

Technological Innovation as an Evolutionary Process

Technological artefacts and biological organisms ‘evolve’ by very similar processes of blind variation and selective retention. This analogy is explored systematically, for the first time, by a team of international experts from evolutionary biology, history and sociology of science and technology, cognitive and computer science, economics, psychology, education, cultural anthropology and research management. Do technological ‘memes’ play the role of genes? In what sense are novel inventions ‘blind’? Does the element of design make inventions ‘Lamarckian’ rather than ‘Darwinian’? Is the recombination of ideas the essence of technological creativity? Can invention be simulated computationally? What are the entities that actually evolve – artefacts, ideas or organizations? These are only some of the many questions stimulated and partially answered by this powerful metaphor. By its practical demonstration of the explanatory potential of ‘evolutionary reasoning’ in a well-defined context, this book is a ground-breaking contribution to every discipline concerned with cultural change.

JOHN ZIMAN is well-known internationally for his many scholarly and popular books on condensed-matter physics and on science, technology and society. He was born in 1925, and was brought up in New Zealand. He took his DPhil at the University of Oxford and lectured at the University of Cambridge before becoming Professor of Theoretical Physics at Bristol University in 1964. His research on the electrical properties of metals earned his election to the Royal Society in 1967. After voluntary early retirement from Bristol University in 1982 he devoted himself to the systematic analysis and public exposition of various aspects of the social relations of science and technology, on which he is a recognized world authority. In 1997, as Convenor of the Epistemology Group, which studies the evolution of knowledge and invention, he invited leading scholars from a number of disciplines to work together on this book.

Cambridge University Press
0521542170 - Technological Innovation as an Evolutionary Process
Edited by John Ziman
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Technological Innovation as an Evolutionary Process

Edited by JOHN ZIMAN

on behalf of *The Epistemology Group*



Cambridge University Press
0521542170 - Technological Innovation as an Evolutionary Process
Edited by John Ziman
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011-4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Cambridge University Press 2000

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2000
First paperback edition 2003

Typeface Swift 9.5/14pt System 3B2 [CE]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Technological innovation as an evolutionary process / edited by John Ziman
on behalf of the Epistemology Group

p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index

ISBN 0 521 62361 8 hardback

1. Technological innovations - Social aspects. 2. Evolution (Biology)

1. Ziman, J. M. (John Michael), 1925- .

T173.8.T363 2000

600-dc21 99-15474 CIP

ISBN 0 521 62361 8 hardback

ISBN 0 521 54217 0 paperback

Cambridge University Press
0521542170 - Technological Innovation as an Evolutionary Process
Edited by John Ziman
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

In memory of

DONALD THOMAS CAMPBELL

1916 – 1996

Contents

<i>List of contributors</i>	xiii
<i>Preface</i>	xv
I EVOLUTIONARY THINKING	1
1 Evolutionary models for technological change	3
JOHN ZIMAN	
1.1 The biological analogies	3
1.2 The technological ‘disanalogies’	5
1.3 Is ‘evolution’ compatible with ‘design’?	6
1.4 Artefacts as cultural constructs	7
1.5 Institutions, roles and behaviour	8
1.6 Selectionism versus instructionism	10
1.7 Understanding innovation	11
2 Biological evolution: processes and phenomena	13
EVA JABLONKA AND JOHN ZIMAN	
2.1 Darwin’s theory today	13
2.2 Heritable variation	15
2.3 Multiplication and heredity	18
2.4 The objects of selection	18
2.5 Adaptation	21
2.6 Speciation and macroevolution	23
2.7 Progress and its ambivalences	25
3 Lamarckian inheritance systems in biology: a source of metaphors and models in technological evolution	27
EVA JABLONKA	
3.1 The genic model	27
3.2 Dysfunctions of the genic model in cultural evolution	29
3.3 Epigenetic inheritance systems (EISs)	31

