

Linguistic Universals

The discovery of "linguistic universals" – the properties that all languages have in common – is a fundamental goal of linguistic research. Linguists face the task of accounting for why languages, which apparently differ so greatly from one another on the surface, display striking similarities in their underlying structure. This volume brings together a team of leading experts to show how different linguistic theories have approached this challenge. Drawing on work from both formal and functional perspectives, it provides a comprehensive overview of the most notable work on linguistic universals – with chapters on syntax, semantics, phonology, morphology, and typology – and explores a range of central issues, such as the relationship between linguistic universals and the language faculty, and what linguistic universals can tell us about our biological make-up and cognitive abilities. Clear, succinct, and fully up-to-date, it will be invaluable to anyone seeking a greater understanding of the phenomenon that is human language.

RICARDO MAIRAL is Professor of English Language and Linguistics in the Departamento de Filologías Extranjeras y sus Lingüísticas, Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia (UNED).

JUANA GIL is Senior Lecturer in the Departamento de Lengua Española y Lingüística General, Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia (UNED).



Linguistic Universals

edited by

Ricardo Mairal

and

Juana Gil





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521545525

© Cambridge University Press 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-83709-5 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-83709-X hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-54552-5 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-54552-8 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

| | List of contributors | page vi |
|---|--|---------|
| | Preface | vii |
| | List of abbreviations | X |
| 1 | A first look at universals RICARDO MAIRAL AND JUANA GIL | 1 |
| 2 | Linguistic typology KEES HENGEVELD | 46 |
| 3 | Universals in a generative setting CEDRIC BOECKX | 67 |
| 4 | In search of universals IAN MADDIESON | 80 |
| 5 | Morphological universals ANDREW SPENCER | 101 |
| 6 | Syntactic typology BERNARD COMRIE | 130 |
| 7 | Some universals of Verb semantics ROBERT D. VAN VALIN, JR. | 155 |
| 8 | Language change and universals JOAN BYBEE | 179 |
| | References | 195 |
| | Index | 213 |

V



Contributors

- RICARDO MAIRAL, Professor of English Language and Linguistics, Departamento de Filologías Extranjeras y sus Lingüísticas, UNED, Madrid
- JUANA GIL, Senior Lecturer in Linguistics, Departamento de Lengua Española y Lingüística General, UNED, Madrid
- KEES HENGEVELD, Professor of Theoretical Linguistics, University of Amsterdam
- CEDRIC BOECKX, Assistant Professor, Department of Linguistics, Harvard University
- IAN MADDIESON, Professor, Department of Linguistics, University of California, Los Angeles
- ANDREW SPENCER, Professor of Linguistics, University of Essex
- BERNARD COMRIE, Professor, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig
- ROBERT D. VAN VALIN, JR., Professor, Department of Linguistics, University at Buffalo, The State University of New York
- JOAN BYBEE, Professor of Linguistics, University of New Mexico



Preface

Any mention of linguistic universals means the continuation of a journey begun many years ago, and refers to a topic of debate among both linguists and philosophers, which has been a constant in the history of linguistics throughout the ages.

The debate regarding universals is one of the most fundamental chapters – perhaps the most fundamental – in the history of grammar, and its genesis can be traced back to the very dawn of linguistic reflection. Furthermore, it is a subject that transcends boundaries between academic disciplines since it is one of the cornerstones of the philosophical debate between rationalism and empiricism. Consequently, it is of vital interest not only to linguists, but also to philosophers, psychologists, anthropologists, psychobiologists, and ethnologists – in other words, to researchers of all academic disciplines that are involved in what is known today as *Cognitive Science*.

However, linguistic universals are currently in the limelight because any linguistic theory that aspires to explanatory adequacy must offer a satisfactory answer to the question of why languages that are so apparently different on the surface at the same time present undeniable regularities in their underlying structure. It is no longer a question of merely discussing the existence of universals, but rather of making their existence compatible with the epistemological premises of different theoretical approaches. This book is an explanation of how these approaches have dealt with this task.

Thus, the organization of the book is as follows: in chapter 1, we have endeavored to present concisely and selectively the major theoretical positions regarding universals, from the beginning of linguistic reflection up until modern times. Our purpose in providing such a panorama is to offer readers (even those without previous knowledge of linguistics) an overview of the multiple perspectives regarding this issue, which, in our opinion, will help to contextualize the research in this volume. Furthermore, one of the strong points of this chapter is that we maintain that an approximation between formal and functional approaches is not only possible, but crucial for a deeper comprehension of certain aspects of linguistic behavior; the fact that certain grammatical phenomena are motivated by factors derived from cognitive processing limitations in human

vii



viii Preface

beings does not necessarily mean that the explanation of *all* linguistic events need be set out in these terms, and, conversely, the fact that certain grammatical principles cannot be externally justified by cognitive or communicative factors should not lead to the rejection of such a possibility for *all* other principles. In essence, although this duality between formalism and functionalism still persists, now, more than ever, there is the necessity for formalist and functionalist theories to work together and offer an integrated explanation of the phenomenon of linguistic universals.

After this introductory chapter which is intended to serve as a backdrop for the rest of the book, chapters 2 and 3 offer very accurate and rigorous accounts of specific aspects that have guided research into linguistic universals from the viewpoint of formal and functional theories. Thus, Hengeveld, as a representative of the functional-typological paradigm, concentrates on the explanatory scope of implicational hierarchies for the analysis of language data. In contrast, Boeckx offers an illuminating account of the notion of universals within the different and successive versions of Generative Grammar from Chomsky's *Aspects* to the Minimalist Program.

As a general rationale, these two chapters clearly illustrate the following two apparently contradictory theses on the origin of universals. Whereas formalist theories link universals to specific characteristics of the human language faculty, functionalists tend to view them as a logical consequence of the fact that languages are ultimately devices for communication. These two rather different conceptions of universals are reflected in a series of differences stemming from the methods used and the more internal or external perspective adopted by each framework, something which the other chapters of this volume, which examine the question of universals from the perspective of a specific linguistic component (i.e., phonetics, morphology, syntax, lexis, and diachrony), are eloquent proof of.

In this regard, Bernard Comrie, in his chapter on relative clauses, shows how typologists attempt to establish a workable hypothesis regarding the geographical distribution of a phenomenon or linguistic structure; how this hypothesis is confirmed by studying a set of languages; and, above all, how this whole process contributes to a deeper understanding of this or that structure or phenomenon, and, subsequently, to a deeper understanding of the mechanisms and strategies that make up the language faculty.

Accordingly, in the chapter on phonetic and phonological universals, Ian Maddieson, after pointing out four basic characteristics shared by all languages (orality, being sound-based, sequential variation, and paradigmatic contrast), argues that although the linguistic analysis of a wide range of languages can clearly provide the key to the specification of a series of universals, the interest of such shared characteristics and other similar factors will only be truly significant if it is used to lay the foundations for more ambitious and far-reaching ideas



Preface ix

regarding the biological setting of language, as well as other human cognitive abilities. In other words, the issue of universals inevitably leads us to more ambitious research regarding the language faculty.

The specificity of this faculty is questioned by Joan Bybee in her chapter on the diachronic dimension of universals. In this chapter the author argues that the true universals are not synchronic patterns but rather the mechanisms of change which underlie those patterns and create them. What is even more meaningful from a theoretical perspective is that those mechanisms of change that function in language (e.g. the repetition of linguistic structures and their subsequent automatization) are much the same as those of other cognitive and neuromotor abilities. The author thus maintains a theoretical position that is clearly different from that defended in more orthodox formalism.

In contrast, Andrew Spencer is very skeptical about the existence of morphological universals, or, at least, about the possibility of formulating them with any degree of success at the present time. Spencer argues that, in a way, the specification of morphological universals would necessarily presuppose a prior universal characterization of the word as a unit whose structure has traditionally been the focus of study in morphology. Since there are no clear, generally valid principles that help us to distinguish words from non-words, it is virtually impossible to go beyond the establishment of certain apparently universal tendencies that Spencer analyzes in his chapter.

In the same way as in morphology, but in contrast to phonological and syntactic universals, very little attention has been paid to the study of semantic universals. Accordingly, Van Valin deals with this subject – more specifically, those referring to verb semantics. Based on the work of Vendler, Van Valin's work distinguishes a set of *Aktionsart* distinctions which are common to the verb systems of all languages, and which can be regarded as prime candidates for semantic universals. Furthermore, he develops a system of lexical representation for each of these distinctions and shows their interlinguistic validity by bringing evidence from a wide range of different languages.

All of the aforementioned contributions make this book primarily a monograph on linguistic universals. However, it is also about language as viewed from different perspectives by specialists of recognized prestige, who represent a wide range of theoretical positions, and different ways of understanding linguistics.

We would like to conclude this preface by thanking José María Brucart, Juan Uriagereka, and Robert Van Valin for their ongoing support and encouragement throughout the duration of this project.

RICARDO MAIRAL JUANA GIL



Abbreviations

ability ABIL absolutive ABS accusative ACC active ACT Adjective ADJ aorist AOR article ART aspect ASP benefactive BENEF certainty CERT **CMPV** completive CN, CONN connective collective COLL connegative CONNEG CONT continuous copular COP dative DAT demonstrative DEM

diminutive DM DU dual ERG ergative F/FEM feminine focus FOC future FUT genitive GEN

gerund GG Generative Grammar

immediate IMM

IMPFV imperfect/imperfective

INCH inchoative IND indicative INFER inference

X

GER



List of abbreviations xi

INSTR instrumental INTR intransitive

IPA International Phonetic Alphabet

IPV imperfective IRR irrealis ITER iterative

LDP left detached position

LGB Lectures on Government and Binding (Chomsky, 1981)

LOC locative
NEG negative
NFUT nonfuture
NM Noun marker
NMZ nominalizer
NOM Nominative
NP Noun Phrase

NSM Natural Semantic Metalanguage

O Object
OBL oblique
OPT optative

OT Optimality Theory
P&P Principles and Parameters

PASS passive

PF perfect/perfective

PL plural

PLD primary linguistic data

PNCT punctual

Pos "Poverty-of-Stimulus" argument

POSS possessive
PP past participle
PrC precore slot
PRED predicate
PREP Preposition
PRO Pronoun
PROC process

PrP Prepositional Phrase PRS.PRT present participle

PST past
PTCPL participle
PURP purposive

RDP right detached position

RECIP reciprocal REDUP reduplicative



xii List of abbreviations

reflexive REFL relative REL

instrumental relative REL.INS relative non-subject REL.NS object relative REL.OBJ oblique relative REL.OBL subject relative REL.SBJ remote past REM.PAST result

Role and Reference Grammar RRG

Subject S singular SG

RES

time, aspect, and modality TAM

topic TOP transitive TR

Universal Grammar UG

Verb V

Verb Phrase VP