

> Class Counts combines theoretical discussions of the concept of class with a wide range of comparative empirical investigations of class and its ramifications in developed capitalist societies. What unites the topics is not a preoccupation with a common object of explanation, but rather a common explanatory factor: class. Four broad themes are explored: class structure and its transformation; the permeability of class boundaries; class and gender; and class consciousness. The specific empirical studies include such diverse topics as the sexual division of labor in housework, gender differences in managerial authority, friendship networks in the class structure, the expansion of self-employment in the United States in the past two decades, and the class consciousness of state and private-sector employees. The results of these studies are then evaluated in terms of how they confirm certain expectations within the Marxist tradition of class analysis and how they pose challenging surprises.



Studies in Marxism and Social Theory

Class Counts



Studies in Marxism and Social Theory

Edited by g. a. cohen, jon elster and john roemer

The series is jointly published by the Cambridge University Press and the Editions de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme, as part of the joint publishing agreement established in 1977 between the Fondation de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme and the Syndics of the Cambridge University Press.

The books in the series are intended to exemplify a new paradigm in the study of Marxist social theory. They will not be dogmatic or purely exegetical in approach. Rather, they will examine and develop the theory pioneered by Marx, in the light of the intervening history, and with the tools of non-Marxist social science and philosophy. It is hoped that Marxist thought will thereby be freed from the increasingly discredited methods and presuppositions which are still widely regarded as essential to it, and that what is true and important in Marxism will be more firmly established.

Also in the series

JON ELSTER Making Sense of Marx

ADAM PRZEWORSKI Capitalism and Social Democracy
JOHN ROEMER (ed.) Analytical Marxism
JON ELSTER AND KARL MOENE (eds.) Alternatives to Capitalism
MICHAEL TAYLOR (ed.) Rationality and Revolution
DONALD L. DONMAN History, Power, Ideology
DAVID SCHWEICKART Against Capitalism
PHILIPPE VAN PARIJS Marxism Recycled
JOHN TORRANCE Karl Marx's Theory of Ideas
G. A. COHEN Self-ownership, Freedom, and Equality



Class Counts

Comparative Studies in Class Analysis

Erik Olin Wright



Maison des Sciences de l'Homme



> Published by the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia and Editions de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme 54 Boulevard Raspail, 75270 Paris Cedex 06

© Maison des Sciences de l'Homme and Cambridge University Press 1997

First published 1997

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

Wright, Erik Olin.

305.5 - dc20 96-11871 CIP

Class Counts: Comparative Studies in Class Analysis / Erik Olin Wright p. cm. - (Studies in Marxism and social theory) ISBN 0 521 55387 3 (hc.) - ISBN 0 521 55646 5 (pbk.) 1. Social classes. 2. Social mobility. 3. Class consciousness. I. Title. II. Series. HT 609.W698 1996

ISBN 0521553873 hardback ISBN 0 521 55646 5 paperback ISBN 27351 2-7351 0705 1 hardback (France only) ISBN 27351 2-7351 0706 X paperback (France only)

Transferred to digital printing 2000

CE



For Marcia



Summary of contents

	List of figures	page	xix
	List of tables		xxi
	Preface	x	xvii
	Acknowledgments	x	cxiv
1	Class analysis		1
Par	t I The class structure of capitalism and its transformation	ons	
2	Class structure in comparative perspective		45
3	The transformation of the American class structure,		
	1960–1990		91
4	The fall and rise of the American petty bourgeoisie		114
Par	t II The permeability of class boundaries		
5	Class-boundary permeability: conceptual and		
	methodological issues		149
6	Permeability of class boundaries to intergenerational		
	mobility		169
	Cross-class friendships		203
8	Cross-class families		223
Par	t III Class and gender		
9	Conceptualizing the interaction of class and gender		239
10			249
11	The noneffects of class on the gendered division of labor	7	
	in the home		281
			ix



x	Summary of contents	
12	The gender gap in workplace authority	318
Par	t IV Class structure and class consciousness	
13	A general framework for studying class consciousness and class formation	373
14	Class consciousness and class formation in Sweden, the	
	United States and Japan	407
15	Class, state employment and consciousness	459
16	Temporality, class structure and class consciousness	492
Par	t V Conclusion	
17	Confirmations, surprises and theoretical reconstructions	519
	References	547
	Index	566



