

Index

ability, 11, 18–19, 34–5, 112, 128–9, 152–5
 acts/omissions, 17–21, 29–32, 64–9, 80–83,
 122–3; *see also* justification

Anscombe, G. E. M., 49, 212

Arendt, H., 137, 139–40, 145–6, 212

Aristotle, 43, 104–5, 126, 199, 212

Austin, J. L., 9, 173–4, 175, 212

autarchy, 49, 126–36, 206–7

autonomy, 126–36, 141–2, 207–8

Beehler, R., 114–20, 212

Benn, A. W., 155

Benn, S. I., 14–15, 29, 41–3, 48–9, 64–7,
 127, 138, 147–8, 196, 212

Berlin, I., 7–9, 12, 21, 67–8, 88–9, 94–5,
 109, 125, 140–1, 162, 212–13

Bishop, M. A., 200, 213

causality, 14–15, 18, 21–2, 32–5, 124–5;
see also responsibility

choice, 48–9, 99, 103, 112, 132–9

cluster theory, 183–4, 197

coercion, 8–10, 35–7, 50–1, 55, 58, 113,
 142; *see also* constraints; offers; threats

Cohen, G. A., 35, 66, 114, 213

collectivities, 90–3, 118–90

concepts, 103–9, 190–7; cluster theory,
 183–4, 197; coherence, 3–4, 167, 178;

common core of, 182–5, 196; common
 usage, 3–4, 167; conceptual equivalence,
 10, 94; conceptional superiority, 10, 94,

115; contestability, 3–4, 167, 184–96;
 evaluation of, 191–2; extensional

equivalence, 153; function of, 53, 188–9,
 198–200; miscommunication thesis, 180,

196–7; naturalism, 5, 197–205; nature
 of, 6–7, 181–2, 193–7; open texture

model, 25–6, 195–8, 206; ‘original

exemplar’, 182, 187–8; relativism, 179,
 186, 192, 200–2; revision of, 4–5, 193–7;
 serviceability & non-relativity, 3–4, 167,
 192–7; *see also* methodology

Connolly, W. E., 41–2, 68–9, 79, 151,
 155–6, 164, 172, 186–90, 203–4, 213

constraints, 24–6, 35–7, 152–3, 158–61,
 207; categories of, 9–15; definitions, 68,
 110–13; internal, 94–143; nature of,
 16–37; self-deception, 101–3, 107–8;
see also coercion; justification; obstacles;
 poverty