viii Contents

3.4	Induction and selection of epigenetic variations	37
3.5	The ‘unit’ problem	39
4	Selectionism and complexity	41
JOHN ZIMAN		
4.1	Adaptation by selection	41
4.2	Other selective systems in biology	44
4.3	Selectionist methodology	46
4.4	Emergent properties of computer models	47
4.5	Complexity theory	49
5	Evolutionary phenomena in technological change	52
JOEL MOKYR		
5.1	Introduction	52
5.2	Techniques and evolution	53
5.3	Selection units and replicators	58
5.4	Vehicles and interactors	60
5.5	Selection and teleology	61
5.6	Innovation and adaptation	63
5.7	Summary: information and selection	64
6	Selection criteria and selection processes in cultural evolution theories	66
RICHARD NELSON		
6.1	Different perspectives on technological evolution	66
6.2	Technological change as one aspect of cultural evolution	66
6.3	Differing views on selection criteria and mechanisms	69
6.4	Technology as both practice and understanding	72
II	INNOVATION AS A CULTURAL PRACTICE	75
7	Technological evolution and involution; a preliminary comparison of Europe and Japan	77
ALAN MACFARLANE AND SARAH HARRISON		
7.1	The industrious revolution	77
7.2	The West-European trajectory	77
7.3	The decline in the use of domesticated animals in Japan	79
7.4	The declining use of the wheel in Japan	80
7.5	The outcome: intensive rice agriculture in Japan	81
7.6	The puzzle of the different trajectory of Europe and Japan	84
7.7	Possible reasons for the absence of domesticated animals in Japan	85
7.8	Theories to explain the declining use of the wheel	87
8	Stasis in complex artefacts	90
GERRY MARTIN		
8.1	A skilled craft in a sophisticated civilization	90
8.2	The Japanese sword	91
8.3	Iron + 0.7% carbon = steel	92

	Contents	ix
8.4	Quench hardening	96
8.5	Keeping to what has been found, from long experience, to work	98
9	Gothic tales of spandrels, hooks and monsters: complexity, multiplicity and association in the explanation of technological change	101
	DAVID TURNBULL	
9.1	Explaining technological change	101
9.2	Two contrasting stories	102
9.3	The social construction of science and technology	106
9.4	Thinking with cathedrals	107
9.5	The power of the template	112
9.6	The power of talk	113
9.7	Theory and practice	115
9.8	Analysing artefacts in use	116
10	Path dependence and varieties of learning in the evolution of technological practice	118
	PAUL A. DAVID	
10.1	Introduction: varieties of learning in the economics of technology	118
10.2	A concrete historical application	121
10.3	Inquiry by means of computer simulation: the ‘Bayesian adaptive rhythm’ (BAR) model	122
10.4	The historical frame and the computational bounds upon inferential learning	124
10.5	Simulation results	127
10.6	Some extrapolations	129
10.7	Instructionist versus selectionist mechanisms in evolution	131
III	INVENTION AS A PROCESS	135
11	Invention and evolution: the case of Edison’s sketches of the telephone	137
	W. BERNARD CARLSON	
11.1	The evolutionary role of the inventor	137
11.2	Demystifying the process of invention	138
11.3	A historical interlude: Edison, acoustic telegraphy, and the Reis telephone	139
11.4	Edison’s transformative sketches of the Reis telephone	143
11.5	Another historical interlude: Bell, Western Union and Edison’s contract	148
11.6	Sketches as fossils: taking a palaeontological approach	149
11.7	Making maps to find patterns in the fossil record	150
11.8	A narrative overview of Edison’s work on the telephone	151
11.9	So what do these maps tell us about invention and evolution?	155
11.10	Edison as breeder	157
12	The evolution of adaptive form	159
	DAVID PERKINS	
12.1	The evolution of adaptive form	159

x Contents

12.2	The challenge of adaptive form	160
12.3	Strategies of search	164
12.4	How biological evolution is Klondike smart	167
12.5	How human invention is Klondike smarter	169
12.6	Is invention Lamarckian or Darwinian?	171
13	Real-world variation-selection in the evolution of technological form: historical examples	174
	WALTER G. VINCENTI	
13.1	The constraints of the real world	174
13.2	Variation-selection in direct use	175
13.3	Variation-selection in design	177
13.4	Variation-selection in a design community	182
13.5	Observations	187
14	Learning to be inventive: design, evaluation and selection in primary school technology	190
	JOAN SOLOMON	
14.1	Education	190
14.2	The nature of technology	191
14.3	Technology and history	192
14.4	Starting the design process	193
14.5	Problems with drawing for selection	194
14.6	Spatial ability and mental modelling	195
14.7	Evaluation	199
14.8	Creativity and conclusions	200
15	Technological evolution as self-fulfilling prophecy	203
	GEOFFREY MILLER	
15.1	From genetic algorithms to Darwinian engineering	203
15.2	How computer science turned Darwinian	204
15.3	How genetic algorithms work	206
15.4	Some strengths and weaknesses of genetic algorithms	209
15.5	Fitness evaluation in Darwinian engineering	211
15.6	The future of technological evolution	214
IV	INSTITUTIONALIZED INNOVATION	217
16	Recursive practice and the evolution of technological knowledge	219
	EDWARD CONSTANT	
16.1	Introduction	219
16.2	Recursion in engineering science and practice	221
16.3	What evolves?	224
16.4	A quasi-Bayesian solution	224
16.5	Recursion and rationality	228
16.6	Recursive practice and the evolution of technological knowledge	230

17	The concept of ‘design space’	234
	RIKARD STANKIEWICZ	
17.1	A conceptual framework for technological evolution	234
17.2	Cognitive dimensions of technology	234
17.3	Design spaces	235
17.4	Dynamics of design spaces	237
17.5	The evolutionary regimes of technology	237
17.6	Structuring design spaces	240
17.7	Design languages	241
17.8	Hierarchies of design languages	242
17.9	The expansion of design spaces	243
17.10	The convergence of design spaces	245
17.11	Technological change as conceptual evolution	246
17.12	Organizational and institutional implications	246
18	Artefact↔activity: the coevolution of artefacts, knowledge and organization in technological innovation	248
	JAMES FLECK	
18.1	Introduction	248
18.2	Technology development	248
18.3	The units for technological evolution	249
18.4	The role of artefacts	251
18.5	The role of knowledge	254
18.6	The role of organization	256
18.7	The artefact–activity couple	257
18.8	Stable replication	259
18.9	Technological lineages	260
18.10	Variation and innovation	263
18.11	Lamarckianism, Darwinism and neo-Darwinism in technological evolution	265
19	The organization of innovative enterprises	267
	GERARD FAIRTLOUGH	
19.1	Styles of organization and patterns of evolution	267
19.2	A typology of innovation	267
19.3	Types of organization	271
19.4	Choosing the right management style	272
19.5	Features of innovative organizations	273
19.6	Organization and technological evolution	277
V	TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE IN A WIDER PERSPECTIVE	279
20	The evolution of war and technology	281
	EDWARD CONSTANT	
20.1	The partnership of Mars and Vulcan	281
20.2	Macroevolution	283
20.3	Coevolution and complementarities	288
20.4	‘Fitness’ and the problem of selection	291
20.5	Directed mutation, vicarious selection and institutional memory	296

Cambridge University Press
0521542170 - Technological Innovation as an Evolutionary Process
Edited by John Ziman
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

xii Contents

21	Learning about technology in society: developing liberating literacy	299
	JANET DAVIES BURNS	
21.1	Understanding technological change	299
21.2	The role of social groups in technological development	299
21.3	Liberating literacy	302
21.4	Liberating literacy in a risk society	304
21.5	Education for technology	307
21.6	Conclusion	310
22	An end-word	312
	BY ALL CONTRIBUTORS	
	<i>Notes</i>	317
	<i>Bibliography</i>	324
	<i>Index</i>	347

Cambridge University Press
0521542170 - Technological Innovation as an Evolutionary Process
Edited by John Ziman
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Contributors

Dr JANET DAVIES BURNS
Department of Educational Studies and
Community Support,
Massey University,
Private Bag 11 035,
Palmerston North,
New Zealand.

Prof. W. BERNARD CARLSON
Division of Technology, Culture and
Communications,
University of Virginia,
Charlottesville VA 22901,
USA.

Prof. EDWARD W. CONSTANT II
Department of History,
Carnegie Mellon University,
Pittsburgh PA 15213–3890,
USA.

Prof. PAUL A. DAVID
All Souls College,
Oxford OX1 4AL,
England.

Mr GERARD FAIRTLOUGH
22 Holst Court,
65 Westminster Bridge Road,
London SE1 7JQ,
England.

Prof. JAMES FLECK
The Management School,
University of Edinburgh,
7 Bristo Square,
Edinburgh EH8 9AL,
Scotland.

Mrs SARAH HARRISON JP
Department of Social Anthropology,
University of Cambridge,
Free School Lane,
Cambridge CB2 3RF,
England.

Dr EVA JABLONKA
The Cohn Institute for the History and
Philosophy of Science and Ideas,
Tel Aviv University,
Tel Aviv 69978,
Israel.

Cambridge University Press
0521542170 - Technological Innovation as an Evolutionary Process
Edited by John Ziman
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

xiv Contributors

Prof. ALAN MACFARLANE
Department of Social Anthropology,
University of Cambridge,
Free School Lane,
Cambridge CB2 3RF,
England.

Mr T.L. MARTIN
Springlands Cottage,
Sandy Lane,
Henfield,
Sussex BN5 9UX,
England.

Dr GEOFFREY F. MILLER
ESRC Research Centre for Economic Learning
and Social Evolution,
University College London,
Gower Street,
London WC1E 6BT,
England.

Prof. JOEL MOKYR
Department of Economics,
Northwestern University,
2003 Sheridan Road,
Evanston IL 60208–2400,
USA.

Prof. RICHARD NELSON
School of International and Public Affairs,
Columbia University,
420 W 118th Street,
New York NY 10027,
USA.

Prof. DAVID PERKINS
Harvard Graduate School of Education,
323 Longfellow Hall,
Appian Way,
Cambridge MA 02138,
USA.

Prof. JOAN SOLOMON
Centre for Science Education,
The Open University,
Walton Hall,
Milton Keynes MK7 6AA,
England.

Prof. RIKARD STANKIEWICZ
Research Policy Institute,
Box 2017, S-220 02 Lund,
Sweden.

Dr DAVID TURNBULL
School of Social Inquiry,
Deakin University,
Geelong,
Victoria 3217,
Australia.

Prof. WALTER G. VINCENTI
Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics,
Stanford University,
William F. Durand Building,
Stanford CA 94305,
USA.

Prof. JOHN ZIMAN
27 Little London Green,
Oakley,
Aylesbury,
Bucks HP18 9QL,
England.

Preface

‘Isn’t technological innovation rather like biological evolution?’ This was the question that Gerry Martin asked me, one day in the autumn of 1993. Like all his questions, it turned out to be more penetrating than it mildly suggested. It certainly seemed very plausible. People had asked it before – but why had so few stayed longer for an answer? After five years, many opinions, much talk, and several megabytes of text, here is a provisional reply.

Quite clearly, this enquiry could not be confined to a single academic discipline. Backed generously by the Renaissance Trust, we conjured up *The Epistemology Group*, a market-place for ideas about ‘the Evolution of Knowledge and Invention’. Scholars from different intellectual traditions debated these in a series of informal seminars (1994–95, at the Royal Society of Arts in London), presented some of their findings in a public Forum (June 1996, at the London School of Economics), and hammered them out together at an International Workshop (January 1997, at Goring, Oxfordshire).

This volume, then, is not just a compilation of diverse opinions on a puzzling theoretical question. Whether they mutually agree or disagree, the authors of the various chapters speak for themselves. But they do so out of a shared experience, as conscientious participants in a collective intellectual enterprise, addressing the same set of problems, locating their responses in the same conceptual frame and yet remaining mindful of the issues on which they knew they were divided. This is why the production of this book has been such an agreeable task, to which all the authors have contributed harmoniously.

My editorial work has mostly been to cut out near-duplicate accounts of some of the general themes and then to tack the various chapters together with cross-references. These take the form ‘(Vincenti, ch.13)’, or, more cryptically, ‘(§13.3)’ to indicate that this theme is also dealt with at length by Walter Vincenti in

xvi Preface

chapter 13, or more particularly in section 3 of that chapter. Superscript numbers (e.g. ⁷) indicate notes listed at the end of the book, in which literature citations are given in ‘Harvard’ form (e.g. ‘Campbell 1964’). Full details for these references are set out in a comprehensive bibliography at the end of the book. This bibliography also operates as an author index, in that at the end of each reference there is a code in square brackets which indicates where that reference was cited in the text. For example [13, 7] means that this work is cited as note 7 in chapter 13.

The shared framework of concepts, problems and controversies within which we worked is outlined in chapter 1. Let me emphasize, however, that this introductory chapter is not the usual artful synthesis of the multiplicity of perspectives actually presented in this volume: it represents the situation as we had already come to see it *before* the Goring meeting. This book thus owes a great deal to the many other participants in the Epistemology Group activities where these perceptions had evolved. In particular, let me thank the following:

Prof. Barry Barnes, Department of Sociology, University of Exeter; Dr Kerstin Berminge, Department of Theory of Science, University of Göteborg, Sweden; Sir James Black FRS, Prof. of Analytical Pharmacology, King’s College Hospital Medical School, London; Prof. Margaret Boden FBA, School of Cognitive and Computer Science, University of Sussex; Prof. Werner Callebaut, Department of Philosophy, University of Limburg, Maastricht, Netherlands; Prof. Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi, Department of Psychology, University of Chicago, Chicago IL, USA; Dr Manuela Delpos, Konrad Lorenz Institut, Altenberg-Donau, Austria; Prof. Daniel Dennett, Centre for Cognitive Science and Philosophy, Tufts University, Medford MA, USA; Prof. Richard Gregory CBE FRS, Department of Psychology, University of Bristol; Dr Rob Iliffe, Department of Humanities, Imperial College, London; Prof. Peter Munz, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand; Prof. Keith Pavitt, Science Policy Research Unit, University of Sussex; Prof. Henry Plotkin, Department of Psychology, University College, London; Dr André Pomiankowski, Galton Laboratory, University College, London; Dr Emma Rothschild, King’s College, Cambridge; Dr Simon Schaffer, Department of History and Philosophy of Science, University of Cambridge; Dr Elizabeth Van Meer, Department of Applied Philosophy, Wageningen Agricultural University, Wageningen, Netherlands; Dr Richard Webb, Centre for the Philosophy of the Natural and Social Sciences, London School of Economics.

As can be seen from our numerous references to his writings, we all owe a great deal to the work of Professor Donald Campbell of Lehigh University, who was a very active founding member of the Epistemology Group, and who was to have attended the Goring Workshop and contributed a chapter to this volume. His death on 6 May 1996 not only brought to an end a most distinguished and

Cambridge University Press
0521542170 - Technological Innovation as an Evolutionary Process
Edited by John Ziman
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Preface xvii

creative scholarly career but took from us an inspiring and much loved colleague and friend.

In the end, however, our main debt of gratitude is to Gerry Martin. We have not really answered his question yet, but his stimulus and support has made us think about interesting things, which is what we all most enjoy. And we will go on searching.

John Ziman
(Convenor)

The Epistemology Group (reg. charity No.1057502)
27 Little London Green, Oakley, Aylesbury, Bucks, HP18 9QL