Contents

	List	of figures	page xix
	List	of tables	xxi
	Pref	face	xxvii
	•	nowledgments	xxxiv
1	Clas	es analysis	1
	1.1	The parable of the shmoo	4
	1.2	The concept of exploitation	9
	1.3	A note on exploitation as the appropriation of surplus	14
	1.4	Class and exploitation	17
	1.5	1 0 1 7	19
		Authority	20
		Skills and expertise	22
		A map of middle-class class locations	23
		People not in the paid labor force	26
		Marxist versus Weberian class analysis	29
	1.8	1 0	37
		Class structure	37
		Class and gender Class structure and class consciousness	39
		Class structure and class consciousness	41
Pa	art I	The class structure of capitalism and its transformat	ions
2	Clas	ss structure in comparative perspective	45
	2.1	The basic contours of the class structure	46
		The property dimension	46
		Employees	52
			x i



xii		Contents	
	2.2	Class and gender	58
	2.3	Class and race	67
	2.4	Type of employing organization	69
	2.5	Summing up	73
	Met	nodological appendix	74
		The samples	74
		Operationalization of class structure variable	74
		Reliability of estimates of distributions compared to official statistics	75
		Cross-national comparability of measurements	79
		The problem of operational arbitrariness	80
		How to measure class structure with relatively few questionnaire items	87
3	The	transformation of the American class structure, 1960-1990	91
	3.1	Contrasting expectations of post-industrial and Marxist theory	93
	3.2	Methodological strategy	95
	3.3	Results	97
		The 1960s	101
		The 1970s	101
		The 1980s	102
		Could these results be spurious?	102
		Refined sectoral analysis	104
	3.4	Interpretations and implications	108
	Met	nodological appendix	111
		Operationalization of economic sector variable	111
		Measuring class structure	112
4	The	fall and rise of the American petty bourgeoisie	114
	4.1	The theoretical status of self-employment	120
	4.2	Time series analysis of self-employment in the United States	127
		Basic time series results	127
		The effects of unemployment	129
	4.3		130
	4.4		139
	Met	nodological appendix	142
		Annual time series analysis of self-employment for the United States	142
		Shift-share decomposition of changes in self-employment	144
Pa	rt II	The permeability of class boundaries	
5	Clas	s-boundary permeability: conceptual and methodological issues	149
	5.1	Theoretical issues	149
		Permeability in the Marxist and Weberian traditions	149



		Contents	xiii
		Static and dynamic permeability	151
	5.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	152
		Operationalizing class structure	152
		The permeability-event matrix	154
	5.3	Permeability variables	157
		Alternative approaches to analyzing permeability	157
		The dimensional-permeability variables: PROPERTY, AUTHORITY and	
		SKILL	158
		The locational-permeability variable: WORKER	161
		The diagonal cells: quasi-independence matrix	162
		The problem of symmetry	163
	5.4	Models	163
	Met	hodological appendix: a brief introduction to loglinear models	165
6	The	permeability of class boundaries to intergenerational mobility	169
	6.1	Theoretical expectations	1 <i>7</i> 1
		Relative permeability of class boundaries	171
		Cross-national variations	173
		Hypotheses	175
		Gender and class-boundary permeability to intergenerational mobility	176
	6.2	Relationship to prior mobility research	178
		Analytical strategies	179
		Conceptualizations of class structure	182
		The comparative design	182
	6.3	Results	183
		The relative permeability of class boundaries among men	183
		Mobility across the working-class boundary among men	184
		Cross-national variations among men	186
		The problem of farmers	190
		Gender and class-boundary permeability	192
	6.4	Implications of the results for mobility research	195
	6.5	Conclusions	199
	Met	hodological appendix	201
		Variables	201
		Measurement problems	201
7	Cro	ss-class friendships	203
	7.1	Orienting hypotheses	203
		Class interests (Marxian variant)	204
		Class interests (Dahrendorf variant)	205
		Class habitus (Bourdieu)	206
		Interaction opportunity	206



xiv	•	Contents	
		The Weberian tradition	207
		Relation to previous research	207
	7.2	Results	208
		The relative permeability of the three class boundaries	208
		The relative permeability of boundaries between working-class and	
			210
		Country interactions	213
	7.3	Conclusions	216
	Met	hodological appendix	218
		The friendship-ties matrix	218
		Objections to the structure of the data	218
		The problem of asymmetric friendships	220
		The problem of sample size	221
		Distinguishing supervisors from managers for friends' class locations	221
8	Cros	s-class families	223
	8.1	Theoretical issues	223
	8.2	Results	225
		Patterns of cross-class families	225
		The relative permeability of the three class boundaries	227
		The relative permeability of boundaries between working-class and	
		nonworking-class locations	228
		Country interactions	229
	8.3	Comparing the three forms of class-boundary permeability	230
		Methodological appendix	235
		The family-class composition matrix	235
		Housewives	235
Pa	rt II	Class and gender	
9		ceptualizing the interaction of class and gender	239
9	Con	ceptualizing the interaction of class and gender	20)
	9.1	The debate over class primacy	239
	9.2	Forms of interconnection of class and gender	242
		Gender as a form of class relations	243
		Gender relations and class relations as reciprocally affecting each other	243
		Gender as a sorting mechanism into class locations	245
		Gender as mediated linkage to class locations	246
		Gender as a causal interaction with class in determining outcomes	247
10	Inc	lividuals, families and class analysis	249
	10.1	The debate on women and class	251



