> Iustitia Dei A History of the Christian Doctrine of Justification

The Christian doctrine of justification is of immense interest to historians and theologians, and continues to be of major importance in modern ecumenical discussions. The present work appeared in its first edition in 1986, and rapidly became the leading reference work on the subject. Its many acclaimed features include a detailed assessment of the semantic background of the concept in the ancient Near East, a thorough examination of the doctrine of the medieval period, and an especially careful analysis of its development during the critical years of the sixteenth century. The third edition thoroughly updates the work, adding new material where necessary, and responding to the latest developments in scholarly literature. It will be an essential resource for all concerned with the development of Christian doctrine, the history of the Reformation debates on the identity of Christianity, and modern discussions between Protestants and Roman Catholics over the nature of salvation.

ALISTER E. McGRATH is Professor of Historical Theology at the University of Oxford. His major academic works include *The Genesis of Doctrine* (1990) and *The Intellectual Origins of the Reformation* (1987). He is also editor of the *Blackwell Encyclopedia of Modern Christian Thought* (1995) and the author of a number of acclaimed student textbooks including *Christian Theology: An Introduction*, 3rd edition (2001).

# Iustitia Dei

A History of the Christian Doctrine of Justification

Third Edition

Alister E. McGrath



### CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press	
0521533899 - Iustitia Dei: A History of the Christian Doctrine of Justification, Thi	rd Edition
Alister E. McGrath	
Frontmatter	
More information	

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521826488

© Cambridge University Press 1986 © Alister E. McGrath 1998, 2005

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published in two volumes in 1986

Volume I First paperback edition 1989 Reprinted 1993, 1997

Volume II Reprinted 1991 First paperback edition 1993 Reprinted 1994, 1996

Second edition in one volume 1998, 2002 Third edition in one volume 2005

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

 ${\cal A}$  catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 13 978 0 521 82648 8 hardback ISBN 10 0 521 82648 9 hardback ISBN 13 978 0 521 53389 8 paperback ISBN 10 978 0 521 53389 9 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press	
9521533899 - Iustitia Dei: A History of the Christian Doctrine of Justification, Third Editio	n
Alister E. McGrath	
Frontmatter	
Aore information	

# Contents

	Prefc	ace	page vii
	List	of abbreviations	xii
1	Justification: the emergence of a concept		
	1.1	Semantic aspects of the concept of justification	6
	1.2	Paul and the shaping of the Christian tradition	21
	1.3	The pre-Augustinian tradition	32
	1.4	The fountainhead: Augustine of Hippo	38
2	The	Middle Ages: the consolidation of the doctrine	55
	2.1	The nature of justification	59
	2.2	The righteousness of God	72
	2.3	The subjective appropriation of justification	92
	2.4	Justification and the sacraments	117
	2.5	The concept of grace	128
	2.6	The concept of merit	138
	2.7	The dialectic between the two powers of God	150
	2.8	The relation between predestination and justification	158
	2.9	The critique of the role of supernatural habits in justification	176
	2.10	The medieval schools of thought on justification	186
3	Prot	estantism: the Reformation debates on justification	208
	3.1	Forerunners of the Reformation doctrines of justification?	210
	3.2	Luther's discovery of the 'righteousness of God'	218
	3.3	Luther's mature theology of justification	223
	3.4	Justification in early Lutheranism, 1516–1580	235
	3.5	Early Reformed theology, 1519-1560	248
	3.6	The English Reformation: from Tyndale to Hooker	258
	3.7	Protestant orthodoxy	265
	3.8	Anglicanism: the Caroline Divines	277
	3.9	Puritanism: from the Old World to the New	284
	3.10	The Pietist critique of Protestant orthodoxy	292
	3.11	The Anglo-Catholic critique of the Reformation	295
	3.12	Conclusion	307

vi

Cambridge University Press
0521533899 - Iustitia Dei: A History of the Christian Doctrine of Justification, Third Edition
Alister E. McGrath
Frontmatter
More information

	Cor	ntents	
4	Catholicism: the Council of Trent on justification		
	4.1	Developments within Catholicism, 1490-1545	309
	4.2	The theological schools at Trent during the debates	
		on justification	318
	4.3	The Tridentine debates on justification	324
	4.4	The Tridentine decree on justification	338
	4.5	The post-Tridentine debates on justification	344
	4.6	Conclusion	357
5	Th	e modern period	358
	5.1	The Enlightenment critique of orthodox doctrines	
		of justification	360
	5.2	The moral critique of the Enlightenment: I. Kant	371
	5.3	The religious critique of the Enlightenment:	
		F. D. E. Schleiermacher	376
	5.4	The reappropriation of the concept of justification:	
		A. B. Ritschl	381
	5.5	The dialectical approach to justification: K. Barth	392
	5.6	The eclipse of justification, 1950–2000	406
	5.7	Conclusion	420
	Gla	ssary of medieval soteriological terms	422
			425
		liography	
	Ind	ex	444

