote “I assume that the movement which is once impressed upon a given body is permanently retained, if it is not removed by some other course; that is, whatever has commenced to move in a vacuum will continue to move indefinitely at the same velocity.”

Pressure concepts in fluids at rest were described by Blaise Pascal (1623–1662), who postulated that pressure was transmitted equally in all directions. Christian Huygens (1629–1695) defined the principle of centrifugal force and is sometimes credited with the principle of conservation of energy. Isaac Newton (1642–1727) clearly formulated three laws of motion as a concise synthesis of concepts explicitly formulated by Descartes, Wallis, Huygens, and Wren. His contribution is a concise definition of mass, momentum, inertia, and force.

He also studied fluid resistance between a fluid and a solid to conclude that resistance is proportional to the relative velocity of adjacent zones. Energy concepts and present-day calculus evolved around the contribution of Gottfried Wilhelm von Leibniz (1646–1716). He introduced the concept of a live force that is proportional to the second power of velocity, now known as kinetic energy, and raised a lively debate between kinetic energy and momentum proportional to the first power of velocity.

Hydrodynamics can be attributed to outstanding mathematical developments in the eighteenth century. Daniel Bernoulli (1700–1782) dealt with fluid statics and dynamics. It is nevertheless Leonard Euler (1707–1783) who rigorously derived the Bernoulli equation and the differential forms of the equations of continuity and acceleration in frictionless fluids.

Resistance to flow remained obscure until the nineteenth century when experiments on flow in small pipes resulted from the studies of Gotthilf Heinrich Ludwig Hagen (1797–1884), Jean-Louis Poiseuille (1799–1869), Julius Weisbach (1806–1871), Henry Phillibert Gaspard Darcy (1803–1858), Wilhelm Rudolf Kutter (1818–1888), Emile Oscar Ganguillet (1818–1894), and Robert Manning (1816–1897). The Navier–Stokes equations for the analysis of viscous fluid motion became possible from the contributions of Jean-Claude Barré de Saint-Venant (1797–1886), Louis Marie Henri Navier (1785–1836), Baron Augustin Louis de Cauchy (1789–1857), Simeon Denis Poisson (1781–1840) and George Gabriel Stokes (1819–1903). Turbulence challenged generations of scientists including Joseph Boussinesq (1842–1929), Osborne Reynolds (1842–1912), Ludwig Prandtl (1875–1953), and Theodor von Kármán (1881–1963), who contributed to unveil part of its inherent complexity.

In comparison with those of hydraulics, the advances in sediment transport, which is essential to understanding river mechanics, have been extremely slow. Two contributions before the twentieth century are noteworthy: (1) the contributions of Albert Brahm on the relationship between the bedflow velocity and the $1/6$ power of the immersed weight of bed material and (2) the concept of tractive force by Paul Francois Dominique du Boys (1847–1924) and his relationship to bed-sediment transport.

Today, in-class discussions can emerge from a simple question such as, Why do rivers form? It is interesting to note the required physical processes that lead to river formation. The concept of a gravitational-force component should first come to mind. The need for erodible material or alluvium emanates from the discussion. The concept of an alluvial river usually is gradually becoming clear. However, all of this does not explain why rivers form. Do we understand the mechanics of formation of alluvial rivers? The effects of flow convergence and divergence allude to the concepts of continuity of water. Aggradation and




Figure 1.1. Water and sediment balance for converging flow.

degradation results from conservation of sediment. Does converging flow tend to cause aggradation or degradation? We can formulate an intuitive understanding by using a simple sediment rating curve of the type $q_s = a q^b$, where, q_s is the unit sediment discharge and q is the unit discharge; see Fig. 1.1.

The results of converging flow are to cause degradation when $b > 1$ and aggradation when $b < 1$. Is there any reason from our understanding of erosion and sedimentation that supports that $b > 1$? If so, I guess we have answered our question. In a simplified form, rivers form because sediment concentration increases with unit discharge. Flow convergence thus causes scour, and this clearly illustrates that river mechanics stems from an understanding of hydrodynamics and sediment transport.

On Earth, the study of the water and sediment discharge to the oceans from the rivers around the world shows that the annual suspended sediment discharge is 13.5×10^9 metric tons per year. Some important rivers are listed in Table 1.1. Approximately half of the sediment discharge to the oceans originates from rivers in Southeast Asia. In comparison, the total freshwater flow to the oceans from all rivers of the world combines to $1.2 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. The average sediment concentration of flows to oceans is $\sim 360 \text{ mg/l}$.