control, 144, 146, 148; *see also* influence;
 power

Coole, D., 129, 213

Cooper, D. E., 120–1, 213

Crick, B., 6, 213

Crocker, L., 40, 113–14, 213

culpability, 19–20, 32–3, 65–6, 65–70,
 151

Dauenhauer, B. P., 137, 139, 213

Dahl, R. A., 146

Day, J. P., 40–1, 44, 128, 213

Demos, R., 102–3, 213

desires, 45, 48, 97–9, 131–2, 150

determinism, 124–5, 129–32; natural, 121;
 soft, 121, 131

double-effect doctrine, 15, 22, 81–2

Duff, A., 80–2, 213

Dworkin, G., 44–5, 130–1, 214

ECT (essential contestability thesis), 180–6
 Epictetus, 121

Feinberg, J., 10–11, 55, 59–62, 66, 77, 214

Flathman, R. E., 14, 106, 214

Frankfurt, H. G., 44–5, 130–1, 214

- freedom: communal, 95, 136–41; conditions for, 21; corporeal, 16–19; definitions of, 2, 3–4, 10–11, 178–95, 206; dyadic relation of, 12; irreflexivity of, 13, 103–9, 144, 207; necessary conditions, 13, 24, 38, 41, 45–6, 51, 121–5, 128, 132–5; negative, 7–15, 16–37, 99–100, 103–8, 109–20; operationalist model, 33–6, 148, 168–72, 176; positive, 7–15, 94–143; as principle, 24–8; Promethean, 120–6, 143; relationship to power, 144–65; sufficient conditions, 5, 13, 45–6; traditional liberal view of, 20–4; triadic relation of, 11–13, 29, 207; of the will, 5–6, 128–36; *see also* power
- Frege, G., 11
- Gallie, W. B., 181–2, 214
- Graham, George, 82, 214
- Graham, Gordon, 200, 214
- Graham, K., 137, 214
- Gray, J., 8, 91, 132, 140, 155–6, 208, 214
- Haight, M. R., 102, 214
- heterarchy, 126–7, 130–1, 157
- heteronomy, 127, 134–6
- Hobbes, T., 17–19, 19, 39, 214
- Hunt, I., 112–13, 215
- Husak, D. N., 26–7, 215
- Husserl, E. G. A., 137
- individuality, 90–1, 132, 139
- influence, 144, 148; *see also* control; power intentionality, 16–17, 20–4, 81–3, 91–2, 116–19, 146–7, 158–60
- intuition, 77, 84, 176–8
- Inwagen, P. van, 132, 215
- justice, 19–20, 34, 104–7
- justification: for actions, 32, 49, 75–90, 157; for constraints, 24–26, 86; *see also* acts/omissions
- Kant, I., 65, 127
- Kovesi, J., 25–6, 190–3, 215
- Kuhn, T. S., 183, 215
- La Bruyère, J. de, 5
- Laxness, H., 211
- libertarians, 70–4, 88, 113–14
- liberty *see* freedom
- Lindley, R., 134–5, 215
- Locke, J., 180, 215
- Lucas, J. R., 83, 215
- Lukes, S., 91–2, 145–6, 149–51, 155–6, 185–6, 215–6
- MacCallum, G. C., 11–13, 29, 182, 216
- MacIntyre, A., 122–3, 216
- Mandela, N., 135
- Marcuse, H., 174
- Mason, A., 156–7, 204, 216
- Merleau-Ponty, M., 137
- methodology, 3–4, 166–90; *see also* concepts
- Mill, J. S., 5–6, 28, 132–4, 202, 216
- Miller, D., 29–35, 44–8, 51–2, 68, 70–4, 78, 92, 216
- Morriss, P., 152, 154, 216
- Nagel, T., 202, 216
- necessity, 121–5, 132–5
- Norman, R., 111–12, 216
- Norman, W., 11, 164–5, 210, 217
- Nozick, R., 19–20, 59–62, 217
- Nussbaum, M. C., 124, 217
- obligations: absolute, 71–2; deontological view of, 28, 71–4, 80–1; moral, 29–35, 70–1; *prima facie*, 25, 31–2, 70–74, 77–8; *see also* responsibility
- obstacles, 38–62; conjunctive impossibility view, 44; definition, 63; financial, 70, 87–9, 116–18; identification view, 44–5; impossibility view, 39–44, 51–2; ineligibility view, 41–3, 51–2, 116; internal, 94–143; irrelevance-of-size view, 45–8, 51–4; non-suppression of, 67–9, 72–9, 82–3, 89, 91, 189–90, 209–11; origin of, 100; statistical test for, 59–62; weight of, 39–51; *see also* constraints; poverty
- offers, 51–62; irresistible, 43, 54–5; pure, 55–7, 60–1; *see also* coercion; requests; threats
- OLA, 172–8
- omissions *see* acts/omissions
- Oppenheim, F., 6–7, 11–12, 18, 21–2, 25–6, 32–7, 46–7, 89, 106, 148–9, 162–3, 168–72, 189, 217
- ordinary language analysis *see* OLA
- Parent, W. A., 39, 217
- Parfit, D., 92, 217
- paternalism, 95–100, 103–9, 134, 141–2

- Patrick, M., 208, 217
Pettit, P., 91, 217
Plato, 11, 178, 217
plausibility, 76–8, 86, 207, 297
poverty, 10, 25–7, 64–9, 87–9, 116–18; *see also* constraints; obstacles
power, 144–65; definitions of, 145–50;
degrees of, 146, 161–5; ‘exercise power over’, 138, 147–61; extentional
equivalence, 153–5, 160–1; ‘have power over’, 152–7, 160; *see also* control;
influence; responsibility
presumption, 24–8, 48–9, 78–9, 140, 186,
209
rationality, 79–87, 97–101, 121–2, 126–36,
141–2
Rawls, J., 7, 177–80, 182, 198–200, 217
Reeve, A., 156–7, 160, 217
reflective equilibrium, 177–80, 181, 198–9
requests, 52–3; *see also* offers; threats
Rescher, N., 148, 217
responsibility, 29–37, 206–11; collective,
66; definitions, 2, 63, 64–70; feasibility
view, 69; imperfect, 67, 75–6, 88–9,
204; moral, 14–15, 22–4, 31–5, 45,
57–62, 63–93, 128, 188–90, 207–8;
relationship to power, 150–61; standard
choice-situation model, 64–9, 84; strong
doctrine of, 67–8, 80; *see also* causality;
obligations; power
Rousseau, J. J., 19, 45, 127
Russell, B., 146, 158
Ryan, A., 176, 217
Ryle, G., 175–6, 217
Sartre, J. P., 103
second-order preference theory, 44–5
socialists, 70–4, 88, 114
Steiner, H., 39–41, 218
Strawson, P. F., 128, 218
structuralists, 90–1
Swanton, C., 179–80, 185, 218
Taylor, C., 47–8, 96–100, 103–4, 107–9,
218
threats, 39–43, 51–62, 76–7, 84–5; weak,
42–3, 47, 54, 58; *see also* offers;
requests
totalitarianism, 96–9, 138, 141, 146
utilitarians, 79–84
Wagner, R., 120
Waismann, F., 194–5, 218
wants *see* desires
Weber, M., 146
Weinstein, W. L., 14–15, 29, 41–3, 48–9,
64–7, 212
Wertheimer, A., 60–2, 218
Wilde, O., 120
Williams, B., 174
Wittgenstein, L., 172–3, 183–4, 218
Wrong, D. H., 148, 163, 218