## Preface

The present study is an extended exploration of the manner in which the western church developed the Pauline concept of 'justification' throughout two thousand years of reflection and debate, culminating in the sixteenth-century Protestant declaration that the doctrine of justification was 'the article by which the church stands or falls (*articulus stantis et cadentis ecclesiae*).<sup>1</sup> It reflects my own interest in a number of areas of scholarship, especially the intellectual origins of the European Reformation of the sixteenth century, and the nature of doctrinal development within the Christian tradition. It is both a celebration and a criticism of the pioneering work of Albrecht Benjamin Ritschl, *Die christliche Lehre von der Rechtfertigung und Versöhnung* (1870). Despite its many weaknesses, this earlier work remains a landmark of scholarship in the field.

Three reasons may be given for exploring the history of the doctrine of justification within the Christian tradition at such length. First, the historical study of the development of any Christian doctrine from its origins to the present day is inherently significant, in that it offers a means of identifying and evaluating the factors which have influenced the development of doctrine in general. The development of the doctrine of justification is thus a paradigm for the study of ideological interaction in the development of doctrine, illustrating how theological and secular concepts were related as theologians responded to the cultural situation of their period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the sense and origins of this celebrated phrase, see F. Loofs, 'Der articulus stantis et cadentis ecclesiae'. It is necessary to challenge Loofs upon several points, particularly his suggestion that the phrase is first used in the eighteenth century by the Lutheran theologian Valentin Löscher in his famous anti-Pietist diatribe *Vollständiger Timotheus Verinus oder Darlegung der Wahrheit und des Friedens in denen bisherigen Pietistischen Streitigkeiten* (1718–21), and is restricted to the Lutheran constituency within Protestantism. This is clearly incorrect. The *Reformed* theologian Johann Heinrich Alsted uses the phrase a century earlier, opening his discussion of the justification of humanity *coram Deo* as follows: 'articulus iustificationis dicitur articulus stantis et cadentis ecclesiae' (*Theologia scholastica didacta* (Hanover, 1618), 711). Precursors of the phrase may, of course, be found in the writings of Luther himself – e.g., WA 40/3.352.3: 'quia isto articulo stante stat Ecclesia, ruente ruit Ecclesia'. For more recent reflection, see Schwarz, 'Luthers Rechtfertigungslehre als Eckstein der christlichen Theologie und Kirche'.

#### viii Preface

The study is also of intrinsic interest to systematic theology. It could be argued that the theological situation today demands at least a *restatement*, and quite possibly also a *reinstatement*, of the Christian doctrine of reconciliation.<sup>2</sup> The essential prerequisite of any attempt to interpret, reinterpret or restate that doctrine is a due appreciation of the historical origins and subsequent development of the concept. It is clearly somewhat pointless to attempt to develop or defend theories of justification, reconciliation or atonement which can be shown to rest upon some misunderstanding of a Hebrew root, which represent a recent distortion of an older and more considered doctrine, or which represent a conditioned response to a specific cultural situation that no longer pertains today (a theme which I explored in my 1990 Bampton Lectures at Oxford University).<sup>3</sup>

Justification is one of several concepts that have been used within Scripture and the Christian tradition to articulate the reconciliation effected by God with the world through Christ. As will become clear, at certain points during the development of Christian theology – especially during the sixteenth century – this concept came to assume a particularly significant role. At others, however, the concept plays a much less prominent part in theological reflection on the foundations of salvation, or on the shape of the Christian life. The present study is offered as a resource to stimulate and inform this theological reflection on the ongoing place of the concept of justification in the church's self-understanding of its identity and mission.

In the third place, the study may serve as a resource for the dialogue between Christians of different traditions, most notably those whose present identities have been shaped decisively by the European Reformation of the sixteenth century. The doctrine came to assume a major, possibly pivotal, role at that time, with debates over the issue contributing significantly to the emergence of divisions within western Christianity. As pressure grows for Christians to attempt to settle their differences (or at least to understand one another better), an informed understanding of the sixteenth-century debates over the doctrine of justification clearly has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This was certainly Ritschl's intention in undertaking his massive historical analysis of the concept: see M. Werner, *Der protestantische Weg des Glaubens*, Bern: Haupt, 1955, 799–815. Few have chosen to follow his lead subsequently, however, with the notable exception of Martin Kähler's important essay *Zur Lehre von der Veröhmung* (1898); see Schäfer, 'Die Rechtfertigungslehre bei Ritschl und Kähler'. In recent times, the Lutheran theologian Robert Jenson (b. 1930) has made some intriguing suggestions for the systematic role of the doctrine; see Jenson, 'Justification as a Triune Event'; idem, 'Rechtfertigung und Ekklesiologie.' However, his recent *Systematic Theology* (2 vols., New York: Oxford University Press, 1997–9) does not develop these suggestions to the extent that might have been anticipated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A. E. McGrath, *The Genesis of Doctrine*, Oxford: Blackwell, 1990.