	Contents	xv
	10.2 An alternative approach: direct and mediated class locations	257
	10.3 A strategy for studying the effects of direct and mediated	
	class locations	260
	Hypotheses	261
	10.4 Results	263
	The effect of class composition on class identity	264
	Multivariate results	268
	10.5 Implications	269
	10.6 Conclusions	275
	Methodological appendix	277
	Variables	277
	Assumptions underlying the empirical strategy	278
11	The noneffects of class on the gendered division of labor in	281
	the home	
	11.1 Theoretical expectations	283
	Proletarianization and gender equality	284
	Sexism and class culture	285
	Class and power within the family	286
	Autonomy of gender relations	287
	11.2 Results	287
	Overall distributions	288
	Variations in husbands' housework across class locations	291
	Multivariate equations	296
	11.3 Implications	300
	Methodological appendix	304
	Variables	304
	Data, methods and analytical strategy	312
	Analytical strategy	314
12	The gender gap in workplace authority	318
	12.1 Analytical strategy for studying the 'gender gap'	320
	12.2 Empirical agenda	323
	The extent and cross-national patterning of the gender authority gap	323
	Explaining the gender gap in having authority within countries	324
	The gender gap in the amount of authority	327
	Explaining cross-national variations	332
	12.3 Results	335
	Gross gender differences in having authority	336
	Net gender differences in authority with compositional controls	341
	Self-selection models	345
	The gender gap in the amount of authority	347



xvi	Contents	
	Explaining cross-national variations	351
12.4	Conclusions	359
Met	hodological appendix	361
	Variables used in logit models	361
	The problem of cross-national comparability of authority measures	368
	Sample restrictions	369
	Method of analysis for the amount-of-authority scale	369
Part IV	Class structure and class consciousness	
13 A ge	neral framework for studying class consciousness and	
class	s formation	373
13.1	Micro and macro-levels of analysis	374
	Basic concepts	378
	Class structure and class location	379
	Class formation	379
	Class practices	381
	Class struggle	382
	Class consciousness	382
	Limitation, selection and transformation	387
	The micro-model	388
	The macro-model	394
	Putting the micro and macro-models together	401
13.6	Using the models in empirical research	405
14 Clas	s consciousness and class formation in Sweden, the United	
State	es and Japan	407
14.1	Measuring class consciousness	407
14.2	The empirical agenda	411
	Class locations and class consciousness	411
	Class formation	413
	Class consciousness	416
14.3	Results: overall relationship between locations in the class	
	structure and class consciousness	417
14.4	Results: the macro-analysis of class formation	419
	Sweden	423
	The United States	424
	Japan Cilia di Managaria	425
14 ==	Summary of the comparisons of the three countries	428
14.5	Explaining the differences in class formations State employment	429 430
	State employment	430



		Contents	xvii
		Unionization	433
		Japan	439
	14.6	The micro-analysis of class consciousness	440
		Additive country effects	441
		Cross-national comparisons of micro-equations	444
	14.7	A brief note on class, race, gender and consciousness	446
	14.8	Conclusions	449
	Metl	nodological appendix	450
		1 Methodological issues in constructing the class-consciousness scale	450
		2 Strategy for measuring ideological class formations	453
		3 Variables used in multivariate models	456
		4 Generating single coefficients for groups of variables	458
15	Clas	s, state employment and consciousness	459
	15.1	Conceptualizing state employment	461
		Orienting hypotheses	464
		Results	470
		Class differences within sectors	475
		Sector differences within classes	478
		Sector differences within classes controlling for attributes of	
		individuals	480
	15.4	Implications	484
		nodological appendix	487
		1 Dependent variables: prostatism and anticapitalism	487
		2 Independent variables	489
		3 Statistical tests	490
16	Tem	porality, class structure and class consciousness	492
	16.1	Structural and processual approaches to class and	
		consciousness	493
		A model of trajectory, structure and consciousness	496
		Conceptualizing and measuring class trajectory	499
	16.4	Results	505
		Class interest consciousness	507
		Class identity	507
		Class identity effects on class interests	510
		Comparing Sweden and the United States	512
		Class and gender in national differences in consciousness	513
	16.5	Conclusions	515



