
Index

- Aboriginal offenders, 167–8
 Abrahamson, A., 95
 active sanctions, 38, 46–7, 101–2, 155–6
 alcohol consumption, 108
 alternative sanctions (to imprisonment)
 attitudes to, 15–17, 31–4, 41, 151–2
 evolution of, 3–4
 failure of, 2, 36–7
 lenient image of, 134, 137
 public influence on, 36
 see also community custody; conditional sentences; suspended sentences
 Appeal Courts, 64, 177–8
 attitudes *see* judiciary; offenders; public attitudes
 Aungles, A., 109
 Australia, home detention in, 81–4
 autonomy *see* active sanctions

 Beck, J. L., 99
 Blomberg, T. G., 98
 Bottoms, A., 53–4, 57
 breach of conditions
 as ambiguous, 103
 and attitudes to custody, 94
 concern over, 109
 guidance on, 177
 legal threshold, 176
 punitive conditions, 174
 response to, 58, 118, 125–8, 175–6
 international variations, 71–2, 74, 80, 84, 89–91
 and subsequent community custody, 167
 Brown, M. P., 144, 148

 Canada *see* conditional sentence of imprisonment

 Carlisle, R., 95
 Cavadino, M., 88
 CCTV (Closed Circuit Television), 42
 children of offenders, 105, 107, 168
 co-residents *see* family/co-residents
 communicative theories of sentencing,
 58–60
 communitarian sentencing perspective, 59
 community
 dangers of community custody for, 11
 defining, 156–7
 community control (Florida), 72–5, 119
 community custody
 as active sanction, 38, 46–7, 101–2,
 155–6
 administration of, 94
 aims of, 11–12
 see also sentencing aims
 in Canada *see* conditional sentence of imprisonment
 compared with other sentences, 5–7, 9,
 43–5, 157–8
 conditions *see* conditions of community custody
 criticisms of, 9–11
 custody imposed prior to, 158–9
 daily life in, 104–5
 effect on lifestyle, 107–8
 effect on others *see* family/co-residents; victims
 effect on prison admissions *see* prison admissions
 effectiveness of, 33–4, 94, 149–51
 in England and Wales, 85–91, 118, 120–1,
 135
 evolution of, 12–17, 183–4
 in Finland, 84–5, 119–20