#### Preface

a major role to play. It is no accident that discussions over this doctrine have played a major role in ecumenical dialogues since about 1980.

The origin of the research contained in this volume goes back to the earliest stage of my period as a theologian, when I studied for the Final Honour School of Theology at Oxford University (1976–8). I chose to specialise in scholastic theology, and was introduced to the ideas of leading representatives of this period, from Peter Lombard to Gabriel Biel. A period spent researching at the University of Cambridge allowed me to develop these interests further. I chose to focus on the theological development of Martin Luther, seen against his late medieval background. This work was published in 1985 as *Luther's Theology of the Cross: Martin Luther's Theological Breakthrough*.

As is so often the case, the initial research project proved to be a launching pad for others. At one level, it led me to take an interest in the theological methodology of the Reformation period, and to trace back its antecedents into the late medieval and Renaissance periods. This work was published in 1987 as *The Intellectual Origins of the European Reformation*, and revised in 2003 in the light of important scholarly advances in the field. Although my research interests moved on to areas more properly understood as systematic, rather than historical, theology, I nevertheless kept up my reading in the field, noting new developments which suggested that revision and expansion of my original approach were required. In 2003, I published a second edition of this work, in the light of ongoing work in the field which necessitated expansion, and occasionally revision, of my original conclusions.

The second area in which my original research developed was to consider the development of the doctrine of justification throughout the entire period of theological history. Having researched the origins of Luther's doctrine of justification in its late medieval context, it was entirely reasonable to extend this to embrace the western theological tradition as a whole.

At that time, the only serious attempt to document this development was Ritschl's *Die christliche Lehre von der Rechtfertigung und Versöhnung* (1870). The value of that work was rather reduced by the moralist assumptions Ritschl brought to his task, and by his decision to limit his analysis from the eleventh to the nineteenth century, focussing particularly on German-language Protestant contributions to the discussion. The vast scholarly undertakings which have given the modern period the magnificent critical editions denied to Ritschl (such as the Weimar Luther edition) have also cast new light on the theology of the medieval period, calling into question many of Ritschl's conclusions. One of the more troubling aspects of my investigation was the realisation that most

ix

#### x Preface

of Ritschl's conclusions, particularly his very unsatisfactory account of Luther's theological formation, could no longer be sustained. *Iustitia Dei* was published in two volumes in 1986, and rapidly established itself as a benchmark for discussion of the doctrine, especially in the ecumenical dialogues of that era. In 1998, it was reissued in a new format, merging the two original volumes without revisions, and adding some new material relating to some developments in the twentieth century. Although designated a 'second edition', its new format did not allow for a detailed revision of the work. By this stage, it was, however, clear that such a review was needed.

This third edition represents a complete revision of the original work in the light of scholarly developments since the publication of the first edition in 1986. The new edition is based on a complete reappraisal of every aspect of the first edition, including its structure and format, as well as the positions I defended at that stage. My continuing engagement with both primary and secondary sources convinced me of the need to rewrite the work, retaining what was clearly sound and reliable, and correcting or modifying whatever was open to justified criticism. At points, I have had to make inevitable adjudications concerning intensely contested issues in the scholarly literature relating to the development of the doctrine, and have done so on the basis of the best evidence currently available.

The most obvious changes have to do with the presentation of the material. In response to many requests, I now cite primary sources in English translation (although retaining key phrases in the original languages where these are appropriate). I have introduced a substantial new section early in the work, exploring aspects of Paul's views on justification, noting especially the issues which would dominate subsequent theological debate.

The first edition made use of many scholarly studies published in the first half of the twentieth century, which often made landmark contributions to scholarship in the field. As time has passed, other studies have appeared, confirming some aspects of their work, and revising others. I have made every effort to base this new edition of the work on the best recent scholarship. However, it is still an uncomfortable fact that some of the most reliable and original scholarship on certain key matters dates from a previous generation. For this reason, certain older works continue to feature in the notes and scholarly apparatus, despite the appearance of more recent studies.

Those hoping for a definitive pronouncement on what the word 'justification' means will be disappointed. This is a work of historical analysis, not of theological prescription. It does not attempt to define the concept of justification, but sets out to offer an account of the various ways in

#### Preface

xi

which the western theological tradition has understood the notion, both as a resource and as a challenge to those who wish to provide such a definition. It is a resource, in that it provides a detailed account of how this notion has been conceived over two thousand years of theological reflection. Yet it is also a challenge, in that the failure of that tradition of reflection to agree on the meaning of the term must raise certain awkward questions for those who believe that such a definition may be offered. The evidence offered in this volume suggests that such definitions are dependent on certain implicit semantic, metaphysical and juristic assumptions which were questioned, criticised, replaced, and occasionally retrieved, as the development of Christian theology proceeded.