xviii Contents

Part V Conclusion

17	Con	firmations, surprises and theoretical reconstructions	519
	17.1	Conceptualizing "locations" in the class structure	522
		Traditional understanding	522
		Initial reconstructions	523
		Empirical confirmations	524
		Surprises	526
		Further possible reconstructions?	527
	17.2	Class structure and its variations in advanced capitalist societies	528
		Traditional understanding	528
		Confirmations	529
		Surprises	530
		Reconstructions	531
	17.3	Individual lives and the class structure	532
		Traditional understanding	532
		Confirmations	533
		Surprises	533
		Reconstructions	534
	17.4	Effects of class structure: class consciousness and class formation	536
		Traditional understanding	536
		Confirmations	537
		Surprises: direct and mediated class locations	538
		Surprises: class consciousness and class formation	538
		Reconstructions: direct and mediated class locations	539
		Reconstructions: class consciousness and class formation	540
	17.5	Class and other forms of oppression: class and gender	541
		Traditional understanding	541
		Confirmations	543
		Surprises	544
		Reconstructions	544
		References	547
		Index	566



Figures

1.1	How shmoos of different capacities affect the interests of workers	
	and capitalists	page 6
1.2	Basic class typology	24
1.3	Elaborated class typology	25
1.4	Leisure vs. consumption trade-offs faced by people in different	
	economic classes	32
1.5	Three models of class analysis	34
2.1	Class distributions in six countries	47
2.2	Modified class distributions among employees in six countries	54
A2.1	Alternative operationalizations of managerial authority	83
A2.2	Class distributions in the United States and Sweden using	
	different operationalizations	85
A2.3	Operationalization of authority dimension using only three items	88
3.1	Class categories used in the analysis of transformations of the	
	class structure	98
3.2	Class-shift components of decade rates of change in class	
	distributions for selected class locations	99
4.1	Time series for rate of self-employment in the United States,	
	1948–1992	119
4.2	Decomposition of decennial rates of change of self-employment,	
	1940–1990	133
5.1	Class categories used in the analysis of permeability of class	
	boundaries	155
5.2	Permeability event matrix	156
5.3	Design matrices for class-boundary-crossing variables	159
A5.1	Mobility matrix used in loglinear analysis	167
8.1	Class composition of dual-earner families	226
8.2	The odds of class-boundary permeability to mobility, friendships	
	and cross-class families in the United States, Canada, Norway	
	and Sweden	234
10.1	A general model of the effects of direct and mediated class	
	locations on class identity	262
10.2	Percentage of women who say they are "working class" in	
	dual-earner families with different class compositions	266
	•	

xix



xx	List of figures	
12.1	The gender gap in authority in seven countries	340
13.1	Simple core model of class analysis	374
13.2	Micro, meso and macro-units of analysis	377
13.3	The dialectic of structure and practice	388
13.4	Forms of determination: limits, selects, transforms	389
13.5	Micro-model of class location, class consciousness and class	
	practice	390
13.6	Macro-model of class structure, class formation and class struggle	395
13.7	Formable and unformable class formations	398
13.8	Macro-micro linkage in class analysis	402
14.1	Class structure and class consciousness in Sweden, the United	
	States and Japan	418
14.2	Class and the ideological spectrum in Sweden, the United States	
	and Japan	420
14.3	Patterns of ideological class formation	421
14.4	Three-dimensional representation of patterns of ideological class	
	formation	422
14.5	Race and gender differences in class consciousness in the United	
	States	448
A14.1	Example illustrating method for constructing map of ideological	
	class coalition	454
16.1	Basic causal model of class identity and subjective interests	499



Tables

1.1	Rank ordering of preferences for the fate of the schmoo by class location	page 8
2.1	Percentage of employers and petty bourgeoisie in different	puze o
2.1	sectors	50
2.2	Distribution of supervisory authority within occupational	30
2.2		56
2.3	categories Class distributions of men and women in the United States and	36
2.5		59
0.4	Sweden using individual job-class and family-class criteria	
2.4	Class-by-gender distributions	62
2.5	Distribution of self-employed people into different household	
	class situations	65
2.6	Class by race by gender distributions in the United States	68
2.7	Distribution of type of employing organizations within employee	
	class locations	70
A2.1	Properties of the sample	<i>7</i> 5
A2.2	Operationalization of class structure	76
A2.3	Comparison of self-employment estimates from the Comparative	
	Project and from published data	77
A2.4	Alternative operationalizations of skill dimension	82
A2.5	Distributions of respondents according to different criteria	84
A2.6	Comparisons of simple and complex operationalizations of	
	authority	89
3.1	Hypotheses for transformations of the American class structure	90
3.2	Class distribution in the United States, 1960–1990	99
3.3	Decomposition of rates of change in class structure, 1960–1970,	
	1970–1980, 1980–1990	100
3.4	Disaggregation by sector of class-shift and sector-shift component	
	of changes in United States class distribution, 1960–1990	105
A3.1	Economic sector classifications	112
4.1	Ties to the petty bourgeoisie by current class location	116
4.2	Theoretical criteria for self-employment	121
4.3	Long-term trends in self-employment in United States, France	121
7.0	and Germany	124
	and Germany	144
		xxi
		7.7.1



xxii List of tables

4.4	Trends in self-employment in Western Europe, 1970–1982	126
4.5	Time series regressions for self-employment	128
4.6	Time series regressions for effects of unemployment on	120
1.0	self-employment	130
4.7	Proportion of the employed labor force self-employed, 1940–1990	132
4.8	Decomposition of rates of change of self-employment	132
4.9	Decomposition of sectoral and class effects on self-employment	134
4.10	Rank ordering of total contribution of different sectors to	
	changes in proportions self-employed, 1970–1980 and	
	1980–1990	136
4.11	Contributions of post-industrial sectors to expansion of	
	self-employment	138
A4.1	Sector classification categories for shift-share analysis	146
5.1	Operationalization of class structure for permeability analyses	154
6.1	Parameter estimates for permeability of class boundaries to	
	mobility: men in the labor force in the United States, Canada,	
	Sweden and Norway	184
6.2	Interactions with mobility across the working-class boundary:	
	men in the labor force in the United States, Canada, Sweden	
	and Norway	186
6.3	Rank ordering of boundary impermeability for mobility across	
	the working-class boundary: men in the labor force in the	
	United States, Canada, Sweden and Norway	187
6.4	Cross-national interactions in mobility permeability of class	
	boundaries: men in the labor force in the United States, Canada,	
	Sweden and Norway	188
6.5	Parameter estimates for permeability of class boundaries to	101
	mobility, excluding farmers and people with farmer origins	191
6.6	Parameter estimates for permeability of class boundaries to	
	mobility: women compared to men in the labor force in the	193
6.7	United States, Canada, Sweden and Norway Interactions with mobility across the working-class boundary:	173
0.7	women compared to men in the labor force in the United States,	
	Canada, Sweden and Norway	195
6.8	Cross-national interactions in mobility permeability of class	190
0.0	boundaries: women compared to men in the labor force in the	
	United States, Canada, Sweden and Norway	196
7.1	Rank orderings of relative impermeability of class boundaries	170
7.1	to friendship ties in different theoretical perspectives	205
7.2	Coefficients for permeability of the three exploitation	_00
,	boundaries: adults in the labor force in four countries	209
7.3	Coefficients for worker/nonworker boundary permeability:	
7.0	adults in the labor force in four countries	212
7.4	Rank ordering of boundary impermeability for friendship	
,	ties between workers and other class locations	214
7.5	Cross-national interactions in permeability of class boundaries	
	to friendship	215



	List of tables	xxiii
8.1	Permeability of class boundaries to cross-class families in	
	dual-earner households	228
8.2	Permeability of class boundaries within dual-earner families	
	which cross the working-class boundary	229
8.3	Rank ordering of boundary impermeability for the	
	working-class boundary in dual-earner families	231
8.4	Cross-national interactions in permeability of class boundaries	
	to cross-class families	232
10.1	Percentage of people who say they are in the working class in	
	families with different class compositions (dual-earner	
	households only)	265
10.2	The effects of household class composition on class identity	
	(logistic regression)	267
10.3	The effects of household class composition on class identity	
	with various controls (logistic regression)	270
	Family-class composition matrix	284
11.2	Distribution of husband's contribution to housework in the	
	United States and Sweden	290
11.3	Mean levels of husband's percentage contribution to total	
	housework by family-class compositions (dual-earner	
	households only)	294
11.4	Tobit regressions for family-class compositions on husband's	
11 5	housework	296
11.5	Tobit regressions for family-class composition and selected	205
11.	other variables on husband's housework	297
11.6	Tobit regression coefficients for education on husband's	
	housework, controlling for various other variables	200
11.77	(Swedish women only)	299
11./	Wives' labor force participation rates and husband's	202
A 1 1 1	contribution to housework by husband's class	302
AII.I	Constructing the family-gender attitude variable and the	311
A 11 2	authority-gender attitude variable	
	Constructing the gender ideology scale Mean levels of husband's percentage contribution to total	312
AII.J	housework for dual-earner families with different family-class	
		315
. 1111	compositions: male respondents only Tobit regressions for family-class compositions on husband's	313
AII. 4	housework: male respondents only	316
Δ115	Tobit regressions for family-class composition and selected other	310
7111.0	variables on husband's housework: male respondents only	317
12 1	How there can be very few women top managers and gender	317
14.1	discrimination without a "glass ceiling"	330
12.2	Distributions of authority within gender categories: seven	550
****	countries	337
12.3	Gender coefficients from logistic regression analyses of the	337
12.0	odds of women having work authority relative to men in	
	seven countries: model with no controls	338



xxiv List of tables

12.4	Logistic regression analyses of the odds of women having work authority relative to men in seven countries: model with controls	342
12.5	Testing the "self-selection" hypothesis: analysis of the odds of women having authority relative to men in model which includes	
	interactions with marital status and husband's housework	346
12.6	Testing the glass ceiling hypothesis: logistic regression	
	analyses of the odds of women having different kinds of	
	work authority relative to men in seven countries (sample	
	restricted to people in the formal hierarchy)	349
12.7	Rank ordering of countries from more to less egalitarian	
	by the gender gap in authority and other relevant variables	352
12.8	Rank ordering of countries' political culture and institutions	
	from liberal/commodified to socialist/decommodified	358
	Variables used in the analysis	362
A12.2	Relationship between formal position in authority hierarchy	
	and ability to impose sanctions and participate in decision-	
	making for men and women in seven countries	365
	Logic of micro and macro-levels of social analysis	376
14.1	Values on the anti-capitalism scale for class categories within	400
140	state and private sectors	432
14.2	Values on the anti-capitalism scale for union members and	435
1/12	nonmembers within class categories Decomposition of ideological difference between United States	433
14.5	and Swedish working-class coalitions	438
14.4	Class determinants of class consciousness: three countries	400
14.4	combined	442
14.5	Class location and class consciousness in Sweden, the United States	112
2 2.0	and Japan	445
15.1	Social structure locations used in analysis of class and state	465
	Orienting hypotheses of relationship between class, state and	
	ideology	468
15.3	Ideological orientation within class-by-sector categories in the	
	United States and Sweden	472
15.4	Tests of differences between classes within sectors and between	
	sectors within classes	474
15.5	Tests of differences between the United States and Sweden in	
	contrasts across class-sector categories	476
15.6	Percentage of women within class-by-sector categories	481
15.7	Tests for significance of sectoral differences within classes	
	controlling for age, sex, education and income	483
15.8	Rank orderings of ideological orientation within class-by-	
	sector categories in the United States and Sweden	485
	Alternative general approaches to class analysis	494
	Possible biographical trajectories in a class mobility matrix	502
	Examples of aggregations of full biographical trajectory typology	503
16.4	Explained variances (adjusted R ²) of the trajectory models	
	predicting class-interest consciousness	508



	List of tables	xxv
16.5	Percentage of males and females with working-class identification by class origin and current class location in the United States and	
	Sweden	509
16.6	Explained variances (adjusted R ²) of the trajectory models	
	predicting working-class identity	510
16.7	The effects of class location and class identity on class-interest	
	consciousness (unstandardized regression coefficients)	511
16.8	Summary of gender contrasts across countries: explained	
	variances (R ²) in equations predicting interests and identity	513





Elsie: "WHAT'S THAT, DADDY?"

Father: "A COW."
Elsie: "WHY?"

Punch (1906)



Preface

Like Elsie wondering why a cow is a "cow," I have spent an inordinate amount of time worrying about what makes a class a "class." Here is the basic problem. The Marxist concept of class is rooted in a polarized notion of antagonistic class relations: slavemasters exploit slaves, lords exploit serfs, capitalists exploit workers. In the analysis of developed capitalist societies, however, many people do not seem to fit neatly this polarized image. In everyday language, many people are "middle class," and even though Marxists generally do not like that term, nevertheless, most Marxist analysts are uncomfortable with calling managers, doctors, and professors, "proletarians." Thus, the problem is this: how can the social categories which are commonly called "middle" class be situated within a conceptual framework built around a polarized concept of class? What does it mean to be in the "middle" of a "relation"? The diverse strands of research brought together in this book are all, directly or indirectly, ramifications of struggling with this core conceptual problem.

My empirical research on these issues began with my dissertation on class and income, completed in 1976. In that project I used data gathered by the Michigan Panel Study of Income Dynamics, the Quality of Employment Survey and several other sources. None of these had been gathered with Marxist concepts in mind. When the data analysis failed to generate anticipated results, I could therefore always say, "of course, the data were gathered in 'bourgeois categories' and this may explain why the hypotheses were not confirmed." It was therefore a natural next step to generate new data, data that would be directly tailored to quantitatively "testing" hypotheses on class and its consequences within the Marxist tradition, data that would leave me

xxvii



xxviii Preface

no excuses. This was the central idea behind my first grant proposal for this project to the National Science Foundation in 1977.

The original NSF proposal was framed as an attempt to generate a set of data in which the Marxist and Weberian traditions of class analysis could directly engage each other. I argued in the proposal that there was a tremendous gap between theoretical debates in class analysis – which largely revolved around a dialogue between Marx and Weber – and quantitative research – which largely ignored Marxism altogether. To close this gap required two things: first, generating systematic data derived from a Marxist conceptual framework, and second, gathering the data comparatively. Since Marxist class analysis is, above all, rooted in the concept of class structure (rather than simply individual class attributes), we needed a sample of countries which varied structurally in certain ways in order to seriously explore Marxist themes.

As often occurs in research proposals, because of the need to frame issues in ways which the reviewers of the proposals will find compelling, this way of posing the agenda of the research did not really reflect my core reasons for wanting to do the project. Adjudication between general frameworks of social theory can rarely be accomplished in the form of head-to-head quantitative combat, since different theoretical frameworks generally are asking different questions. Furthermore, the gaps between concepts, questions and measures are nearly always too great for a direct adjudication between rival frameworks to yield robust and convincing results. The Marx/Weber debate, therefore, was always a somewhat artificial way of justifying the project, and it certainly has not (in my judgment) proven to be the most interesting line of empirical analysis. My theoretical motivations had much more to do with pushing Marxist class analysis forward on its own terrain exploring problems such as cross-national variation in the permeability of class boundaries, the effects of class location and class biography on class consciousness, the variations across countries in patterns of ideological class formation, and so on.

Nevertheless, from the start a disproportionate amount of energy in the project in the United States as well as in many of the other countries has been devoted to the problem of adjudicating conceptual issues rather than empirically investigating theoretical problems. I have worried endlessly about the optimal way of conceptualizing the "middle class" which would both be coherent (i.e. be consistent with more abstract principles of Marxist theory) and empirically powerful. This preoccu-



Preface xxix

pation has sometimes displaced substantive theoretical concerns and it has been easy to lose sight of the real puzzles that need solving. Rather than delve deeply into the problem of trying to explain why workers in different countries display different degrees of radicalism, I have often worried more about how properly to define the category "working class" to be used in such an investigation. It was as if I felt that if only I could get the *concepts* right, then the theoretical issues would fall into place (or at least become more tractable). It now seems to me that often it is better to forge ahead and muddle through with somewhat less certain concepts than to devote such an inordinate amount of time attempting to reconstruct the concepts themselves. To paraphrase a comment once made about Talcott Parsons, it is a bad idea to keep repacking one's bags for a trip that one never takes. It is better to get out the door even if you may have left something important behind.

The initial plan when I began the comparative class analysis project was to do a survey of class structure and class consciousness in the US and Italy jointly with a close friend from graduate school, Luca Perrone. In fact, one of the initial motivations for the project was our mutual desire to embark on a research project that would make it easy for us to see each other regularly. By the time the final NSF grant was awarded, Sweden had been added to the project as the result of a series of lectures I gave in Uppsala in 1978. Soon, scholars in other countries learned of the project, and through a meandering process, asked if they could replicate the survey. By 1982 surveys were completed or underway in the United Kingdom, Canada, and Norway, and shortly thereafter additional surveys were carried out in Australia, Denmark, Japan, New Zealand and West Germany. Tragically, Luca Perrone died in a skin diving accident in 1981 and so an Italian project was never completed. In the early 1990s an additional round of projects was organized in Russia, South Korea, Spain, Taiwan and, most recently, Portugal. A second US survey was fielded in 1991 and a new Swedish survey in 1995.

Without really intending this to happen, the US project became the coordinating node of a rapidly expanding network of class analysis projects around the world. Originally, this was meant to be a focussed, short-term project. In 1977 I had absolutely no intention of embarking on a megaproject that would eventually involve more than fifteen countries and millions of dollars. I thought that the project would take a few years, four or five at the most, and then I would return to other issues. It is now seventeen years later and the end is just now in sight.



xxx Preface

Has it really been worth it to spend this amount of time and resources on a single research enterprise? If twenty years ago, when I was finishing my dissertation and contemplating whether or not to launch the class analysis project, I was told that I would still be working on it in 1995, I would have immediately dropped the project in horror. Certainly there have been times during the years of this project when I was fed up with it, tired of worrying endlessly about the minutiae of measurement and only asking questions that could be answered with coefficients. Nevertheless, in the end, I do think that it has been worthwhile sticking with this project for so long. This is not mainly because of the hard "facts" generated by the research. If you simply made a list of all of the robust empirical discoveries of the research, it would be easy to conclude that the results were not worth the effort. While I hope to show in this book that many of these findings are interesting, I am not sure that by themselves they justify nearly two decades of work.

The real payoff from this project has come, I think, from the effects of thinking about the same ideas, concepts and puzzles for so long. I have returned countless times to the problem of the difference between Marxist and Weberian ideas about class, the meaning of exploitation and domination as analytical and normative issues in class analysis, the conceptual status of the "middle" class in a relational class framework, and so on. It is not that the simple "facts" generated by the regression equations directly inform these issues, but repeatedly grappling with the data has forced me to repeatedly grapple with these ideas. The long and meandering class analysis project has kept me focussed on a single cluster of ideas for much longer than I would have otherwise done, and this has led – I hope – to a level of insight which I otherwise would not have achieved.

There are several limitations in the analyses in this book which should be mentioned. First, even though this is a book about class written from a Marxist perspective, there are no empirical analyses of two important segments of the class structure: substantial owners of capital, and the more marginalized, impoverished segments of population, often loosely labeled the "underclass." When I refer to the "capitalist class" in the empirical analyses I am, by and large, referring to relatively small employers, not to wealthy owners of investment portfolios. There is certainly no analysis of anything approaching the "ruling class." Similarly, the analysis of the working class largely



Preface xxxi

excludes the unemployed and people who are outside of the labor force (discouraged workers, people on welfare, etc.). The irony, of course, is that within the Marxist tradition the critique of capitalism is directed above all against the wealthiest segments of the capitalist class, and the moral condemnation of capitalism is grounded to a significant extent on the ways it perpetuates poverty. The limitations of sample surveys simply make it impossible to seriously explore either of these extremes within the class structure with the methods we will use in this study.

Second, aside from relatively brief sections in Chapter 2 and Chapter 14, there is almost no discussion of the problem of race and class in the book. Given how salient the problem of race is for class analysis in the United States, this is a significant and unfortunate absence. However, the relatively small sample size meant that there were too few African-Americans in the sample to do sophisticated analyses of the interactions of race and class. What is more, even if we had had a significantly larger sample, the restriction of the American sample to the labor force and housewives would have precluded investigation of the crucial race/class issue of the "underclass." Given these limitations, I felt I would not be able to push the empirical analysis of race and class forward using the data from the Comparative Class Analysis Project.

Third, there is a methodological problem that affects the book as a whole. Most of the data analyses reported in this book were originally prepared for journal articles. The earliest of these appeared in 1987, the last in 1995. As often happens when a series of quite different analyses are generated from the same data over an extended period of time, small shifts in variable construction and operational choices are made. In preparing the book manuscript, therefore, I had to make a decision: should I redo most of the previously completed analyses in order to render all of the chapters strictly consistent, or should I simply report the findings in their original form and make note of the shifts in operationalizations? There is no question that, in the absence of constraints, the first of these options would be the best. But I figured that it would probably delay the completion of the book by a minimum of six months and probably more, and given that there would be no substantive improvement in the ideas and insights of the research, this just did not seem worthwhile. So, in Ralph Waldo Emerson's spirit that "foolish consistency is the hobgoblin of small minds," I have retained nearly all of the original analyses (except in a few cases where I discovered actual errors of one sort or another).



xxxii Preface

Finally, in revising, extending and integrating the various empirical studies I had to decide whether or not to attempt to bring the discussion of relevant literatures up to date. The analyses wander all over the map – from friendships, to housework, to class consciousness, to the transformations of class structures. Since on some of these topics I was hardly a real expert even at the time I wrote the original papers, the thought of once again reviewing the literature on all of these disparate topics was extremely daunting. In any case, I was not prepared to redo the analyses in light of any new literature I might have discovered, and thus I decided to forgo the task entirely. In a number of cases, therefore, the discussions of the broader sociological literature is likely to be somewhat dated.

This project would not have been possible without the financial support from the National Science Foundation, which funded the initial gathering and public archiving of the data and much of the data analysis. The Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation also provided generous research support for data analysis throughout the research. In the late 1980s, grants from the Spencer Foundation and the MacArthur foundation made it possible to conduct the second US survey in conjunction with the Russian class analysis project.

There are countless people to whom I am deeply indebted for the research embodied in this book. Without the love and comradeship of Luca Perrone, the project would never have been launched in the first place. His quirky spirit is present throughout the book.

Michael Burawoy has been my most steadfast and supportive critic over the years, encouraging me both to be a hard-nosed quantomaniac and to keep the big ideas and political purposes always in mind. In reading the draft of parts of this book he urged me to keep the overblown concept-mongering to a minimum; too much grandiose theorizing, he warned, would distract readers from the empirical message of the research. I am afraid that I have only partially followed his advice: I have not excised metatheoretical and conceptual discussions from the book, but they are generally cordoned off in specific chapters.

My collaborators in the various national projects in the Comparative Class Analysis Project contributed enormously to the development of this research. Göran Ahrne, the principal director of the Swedish project in the 1980s, was especially involved in formulating questions and designing the intellectual agenda of the project from the start and