216 INDEX

- community custody (*cont.*)
 in Florida, 72–5, 119
 as inclusive sanction, 8, 13, 38
 knowledge of, 102–4, 139–41, 180–2
 limiting ambit of, 162–8
 media coverage of, 135, 136–9
 nature of, 4–5, 18, 43, 47, 100–1, 155–6, 183
 in New South Wales, 81–4
 in New Zealand, 75–81, 100, 120
 offences covered *see* offences
 penal equivalence, 30, 47–9, 77, 154–5, 161–2
 resourcing, 56–7, 178–9
 on scale of severity, 154–5
 simulating, 95
 stigma of, 106, 113
 suitability for, 159–60, 166–8, 170
 terminology, 49–50, 161
 views of *see* judiciary; offenders; public attitudes; victims
- community custody orders
 defining community, 156–7
 penal content of, 171–2
 statutory reviews of, 179
- community punishments *see* alternative sanctions
- community service, 105
- compensation, 16, 169
- compliance with conditions, 53–4, 159
 incentives, 43, 53, 179–80
 potential for, 174–5
 supervision, 178–9
see also breach of conditions
- conditional imprisonment (Finland), 84–5, 119–20
- conditional sentence of imprisonment (Canada), 50, 66–72, 77, 88
 impact on prison admissions, 121–9
 views and awareness of, 136, 137–41
- conditional sentences, 6–7
- conditions of community custody
 ambiguous, 103–4
 breach *see* breach of conditions
 compliance *see* compliance with conditions
 and effectiveness, 149–51
 enforceability of, 171
 family reactions to, 112–13
 house arrest, 173–4
 impact of, 57
 importance of, 170–1
 international variations, 69–72, 74, 79–80, 83, 84, 89–91
- public knowledge and attitudes, 147, 148–51
 reviewing, 103, 164, 179
 and scale of severity, 154, 155
 sentencing aims of, 174
 specifying, 156, 171
see also curfews
- courts *see* criminal justice system; judiciary
- crime, stereotypes of, 92–3
- crime rates, 23
- Criminal Justice Act (2003), 27
- criminal justice system
 views of, 92–3, 132–4
see also judiciary; probation service
- Crouch, B., 96
- curfew orders, 33, 120–1
- curfews, 14, 54, 93, 171, 173
 impact on family, 105, 113
 offender views of, 99, 104
see also house arrest
- custody
 by offence type, 29–31
 imposed before community custody order, 158–9
 proportionate use of, 25–7
 public concept of, 50
see also community custody; institutional imprisonment
- Custody Minus, 86–7
- Custody Plus, 87
- decarcaration effect, 116–17, 119, 121, 122–4
- Deliberative Poll, 146
- Deschenes, E., 98, 104
- deterrence, 54–8
- Dignan, J., 88
- disincentives, 53
- Doble Research Associates, 144, 151
- Dodgson, K., 106
- Doherty, D., 113
- domestic violence, 166
- Doob, A. N., 152
- Dowds, L., 144
- drug use, 108
- Duff, A., 59–60
- electronic monitoring, 14–15, 20, 55, 80, 172
 judges' views of, 33, 95
 offender views of, 98, 99, 101, 107
 public views of, 16, 144–7
- Elrod, P., 144, 148
- England and Wales, suspended sentence in, 85–91, 118, 120–1, 135
- ethics of sanctions, 171
- exclusionary sanctions, 8, 38

- family/co-residents
 children, 105, 107, 168
 deterrent effect of, 57–8
 experiences and views, 10–11, 105–6,
 109–14
 impact of curfews on, 105, 113
 impact of imprisonment on, 45
 role in community custody, 10–11, 79,
 109–10, 170
 and sentence length, 163
- finer, 152
- Finland, conditional imprisonment in, 84–5,
 119–20
- flexibility, 47
- Florida, community control in, 72–5,
 119
- Frost, S., 144
- Gainey, R., 101, 146
- Gibbs, A., 111
- halfway houses, 166, 170
- Haverkamp, R., 33
- Home Detention Curfew (England and
 Wales), 14, 106
- home detention (New Zealand), 75–81, 100,
 120
- home detention order (HDO)
 in New South Wales, 81–4
- home detention schemes, evolution of, 40
- homeless offenders, 166
- Hough, M., 32
- house arrest, 7, 41, 80
 offender reactions to, 104, 105
 as presumptive condition, 173–4
 public attitudes to, 144
 simulating, 95
see also curfews
- imprisonment *see* institutional
 imprisonment
- incapacitation, 52–3
- incentives, 43, 53, 179–80
- inclusive sanctions, 8, 13, 38
- individualization, 47, 99
- inquiries into suitability, 159–60, 170
- institutional imprisonment
 alternatives to *see* alternative sanctions
 by offence type, 29–31
 compared with community custody, 9,
 41–2, 43–5
 deprivations of, 43, 100–1
 evolution of, 8–9, 39–43
 as exclusionary sanction, 8, 38
 failure of, 1
 impact on relationships, 45
 mandatory, 68
- offender preference for, 49, 96–8
 as passive sanction, 45–6, 101–2
 penal equivalence, 30, 47–9, 77, 154–5,
 161–2
 prior to home detention, 75, 76
 proportionate use of, 25–7
 public attitudes to, 1–2, 15–17, 34–6, 50,
 93, 135–6, 151–3
 public nature of, 47
 and rehabilitation, 135–6, 151–2
 as response to breach *see* breach of
 conditions
 sentence length, 26, 27–9
 sentencing aims of, 52, 61
 social significance of, 152–3
 support for, 1–2, 3, 34–6, 135–6
see also prison admissions; prison
 population trends; suspended
 sentence of imprisonment
- intensification hypothesis, 105–6
- Intensive Supervision Probation (ISP), 41
- International Crime Victimization Survey
 (ICVS), 35
- judicial practice, impact on attitudes, 153
- judiciary
 experience of home confinement, 95
 public confidence in, 133
 role in administering community custody,
 88–90, 177
 role in drafting conditions, 172
 views of alternative sanctions, 3, 32–3
 views of community custody, 48, 117, 129,
 151
see also sentencing
- justice *see* criminal justice system
- Kemp, B., 45
- King, D., 111
- Kommer, M., 29
- Lamb, D., 145
- Lappi-Seppala, T., 119
- lifestyle changes, 107–8
- Maidment, M. R., 97
- Mair, G., 92, 121
- mandatory sentencing, 32, 68
- Marinos, V., 152
- Maruna, S., 180
- media, 135, 136–9
- monitoring
 deterrence and, 56
see also electronic monitoring;
 supervision
- Morris, N., 31
- multidimensional sanctions, 15, 155–6

218 INDEX

- Narayan, U., 172
 Nee, C., 92
 net-widening, 117–19, 120, 121, 122–3,
 125–8, 159
 New South Wales, home detention in,
 83–4
 New Zealand, home detention in, 75–81,
 100, 120
 news media, 135, 136–9
 non-imprisonable offences, 42
 normative compliance, 54
- offences
 in ambit of community custody, 68, 69, 72,
 75, 80–1, 84, 85, 162–3
 excluded from community custody, 73, 78,
 81–2, 87, 165–6
 non-imprisonable, 42
 and public attitudes, 141, 144, 146,
 149–51
 sentencing and seriousness of, 32–3
- offenders
 children of, 105, 107, 168
 compliance by *see* compliance with
 conditions
 custody preference of, 49, 96–8
 daily life in community custody, 104–5
 difficulties of community custody, 10,
 100–1, 141
 knowledge of community custody, 102–4,
 182
 lifestyle changes, 107–8
 loss of spontaneity, 108–9
 public attitudes to, 39
 researching views of, 94–5
 revealing custody status, 106–7
 stigma of sentence, 106
 suitability for community custody, 159–60,
 166–8
 supervision of, 56, 85, 99, 178–9
 views of active vs. passive sanctions,
 101–2
 views of community custody, 49, 56, 98,
 99–100, 159
 views of severity of sanctions, 98,
 100–1
 warning, 90
- ordinal proportionality, 155
- parole, 46
 Parole Board (New Zealand), 75, 78–9
 Parole Service (New South Wales), 82, 83
 passive sanctions, 45–6, 101–2
 payments in Florida, 74
 Payne, B., 101, 146
 penal content of community custody orders,
 171–2
- penal equivalence, 30, 47–9, 77, 154–5,
 161–2
see also proportional sentencing
- penal policy, public influence on, 131–2,
 134, 164, 181
- Petersilia, J., 98, 104
- place of custody *see* institutional
 imprisonment; residence
- prison admissions
 effect of community custody on, 116–17
 decarceration effect, 116–17, 119, 121,
 122–4
 international variations, 119–23
 net-widening, 117–19, 120, 121, 122–3,
 125–8, 159
- prison population trends, 14, 23–4, 36,
 129–30
- prisoners *see* offenders
- private nature of community custody, 47
- probation, 6, 41, 96, 124–5
 compared with community custody, 5,
 157–8
 conditions of, 70–1
 and net-widening, 117, 118
- probation service, 48, 82, 83, 90, 177,
 179
- proportional sentencing, 59, 73, 87, 155,
 161
see also penal equivalence
- public attitudes
 effect of education on, 146–9, 180–2
 influence on penal policy, 131–2, 134, 164,
 181
 media influence on, 136–9
 researching, 131, 132
 to alternative sanctions, 15–17, 31–2,
 151–2
 to community custody, 9–10, 76, 77, 93,
 136, 151–2, 153
 conditions, 147, 148–51
 support, 141, 170
 to criminal justice, 92–3, 132–4
 to electronic monitoring, 16, 144–7
 to house arrest, 144
 to imprisonment, 1–2, 15–17, 34–6, 50, 93,
 135–6, 151–3
 to prisoners, 39
 to rehabilitation, 135–6, 151–2
 to restorative justice
 to severity of sanctions, 96
 public knowledge of community custody,
 139–41, 146–9, 180–2
- public nature of imprisonment, 47
- punishment
 evolution of, 39–43
 as sentencing goal, 73
see also retribution; sanctions

- recidivism, 29, 55, 69, 70, 151
 recidivists, 167
 rehabilitation, 43, 52, 70, 135–6, 151–2
 reintegrative shaming, 13–14
 relationships
 effect of community custody on, 105–6
 effect of imprisonment on, 45
 see also family/co-residents
 remorse, 61
 residence
 defining community, 69, 156–7
 halfway houses, 166, 170
 suitability of, 159–60, 166, 170
 see also family/co-residents
 restitution, 16, 169
 restorative justice, 13, 52, 60–2, 124
 retribution, 61–2
 reversibility, 47
 reviews of conditions, 103, 164, 179
 risk, 69, 182
 Rogers, Kimberly, 160
 routine, and compliance, 53
 Rubin, B., 108
- sanctions
 active/passive, 38, 45–7, 101–2, 155–6
 ethics of, 171
 inclusive/exclusionary, 9, 13, 38
 public/private, 47
 severity of, 96, 98, 100–1, 154–5
 see also alternative sanctions; community custody; institutional imprisonment; probation
 sentence length, 51
 of community custody
 impact on prison admissions, 128–9
 international variations, 68, 73, 77, 81, 84, 86, 87
 limiting, 162–5
 of imprisonment, 26, 27–9
 and penal equivalence, 30, 47–9, 77, 154–5, 161–2
- sentencing
 communicative theories of, 58–60
 decision to impose custody, 158–9
 influence on public attitudes, 153
 mandatory, 32, 68
 media images of, 136
 preferences of offenders, 49, 96–8
 preferences of public, 147–9
 proportional, 59, 73, 87, 155, 161
 public influence on, 131–2, 134, 164, 181
 public perceptions of, 92, 132–3, 134
 role of victim in, 10, 62–3, 168, 169
- sentencing aims, 15, 33–4
 of community custody, 51–3
 communicative theories, 58–60
 conditions, 174
 deterrence, 54–8
 as hybrid, 61–2
 restorative justice, 60–1
 of imprisonment, 52, 61
- shaming, 13–14
 Sigler, R., 145
 special needs offenders, 167
 Spelman, W., 98
 Spier, P., 26
 sponsors *see* family/co-residents
 spontaneity, loss of, 108–9
 Stephenson, G., 144
 Stern, V., 8
 stigma, 106, 113
 Stinchcomb, J., 95
 supervision, 56, 85, 99, 178–9
 see also electronic monitoring
 support, from family, 109–10
 surveillance
 by community, 107
 see also electronic monitoring
 suspended sentence of imprisonment (England and Wales), 85–91, 118, 120–1, 135
 suspended sentences, 5–6
 Sykes, G., 43, 46
- tagging *see* electronic monitoring
 Tonry, M., 31
 treatment, 69, 71, 156–7, 158, 167
 Turner, M., 46, 148
- up-tariffing *see* net-widening
- victim impact statement (VIS), 10, 62, 78
- victims
 compensation for, 16, 169
 considering interests of, 168–9
 role in sentencing, 10, 62–3, 168, 169
 views of community custody, 10, 63–4
 von Hirsch, A., 58, 171, 172
- Walters, I., 33, 121
 warnings, for offenders, 90
 wealthy offenders, 166
 weekend custody, 8, 51
 widening of net *see* net-widening
 women offenders, 168