

Historical Perspectives on Modern Economics

General Editor: Craufurd D. Goodwin, Duke University

This series contains original works that challenge and enlighten historians of economics. For the profession as a whole, it promotes better understanding of the origin and content of modern economics.

Other books in the series:

- William J. Barber, From New Era to New Deal: Herbert Hoover, the Economists, and American Economic Policy, 1921–1933
- M. June Flanders, International Monetary Economics, 1870–1950
- Lars Jonung (ed.), The Stockholm School of Economics Revisited Kyun Kim, Equilibrium Business Cycle Theory in Historical Perspective
- Gerald M. Koot, English Historical Economics, 1870–1926: The Rise of Economic History and Mercantilism
- Don Lavoie, Rivalry and Central Planning: The Socialist Calculation Debate Reconsidered
- Philip Mirowski, More Heat than Light: Economics as Social Physics, Physics as Nature's Economics
- Philip Mirowski, Natural Images in Economic Thought: Markets Read in Tooth and Claw
- Mary S. Morgan, The History of Econometric Ideas
- Takashi Negishi, Economic Theories in a Non-Walrasian Tradition Malcolm Rutherford, Institutions in Economics: The Old and the New Institutionalism
- E. Roy Weintraub, Stabilizing Dynamics: Constructing Economic Knowledge
- Juan Gabriel Valdés, Pinochet's Economists: The Chicago School of Economics in Chile
- Karen Vaughn, Austrian Economics in America: The Migration of a Tradition





Theory and measurement

Causality issues in Milton Friedman's monetary economics

J. Daniel Hammond Wake Forest University





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521552059

© Cambridge University Press 1996

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1996

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Hammond, J. Daniel.

Theory and measurement: causality issues in Milton Friedman's monetary economics / J. Daniel Hammond.

p. cm. – (Historical perspectives on modern economics) Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 0-521-55205-2 (hc)

1. Friedman, Milton, 1912- . 2. Money. 3. Business cycles.

HB119.F84H36 1996

330.15´7–dc20

95-19324 CIP

ISBN 978-0-521-55205-9 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-02264-4 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Information regarding prices, travel timetables, and other factual information given in this work is correct at the time of first printing but Cambridge University Press does not guarantee the accuracy of such information thereafter.



To Claire, Joe, Pat, and Jane





Contents

	Acknowledgments	page ix
	Introduction	1
1	Theory and Measurement at the National Bureau	5
2	Origins of Friedman's Marshallian Methodology	26
3	Origins of the Monetary Project	46
4	Critiques from Within the National Bureau	69
5	Post Hoc Ergo Propter Hoc: Part I	88
6	Reactions to the <i>Monetary History</i>	105
7	Post Hoc Ergo Propter Hoc: Part II	124
8	Friedman and His Critics on the Theoretical	
	Framework	140
9	The Great Depression	166
10	Measurement without Measurement: Hendry	
	and Ericsson's Critique	187
	Conclusion	208
	Appendix	215
	Bibliography	219
	Index	235

vii





Acknowledgments

Over the course of the research project that led to this book I have accumulated debts of gratitude to many people. Warren Samuels supported my initial work on causality issues in the debate between Milton Friedman and his critics over money's macroeconomic role. Warren, Neil de Marchi, Abraham Hirsch, and Kevin Hoover were immensely helpful in the early stages as I tried to discover exactly what the project was to consist of. Through conversation, their writings on Friedman and the Chicago School, and their criticism of my papers, they helped me transform broad questions and somewhat vague hunches into clearer and more meaningful questions and answers.

The project, and ultimately this book, began to take a biographical turn in the fall of 1987 when Milton Friedman agreed to my request for an interview. In May of the following year Mr. Friedman spent a half day with me at Stanford University's Hoover Institution responding to a very long list of questions about his debates with critics over money's role as cause and effect and about his education and training in economics and statistics. During that visit something happened that illustrates both the role of serendipity in research and Milton Friedman's generosity and liberality. Just a week or so before, he had dug out from his files his graduate school class notes for someone who was writing a paper on Jacob Viner's University of Chicago price theory course. When we completed my interview he offered to me, for the next day, the use of all the class notes, his office, and a photocopy machine. I eagerly accepted this most generous offer, and from that point on I knew that my work on Milton Friedman's economics would be heavily biographical.

The following year I had the good fortune to interview Mr. Friedman once again, along with Rose D. Friedman. The Friedmans shared recollections of their lives and work in the half century since they met as University of Chicago graduate students in 1932. I have returned each summer since 1988 to work in the Milton Friedman Papers at the Hoover Institution Archives. On each of these visits Mr. Friedman's assistant, Gloria Valentine, has helped make my time at Hoover pleasant and productive. Both she and Mr. Friedman have generously provided me with materials from his active files. For my use of the papers deposited in the Hoover Institution Archives I am also grateful to the efficient and friendly archives staff.

ix



x Acknowledgments

I had an R. J. Reynolds Company Research Leave from Wake Forest for the fall semester of 1987, which allowed me to write several papers that contained material that later found its way into this book. Wake Forest has continued to provide generous support for my research through, in various years, the William C. Archie Fund, the Research and Creative Activities Fund, and the Research and Publications Fund. Research grants from the Earhart Foundation in 1988 and 1989 and from the John William Pope Foundation in 1989 and 1990 provided financial support for my writing, the interviews with the Friedmans, and archival research at Stanford, the University of Chicago, and Columbia University.

I completed much of this book's first draft while I was an Honorary Visiting Fellow in the Department of Economics of University College London from January through May 1992. I am grateful for the hospitality of then department head, Richard Blundell, Victoria Chick, and Pat Fairbrother, department administrator, during my visit.

Claire Hammond, Abraham Hirsch, Kevin Hoover, Thomas Mayer, the late Don Patinkin, Anna Schwartz, John H. Wood, and Leland Yeager read and commented on the complete manuscript. Only the author can know how much better a book becomes because of the critical efforts of those who read it as it is being written. This book is much better because of the suggestions of these critics. I thank them.

Along the way, numerous other people provided valuable criticism of papers that led up to the book. Still others provided me with information or documents that made my work easier and more effective. These individuals include Paul Auerbach, Roger Backhouse, Jeff Biddle, Sir Samuel Brittan, Victoria Chick, David Colander, John Culbertson, Neil Ericsson, John Lodewijks, Don Moggridge, Edward Nelson, Eugene Rotwein, and Rutledge Vining. I have also benefited from discussions with participants in the Wake Forest Economics Workshop, the Duke Economic Thought Workshop, the Post Keynesian Economics Study Group (London), and the University of Birmingham Economics Club Seminar.

I thank my mother-in-law, Evelyn Rausch, for convivial accommodations during my trips to the Bay Area to do research at the Hoover Institution. And I am grateful to Faye Kisel, our department administrative assistant, for help with putting the manuscript together and especially for skillful transcription of the interviews.

Winston-Salem, North Carolina August 24, 1995