The proposed physical analysis of river mechanics is based on the concept of water and sediment transport down the rivers under the action of gravity from the upland areas to the oceans. The surface area of the land that drains into a particular river delineates the watershed, also termed the drainage basin or catchment. Chapter 2 outlines the physical properties of water and sediment and the governing equations of motion. Chapter 3 reviews the sources and the yields of water and sediment at the watershed scale. Chapter 4 treats the steady-flow conditions in canals and rivers. Chapter 5 delves into the mechanics of unsteady flows in rivers. Chapter 6 describes the downstream hydraulic

Table 1.1. *Water and sediment loads of selected rivers (after Jansen et al., 1979)*

River	Station	Catchment area (10 ⁶ km ²)	Discharge				Sediment as ppm of discharge ^a (mg l ⁻¹)
			Water		Sediment		
			m ³ s ⁻¹	mm yr ⁻¹	10 ⁶ tons yr ⁻¹	μm yr ⁻¹	
Amazon	Mouth	7.0	100,000	450	900	90	290
Mississippi	Mouth	3.9	18,000	150	300	55	530
Congo	Mouth	3.7	44,000	370	70	15	50
La Plata/Parana	Mouth	3.0	19,000	200	90	20	150
Ob	Delta	3.0	12,000	130	16	4	40
Nile	Mouth	2.9	3,000	30	80	15	630
Yenisei	Mouth	2.6	17,000	210	11	3	20
Lena	Mouth	2.4	16,000	210	12	4	25
Amur	Mouth	2.1	11,000	160	52	15	150
Yangtse Kiang	Mouth	1.8	22,000	390	500	200	1,400
Volga	Mouth	1.5	8,400	180	25	10	100
Missouri	Mouth	1.4	2,000	50	200	100	3,200
Zambesi	Mouth	1.3	16,000	390	100	50	200
St. Lawrence	Mouth	1.3	14,000	340	10	6	20
Niger	Mouth	1.1	5,700	160	40	25	220
Murray-Darling	Mouth	1.1	400	10	30	20	2,500
Ganges	Delta	1.0	14,000	440	1,500	1,000	3,600

Indus	Mouth	0.96	6,400	210	400	300	2,000
Orinoco	Mouth	0.95	25,000	830	90	65	110
Orange River	Mouth	0.83	2,900	110	150	130	1,600
Danube	Mouth	0.82	6,400	250	67	60	330
Mekong	Mouth	0.80	15,000	590	80	70	170
Hwang Ho	Mouth	0.77	4,000	160	1,900	1,750	15,000
Brahmaputra	Bahadurabad	0.64	19,000	940	730	800	1,200
Dnieper	Mouth	0.46	1,600	110	1.2	2	25
Irrawaddi	Mouth	0.41	13,000	1,000	300	500	750
Rhine	Delta	0.36	2,200	190	0.72	1	10
Magdalena (Colombia)	Calamar	0.28	7,000	790	220	550	1,000
Vistula (Poland)	Mouth	0.19	1,000	160	1.5	5	50
Kura (USSR)	Mouth	0.18	580	100	37	150	2,000
Chao Phya (Thailand)	Mouth	0.16	960	190	11	50	350
Oder (Germany/Poland)	Mouth	0.11	530	150	0.13	1	10
Rhone (France)	Mouth	0.096	1,700	560	10	75	200
Po (Italy)	Mouth	0.070	1,500	670	15	150	300
Ishikari (Japan)	Mouth	0.016	230	450	6	270	850
Tiber (Italy)	Mouth	0.013	420	1,000	1.8	100	140
Tone (Japan)	Mouth	0.012	480	1,250	3	180	200
Waipapa (New Zealand)	Kanakanala	0.0016	46	900	11	5,000	7,500

^a ppm: parts in 10⁶

geometry and equilibrium in alluvial rivers. Chapter 7 discusses the concepts of river dynamics and response to perturbations from equilibrium conditions. Chapter 8 particularly deals with river stability and presents methods to stabilize river banks. Chapter 9 presents several river engineering techniques from flood control to bridge crossings and waterways. Chapter 10 focuses on physical modeling techniques, with a particular analysis on the underlying theoretical concepts. Chapter 11 introduces the reader to numerical methods used to solve river engineering problems. Finally, Chapter 12 summarizes theory and applications of river engineering problems associated with waves and tides, usually observed in river estuaries.