Finally, I must thank all those who have made this new edition possible, especially those who have read and commented on earlier versions of the work. In particular, I wish to thank M. D. Chenu OP, Fergus Kerr OP, Oliver O'Donovan, Cassian Reel OFMCap, E. G. Rupp, Beryl Smalley, Peter Southwell, N. T. Wright, E. J Yarnold SJ, and Adolar Zumkeller OESA, for their comments and suggestions over many years. I owe particular thanks to Cambridge University Press for being all that a good publisher should be, and to Elizabeth McGrath for her editorial assistance. As always, I take full responsibility for any errors of fact or judgement that remain.

Oxford, July 2004

ALISTER McGRATH

# Abbreviations

Bibliographical abbreviations follow the guidelines provided by S. Schwertner, *Internationales Abkurzungsverzeichnis für Theologie und Grenzgebiete*, Berlin: de Gruyter, 1974.

ARG	Archiv für Reformationsgeschichte
AnAug	Analecta Augustiniana
AthA	Année théologique augustinienne
Augustinus	Augustinus: Revista tremestral publicada por los Padres
0	Agustinos Recoletos
BHR	Bibliothéque d'humanisme et Renaissance
BIOSCS	Bulletin of the International Organization for Septuagint
	and Cognate Studies
BJRL	Bulletin of the John Rylands Library
BSLK	Bekenntnisschriften der evangelisch-lutherischen Kirche
BSRK	Bekenntnisschriften der reformierten Kirche
CBQ	Catholic Biblical Quarterly
CChr	Corpus Christianorum Series Latina
CFr	Collectanea Franciscana
ChH	Church History
CR	Corpus Reformatorum
CSEL	Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum
СТ	Concilium Tridentinum: diariorum, actorum,
	epistolarum, tractatuum nova collectio
D	Enchiridion Symbolorum Definitionum et Declarationum
	de Rebus Fidei et Morum
DR	Downside Review
DThC	Dictionnaire de théologie catholique
EE	Estudios eclesiásticos
EETS	Early English Text Society
EThL	Ephemerides Theologicae Lovanienses
EvTh	Evangelische Theologie
FS	Franziskanische Studien

xii

## CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press	
0521533899 - Iustitia Dei: A History of the Christian Doctrine of Justification, Third Ed	ition
Alister E. McGrath	
Frontmatter	
More information	

#### Abbreviations

xiii

FrS	Franciscan Studies
HThR	Harvard Theological Review
ĴBL	Journal of Biblical Literature
JEH	Journal of Ecclesiastical History
ηHI	Journal of the History of Ideas
JSNT	Journal for the Study of the New Testament
JThS	Journal of Theological Studies
KuD	Kerygma und Dogma
MF	Miscellanea Franciscana
MGH.Ep	Monumenta Germaniae historica: Epistolae
MGH.SRG	Monumenta Germaniae historica: Scriptores
	rerum Germanicarum
MoTh	Modern Theology
NRTh	Nouvelle revue théologique
NTS	New Testament Studies
NZSTh	Neue Zeitschrift für Systematische Theologie
OS	Calvini opera selecta
PG	Patrologiae cursus completus, Series Graeca
PL	Patrologiae cursus completus, Series Latina
REAug	Revue des études augustiniennes
RechAug	Recherches augustiniennes
RelSt	Religious Studies
RET	Revista española de teología
RMAL	Revue du moyen âge latin
RSPhTh	Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques
RSR	Revue des sciences religieuses
RThom	Revue thomiste
RThAM	Recherches de théologie ancienne et médiévale
SCJ	Sixteenth Century Journal
SJTh	Scottish Journal of Theology
StA	Melanchthons Werke in Auswahl: Studienausgabe
StB	Studia Biblica
StTh	Studia Theologica
ThLZ	Theologische Literaturzeitung
ThPh	Theologie und Philosophie
ThQ	Theologische Quartalschrift
ThRev	Theological Review
ThStKr	Theologische Studien und Kritiken
ThZ	Theologische Zeitschrift
VCaro	Verbum Caro
VT	Vetus Testamentum
$V_{\mathcal{Y}}V$	Verdad y Vida

Cambridge University Press	
0521533899 - Iustitia Dei: A History of the Christian Doctrine of Justification, Third Edition	
Alister E. McGrath	
Frontmatter	
More information	

xiv Abbreviations

WA	D. Martin Luthers Werke: Kritische Gesamtausgabe
ZAW	Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft
ZKG	Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte
ZKTh	Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie
ZNW	Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft
ZSTh	Zeitschrift für Systematische Theologie
ZThK	Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche